

1990

# Constructing Isospectral but Nonisometric Riemannian Manifolds.

Sheng Chen

*Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool\\_disstheses](https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool_disstheses)

---

## Recommended Citation

Chen, Sheng, "Constructing Isospectral but Nonisometric Riemannian Manifolds." (1990). *LSU Historical Dissertations and Theses*. 4975.

[https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool\\_disstheses/4975](https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool_disstheses/4975)

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in LSU Historical Dissertations and Theses by an authorized administrator of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [gradetd@lsu.edu](mailto:gradetd@lsu.edu).

## **INFORMATION TO USERS**

**The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.**

**The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.**

**In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.**

**Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book.**

**Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.**

# **U·M·I**

University Microfilms International  
A Bell & Howell Information Company  
300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA  
313/761-4700 800/521-0600

**Order Number 9112222**

**Constructing isospectral but nonisometric Riemannian manifolds**

**Chen, Sheng, Ph.D.**

**The Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical Col., 1990**

**U·M·I**  
300 N. Zeeb Rd.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

**Constructing  
Isospectral but Non-Isometric  
Riemannian Manifolds**

**A Dissertation**

**Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of the  
Louisiana State University and  
Agricultural and Mechanical College  
in Partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**in**

**The Department of Mathematics**

**By**

**Sheng Chen  
B.S. Hangzhou University, P.R.China, 1982  
August, 1990**

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my major Professor, Robert V. Perlis , for directing this dissertation. His guidance and advice are greatly appreciated.

I also would like to thank Professor Jerome W. Hoffman for his valuable discussion and help during the development of this dissertation, and to thank Dr. A. Reid and Dr. W. D. Neumann for their valuable discussion.

I am deeply indebted to my dear wife, Weizhen Gu, for her consistent support and encouragement.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgement . . . . .	ii
Abstract . . . . .	iv
§1 Introduction . . . . .	1
§2 Sunada's Construction . . . . .	3
§3 Milnor's Example . . . . .	5
§4 Ikeda's Example . . . . .	9
§5 Vignéras' Example . . . . .	10
Bibliography . . . . .	15
Vita . . . . .	16

## **Abstract**

In this paper, we examine the examples of isospectral but non-isometric Riemannian manifolds given by Milnor, Ikeda, and Vignéras. Of these, only Milnor's example is accounted for by Sunada's method of constructing isospectral manifolds, and even then only as an "unnatural" construction.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, “manifold” means compact manifold. Let  $M$  be a (compact) Riemannian manifold. The eigenvalues of the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  on the space of  $L^2(M)$  functions forms a discrete sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots,$$

called the spectrum of the Laplace operator. The zeta function of  $M$  is  $\zeta_M(s) = \sum \lambda_i^{-s}$ .

Two compact Riemannian manifolds are said to be *isospectral* if their Laplace operators have the same spectrum, or equivalently, if they have the same zeta functions. The first example of isospectral non-isometric manifolds was given by Milnor [5] in 1964. Some years later, in 1980, further examples were constructed by Ikeda [4] and Vignéras [11]. In particular, Vignéras gave examples of isospectral non-isometric *Riemann surfaces* of constant curvature  $-1$ .

In 1985, Sunada [9] gave a systematic method of constructing isospectral non-isometric manifolds which “may be looked upon as a geometric analogue of a routine method in number theory” ([9], [7]). This method has subsequently been exploited to produce many new isospectral non-isometric manifolds ([2], [3]).

In [2] R. Brooks raised the natural question of whether Sunada’s construction exhausts all possibilities of finding examples of isospectral manifolds. In this paper, we examine the examples of Milnor, Ikeda, and Vignéras. We show that Ikeda’s examples do not arise from Sunada’s construction and Milnor’s example does, but only as an “unnatural” construction. Vignéras’



method gives many different examples of pairs of isospectral non-isometric Riemannian manifolds, and for some of them we cannot decide (see the remark at the end of the paper). But we show that her basic examples do not arise from Sunada's construction. We have been informed that Alan Reid has also shown (unpublished) that Vignéras' basic examples are not of Sunada's type.

## 2. Sunada's Construction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two subgroups of  $G$ . We say that the triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  of groups satisfies condition (\*) if


(\*) Each conjugacy class of  $G$  meets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in the same number of elements, i.e., denoting the conjugacy class of  $g$  in  $G$  by  $g^G$ ,

$$\#(g^G \cap H_1) = \#(g^G \cap H_2),$$

for all  $g \in G$ .

(A fancier way to say the same thing is that the trivial representations of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  induce isomorphic representations of  $G$ .)

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be Riemannian manifolds and let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be subgroups of a finite group  $G$ . We say " $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are sandwiched by  $M$  and  $M/G$  with the finite triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$ ", or simply,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are sandwiched with the triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$ , if there is a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and an isomorphism from  $G$  into the group of isometries of  $M$  such that  $M \rightarrow M/H_i$  are Riemannian coverings and that  $M_i$  are isometric to  $M/H_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).



Note: The maps  $M \rightarrow M/H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are normal Riemannian coverings. However,  $M/G$  is only an orbifold, i.e., a quotient of  $M$  by a finite group of isometries, and is not necessarily a Riemannian manifold. The coverings  $M_i \rightarrow M/G$  are not assumed to be normal.

The following result is due to Sunada [9].

**Theorem A.** [9] *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two Riemannian manifolds. If they can be sandwiched with a finite triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  satisfying condition (\*), then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are isospectral.*

**Remark:** Sunada states the assumption that  $M/G$  is also a Riemannian manifold. W. D. Neumann has kindly pointed out to us that Sunada's proof is equally valid when  $M/G$  is an orbifold.

### 3. Milnor's Example

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space with the usual Riemannian metric. The group of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$  (the rigid motions) acting on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $((P, c), x) \rightarrow Px + c$  where  $Px$  is the multiplication on the left by the matrix  $P$  on the column vector  $x$ . It is known that every  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant curvature 0 is a quotient of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$  acting on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  freely. When there is no danger of confusion, we will not distinguish the elements  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  from the pairs  $(id, x)$  in  $O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ . An isometry  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma'$  is an isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\Phi\Gamma = \Gamma'\Phi$ .

The following is due to Milnor [5].

**Theorem B.** [5] *There are two lattices  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{16}$  such that  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_2$  are isospectral but not isometric.*

More specifically, the two lattices are  $\Lambda_1 = \Gamma_8 \oplus \Gamma_8$  and  $\Lambda_2 = \Gamma_{16}$ , which may be described as follows: For any positive integer  $m$ , let  $L_{4m}$  be the lattice consisting of  $x = (x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4m}$  with  $\sum x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Then  $\Gamma_{4m}$  is generated by  $L_{4m}$  and  $e_{4m}$  where  $e_n = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In [5], it is shown that the quotient manifolds are isospectral by utilizing the fact that  $\Gamma_8 \oplus \Gamma_8$  and  $\Gamma_{16}$  have the same number of vectors of any given length. Furthermore  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_2$  are not isometric because  $\Gamma_8 \oplus \Gamma_8$  and  $\Gamma_{16}$  are not. ( $\Gamma_8 \oplus \Gamma_8$  is generated by elements of length 2 while  $\Gamma_{16}$  is not. See [8, p. 51]).

As a first attempt to determine if  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_2$  can be sandwiched with a triplet satisfying (\*), it is natural to try  $M = \mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  and the triplet

$$((\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)/\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2, \Lambda_1/\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2, \Lambda_2/\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2)$$

Indeed,  $(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)/\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  is a finite group. However as  $(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)/\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  is abelian, the triplet does not satisfy condition (\*). In fact, we have the following simple lemma:

**Lemma 1.** *For any triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  of finite groups, if  $H_1$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then the triplet satisfies condition (\*) if and only if  $H_1 = H_2$ .*

*Proof:* For any  $g \in G$ , let  $h \in g^G \cap H_1$ . There is an  $r \in G$  such that  $rg^{-1}r^{-1} = h$ , hence  $g = r^{-1}hr \in H_1$ . So  $g^G \cap H_1 \neq \emptyset \iff g \in H_1$ . Thus by the assumption  $\#(g^G \cap H_1) = \#(g^G \cap H_2)$ , we have  $g \notin H_1 \Rightarrow g \notin H_2$ , i.e.,  $H_1 \supseteq H_2$ . Therefore  $H_1 = H_2$  as it is clear that (\*) implies  $\#H_1 = \#H_2$ . ■

So, with the “obvious” choice of the triplet above, we see that condition (\*) fails. It would appear that Milnor’s example does not arise from Sunada’s construction. But surprisingly,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  do arise from Sunada’s construction by a proper choice of the triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$ . And with little more effort, we even can arrange matters so that the orbifold  $M/G$  is a Riemannian manifold. In preparation, let us refine Lemma 1.

For a triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  of finite groups. We say that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are *bijectively conjugate* if there exists a bijection  $\psi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  such that, given any  $h \in H_1$ ,  $\psi(h)^G = h^G$ , i.e.,  $h$  and  $\psi(h)$  are conjugate in  $G$ . Such a bijection  $\psi$  will be called an almost-inner bijection.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  be a triplet of finite groups. Then this triplet satisfies condition (\*) if and only if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are bijectively conjugate.*

*Proof:* Suppose  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are bijectively conjugate. Let  $h \in g^G \cap H_1$ . Then  $rg^{-1}r^{-1} = h$  and  $\psi(h) = \psi_h h \psi_h^{-1}$  for some  $r, \psi_h \in G$ . So we have

$$\psi(h) = \psi_h h \psi_h^{-1} = \psi_h r g r^{-1} \psi_h^{-1} \in g^G \cap H_2.$$

That is,  $\psi$  defines an injection of  $g^G \cap H_1$  into  $g^G \cap H_2$ , hence  $\#(g^G \cap H_1) = \#(g^G \cap H_2)$ , as the inverse of  $\psi$  is also an almost-inner bijection.

Conversely, write  $G = \bigcup g_j^G$  as a disjoint union of conjugacy classes. We assume  $\#(g^G \cap H_1) = \#(g^G \cap H_2)$ . Any chosen bijection  $\psi_j$  from  $g_j^G \cap H_1$  to  $g_j^G \cap H_2$ , defines an almost-inner bijection from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ . ■

We now return to Milnor's example. Let  $N$  and  $P$  denote the  $4 \times 4$  and  $16 \times 16$  matrices, respectively, shown below:

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_4 & 0 \\ 0 & N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix.

Note that  $N \in O(4)$  and  $N^4 = I_4$ , so  $P \in O(16)$  and  $P^8 = I_{16}$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^{16}$  be the vector whose coordinates are all 0 except that the 16-th is  $\frac{1}{8}$ . Put  $\Phi = (P, c) \in O(16) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{16}$ . We have  $Pc = c$  and  $\Phi^i(x) = P^i x + ic$  for  $0 \leq i \leq 7$ .

Define  $M = \mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda$  where  $\Lambda = (2\langle \mathbb{Z}^4, e_4 \rangle)^4$ . Then  $\Phi$  defines an isometry of  $M$  as  $N(\langle \mathbb{Z}^4, e_4 \rangle) = \langle \mathbb{Z}^4, e_4 \rangle$  and  $P\Lambda = \Lambda$ .

Put  $\Lambda'_0 = (\langle \mathbb{Z}^4, e_4 \rangle)^4$ . Then  $P\Lambda'_0 = \Lambda'_0$  and we have the following Riemannian covering:

$$M'_0 = \mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda'_0 \longrightarrow M'_0/\langle \Phi \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{16}/\langle \Phi, \Lambda'_0 \rangle,$$

because  $\langle \Phi \rangle$  acts on  $M'_0$  freely by the choice of  $c$ . (Note that, if  $\lambda \in \Lambda'_0$ , then the difference of the 15-th coordinate and the 16-th coordinate of  $\lambda$  is an integer. And for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 7$ ,  $\Phi^i x - x = P^i x - x + ic$ . Since  $P$  fixes the last 4 coordinates of  $x$ , the 15-th and 16-th coordinates of  $\Phi^i x - x$  are that

of  $ic$  which are 0 and  $\frac{i}{8}$  respectively. So, for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 7$ ,  $\Phi^i x - x \notin \Lambda'_0$  as its 15-th and 16-th coordinates differ by a proper fraction.)

Define  $M_0 = \mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_0$ , where  $\Lambda_0 = \langle \Phi, \Lambda'_0 \rangle$  is the group generated by  $\Phi$  and  $\Lambda'_0$ . Since  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda'_0$ ,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are sandwiched by  $M$  and  $M_0 = M/G$  with the triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  of finite groups:

$$G = \Lambda_0/\Lambda = \langle \Phi, \Lambda'_0 \rangle/\Lambda,$$

$$H_1 = \Lambda_1/\Lambda = (\Gamma_8 \oplus \Gamma_8)/\Lambda = \{z \mid z \in (\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2)/\Lambda \text{ or } z = (e_8, 0_8)\}, \text{ and}$$

$$H_2 = \Lambda_2/\Lambda = \Gamma_{16}/\Lambda = \{z \mid z \in (\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2)/\Lambda \text{ or } z = (1, 0_7, 1, 0_7)\},$$

where  $0_n$  is the 0 element and  $e_n = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda_2$  are sandwiched by Riemannian manifolds  $M = \mathbb{R}^{16}/\Lambda$  and  $M/G$  with the finite triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  given above, and this triplet satisfies condition (\*).

**Proof:** Only the last statement requires a proof. Observe  $\Phi(e_8, 0_8)\Phi^{-1} = P(e_8, 0_8) = (1, 0_7, 1, 0_7)$ . Now define a bijection  $\phi$  from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  by  $\phi = id$  on  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  and  $\phi = \text{conjugation by } \Phi$  on  $(e_8, 0_8)$ . Then Proposition 1 follows from Lemma 2. ■

#### 4. Ikeda's Example

Let  $q$  be a positive integer and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  be integers prime to  $q$ . Let  $g = g(q; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  denote the orthogonal matrix given by

$$g = g(q; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \begin{pmatrix} R(p_1/q) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & R(p_n/q) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi\theta & \sin 2\pi\theta \\ -\sin 2\pi\theta & \cos 2\pi\theta \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $g$  generates the cyclic subgroup  $G = \{g^k\}_{k=1}^q$  of order  $q$  in the orthogonal group  $O(2n)$  of degree  $2n$ . Define the *lens space* to be the Riemannian manifold:

$$L(q; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = S^{2n-1}/G.$$

**Theorem C.** [4] *There are lens spaces which are isospectral but not isometric.*

For example, Ikeda proves that the pair of lens spaces  $L(11; 1, 2, 4)$  and  $L(11; 1, 2, 8)$  are isospectral but non isometric. It is clear that they cannot be sandwiched by  $M$  and  $M/G$  with a triplet  $(G, H_1, H_2)$  satisfying (\*). Otherwise, we must have  $M = S^5$  which is the only non-trivial covering space of  $L(11; 1, 2, 4)$  and  $L(11; 1, 2, 8)$ . Thus  $H_1 = \{g^k\}_{k=1}^{11}$  and  $H_2 = \{g'^k\}_{k=1}^{11}$ , where

$$g = g(11; 1, 2, 4) \text{ and } g' = g(11; 1, 2, 8).$$

As  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are cyclic, bijectively conjugate implies conjugate, and this contradicts the fact that these two lens spaces are not isometric. This proves

**Proposition 2.** *The isospectral lens spaces  $L(11; 1, 2, 4)$  and  $L(11; 1, 2, 8)$  do not arise from Sunada's construction.*

**Remark.** For the same reason, Proposition 2 holds for other examples mentioned in [4].



## 5. Vignéras' Example

Let  $K$  be a number field. A quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{D}$  is a 4-dimensional  $K$ -algebra generated by  $i, j$  over  $K$ , such that  $i^2 = a, j^2 = b$ , and  $ij = -ji$  where  $a, b \in K$ . Such a quaternion algebra is denoted by  $\mathbb{D} = \left(\frac{a, b}{K}\right)$ . When  $K = \mathbb{R}$  and  $a = b = -1$ , the quaternion algebra is the classical Hamiltonian quaternion algebra which is denoted by  $\mathbb{H}$ . For any  $x = x_1 + x_2i + x_3j + x_4ij \in \mathbb{D}$  where  $x_l \in K, l = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , the conjugate of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is  $\bar{x} = x_1 - x_2i - x_3j - x_4ij$ . The reduced norm of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is  $n(x) = x\bar{x}$ . It is well known that

$$\mathbb{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{H}^r \times M(2, \mathbb{R})^s \times M(2, \mathbb{C})^{r_2}$$

where  $r + s = r_1$  is the number of real imbeddings of  $K$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $r_1 + 2r_2$  is the degree of  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  is the ordinary quaternion over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \left(\frac{a, b}{K}\right)$  be a quaternion algebra over  $K$ . If  $v$  is a place of  $K$ , then  $\mathbb{D}_v = \left(\frac{a, b}{K_v}\right)$  is a quaternion algebra over the completion  $K_v$  of  $K$ . We say  $v$  is unramified in  $\mathbb{D}$  if  $\mathbb{D}_v$  is isomorphic to the matrix algebra over  $K_v$ . It is well known that the ramified places in  $\mathbb{D}$  are non-complex and their number is finite and even (c.f. [10, Ch. III]). Conversely, given any finite even number of non-complex places, there is, up to isomorphism, exactly one quaternion algebra over  $K$  which ramifies exactly at the given places (A consequence of theorem of Brauer-Hasse-Albert-Noether). If  $\mathbb{D}$  ramifies at a non-empty set of places of  $K$ , then  $\mathbb{D}$  is a division algebra over  $K$ . Hereafter we will assume that all quaternion algebra under discussion are division algebras and are unramified at at least one archimedean place, i.e.,  $\mathbb{D} \not\cong M(2, K)$  and  $s + r_2 > 0$ .

Let  $\text{UR}_\infty(\mathbb{D})$  be the set of the archimedean places of  $K$  unramified in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Set  $\mathbb{D}^* = \{x \in \mathbb{D} \mid n(x) \neq 0\}$ . and  $\mathbb{D}^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{D} \mid n(x) = 1\}$ . Then there exists a (non canonical) isomorphism  $\rho$  of  $\mathbb{D}^*$  into  $G^* = GL(2, \mathbb{R})^s \times GL(2, \mathbb{C})^{r_2}$  :

$$\mathbb{D}^* \hookrightarrow \prod_{v \in \text{UR}_\infty(\mathbb{D})} \mathbb{D}_v^* \longrightarrow G^*,$$

so that on each factor of  $G^*$ , the determinant respects the reduced norm in  $\mathbb{D}$ . The restriction of  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{D}^1$  gives rise to an isomorphism  $\rho$  of  $\mathbb{D}^1$  into  $G^1 = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^s \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})^{r_2}$ :

$$\rho : \mathbb{D}^1 \hookrightarrow G^1.$$

Let  $N$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G^1$ . Then  $X = G^1/N = \mathbf{H}_2^s \mathbf{H}_3^{r_2}$  is a product of 2 or 3 dimensional hyperbolic spaces.

We recall that an *order*  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{D}$  that is also a finitely generated module over the ring  $R$  of integers of  $K$  and contains a  $K$  basis of  $\mathbb{D}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a maximal order in  $\mathbb{D}$  if  $\mathcal{O}$  is not properly contained in any orders in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

For any order  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , the group  $\mathcal{O}^1 = \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid n(x) = 1\}$  of units of reduced norm 1 is isomorphic under  $\rho$  to a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G^1$ . The following is due to Vignéras [11].

**Theorem D.** [11] *There exist quaternion division algebras  $\mathbb{D}$  having maximal orders  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  such that the quotients  $\Gamma_1 \backslash X$  and  $\Gamma_2 \backslash X$  are isospectral but not isometric compact Riemannian manifolds.*

As explicit examples we have [11]:

1).  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  is the quaternion algebra over  $K$  which ramifies exactly at the following places: (7), (11),  $(11 + 3\sqrt{10})$ , and one real infinite

place. Note that, in this case, the resulting isospectral manifolds are *Riemann surfaces* of constant curvature  $-1$ .

2).  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  is the quaternion algebra over  $K$  which ramifies exactly at the places:  $(11)$ ,  $(3 + 2\sqrt{-5})$ .

Now we proceed to show that the isospectral non-isometric Riemannian manifolds constructed in this manner are not of Sunada's type.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a maximal order in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $L \supseteq \mathcal{O}^1$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{D}^1$ . If  $[L : \mathcal{O}^1] < \infty$ , then  $L = \mathcal{O}^1$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{L} = R[L]$  be the ring generated by  $L$  over the ring  $R$  of integers of  $K$ . Since  $[L : \mathcal{O}^1] < \infty$ , we can write  $L = \bigcup_{j=1}^s \{g_j \mathcal{O}^1\}$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \sum R\{g_j \mathcal{O}^1\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module containing  $R[\mathcal{O}^1]$ , hence  $\mathcal{L}$  is an order in  $\mathbb{D}$ . As  $\mathcal{L}^1 \supseteq L \supseteq \mathcal{O}^1$ , the maximality of  $\mathcal{O}$  yields that  $\mathcal{O}^1 = \mathcal{L}^1 = L$  (e.g., by comparing the their covolumes. c.f. [11]). ■


**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$  be any two orders in  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be the images of  $\mathcal{O}_1^1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2^1$  in  $G^*$ . For  $\gamma \in G^*$ , if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\gamma\Gamma_2\gamma^{-1}$  are commensurable, i.e., if the intersection  $\Gamma_1 \cap \gamma\Gamma_2\gamma^{-1}$  has finite index in both  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\gamma\Gamma_2\gamma^{-1}$ , then  $\gamma\Gamma_2\gamma^{-1} = g\Gamma_2g^{-1}$  for some  $g \in \rho(\mathbb{D}^*)$ .*

**Proof:** It is well known that  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are commensurable (see [10, Ch. IV]) and that commensurability is transitive. Hence,  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\gamma\Gamma_2\gamma^{-1}$  are commensurable. Thus, Lemma 4 follows from Corollary 1.5 in [10, Ch. IV, p. 106]. ■

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\Gamma_1 \backslash X$  and  $\Gamma_2 \backslash X$  be the isospectral but non-isometric Riemannian manifolds constructed as above from a quaternion division algebra  $\mathbb{D}$  which is unramified at only one archimedean place, (i.e.,  $s + r_2 =$*

1). Then  $\Gamma_1 \backslash X$  and  $\Gamma_2 \backslash X$  cannot be sandwiched with any finite triplet  $(H, H_1, H_2)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $\Gamma_1 \backslash X$  and  $\Gamma_2 \backslash X$  are sandwiched by  $M$  and  $M/H$  with some finite triplet  $(H, H_1, H_2)$ . Since  $X$  is the universal covering of  $M$ , we have a diagram of coverings:



where  $\Gamma_0 \supseteq \Gamma'_1$ ,  $\Gamma'_2 \supseteq \Gamma$  are discrete subgroups of  $G^*$ . So we have  $\Gamma'_1 = \gamma_1 \Gamma_1 \gamma_1^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma'_2 = \gamma_2 \Gamma_2 \gamma_2^{-1}$  for some  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  in  $G^*$ . Up to a conjugation, we may assume that  $\gamma_1 = id$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is of finite index in both  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2 \Gamma_2 \gamma_2^{-1}$ , by Lemma 4  $\gamma_2 \Gamma_2 \gamma_2^{-1} = g \Gamma_2 g^{-1}$  for some  $g \in \rho(\mathbb{D}^*)$ . As  $\Gamma_1 \backslash X$  and  $\Gamma_2 \backslash X$  are not isometric,  $\Gamma_1 \neq g \Gamma_2 g^{-1}$ . Since  $\Gamma_0$  contains both  $\Gamma_1$  and  $g \Gamma_2 g^{-1}$ , by Lemma 3, it cannot be of finite index over  $\Gamma_1$ , which contradicts to the assumption that  $M \rightarrow M/H$  is a finite quotient. ■

**Remark.** When  $\mathbb{D}$  is unramified at more than one archimedean place, i.e., when  $s + r_2 > 1$ , the group of isometries of  $X$  properly contains  $G^*$ , preventing us for concluding that  $\Gamma'_1 = \gamma_1 \Gamma_1 \gamma_1^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma'_2 = \gamma_2 \Gamma_2 \gamma_2^{-1}$  for some

$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G^*$  as in the proof of Proposition 3. so it remains an open question whether these examples of Vignéras arise from Sunada's construction.

## Bibliography

- [1] W. M. Boothby, *An introduction to differentiable manifolds and Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] R. Brooks, *Constructing isospectral manifolds*, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 95, No. 8(1988), 823-839.
- [3] P. Buser, *Isospectral Riemann surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier XXXVI (1986), 167-192.
- [4] A. Ikeda, *On lens spaces which are isospectral but not isometric*, Ann. Scient. E. Norm. Sup 13(1980), 303-315.
- [5] J. Milnor, *Eigenvalues of the Laplace operators on certain manifolds*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. 51(1964), 542.
- [6] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Springer, New York, (1973).
- [7] R. Perlis, *On the equation  $\zeta_K(s) = \zeta_{K'}(s)$* , J. Number Theory, Vol. 9, No. 3(1977), 342-360.
- [8] J. -P. Serre, *A course in arithmetic*, Springer, New York, (1973).
- [9] T. Sunada, *Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds*, Ann. Math. 121(1985), 169-186.
- [10] M. F. Vignéras, *Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes 800(1980).
- [11] M. F. Vignéras, *Variétés riemanniennes isospectrales et non isométriques*, Ann. of Math. 112(1980), 21-32.

## **Vita**

Sheng Chen was born on October 14, 1958 in Zhejiang, P.R.China. In January 1982, he received a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics from Hangzhou University at Hangzhou, China. From 1982 to 1983 he held a teaching assistantship at Hangzhou University. He married Ms. Weizhen Gu in 1983. In January 1984, he began graduate study at Louisiana State University. A son, Wesley, was born in 1989.

DOCTORAL EXAMINATION AND DISSERTATION REPORT

Candidate: Sheng Chen

Major Field: Mathematics/Number Theory

Title of Dissertation: Constructing Isospectral but Non-Isometric Riemannian Manifolds

Approved:

Robert Perlis

Major Professor and Chairman

F. Glen Hembry

Dean of the Graduate School

EXAMINING COMMITTEE:

J. W. Hoffmann

P. E. Gunn

J. F. Richardson

William A. Adkins

Tianhua Chen

Date of Examination:

April 18, 1990