4-2010

Faculty Senate Newsletter, April 2010

Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/senate-pubs

Recommended Citation
http://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/senate-pubs/4

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Newsletter by an authorized administrator of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact gcoste1@lsu.edu.
President’s Message

For the last several months, members of the extended LSU community have hopped from big issue to big issue, from hope to fear to anxiety, and on to occasional relief. Although the very big issue of the higher education budget will occupy us for months to come, it is important to remember the many smaller items that support or otherwise accumulate into the gigantic challenges that we face today. So it is that, in the wake of the Louisiana Postsecondary Review Council, with its disappointing recommendation that all four year institutions capitulate to a single “superboard,” both educators and administrators will want to do their level best to keep the government of educational institutions within the sphere of influence of “the little people”: those teachers, professors and researchers who, day in and day out, carry the bricks and mix the mortar by way of building the temple of education. The proposed “superboard” will take educational policy farther away from the academic personnel who implement it and so merits resistance.

Viewers of The Ten Commandments know that the making of big bricks requires little bits of straw. Educators who anticipate building a better future should occasionally look past crises to recognize the importance of fine points and telling details. Two recent small scale events merit consideration as we try to imagine what our beleaguered University will be doing after the recession passes. Although it drew only passing interest when it grazed the edges of the newspapers, Chancellor Martin’s recent suggestion that budget shortfalls might be overcome by impounding funds for construction of the band hall deserves special praise. Proposing that construction delay irritated an assortment of our Supervisors, who seem to have missed the adverse symbolic value of building an enrichment support facility while faculty face layoffs. Although we all adore the Tiger Band, we require employment to buy tickets to hear it, a small and simple fact that Chancellor Martin’s recent suggestion that budget shortfalls might be overcome by impounding funds for construction of the band hall deserves special praise. Proposing that construction delay irritated an assortment of our Supervisors, who seem to have missed the adverse symbolic value of building an enrichment support facility while faculty face layoffs. Although we all adore the Tiger Band, we require employment to buy tickets to hear it, a small and simple fact that Chancellor Martin affirmed against considerable opposition. Another detail that has become so small as to reach invisibility is the fate of the micro-brewery and mini-pub that the Chancellor proposed for the Lod Cook Hotel and Conference Center. The Faculty Senate has been long committed to improving the quality of life on the LSU campus, as well as to providing non-academic recreational options by way of raising the level of collegiality and creating a sense of mutual proprietorship over our collective destiny. Rumor, which provides more truth than is commonly acknowledged, reports that the micro-brewery was scuttled under political pressure from piestistic elements among legislators from the northern part of our state. If indeed the mini-pub expired under leveraging from the Elmer Gantry wing of our government, we have yet another demonstration that apparent trivialities, such as whether The Chimes will have an able competitor, can at least epitomize the impairment of our academically enabled freedom to choose. Let us hope that Chancellor Martin, like the namesake of the famous English cider, can keep pecking away at certain blockheads!

Please enjoy this issue of the newsletter, which includes information about some very serious issues that affect us all.

With all good wishes,
Kevin L. Cope, Faculty Senate President

ALFS Meets, Begins Initiative on Board of Regents Membership

The Association of Louisiana Faculty Senates (ALFS) held its spring meeting on April 17 in the Lod Cook Center. Comprised of faculty leaders from all Louisiana colleges and universities, ALFS provides both online and on site venues in which to initiate and coordinate faculty efforts on behalf of higher education. ALFS delegates from the LSU System, from the University of Louisiana System, and from Louisiana’s private colleges heard from LSU System Vice President Mike Gargano, whose presentation ranged from educational policy issues such as new admissions requirements, to enrollment management, to the aftermath of the Tucker Commission.

Following Vice President Gargano’s presentation, ALFS delegates resolved to renew the drive for faculty membership on the Board of Regents. Louisiana remains pervasively unique in the lack of faculty representation on the various boards that govern higher education. The first step in this initiative will be the passing of resolutions by the System Councils, a body led by faculty senate presidents within the four higher education systems. That resolution will request four faculty seats: one for each system on the Board of Regents. The LSU Council of Faculty Advisors unanimously passed such a resolution at its April 23rd meeting at LSU in Alexandria. The other three systems are presently in the process of developing resolutions. Once those resolutions are in hand, the heads of the System Councils will present the combined resolutions along with an accompanying petition to the Commissioner of Higher Education.

Bargaining and Representation Committee’s Duties to Continue

The Ad Hoc Committee on Bargaining and Representation has made good use of its first year of duty by issuing a preliminary report and by organizing a “Faculty Issues and Discussion Series” that has covered topics from “speed up” in the profession to benefits. The Committee reports that its work will require additional research and that it has plans to intensify the level of debate on this campus. Additionally, the adverse effects on working conditions and expectations brought about by the recession and by legislative action have convinced the Committee that it should continue its efforts for another year. At the request of Committee Chair Michael Russo, the charge to the Committee has been renewed until at least May 2011.

HB 331: Not Yet the Complete Answer to Retirement System Deficits

Both hope and alarm spread through the informal Louisiana educators’ benefits network with the formulation of HB 331 of the 2010 Louisiana Legislature. Sponsored by Representative Franklin Foil, this bill permits certain groups of educators to re enter the TRSL defined benefit plan. Although faculty governance officers rejoice in Representative Foil’s attempt to reopen the TRSL plan, examination of the bill in its present form gives pause. HB 331 raises as many questions as it answers and recommends several questionable implementation strategies. Returnees to TRSL, for example, would not be permitted to retain their accounts and begin accruing service years within TRSL anew, as had been hoped, but would be asked to surrender their extant Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) accounts. Years-of-service granted in exchange for this buy-in would be determined by the same actuaries whose calculations have led to the drastic reduction in the portion of employer contributions that reach employees. And worse! The LSU Faculty Senate is in the process of analyzing this bill and will soon release a more general explanation. Faculty Senate President Kevin Cope has asked for a parlay among Representative Foil and faculty governance officers from across the state. Readers may keep up with events as they arise at the Senate “ORP Crisis” web page.

Business School Deans to Take Lead in Transfer Tracks for Specialist or Accredited Programs

Early in April, the Statewide Articulation and Transfer Council accepted and passed along to the Board of Regents the LSU inspired guidelines for the “Nevers Bill,” the portable degree that allows students to move from one Louisiana institution to another with a block transfer of sixty hours credit and junior standing. This initial recommendation postulated general “tracks” that would serve the needs of the majority of students emerging from the Technical and Community College System as well as from other four year institutions. This initial program introduces questions about students who might want to pursue an “accredited program” in which they must also satisfy the requirements of outside accrediting agencies. LSU is taking the lead in tackling this issue by sponsoring a new “inter system working group” of Business School Deans and program Directors. The initial working group will include LSU’s own Eli Jones; UNO Business Dean Jim Logan; McNeese Business Dean Mitchell Adrian; Louisiana Tech Dean James Lumpkin; Southern University Business Dean Donald Andrews; Delgado Community College Business program Coordinators Marilyn Colino and Patrick Conroy; LSU System Vice President Mike Gargano; and Statewide Articulation and Transfer Council General Education Committee Chair Kevin Cope. This “working group” bodes fair to set national precedents for specialized transfer programs and is certain to establish LSU as a leader in articulation initiatives.

“Getting There” Remains “Gones-O”

Complaints continue to pour in regarding “Getting There,” the new interface provided...
Graduate Council Membership Rules Revised, Nearing Implementation

On March 15, the Faculty Senate approved Resolution 10–03, which called for new rules for membership on the Graduate Council and which established a model for the election rather than appointment of future members. After a Resolution passes the Senate, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee consults with the administration regarding the implementation of both the letter and the spirit of the new legislation. A refreshingly cordial negotiation with Provost Merget and with Graduate School Dean David Constant has led to the formulation of a policy that will allow for an orderly phase in of an elected Council membership and with that, a greater sense of self determination among the many faculty.

"Getting There" (continued)

by the state travel agent. Recently identified problems include a requirement to submit TSA security information to complete a hotel reservation (remember, Motel 6, which is where you can stay at the official state per diem rate, is a high security area); identical screens for air, hotel, and car rentals (for example, one is asked to declare a departure time for a hotel check-in); lack of an "anytime" option for flight departures; no clear distinction between "hold," "confirm," and "purchase" screens (if you think that you've confirmed your hotel reservation, look again—or, worse, expect a terrifying plain text "hotel cancellation warning" message as you pull into the airport); a bewildering confusion between an information only search and a true booking search; so many pop up windows that the thread of a search or a reservation is easily lost; and, as promo people say, "much much more!"

Faculty Senate President Kevin Cope met with Account- ing Services head Donna Torres, our ever dependable travel maven and all around expediter, to discuss these and other travel related difficulties. The ingenious Donna has recognized that the Accounting Services web site does little to make matters better (for example, it never mentions areas in which LSU travel policy diverges in practices from the state and from the LSU System travel guide, PM–13). Donna has vowed to work on the Senate suggestion for an instantly accessible window or pop up that provides basic guidance to current travel rules and procedures. Donna, we cheer and exhort you!

Tiger Athletic Foundation Pounces on Point System

The Tiger Athletic Foundation (TAF) has announced that "the awarding of priority points" that enhance purchasing opportunities for tickets to games and other sports related events will henceforth be limited to donors to TAF programs. To the untutored outsider, this decision seems to preclude the awarding of "priority points" to philanthropists donating to the many other worthwhile enterprises of our great university. The Faculty Sen- ate applauds those among our Tiger fundraisers who pounce on every opportunity to please our supporters but also wonders whether some choice sports entertain- ment morsels should also be offered to the full panoply of LSU's supporters, whether those who score or those who do a little more!

Speaking of the Tiger Athletic Foundation, look for some new retractable seating in the Pete Maravich Assem- bly Center. At its April 23rd meeting, the LSU Board of Supervisors approved an agreement by which the TAF will lease the floor of the PMAC for the sole purpose of replacing the retractable seating. That benefaction will cost the TAF 1.5 million dollars; additionally, TAF will pay LSU $100 for the lease and for the opportunity to do good works. Another more-than-three-pointer for Gen- eral Richard, the taciturn but witty head of the TAF!

Faculty Senate Delegation Opens Discussions with Lab School

Anyone attuned to faculty chatter at LSU knows that the LSU Lab School has certainly fulfilled one goal of educa- tors everywhere, which is to raise questions. Owing to an increase in queries and complaints, the LSU Faculty Senate Executive Committee decided to open direct discussions with Lab School Director Wade Smith. Follow- ing a series of preliminary exchanges, Smith welcomed an amplified Executive Committee (as enlarged by the helpful presence of Professors Emily Elliott, Hector Zapata, and George Stanley) for an hour long discussion that combined demythologizing with forward looking decision making. With the help of (continued page 3)
Faculty input to our increasingly friendly IT Services is proving more effective than Miracle-Gro sprayed on a house plant. Now that our information experts have responded to faculty comments by developing a program for the reform of Moodle, our IT helpers are turning to the shortcomings of PAWS. For many years, PAWS has functioned admirably as a gateway to a variety of password protected services. With the increase in the number of such limited access databases and services, the PAWS menu has become lengthy and often obscure. In response, IT Services is hard at work on "myLSU," a user interface that may eventually replace PAWS and that will enable single sign on for most password limited services. That partitive adjective "most" remains somewhat ambiguous (it may not include, for example, certain databases within the library system), but our IT experts promise to continue expanding its range and have also pledged to continue consulting with faculty as this promising system incubates.

EDUCATION OPINION

Cultural Differences

Throughout my 29 years as a professor I have heard colleagues across all disciplines comment many times that the "public doesn't understand us." I completely agree, but also maintain that we don't really understand "ourselves" all that well. I believe in general there is a remarkably large gulf of understanding between faculty in engineering/ sciences (henceforth, the "sciences") and those in humanities/business/arts ("humanities"). Although we certainly need to better educate the public about what we do in higher education, it would also do us well to better understand some of the cultural differences.

At the great risk of stereotyping and generalizing, let's start with humanities' perception of teaching in the sciences. A while back I attended an educational workshop here on campus and sat next to a philosophy professor. We chatted and when I mentioned that I was in chemistry he almost immediately commented "Oh, you don't have to teach very much." I've heard this comment numerous times from my humanities colleagues (and the public). Intrigued, I asked what he was teaching that semester, which turned out to be two philosophy courses with enrollments of about 25 each. He was correct that I was teaching only one course that semester, General Chemistry lecture, but with 200 plus students. On average I've taught about 180 students a semester here at LSU. Research active faculty in the College of Basic Sciences typically teach only one course a semester. But it could be a fairly large class and the amount of work required to do a good job teaching increases rapidly with enrollment (equally true for any faculty member).

My perception of teaching duties was also flawed in that I thought tenure track faculty typically had a heavy teaching load and taught three to four courses a semester. So I was more than a bit surprised to hear that my philosophy colleague was only teaching two courses. Since then I've learned that two to three courses a semester is typical, depending on the amount of graduate training involved.

Graduate training is teaching, and in the sciences, graduate students are critically important to most of us to get our research done, articles published, and grants funded. On average I've had four Ph.D. students working in my lab, while most of my colleagues in Chemistry have, on average, six Ph.D. students working for them. Chemistry is somewhat unusual in that it has one of the largest Ph.D. programs on campus (around 150 Ph.D. students) and a relatively small faculty size (27 research active tenure track faculty). Across the science and engineering departments there are, on average, closer to two to three graduate students per faculty member.

Another comment I've heard many times is that the sciences "get all the money." This pertains to departmental funds, graduate student stipends, and faculty salaries. However, a survey of LSU based web sites shows that improvements are underway, that there is reason for hope and even rejoicing. To finish off this sometimes tiring spring semester on a lively note, the Newsletter reveals the results of a critical survey of LSU websites, all in the hope of greeting our public and our constituencies with an ever improving web presence. Another competition will occur in the fall; nominations for both the top five and the bottom five positions are welcome.

The Top and Bottom 5 Websites at LSU, with Honorable Mentions

Let's face it, LSU has never been very good at imaging itself. Our wonderful University remains especially backward when it comes to presenting itself on the internet. However, a survey of LSU based web sites shows that improvements are underway, that there is reason for hope and even rejoicing. To finish off this sometimes tiring spring semester on a lively note, the Newsletter reveals the results of a critical survey of LSU websites, all in the hope of greeting our public and our constituencies with an ever improving web presence. Another competition will occur in the fall; nominations for both the top five and the bottom five positions are welcome.

THE TOP FIVE

1. The College of Music and Dramatic Arts (http://www.cmda.lsu.edu/index.html). An appropriately dramatic improvement over the last few months has moved the M&DA site up from a tangled mess of conflicting links to a spectacular and yet economical and user friendly compendium of all that is good, entertaining, informative, and stimulating about LSU. Easy to navigate, clearly partitioned, up-to-date, and clean, this site shows just how wonderful a place LSU can be and invites users to visit again and again.

2. The College of Art and Design (http://design.lsu.edu/index.html). Like the M&DA site, the Art and Design site shows that modern design is not impossible at LSU and that beauty can be found even amidst the havoc of the Engineering Buildings. A&S's web designers have filled this page with diverse and delightfully energetic images. The page finished in second place only because the main pages look a little too wordy.

3. Department of Construction Management (http://www.cm.lsu.edu/). This hard working, information abundant site abounds in vigor and vitality. Rich in both imagery and reportage, this site offers well planned links, plain language, and easily understood "trees" of links and data. A subtle mixture of imagery and different ranges and scales combines with hints of tiger color schemes to produce a next-generation image of this brass tacks field.

4. National Center for Biomedical Research and Training/ Academy of Counter Terrorism Training (http://www.ncbrtc.lsu.edu/). The best of LSU's government integrated sites, the NCBR&T offering combines tables and boxes of different sizes and aspect ratios to convey a wealth of information without taking on a too static or library like look. Imagery from local news events is juxtaposed comfortably with links to national sites to show that LSU can succeed on the national stage.

5. LSU Museum of Art (http://www.lsumoa.com/). Although the Museum of Art continues to struggle with connecting itself to life on the LSU campus, there is no denying that its website has the look of a truly professional, big-city cultural entity. Through careful use of offbeat color combinations, the MOA site sends the message that it is trying to break out of the Victorian era, neo-plantation composite taste that plagues Baton Rouge. This is a site that pleases the
Collaboration Between LSU and LA Tech Focus of April Chancellor’s Forum

On April 15, the LSU Faculty Senate hosted the last of the year’s three Chancellor Forums in the newly renovated Claude L. Shaver Theatre housed in the LSU Music and Dramatic Arts Building. Entitled “The Future of Louisiana Research Universities,” the forum featured LSU Chancellor Michael Martin and LA Tech President Daniel Reneau. Martin and Reneau both discussed and took questions on a number of issues ranging from the status of higher education across economically challenged Louisiana to current and future joint research projects between the two universities.

Reneau opened the forum with some insightful remarks on the critical role research universities like LSU and LA Tech play. “It’s research universities, by and large, that great thoughts come out of,” he said. Yet Reneau was quick to point out that despite the contributions research universities have made towards the betterment of society, American institutions have been hampered by budget cuts, which has resulted in fewer high quality students entering the American work force upon graduation compared to other countries. “There are more honor students in China than there are students in the United States,” Reneau observed.

Chancellor Martin echoed these sentiments, citing his belief that there is a growing cynicism in the public eye towards funding the sciences as well as reduced public willingness to support research universities. Martin also stressed that research institutions in Louisiana must change the public perception of what they do if the state is to continue to grow in what is already an overly competitive national climate. “No state in the union needs to have a strong research university more than Louisiana,” Martin remarked.

Reneau and Martin focused not just on the challenges research universities in Louisiana face but also on ways they can innovate. One suggestion was to take advantage of existing technology to allow researchers to collaborate in an online environment. “It’s very workable,” Reneau said. “Today the world is flat. Everything is closer.” Martin made similar remarks regarding the successful use of distance learning technologies at the University of Wisconsin to increase productivity across the system’s twenty six campuses. “It’s powerful and ubiquitous. It’s an asset that brings universities together,” Martin stated.

Martin and Reneau also highlighted at least one ongoing project between LSU and LA Tech and discussed tapping into the state’s natural resources in a collaborative effort. Reneau mentioned that LSU and LA Tech are currently partnering on what he called a “nano articulation drug” that would be used to treat cancer patients. The patent on this treatment is pending. In Martin’s view, research universities in Louisiana and the public’s perception of the contributions research universities make to the state. “There is a great crisis in Louisiana must show the citizens of Louisiana that research is important not just at the state level, but on a global scale. Referring to the port of New Orleans as a major importer and exporter of goods, as well as to Louisiana as a cultural crossroads, Martin commented that “This state, more than most, is a global player. We ought to have a global university representing this state.”

Publication Schedule for the Faculty Senate Monthly Newsletter

The Faculty Senate Monthly Newsletter will publish one more issue this academic year (in late May). Publication will resume in the autumn at the end of August. The summer will not be an idle period, however, with news and comment being collected and readied for the upcoming autumn publication cycle.

Published by The LSU Faculty Senate

Questions? Comments? Email gmolch1@tigers.lsu.edu or cjayse1@lsu.edu

Top and Bottom 5 Websites (continued)

Honorable mentions, or, sites on the bubble: the Department of Chemistry (http://chemistry.lsu.edu/), is beginning to make a move toward shedding its hard edged, beat-up-old-laboratory look; the latest site is definitely moving in the right direction. Likewise, the College of Engineering (http://www.eng.lsu.edu/) is starting to get the right idea and beginning to create navigable sites, although the font and the layout still looks a little clunky.

THE BOTTOM FIVE

1. Human Resources Management (http://appl003.lsu.edu/hrm/polprogweb.nsf/index). With a color scheme that would send anyone running for the Pepto Bismol (which at least has a bright hue) and a text image arrangement that looks like the LSU Quad the day after Hurricane Andrew, the HRM website, with its scattered links and daunting arrangement, seems to send a clear message that one had better apply for whatever one wants elsewhere. Where is the helpful human face of HRM in this mess?

2. The LSU Sesquicentennial Site (http://www.lsu150.com/). Perhaps there is a perverse truth buried in the choice of faded beige and grim grey for a site that is struggling to find something to celebrate while LSU is under siege by the Louisiana legislature. Featuring an "info center" that is neither in the center of the page nor especially rich in info and presenting as its visual focus a YouTube item that has not changed in months, this site commemorates the inability of LSU to present itself to the public.

3. Procurement Auxiliary Services (http://pas.lsu.edu/). This omnibus site aims to coordinate access to a variety of units but instead undersells the quality of LSU services and disguises the accomplishments of the people who manage the designs and materials that keep LSU operating. It is strange that a unit that includes Design Services can’t come up with a website evidencing some design skills. The architecture of this site celebrates neither navigability nor helpfulness; rather, it screams “bureaucracy.”

4. Mike the Tiger’s website (http://www.mikethetiger.com/). Apparently intended to reach out to the Tigers of tomorrow, Louisiana’s children, and perhaps also some future donors, this site features a web cam of Mike’s habitat that never loads, no matter which browser is used and no matter which add-ons are deployed. The site includes some fun pictures but will probably frustrate its youthful audience rather than create love for LSU.

5. Office of Research and Economic Development (http://www.research.lsu.edu/). Looking to be astonished? Consider the way in which this site makes the impressive research underway at LSU seem inaccessible or uninteresting. If you’d like to do some interesting research yourself, this site won’t provide much help; by the time you figure out the categories under which support is conjugated, you could finish the project, or earn enough to complete it with a part time job.

DISTRESSING RUNNER UP: Office of Career Services (http://appl003.lsu.edu/slas/career/careerweb.nsf/index), which hits its hopeful young users with a Rosetta stone of inadequately labeled and imaged semi-information.