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ABSTRACT

The Bald Knobbers of Southwest Missouri were a vigilante organization that originated in Taney County, Missouri, in 1885, before spreading to adjacent Christian and Douglas counties in ensuing years. They began as a group dedicated to protecting life and property, aiding law enforcement officials in the apprehension of criminals, opposing corruption in local government, and punishing those who violated the social and religious mores of their community. In some places, the vigilantes gained much political influence, occupied key offices, and became effectively the ruling faction in local politics. They made many enemies, however, with whom they had several violent, sometimes fatal, confrontations.

Using a variety of primary and secondary sources, I advance three major arguments in this dissertation. First, the Civil War contributed to the Bald Knobber movement by leaving a legacy of bitterness and mistrust among the people of Southwest Missouri, creating a polarized political culture, and increasing the acceptance of violence and vigilantism as methods of solving problems and deterring crime. Second, the original vigilance committee in Taney County consisted of a coalition of middle and upper class men of diverse occupations and backgrounds who wanted to enforce the law, fight corruption in local government, and promote the economic modernization of their region by encouraging new investment, businesses, and settlers to come to the area. Third, in contrast to the original group, the Bald Knobbers of Christian and Douglas counties were mostly poor and overwhelmingly agricultural. Rather than promote economic modernization, they often resisted it when it produced hardships for themselves or their families. To that end, they expelled homesteaders who competed with them for land and resources, and clashed with railroad agents over what they perceived as unfair pricing and employment policies. They also emphasized moral regulation, the punishment of people who violated their standards of decent behavior, more than their counterparts in Taney County.
INTRODUCTION

The Bald Knobbers were a vigilante organization that originated in late 1884 or early 1885, in Taney County, Missouri, a thinly-populated, rural county located on the border of Missouri and Arkansas. The Bald Knobbers represented themselves as an alliance of taxpayers and law-abiding citizens dedicated to fighting rampant crime in their communities and corruption in their local government. The group’s first recorded act of violence occurred on April 15, 1885, when they broke into the county jail in Forsyth and seized two young prisoners, Frank and Tubal Taylor, and hung them from an old oak tree a few miles outside of town.1 The Bald Knobbers followed this act with a campaign of night riding, whippings, and intimidation designed to purge the area of its criminal element, along with anyone who opposed them. In particular, they targeted members and supporters of the Democratic courthouse ring that had run the local government for most of the preceding decade. Not surprisingly, their actions and methods provoked strong criticism and resistance from many people within their community.

The hostility flared up on February 28, 1886, when the Bald Knobbers’ leader, Nathaniel Kinney, shot and killed Andrew Coggburn, a vocal critic of the vigilantes.2 Coggburn’s untimely demise spurred the opponents of the Bald Knobbers into action. Soon an organized opposition group arose, calling themselves the Anti-Bald Knobber or “militia” faction. They petitioned the state government to help them eliminate the vigilante organization in their midst and even formed a militia company for that purpose. In response, Missouri’s governor, John S. Marmaduke, sent Adjutant General James C. Jamison to Forsyth to mediate an end to the strife. Jamison’s mission resulted in the proclamation of a formal truce between the Bald Knobbers and

1 Springfield Express, 24 April 1885; New York Times, 11 December 1886.
2 Springfield Daily Herald, 6 March 1886; New York Times, 5 March 1886.
their opponents.\(^3\) His intervention brought about the official end of organized vigilantism in Taney County, but it did not soothe the bitter hostility between the Bald Knobbers and their enemies. Nor did it long prevent the resumption of bloodshed. On May 9, 1886, Bald Knobber George Washington Middleton shot and killed Anti-Bald Knobber Samuel Snapp in Kirbyville.\(^4\)

In the meantime, the Bald Knobber movement spread north to the adjacent counties of Christian and Douglas, where members became embroiled in a number of highly publicized court cases following the deaths of William Edens and Charles Greene at the hands of a group of about twenty-five Bald Knobbers on March 11, 1887.\(^5\) Following this tragedy, a legal crackdown commenced in which Christian County authorities brought charges against roughly eighty vigilantes for various crimes. The circuit court convicted several participants in the Edens-Greene killings of murder and sentenced four of them to death. One escaped, but the other three were hanged on May 10, 1889.\(^6\) Federal authorities also participated in the crackdown, charging several dozen Bald Knobbers with intimidating homesteaders, a federal crime under the provisions of the Homestead Act of 1862, and other federal legislation.\(^7\) As a result of these proceedings, the Bald Knobber chapters in Christian and Douglas counties soon dissolved.

The Bald Knobbers in Taney County came to a less abrupt, but equally bloody end. On August 20, 1888, Anti-Bald Knobber William M. Miles gunned down Nat Kinney inside a general store in Forsyth.\(^8\) While awaiting his trial, Billy Miles was released on bail. He and his brother James attended an Independence Day picnic near Kirbyville. During the festivities Sheriff Galba E. Branson, a Bald Knobber, and a private detective named Edward Funk, whom

---

\(^3\) *New York Times*, 11 December 1886.  
\(^4\) *Springfield Daily Herald*, 13 May 1886.  
\(^5\) *Springfield Express*, 18 March 1887; *Jefferson City State Times*, 8 April 1887.  
\(^6\) *Springfield Express*, 10 May 1889; *Kansas City Star*, 10 May 1889.  
\(^8\) *New York Times*, 23 August 1888; *Kansas City Star*, 21 August 1888.
the Bald Knobbers had allegedly hired to avenge their fallen leader, confronted the Miles brothers. A gunfight ensued in which both Branson and Funk lost their lives.\(^9\)

By some estimates, the Bald Knobbers at the height of their influence numbered roughly 900 men located primarily in the three counties aforementioned. These numbers made them easily one of the largest vigilante organizations in the United States during the nineteenth century.\(^10\) They were also one of the most violent vigilance committees of that era. Over roughly a four-year period from 1885 to 1889, at least thirteen individuals died as a direct result of Bald Knobber activity in Southwest Missouri, including six of the vigilantes, and seven non-vigilantes.\(^11\) By comparison, in San Francisco, California, the enormous vigilance committees of 1851 and 1856, the latter of which numbered roughly 6,000 men, killed only four individuals each.\(^12\)

Despite their size and bloody history, the Bald Knobbers have received relatively little attention from historians. This neglect may stem partly from the fact that the organization became the subject of popular fiction long before it received serious historical inquiry. As accounts of the Bald Knobbers circulated in newspapers across the country in the late 1880’s, the commercial potential of selling stories about them became readily apparent. In 1887, a troupe of amateur actors based in the town of Sparta in Christian County began performing an eight-act drama titled “The Bald Knob Tragedy of Taney and Christian Counties.”

---

\(^9\) Kansas City Star, 9 July 1889.


\(^11\) The Bald Knobbers in Taney and Christian counties killed six victims, including Frank and Tubal Taylor, who were lynched, and Andrew Coggburn, Samuel Snapp, William Edens, and Charles Greene, who died of gunshot wounds. Additionally, three Bald Knobbers, George Washington Middleton, Nathaniel N. Kinney, and Galba Branson, died in gunfights, while three more, Dave Walker, William Walker, and John Mathews, died by hanging. Finally, a private detective named Ed Funk, who was not a vigilante, died in a gunfight in 1889 in which he apparently sided with the Bald Knobber Galba Branson against the Anti-Bald Knobbers William and James Miles.

advertisements for the play, which reportedly showed the history of the organization up to the Edens-Greene murders, touted its “startling situations, assassinations, whippings, secret workings of the clans, etc.”

In the early twentieth century, a number of popular novelists published books dealing with the Bald Knobbers. One of the best known of these writers was the hugely popular Harold Bell Wright. This minister-turned-author of romantic and religious stories sold more than ten million copies of his books before his death in 1944. Wright’s 1907 novel *The Shepherd of the Hills*, set in the hills of Taney County, caricatured the Bald Knobbers as a gang of outlaws who defied the law and terrorized their neighbors. Their leader, a brutal and semi-animalistic figure named Wash Gibbs, served as the dramatic foil for the novel’s main protagonist, a peaceful minister named Daniel Howitt. Wright’s *Shepherd* proved so popular that in the years following several film adaptations of it appeared, including a motion picture in 1941 starring John Wayne. After Wright’s novel became a phenomenal best seller, other writers of popular fiction, including Clyde Edwin Tuck, Anna M. Doling, and Laura Johnson, published works that in one way or another used the Bald Knobbers or vigilante groups like them as cultural symbols of the Ozark region and the traits that the reading public associated with it: backwardness, lawlessness, and violence.

The widespread popularity of this literature, especially Wright’s *Shepherd*, had the fortuitous effect of promoting a surge in recreational tourism to the Missouri Ozarks, the place

---

13 Taney County News, 13 October 1887; J.J. Bruton, et al., *The Bald Knob Tragedy of Taney and Christian Counties* (Sparta, MO: Self-Published by the Authors, 1887).
people around the nation called the “Shepherd of the Hills country.” It also made the Bald Knobbers, or at least an exaggerated caricature of the group, a recognizable symbol of the Ozark region for millions of Americans. When the Mabe family of Branson, Missouri, decided to open a hillbilly-themed music show in 1959, the name “Baldknobbers” seemed like a natural fit. The popular image of the Bald Knobbers may also have tainted the group with the stigma of sensationalism and provincialism, causing many historians who might otherwise have written about the group to defer from doing so.

The earliest historical accounts of the Bald Knobbers came from men who had belonged to the vigilante order, journalists who covered them, and people who knew them. These contemporary usually writers took sides, either for the Bald Knobbers or against them. For example, Charles H. Groom and D.F. McConkey’s 1887 pamphlet The Bald Knobbers or Citizen’s Committee of Taney and Christian Counties painted a favorable picture of the vigilantes, which hardly seems surprising given that both men belonged to the organization. A.M. Haswell, who did not belong to the organization but knew many people who did, also described the Bald Knobbers as “honest, clean-handed, upstanding, men among men.” In the 1930’s, Judge William L. Vandeventer, whose father belonged to the vigilantes, wrote a book titled Justice in the Rough that presented a mostly sympathetic picture of the Bald Knobbers, although it acknowledged many of their mistakes and crimes. Accounts with a more anti-

---

20 A.M. Haswell, “The Story of the Bald Knobbers,” The Missouri Historical Review 18 (October 23, 1923): 28. In the 1880’s, Haswell was a lead and zinc mine operator in Christian County where he came in contact with a number of people who belonged to the Bald Knobbers, including many of his employees.
21 William L. Vandeventer, Justice in the Rough (Springfield, MO: Self-Published by the Author, 1937).
vigilante perspective include Robert Harper’s *Among the Bald Knobbers*, and a lengthy investigative exposé on the group published in the *New York Sun* in 1888.\(^22\)

One common element in these early narratives is the theme of gradual decline. The Bald Knobbers, so the traditional story goes, began as an organization for the protection of life and property and the enforcement of social mores. Over time, however, men with less pure motives got into the group and used it for their own purposes. Members used the group to settle personal scores, to gain financial advantage over their neighbors, or to seek political office. According to Haswell, this state of affairs continued until the men who founded the movement “were disgusted to find that the organization which they had formed to drive out law breakers, had itself become a worse law breaker than those it had suppressed, and was rapidly leading to a far worse condition than existed in the beginning.”\(^23\) Likewise, Vandeventer wrote that over time men began joining the vigilantes “for the purpose of wreaking private vengeance.” In time, the organization no longer confined “its activities to the punishment of law violators,” but punished anyone who insulted or offended a member.\(^24\)

There is much truth to the traditional version of the Bald Knobber story, and some errors as well. The idea that new and unworthy men got into the organization and corrupted it from within does not square with the available facts. Many of the most notorious acts attributed to the vigilantes, such as the killings of William Edens, Charles Greene, Andrew Coggburn, and Sam Snapp, involved senior members and leaders of the group. So the supposedly unworthy members were present within the organization from the beginning. Nevertheless, in other ways the

\(^{24}\) Vandeventer, 97.
leitmotif of gradual decline is basically accurate. The Bald Knobbers did eventually stray from their original goals of protecting life and property, and in many cases they used their power to pursue personal grievances and promote their own interests.

In the twentieth century, a second generation of writers without a personal connection to the vigilantes or their opponents began contributing to the literature on the subject. In 1939, Lucille Morris Upton, a journalist and longtime resident of Southwest Missouri, published a narrative of the vigilante movement, entitled *Bald Knobbers*, which for many years became the standard work on the subject. Upton’s book differed from previous accounts in that she attempted to maintain a neutral stance toward her subject, weighing the various pro-Bald Knobber and Anti-Bald Knobber versions of the story, while insisting that the truth lay somewhere in between. She also drew on a wider array of sources than previous accounts, doing considerable research in local newspapers and other materials.25 A few years after Upton’s book came out, Ozarks folklorist and humorist Vance Randolph penned a small pamphlet on the vigilantes under the pseudonym of Harvey Castleman. Randolph’s offering, which he probably intended primarily for a popular audience, contained only a brief sketch of the Bald Knobber history and little original research.26 Fifty years after Upton’s work was published, Elmo Ingenthron, a local historian, schoolteacher, and former superintendent of Taney County’s school system, collaborated with Mary Hartman to publish *Bald Knobbers: Vigilantes on the Ozarks Frontier*, the last full-length book on the subject. In their book, Hartman and Ingenthron drew on many of the same sources utilized by Upton, as well as additional research compiled over many years.

---

years primarily by Ingenthron. This included an extensive body of oral history from “old-timers who either participated on one side or the other or who heard their elders discuss it.”

Despite relying on greater resources than their predecessors, the second generation of writers did not go very far beyond the earlier narratives in explaining the underlying causes and motivations behind the Bald Knobber organization, and what drove them to take such extreme measures. They reiterated many of the established themes about the Ozarks vigilantes: gradual decline, new members causing corruption from within, and abuses of power leading to the eventual fall of the organization. Upton and Hartman and Ingenthron did observe a link between the Civil War and the events of the Bald Knobber period, but did not elaborate significantly on the nature of that connection. Neither did they say much about how economic conditions, local politics, or religion contributed to the events they described. They also left unexamined many primary sources, including a large body of state and federal court cases, which contain much useful information on this topic. Finally, although both books contain bibliographies, they leave much of their source material undocumented so that it is not always possible to identify their sources.

In the twenty years following the release of Hartman and Ingenthron’s book, no writer has attempted to recap the history of the Bald Knobbers in its entirety. A small number of historians, however, have contributed short articles and essays on the vigilantes, or have dealt with the subject as part of larger treatments of the history of Missouri. For example, in his influential work, Paths of Resistance, David Thelen attempted to fit the Bald Knobbers into his broader narrative about how “traditional” Missourians opposed the “new order” of industrial capitalism, which threatened the traditional values of personal autonomy and family and

---

28 Ibid., 12-16; Upton, 25-31.
communal ties that many of them held dear. The Bald Knobbers, he argued, depicted themselves “as alternatives to the new order’s isolation and individualism.” Taking a different approach, local historians Lynn Morrow and Kristin Kalen contend that the Bald Knobbers actually functioned as agents of capitalism and economic modernization. In their view, the vigilantes constituted a “modernizing coalition,” which assisted in the development of the local economy by driving out elements of society, particularly criminals, vagabonds, squatters, and open range stock raisers, who represented an impediment to economic progress.

Despite their different conclusions, the interpretations advanced by Thelen, and Kalen and Morrow both center on a socioeconomic interpretation of the Bald Knobber movement. Other historians have identified partisan politics, rather than economic factors, as the driving force behind the vigilantes’ actions. In the fourth volume of the “History of Missouri” series published by the University of Missouri Press, Lawrence Christensen and Gary Kremer included a brief synopsis of the Bald Knobber story. While not denying the existence of other factors, they argued that the vigilantes in Taney County were part of a partisan bid by local Republicans to wrest control of the county government away from their Democratic adversaries. Thomas Spencer later expanded on this thesis in his contribution to an anthology of essays on Missouri social history. Spencer argued that the Bald Knobber controversy pitted a faction composed mostly of Republicans and former Unionists against Democrats and former Confederates.

Indeed, “when one researches the organization more closely,” Spencer asserted, “the entire

episode appears to be much more about local politics and regional loyalties than about law and order.”

My interpretation combines many aspects of the previous approaches to this topic, but is essentially different from any of them. First, I argue that in order to understand the Bald Knobber movement one must place it in the context of the Civil War and its aftermath in the Missouri Ozarks. The war shattered the social life of Southwest Missouri, killing thousands, and touching off an epidemic of internecine violence that forced many more to flee the region. The war also helped create the conditions necessary for vigilante organizations like the Bald Knobbers to flourish by changing people’s lives in three main ways. First, it left a legacy of bitterness and mistrust between supporters of the Union and the Confederacy, which continued to affect relations between the two groups for many years to come. Among the Bald Knobbers, Union veterans predominated, while most of those who opposed them had sided with the Confederacy. The war familiarized these men with the use of firearms and military tactics, and left many of them with deep grudges against those who fought for the other side. Second, the war polarized the political culture of Southwest Missouri, particularly in Taney County where the Bald Knobbers were founded, leading to bitter factional squabbles between Democrats and Republicans in much of the region. Third, the war spawned a surge in criminal activity, as well as an increased cultural acceptance of vigilantism and violence as a means of solving problems and deterring crime. In the years following the war, a number of vigilance committees organized across Missouri to deal with the threat posed by ex-bushwhackers and bandits to law-abiding citizens. In Southwest Missouri, these included organizations like the Regulators or “Honest Men’s League” in Greene County, and the Marmiton League in Vernon County. These groups

32 Thomas M. Spencer, “The Bald Knobbers, the Anti-Bald Knobbers, Politics, and the Culture of Violence in the Ozarks, 1860-1890,” in Thomas M. Spencer, ed., The Other Missouri History: Populists, Prostitutes, and Regular Folk (Colombia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 2004), 32.
sought to cope with increased crime and the perceived ineffectiveness of local law enforcement officers in bringing outlaws to justice. In so doing, they established a precedent that the Bald Knobbers would follow in the 1880’s.

The second major part of my analysis concerns the vigilantes in Taney County. I argue that a fundamental distinction existed between the members of the original vigilance committee in that county and their counterparts in Christian and Douglas counties. The Bald Knobbers in Taney County recruited a different class of people, and had different objectives, than their brethren to the north. The first vigilante group consisted of a diverse coalition of middle and upper class men, whose membership included individuals from a variety of professions such as prosperous farmers and stock raisers, merchants, physicians, lawyers, and several politicians. They formed a vigilance committee in response to the perceived deficiencies of local law enforcement, a problem dramatized by a number of high-profile crimes such as the murder of James Everett in Forsyth on September 22, 1883, and the attempted murder of Mr. and Mrs. John T. Dickenson at Taneyville nearly two years later. As men of property and influence they also considered it their duty to promote the economic progress of their community and to encourage further settlement therein. This meant not only combating crime and lawlessness in their county, but also ending corruption in local government, which they identified with the cadre of Democratic officeholders that had governed the county for roughly the previous decade. Thus, the vigilantes had a clear political objective – overthrowing the Democratic courthouse ring – but

---

their motives for doing so stemmed from a desire for social and economic progress rather than mere political partisanship.

The Bald Knobbers in Christian and Douglas counties, by contrast, had neither the social nor economic standing of their comrades to the south. They drew into their ranks mostly poor men, whose occupations were overwhelmingly agricultural. Roughly nine-tenths of the vigilantes in these counties practiced agriculture as their sole or primary occupation. Few of them held any kind of political office. They also differed from the Bald Knobbers in Taney County in terms of their objectives. Whereas the original vigilance committee championed economic progress and encouraged new immigration into their region, the vigilantes in Christian and Douglas counties reacted to the inequities that such changes produced. They drove out homesteaders whose arrival threatened their access to land and resources from which they derived a livelihood. They attempted to coerce agents of the St. Louis-San Francisco railroad into giving more favorable terms of employment to local tie-hackers from whom they purchased railroad ties. The vigilantes in the two northern counties also emphasized what one might call moral regulation, the punishment of people who violated accepted social mores. Although moral regulation also took place in Taney County, it assumed greater importance in Christian and Douglas counties. There the vigilantes cracked down on the proprietors of illegal saloons, brothels, and gambling dens, as well as individuals who violated their notions of moral behavior, including polygamists, adulterers, and men who abused or neglected their families. This aspect of Bald Knob vigilantism grew out of an intensely devout evangelical Christianity that many of the members practiced. The leadership of the Christian County vigilantes included many ministers, lay ministers, and church members, who believed that by reforming the morals of their community they were doing God’s work.
This dissertation contains six major chapters, in addition to a concluding chapter. In chapter one, I summarize the early history of the Southwest Missouri region from the first white settlements in the 1820’s through the end of the Civil War in 1865, with particular emphasis on Taney, Christian, and Douglas counties where the Bald Knobber movement was most active. In my second chapter, I trace the origins of Bald Knob vigilantism in Taney County, and examine the economic, demographic, and political developments taking place within the county after the Civil War which contributed to the rise of the Bald Knobbers. I also discuss the organization of the vigilance committee in that county, and the lynching of Frank and Tubal Taylor, the first violent act associated with the group. My third chapter examines the major activities of the Taney County Bald Knobbers, from the lynching of the Taylor brothers until the official disbandment of the organization roughly one year later. During this period, the vigilantes ruthless drove out of the county anyone they considered undesirable or hostile to their interests, including criminals, vagabonds, and sometimes their political opponents. These activities attracted the unfavorable attention of the state government, and following a visit from Adjutant General James C. Jamison in April, 1886, the Bald Knobbers felt compelled to disband. The disbandment did not bring an end to the trouble, however, as evidenced by the Middleton-Snapp shooting a month later.

In chapter four, I discuss the emergence of Bald Knob vigilantism in Christian and Douglas counties. In addition to relating the significant recorded acts of these vigilantes, I also examine the major similarities and differences between them and the original vigilance committee in Taney County. The chapter concludes with the double-murder of Charles Greene and William Edens, the event that caused a rapid reversal in the fortunes of the vigilantes. My fifth chapter picks up with the aftermath of the Edens-Greene killings. It describes the public
backlash that the murders provoked, the crackdown of local and federal authorities on the Bald Knobber organization, and the trials of the vigilantes accused of complicity in the killings, as well as other crimes. The chapter ends with the public hanging of three Bald Knobber leaders in Ozark, Missouri, on May 10, 1889.

In my sixth chapter, I return to Taney County to discuss the bloody end of the vigilante movement there. Following their official disbandment in that county, the Bald Knobbers generally ceased participating in regular vigilante activities. Unfortunately, this did not bring about an end to the hostility between the Bald Knobber and Anti-Bald Knobber factions. Having seized control of the local government in the elections of 1886, the former vigilantes now ensconced in county offices, used their authority to harass and intimidate many of their old enemies. They prosecuted former Anti-Bald Knobbers for crimes ranging from tax evasion to violating game and fishing laws. The enmity between the two groups flared up again with the killing of Nat Kinney in 1888, and the gunfight that cost the lives of Galba Branson and Ed Funk a year later. I end this chapter with the acquittal of the men responsible for these killings, Billy and James Miles, after separate trials held in Springfield and Ozark, Missouri, in 1890.

In my concluding chapter, I summarize the major findings and arguments of my dissertation, and suggest ways in which the Bald Knobbers are relevant to other aspects of American history. To that end, I draw comparisons between the Bald Knobbers of Southwest Missouri and other significant vigilante groups in American history, and suggest ways in which a study of the Bald Knobbers may contribute to our understanding of the phenomenon of vigilantism in America.
CHAPTER 1: SOUTHWEST MISSOURI FROM SETTLEMENT TO CIVIL WAR

The Bald Knobbers of Southwest Missouri, like all other American vigilance committees, existed fundamentally as an institution designed to serve the needs of a particular community, place, and time. It follows that a full understanding of the Bald Knobbers requires an analysis of the people and the land that gave rise to them. Therefore, in this chapter I will summarize the early history of Southwest Missouri, with particular emphasis on Taney, Christian, and Douglas counties where the Bald Knobber movement had its greatest strength. I will begin with the first white settlements in Southwest Missouri around 1820 and conclude with the Civil War and its effects on that region. I will also show how the events of this period set the stage for the Bald Knobber movement in the 1880’s.

Taney, Christian, and Douglas counties comprise part of a region known to geographers as the White River Hills. These hills themselves constitute sub-region of a larger tri-state region known as the Ozarks. Shaped somewhat like a parallelogram, the Ozarks consist of roughly 60,000 square miles of terrain in northern Arkansas, southern Missouri, and a small part of Oklahoma. The dominant geographical characteristics of the Ozarks include “greater relief and steeper slopes than surrounding areas,” as well as a tough, rocky soil with large quantities of dolomite, limestone, flint, and chert. An abundance of karstic features, including springs, caves, and sinkholes, formed by the slow erosion of water through rock, also distinguish the Ozarks from neighboring regions.¹

The White River Hills region consists of a long series of rocky hills and escarpments which envelop the upper portion of the White River. This river, which on the map looks somewhat like a fishhook, begins in Madison County in Northwest Arkansas and flows north

¹ Milton D. Rafferty, The Ozarks: Land and Life (Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas Press, 2001), 1-10. The quote is found on page 1.
through Barry, Stone, and Taney counties in Missouri. Then it drops back down through Northeast Arkansas and empties into the Mississippi River near the town of De Witt in Arkansas County. The White River’s tributaries – including the James River, Finley River, Bull Creek, Swan Creek, Big Beaver Creek, and others – drain rain and ground water from the surrounding hill country and provide the region with a plentiful source of water for both animals and people. (Figure 1.1) The White River region is conspicuous for its long, narrow ridges and its prominent limestone buttes that jut sharply upward from the earth’s surface to form small hills. Often lacking trees near the summit, these small hills are commonly referred to as “knobs” or “balds” (or sometimes “bald knobs”).

In 1682 the French explorer René-Robert Cavelier, known to history as the Sieur de La Salle, led an expedition down the length of the Mississippi River. On reaching the terminus of the Mississippi, La Salle and his companions held a formal ceremony on April 9, 1682, in which

---

\(^2\) Ibid., 15-17.
they claimed possession of the entire Mississippi River Valley, including *all the tributaries* of the Mississippi River, for King Louis XIV of France. La Salle named this new territory “Louisiana,” in honor the French monarch. Since the White River emptied into the Mississippi this meant that the lands it traversed also became part of the new French province of Louisiana. Thus, without ever navigating the White River or setting foot in the Ozarks, the French claimed the whole region more than a century before any white settlement of the area had taken place.³

The French never did establish permanent settlements in the White River Hills, or any other part of the western Ozarks, primarily because of the area’s metallurgical deficiencies. After establishing a permanent settlement at St. Genevieve in Southeast Missouri around 1750, the French sent parties of *voyageurs* (i.e., trappers, traders, and woodsmen) into the Ozark hinterland to search for gold, silver, or other precious metals. Although the French found plenty of lead (often a strong indicator of silver deposits) they found no gold or silver. Thus, no white people settled permanently in this area under French rule, and this remained true after the French ceded the colony of Louisiana to Spain in 1762.⁴

Despite European pretensions to “ownership” of the Ozark hinterland, prior to the early nineteenth century most white people with any knowledge of the region referred to what became Southwest Missouri and Northwest Arkansas as “Osage Country.” The name came from the Osage Indians, a proud and militant people whose territory “was generally considered to be that great body of land embracing the Ozark Plateau.”⁵

---

As the traditional enemies of the Iroquois, the Osage had fought a long and ultimately unsuccessful war to prevent the Iroquois from moving into the Osage’s previous homeland in present-day Kentucky. By the early nineteenth century almost all of the Osage had relocated west of the Mississippi River. A small contingent of the tribe made their way up the White River and settled in Northwest Arkansas, Southwest Missouri and eastern Oklahoma, while a much larger group followed the Missouri and Osage rivers and settled in central western Missouri. The Osage tribe’s dominance of the Ozarks would not last long, however. In 1803 the United States purchased the entire Louisiana territory from France. Five years later in 1808 the U.S. government forced the Osage to sign a treaty ceding control of all of their territory east of a line running from Fort Osage near the Missouri River all the way south to the Arkansas River. This treaty opened up most of the Osage tribe’s once vast domain to white settlement.6

Although the Osage had officially relinquished control of this territory to the whites, for many years afterward they continued to hunt game east of the line established by the 1808 treaty. These forays brought them into occasional conflict with white settlers moving into the region. In response to white protests, the Osage argued that they had only given up their land, not their hunting rights. Still, in the 1820’s and 1830’s the federal government gradually compelled the Osage to relinquish control of their remaining territory in the Ozarks and move to reservation land in Kansas.7

---

7 Ingenthron, Indians, 60-61. The great 19th century explorer, geographer, and folklorist Henry Rowe Schoolcraft observed three camps left by Osage hunting parties in the vicinity of Swan Creek in present-day Taney County during his visit to the region in 1818-19. Although the camps were deserted, Schoolcraft could identify them as Osage because their “method of building camps, and the order of encampment … are different from anything of the kind I have notices among the various tribes of aboriginal Americans.” See, Henry Rowe Schoolcraft, Schoolcraft in the Ozarks: Reprint of a Journal of a tour into the Interior of Missouri and Arkansas in 1818 and 1819 (Van Buren, AR: Press-Argus, 1955), 107-09; Burns, “Osage,” Encyclopedia of Oklahoma History and Culture.
As the Osage and other Native American tribes slowly moved out of the Ozarks white settlers began to supplant them. In first decade of the nineteenth century small bands of white Americans gradually moved up the White River planting settlements as they went. One of the first groups to settle in the White River Hills was the famous Coker clan, led by the enterprising pioneer William “Buck” Coker. In the 1630’s the Coker family emigrated from County Cork in southern Ireland to Surry County in Virginia, where some of them prospered as tobacco growers. By the late eighteenth century many of them had made their way to present-day Montgomery County, Alabama. Between 1811 and 1815, William Coker led a convoy of his relatives up the White River into the area that became Boone and Marion counties in Arkansas. In the ensuing years several of William Coker’s descendants moved into present-day Taney County and other parts of Southwest Missouri.  

The first white settlers in Southwest Missouri, however, most likely consisted of a group led by John P. Pettijohn, a Revolutionary War veteran born in Virginia. In the early 1800’s Pettijohn and his family had settled in Ohio, but in 1818 they decided to leave the Buckeye State and try their luck further west. After living in Arkansas for a few years, wanderlust again afflicted John Pettijohn. In 1822 he led a small expedition of some two dozen people, including his family and some close friends. They set off by keelboat on a voyage up the White River into present-day Southwest Missouri, a land Pettijohn described as flowing with “milk and honey,” by which he meant the frontier equivalent: buffalo marrow and bear’s grease! Pettijohn and his

---

8 Silas C. Turnbo, *The White River Chronicles of S.C. Turnbo: Man and Wildlife on the Ozarks Frontier*, ed. James F. Keefe and Lynn Morrow (Fayetteville, AR: University of Arkansas Press, 1994), 15-19. Turnbo calls the Cokers one of the “first families” of the Ozarks. They were also one of the first families of Taney County, and left their mark on the geography of that county. For example, Poor Joe Bald, a prominent hill in Taney County, took its name from William Coker’s son, Joe Coker, and Katie’s Prairie is named after William Coker’s daughter Katie.  

9 Early settlers did report seeing small herds of buffalo numbering sixty or more, and hunted them whenever they had the chance. See, Turnbo, 77-79. However, despite Pettijohn’s optimistic assessment, buffalo were not especially numerous in the Ozarks, although the American black bear (*ursus americanus*) was far more...
family settled near the banks of the James River close to the county line between modern Christian and Greene Counties.  

Almost as soon as white settlement of Southwest Missouri had commenced, however, the process came to an abrupt halt with the arrival of about 500 members of the Delaware Tribe in the autumn of 1822. In an unusual twist of fate, the Delaware informed the bewildered white residents that they must abandon their new homes because the federal government had given the tribe most of Southwest Missouri as a reservation. Not satisfied with this explanation, the whites sent one of their own, Thomas Patterson, to the federal land office in St. Louis to serve as their representative and to inquire as to the validity of the Indians’ claim. When Patterson returned he reported that the Indians spoke the truth. As a result, almost all the white settlers who had arrived in the region up to that point departed. The Delaware did allow a few white men to remain among them, either because they rented land from the Indians or because they had married Indian women. For the next eight years the Delaware Indians remained in possession of their new lands in Southwest Missouri. However, in 1830 the U.S. Congress, in response to pressure from white Missourians, changed its mind and ordered the Delaware Indians to move to a new reservation farther west. Despite the capriciousness of this order, the Delaware promptly complied with it.  

The removal of the Delaware signaled the beginning of a new influx of white settlers into the region, including some (like John Pettijohn and his family) who had left the region eight years common. See, Charles and Elizabeth Swartz, The Wild Mammals of Missouri (Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 1981), 280, 350.  


11 Holcombe, 131-35; Fairbanks and Tuck, 131-32. For example, a white man named James Wilson arrived with the Delaware Indians and built a farm near the mouth of what became known as Wilson’s Creek (where the famous Civil War battle occurred) in present-day Christian County. During his time among the Delaware Wilson married three different Indian women in succession before finally marrying his fourth wife, a French woman from St. Louis, who survived him.
years earlier in order to make way for the Delaware.\textsuperscript{12} Other families arriving in Southwest Missouri in the years after 1830 would later play key roles in the tumultuous events of the 1880’s. For example, in the early 1840’s several members of the Layton family of Spotsylvania County, Virginia, arrived in the area. The family patriarch, Horace Layton, had made the family prosperous operating a freight company in eastern Virginia. After his death in 1841 his sons – Charles, John, and Thomas F. Layton, and their families – moved to Greene County, Missouri. As Ozarks pioneers, the Laytons stood out both for their considerable wealth, and because they brought with them a few slaves. During the Civil War many of the Laytons, including Thomas F. Layton and his wife Julia, moved from Greene County to Taney County. Their son, Thomas A. Layton, would become a staunch Anti-Bald Knobber.\textsuperscript{13}

Around the same time that the Layton Family arrived in Greene County, Harrison Snapp came to Taney County. Born in 1812 in Rockingham County, Virginia, Harrison Snapp moved to Missouri in 1839 with three of his brothers: Madison, Peachy, and Alexander Snapp. Harrison’s brothers ended up living in other parts of Missouri, while he alone settled in Taney County. There he became a substantial landowner. In January 1849, he purchased from the U.S. General Land Office four land patents totaling roughly 160 acres, on the banks of the White River, followed by two more patents in April 1849 and July 1852 for seventy-one and thirty-four acres respectively.\textsuperscript{14} Snapp married twice and raised nine children. Three of his sons – David J., Lafayette D., and Andrew J. Snapp – fought in the Confederate Army. One of his younger sons,

\begin{footnotes}
\item[12] Ibid.
\item[14] The land office at Springfield, Mo issued Harrison Snapp his first four land patents on January 1, 1849. The patent numbers were 9143, 9144, 9145, 9208. His next two patents came on April 2, 1849 and July 1, 1852. Their numbers were 9122 and 10824. All land patents cited in this dissertation were obtained using the U.S. General Land Office Records database at Ancestry.com.
\end{footnotes}

Andrew J. Coggburn, a friend of Samuel Snapp, would also meet an unfortunate end during the turbulent days of the Bald Knobber strife in the 1880’s. His grandparents, James and Jane Coggburn, knew nothing of that when they left their home in Roane County, Tennessee, to seek a new life in Missouri sometime in the early 1840’s. By 1845 they had settled in Miller County in Central Missouri, where in 1856 James Coggburn purchased a land patent for 40 acres. The couple raised a family of ten children, eight of whom accompanied them when they relocated to Taney County in 1865. That year one of their sons, James A. Coggburn, married a Taney County woman named Frances S. Springer who gave birth to three sons, including the ill-fated Andrew in 1866. Unfortunately, James Coggburn did not get to see his children reach adulthood. He served as a Deputy Sheriff of Taney County in the late 1870’s, in which capacity he led a posse down Bee Creek near the Missouri-Arkansas border in search of a group of horse thieves. When they overtook the thieves a gunfight ensued in which Coggburn and one other lawman lost their lives.\footnote{Janice Looney, “Andrew Coggburn,” WRVHQ 9, no. 6 (Winter 1987): 9. For a description of the gunfight ending in James Coggburn’s death, see The Springfield, Missouri, Patriot Advertiser, 1 May 1879. The land office in Fayette, Missouri issued James Coggburn his land patent, number 34,801, on 15 January 1856.}

Aside from their obvious significance as pioneers and trailblazing settlers, families like the Cokers, Pettijohns, Laytons, Coggburns, and Snapps represented a type of settler most commonly seen in the early stages of white settlement in the Ozarks. One historian has written that the “settlement of the Ozarks progressed in three phases.” The first phase, the “Old Ozarks Frontier,” lasted roughly from the colonial period to the Civil War, with the great bulk of white settlers arriving after the Louisiana Purchase in 1803. This wave of emigrants came
overwhelmingly from the Upper South – places like Tennessee, Kentucky, North Carolina, and Virginia. Many of these southern emigrants were of Scotch-Irish descent (i.e., Irish Protestants), and most of them were “of the yeoman farmer type, mainly poor, [and] non-slaveholders.”

The figures collected for the 1860 and 1870 U.S. Census counts reinforce these observations. For example, in 1870, the first year in which the Census Office compiled this sort of information, more than 3,800 residents of the Christian, Taney, and Douglas counties – the future bastions of the Bald Knobber movement – listed the Upper South states of Tennessee, Virginia (including West Virginia), and Kentucky as their place of birth. These people represented more than one quarter of the total population of these counties, and by far the largest bloc of those residents not born in Missouri. (Table 1.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Born in Missouri</th>
<th>W. Virginia &amp; Virginia</th>
<th>Tennessee</th>
<th>Kentucky</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>Ohio</th>
<th>Total Pop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>3511</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>6670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taney</td>
<td>2204</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>2353</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 1870 U.S. Census

The vast majority of people living in these counties earned a living through agriculture. Indeed, the 1860 census recorded that only eighty-six people living in Christian, Douglas, and Taney counties worked in “manufacturing,” a term that often meant such occupations as

---


blacksmiting or operating a grist mill or a saw mill. Although some of the remainder worked as shop-keepers, doctors, lawyers and the like, the majority would have made a living through tilling the soil or stock raising. In 1860, farmers in Christian, Douglas, and Taney counties grew mainly food staples, including corn (679,000 bushels), wheat (78,000 bushels), oats (34,000 bushels), Irish potatoes (13,600 bushels), and sweet potatoes (6,300 bushels). Likewise, stock raisers in these counties raised herds numbering 4,900 dairy cows and 7,500 beef cattle, along with 9,800 sheep and 4,000 oxen. They also raised roughly 30,000 hogs, which meant that in these counties swine outnumbered humans by a ratio of nearly three to one.

A small farmer could make a decent life for himself farming or raising stock in Southwest Missouri, but he probably would not become rich. The relative poverty of the region manifested itself in a variety of ways. For example, the land tenure statistics for the 1860 Agricultural Census show that not a single farmer in Christian, Douglas, or Taney counties owned a farm in excess of 500 acres. Moreover, the vast majority of farm owners in these counties and throughout Southwest Missouri had farms of between 10 and 99 acres. The poverty of the region also impacted public expenditures on services like education. In 1858 teachers in Douglas County and Taney County earned less than half the average yearly pay for teachers in Missouri of roughly $115.

---

21 Ibid.
Despite the heavy reliance on agriculture, most Southwest Missourians earned their daily bread without the assistance of slaves. In 1860 only about 3,900 slaves lived in a twelve county area stretching across the southwestern corner of the state, or roughly five percent of the 78,000 people living there. Moreover, more than forty percent of these slaves (1,668) lived in Greene County, easily the wealthiest county in all of Southwest Missouri and home to the city of Springfield, the commercial center of the Ozarks region. More typical of the Ozarks in this respect were Christian, Taney, and Douglas counties. Christian County had only 229 slaves in 1860 (4.4 percent of its population), while Taney County had 82 slaves (2.3 percent), and Douglas County had none at all.\(^{23}\) (Table 1.2) The scarcity of slaves in this area stemmed from a variety of factors, including the relative poverty of most of the early settlers and the absence of most of the crops which ordinarily involved the use of slave labor. Farmers in Christian, Taney, and Douglas counties grew no hemp and ginned no cotton in 1860. They did grow modest quantities of tobacco, but probably just enough for local consumption.\(^{24}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Total Slaves</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Percent Slaves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jasper</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>6,883</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>9,319</td>
<td>4.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonald</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4,038</td>
<td>1.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dade</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>7,072</td>
<td>4.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>8,846</td>
<td>3.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>7,995</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td>13,186</td>
<td>12.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>5,491</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taney</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3,576</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>7,099</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,414</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Figures</td>
<td>3925</td>
<td>78,319</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 1860 U.S. Census

Table 1.2: Slaves in Southwest Missouri in 1860:

---


\(^{24}\) U.S. Census Office, *Agriculture of the United States in 1860*, 89-91, 93-95. Hemp was the predominant “slave crop” in antebellum Missouri. According to R. Douglas Hurt, in 1850 the three largest hemp-producing counties were also “the counties with the largest slave populations,” and without slaves, large-scale hemp production “would not have been economically viable.” See, R. Douglas Hurt, *Agriculture and Slavery in Missouri’s Little Dixie* (Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 1992), 123. See also, “The Lost Missouri Hemp Industry,” *Missouri Historical Review* 37, no. 1 (October 1942): 57-65. Hereafter, the title of this periodical shall be abbreviated “MHR.”
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Given the social and economic characteristics of the early settlements of Southwest Missouri – the settlers’ sturdy yeoman heritage, their Upper South backgrounds, and the scarcity of slaves among them – it hardly comes as a surprise that they took a cautious view of the sectional crisis which confronted the nation in 1860-61. No less an authority on political matters than Abraham Lincoln observed that the secession issue had divided Missourians into a bewildering array of camps. As Lincoln put it, even pro-union Missourians broke down into at least four groups: “those who are for the Union with, but not without, slavery; those for it without, but not with; those for it with or without, but prefer it with; and those for it with but prefer it without.”

Perhaps because they understood their own deep divisions on the subject, most Missourians preferred to remain neutral as long as possible. As one contemporary observer put it, they believed that they should “do nothing to bring on a war, and should do nothing to help it along should one break out.”

Missourians had three separate opportunities to voice their opinion on sectional issues at the ballot box: the state gubernatorial election held in August, 1860, the November, 1860 presidential election, and a special election held in February, 1861, to choose delegates to a state convention to consider the issue of secession. Each time the people of Southwest Missouri joined the majority in expressing their preference for moderation and preserving the Union.

Missouri’s gubernatorial election held in August, 1860 pitted a pair of Democrats, Claiborne Fox Jackson and Hancock Jackson, against a Republican, James B. Gardenhire, and an independent, Sample Orr. During this election the Missouri Democratic Party split between the supporters of

---


26 Holcombe, 277.

27 The state convention, which met in March, 1861, was not a typical secession convention in that it was not empowered to pass ordinances of secession, but only to make recommendations. If they had recommended secession, the voters would have had to approve that action in a state-wide referendum. See, Duane G. Meyer, The Heritage of Missouri, 3rd ed. (Springfield, MO: Emden Press, 1982), 350-51.
Stephen Douglas, who favored sectional conciliation and compromise, and the supporters of John C. Breckinridge, who took a much harder, pro-southern position on sectional issues. At first, Claiborne Fox Jackson, the Democratic front-runner, attempted to straddle the fence between the Douglas and Breckinridge camps within his party. When he had to choose a side, however, he shrewdly picked the Douglas Democrats, the stronger of the two factions. Thereafter, the Breckinridge men backed Hancock Jackson. Thus, even though Claiborne Fox Jackson would become an ardent secessionist following his inauguration as governor, in the 1860 gubernatorial race most voters considered him the “moderate” Democratic candidate.28

As it turned out, the main opposition to Jackson’s candidacy came not from his fellow Democrat, nor even the Republican Gardenhire, but rather Sample Orr. A little-known probate judge from Greene County, Orr began his campaign as an independent. Later he received the endorsement of Missouri’s nascent Constitutional Union Party when the party’s original nominee declined to run. To put it kindly, Orr did not look the part of a politician. One contemporary described him as a slightly built man of medium height and weight with freckles and a shock of bright red hair to compliment “keen blue eyes, white eyelashes, nervous, short step, sloping shoulders, long neck – another Icabod Crane.” His opponents mockingly referred to him as “nobody’s nominee” and “one of the ugliest men in the state of Missouri.” Orr astonished his critics by waging a vigorous campaign in which he fiercely proclaimed his uncompromising loyalty to the Union. He finished second in the race with 65,583 votes to Claiborne Fox Jackson’s 74,446 votes. Hancock Jackson and Gardenhire finished a distant third and fourth

place with 11,415 votes and 6,135 votes respectively. Orr did even better in Southwest Missouri, where he carried several counties, including his native Greene County, which he won by 835 votes over Claiborne Fox Jackson, as well as Christian, Lawrence, and Ozark Counties by somewhat smaller margins. He did lose Stone and Taney Counties, but even there the Breckinridge supporter Hancock Jackson failed to finish anywhere near the lead. These results demonstrated that the people of Southwest Missouri preferred moderate unionism or even uncompromising unionism to anything that smacked of disunion.

The 1860 presidential election featured a four-way contest between the Northern Democrat Stephen Douglas, the Southern Democrat John C. Breckinridge, the Republican Abraham Lincoln, and the Constitutional Union Party candidate John Bell. Once again Missouri voters spoke strongly in favor of the candidates who represented unionism and sectional conciliation. They gave Douglas a narrow victory over Bell, with 58,801 votes to Bell’s 58,372 votes, with Breckinridge and Lincoln pulling up the rear at 31,317 votes and 17,028 votes respectively. Once again, the votes in Southwest Missouri closely paralleled the statewide results. The election returns for a twelve county swath stretching from Jasper, Newton, and McDonald to Webster, Douglas, and Taney counties, show that Bell won five of those counties while Douglas won two. Breckinridge won four of those counties, but only in Taney did he win an outright majority. Together, Bell and Douglas finished with 6,604 votes, or nearly two thirds of the 10,029 votes cast in these counties. (Table 1.3)

---

30 1860 Election Returns for Greene, Christian, Lawrence, Ozark, Taney, and Stone Counties, Missouri State Archives, Record Group 5, Box 8, Folders 4-6, 8-10. Hereafter, “Missouri State Archives” shall be abbreviated MSA.
31 Parrish, History of Missouri, 3; Lightfoot, 147.
32 Goodsper, History of Southeast Missouri: Embracing and Historical Account of the Counties of St. Genevieve, St. Francois, Perry, Cape Girardeau, Bollinger, Madison, New Madrid, Pemiscot, Dunklin, Scott,
On January 3, 1861, Governor Claiborne Fox Jackson took the oath of office. Just two weeks prior South Carolina had seceded from the Union, an action which would precipitate the secession of six more southern states by February. Reacting to these events, Jackson recommended that the Missouri General Assembly call a state convention to consider what course of action Missouri should take, possibly including secession. The General Assembly approved his request, and on February 18 Missourians went to the polls to elect delegates. The election resulted in a lopsided victory for Missouri’s unionists. Candidates who ran as either “conditional” or “unconditional” unionists received roughly 110,000 out of 140,000 votes cast, while secessionist or “states’ rights” candidates received the remainder.\(^\text{33}\) In Southwest Missouri the unionist forces did even better. For example, in Missouri’s 19\(^{th}\) Congressional District (comprising Greene, Christian, Stone, Taney, and Webster counties) no secessionist candidates appeared on the ballot. Instead, a slate of three unconditional unionist delegates led by Sample Orr trounced a slate of conditional unionists. The unconditional unionists received between 3,316 and 3,430 votes each, while the conditional unionists received between 775 and 859 votes each.\(^\text{34}\)

As the preceding elections returns suggest, the people of Southwest Missouri desperately wanted to avoid the outbreak of hostilities between the North and South. They must have known,


\(^{34}\) Holcombe, 276.
given their precarious position at the southern boundary of a border state, that such a war would entail special hardships for them. And so it did, even though Southwest Missouri did not witness many formal battles between large numbers of combatants, and the few that did occur involved at most a few thousand men. For example, on July 5, 1861 a brigade of German-American troops under the command of Colonel Franz Sigel attempted to cut off the retreat of roughly 4,000 Missouri State Guardsmen under the command of Governor Claiborne Fox Jackson, who had recently fled the state capital at Jefferson City in advance of Brigadier General Nathaniel Lyon’s Union Army. In a closely fought contest near the town of Carthage in Jasper County, Jackson’s men defeated the much smaller Union force, and continued their retreat southward.  

About a month later a Confederate Army of roughly 10,000 men under the command of General Sterling Price and Brigadier General Benjamin McCulloch moved into Southwest Missouri from Arkansas with the intention of capturing Springfield, and then recapturing the state capital. On August 10 General Lyon, with a force of about 5,400 men launched a surprise attack on the rebel army at Wilson’s Creek near the county line between Greene and Christian counties. The Confederates repelled the attack, and later occupied Springfield, but sustained such heavy casualties (roughly 12 percent of their total force) that they could not follow up their victory, and soon lost the territory they had gained.  

The last major Confederate incursion into Southwest Missouri came in the winter of 1862-63. Following the rebel defeat at the Battle of Prairie Grove in Arkansas (December 7, 1862), Lieutenant General Theophilus Holmes ordered a general withdrawal of Confederate

---


forces south of the Arkansas River. At the same time, he ordered Brigadier General John S. Marmaduke to lead a cavalry expedition into Missouri to threaten Union supply and communication lines, thereby providing cover for the Confederate withdrawal. Marmaduke’s command of about 2,000 cavalrymen crossed the Missouri-Arkansas border on December 31, 1862, and proceeded north through Taney, Christian, and Greene counties. They destroyed a small Union outpost at Ozark on January 7. The following day Marmaduke attempted to capture the important federal fortifications and supply depot at Springfield, but Brigadier General E.B. Brown and the city’s garrison successfully defended the city. Marmaduke’s men withdrew west through Webster and Wright Counties, and fought a minor battle near the town of Hartville before returning to Arkansas.37

Marmaduke’s defeat at the Battle of Springfield and subsequent retreat into Arkansas assured Union military hegemony in Southwest Missouri for the duration of the war. Indeed, with the exception of General Sterling Price’s brief and ill-fated incursion into Missouri in the fall of 1864, the Confederacy never again seriously challenged Union control in any part of the state. However, the Union triumph did not end the guerrilla warfare in the Show-Me state. Indeed, the long, bitter, and intensely personal conflict between Unionists and rebels continued and intensified in the second half of the war.

Civil War historians have already given ample attention to the phenomenon of guerrilla warfare in Missouri, and it is not my goal either to revise or improve upon that work.38 However,


for two reasons, my study does require some general observations about the nature of guerrilla warfare in Southwest Missouri. First, most studies of the guerrilla warfare in Missouri have tended to focus on the central western part of the state, particularly the western counties bordering Kansas such as Jackson, Cass, Bates, and Vernon. This was the area subjected to the infamous General Order Number 11 requiring the removal of most of the civilian inhabitants. For years afterward people referred it as the “Burnt District” because of the plundering and despoiling that occurred during the war. Historians rightly emphasize this area because it was the main hotbed of the Confederate guerrilla activity in the state, and the home turf of many of the war’s most legendary (or infamous) characters: William C. Quantrill and William “Bloody Bill” Anderson. Nevertheless, partisan violence characterized the war in Southwest Missouri just as much as in West Central Missouri.

Second, for many members of the Bald Knobber movement, and their Anti-Bald Knobber opponents, the Civil War constituted the seminal event of their lives. Twelve of the forty-two Taney County Bald Knobbers who can be identified by name fought for the Union in some capacity. Furthermore, a number of senior leaders of the Bald Knobbers, men like Captain Madison Day of the 14th Missouri State Militia Cavalry, and Alexander C. Kissee of the 72nd Enrolled Missouri Militia, fought in militia units that spent most of their time fighting

---


Confederate guerrillas. By contrast, those Anti-Bald Knobbers old enough to have served during the Civil War usually sided with the South. Some of them may have fought as guerrillas, while others enlisted in conventional units. Moreover, the younger men in both the Bald Knobber and Anti-Bald Knobber camps often had relatives who had served in the war.  

Whereas in other parts of the country political ideology and patriotic sentiment often motivated military service, for the men engaged in guerrilla warfare on both sides of the conflict in the Missouri Ozarks, revenge and plunder served as the primary motivations. Indeed, in war-torn Southwest Missouri, where employment and economic opportunities were scarce, service in one of the many small “independent companies” often seemed like a good way to make a living. The soldiers who fought this kind of war went by diverse and (often) interchangeable names. On the rebel side these unconventional soldiers commonly referred to themselves as “guerrillas,” while their enemies often called them “bushwhackers.” The rebels commonly called irregular Union troops “jayhawkers,” a term usually used to refer to the Kansas militiamen who made raids into Missouri, but sometimes applied to other Union troops as well. The closest Union equivalents of the guerrillas were the so-called “Mountain Feds.” These small bands of union sympathizers, usually few in number, roamed the hills of southern Missouri and northern Arkansas wreaking vengeance on their enemies. Most of the anti-guerrilla fighting fell to the Provisional Enrolled Missouri Militia, a sort of auxiliary to the regular union army tasked with guarding supply lines and important military installations and hunting down guerrilla units.  

---

41 For example, Sam H. Snapp, the Anti-Bald Knobber who died at the hands of George W. Middleton, had three older brothers – David, LaFayette, and Andrew – who fought for the Confederacy. See, Ruth Gulls Ryser, “The Snapp Family,” *WRVHQ* 4, no. 3 (Spring 1971): 2. Similarly, James A. DeLong’s step-father (Nathaniel Kinney) and father-in-law (Alonzo Prather) were both union veterans.  
whatever name they went, these irregular fighting units wreaked havoc throughout the Missouri Ozarks, killing and plundering wherever they went.

Faced with the widespread violence and dislocation which attended the war, the ordinary civil authorities quickly found themselves unable to cope with the problems of law enforcement. Consequently, the U.S. Army’s provost marshal system stepped in to fill the gap and became “the basic police power within the state.” Thus, the provost marshal papers for Missouri contain numerous examples of the pilfering nature of guerrilla warfare. In March, 1862 union loyalist Thomas Baker of Christian County received a visit from a party of twelve guerrillas including William Reed, whom the U.S. military later captured. The guerrillas confiscated two of Baker’s horses. Next they raided his corn crib and forced him to give them some meat and flour for their supper. After finishing their meal, one of the men took a mare belonging to Baker and rode away. The rest stayed at Baker’s house overnight and forced him to prepare their dinner and serve them. While there they candidly informed him that they were “not in the service of the C.S.A.” Instead, they had formed an independent company and “paid themselves in property taken from Union men.”

Horse theft represented the most common type of property crime in Southwest Missouri during the Civil War, mostly because bandits found horses easy to steal and easy to exchange for cash or other goods. The war left many parts of Southwest Missouri virtually depopulated of horses. For example, the U.S. Census Office reported that Taney and Ozark counties had more

---

Louisiana State University Press, 2006), 175-76, 201-03. Missouri’s pro-Union militia structure went through several incarnations during the Civil War. In January 1862, Missouri’s loyalist state government created the Missouri State Militia (M.S.M.), a replacement for the older, chaotic and disorganized Six Months’ Militia units. In July 1862, Brig. Gen. John M. Schofield organized the Enrolled Missouri Militia (E.M.M.), an expanded version of the M.S.M. that could call up any able-bodied man of military age to bear arms in service to the Union. Finally, in February 1863 Governor Hamilton Gamble organized the Provisional Enrolled Missouri Militia, a smaller, elite contingent of the E.M.M. that took over much of the day-to-day responsibility for guerrilla-fighting.

44 Parrish, et al., 178.
than 1,600 and 900 horses respectively. However, by 1867 a report by Missouri’s Commissioner of Statistics found only 548 and 298 horses in these counties. These and neighboring counties suffered similar declines in other forms of livestock as well.\(^46\)

However, the lawless bands of armed men who roamed the countryside during this period stole anything small enough and light enough to carry away by hand, horse, or wagon. The case of Nelson Burkhart, a prosperous farmer from Christian County, illustrates the rapaciousness of the guerrilla bands operating in that part of the country. Shortly after the war ended Burkhart brought suit against several dozen men, beginning with one Samuel Miller. According to Burkhart, these men, ostensibly a Confederate raiding party led by Miller, came to his home in September, 1862. They seized Burkhart, beat him severely, and then carried off or destroyed a huge amount of property, which he claimed amounted to $75,000. Burkhart’s lawsuit lists an impressive variety of goods stolen or destroyed. A partial list of the damages found in the Court records included the following:

“One iron gray mare of the value of two hundred (200) dollars, one bay horse of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars, three mules worth six hundred dollars, one wagon and gearing of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars, bed clothing of the value of fifty dollars, seventy-five head of cattle of the value of fifteen-hundred dollars, forty head of sheep worth one hundred and twenty dollars, [an article] of leather of the value of fifty dollars, stove vessels of the value of five dollars, corn of the value of one hundred dollars, hay of the value of fifty dollars, oats of the value of one hundred dollars, fruit of the value of two hundred dollars, and destroyed an orchard of fruit trees worth ten thousand dollars, destroyed shade trees in the yard worth two thousand dollars, 44 head of cattle worth 800 dollars, household furniture and goods of the value of fifteen hundred dollars. Also [the defendants] did burn and destroy [the] blacksmith shop and tools worth … three hundred dollars and dwelling house of the value of two thousand dollars, [and] also other houses of the value of three thousand dollars.”\(^47\)


\(^{47}\) Nelson Burkhart vs. Samuel Miller, \textit{et al.}, in \textit{Civil War Related Court Files}, located at the Greene County Archives and Records Center, Springfield, MO, folder 66CW. Hereafter, “Greene County Archives and Records Center” shall be abbreviated as “GCA.”
As the preceding examples indicate, Missouri’s Civil War guerrilla fighters would steal anything of value from anyone. Since the Union Army prevailed in the war it hardly comes as a surprise that most of the cases that the provost marshal system or the civil courts handled stemmed from complaints made by Union sympathizers. Sufficient evidence exists, however, to show that Missouri’s pro-Union fighting men could behave with as much avarice and callousness as their rebel counterparts.

For example, in January, 1863 Mrs. L.A. Vance of Taney County received an unwelcome visit from three federal cavalrymen who asked to see her husband. She told them that her husband had gone to Arkansas, although she did not specify why he left (which might indicate that he served in the rebel army or an independent company). After a while, two of the three soldiers left. The one that remained offered her money to have sex with him. When she refused his advances he attacked her, choked her severely, then raped her, despite the presence of her child in the room. In her distraught condition Mrs. Vance sought solace at a neighbor’s house after the attack. When she returned home the next morning she discovered, to her horror, that her attacker had robbed her, and that “everything I had had been carried off.”

In addition to cases involving obvious abuse and theft, many of the Union outrages upon rebel sympathizers were cloaked in a veneer of legality. In war-time Missouri, Union authorities could find any number of legal excuses to confiscate the property of those who supported the Confederacy. For example, during the war pro-Union bankers foreclosed on the estates of thousands of individuals who had signed promissory notes for loans from state banks intended to fund Confederate military units in Missouri. The state government under Governor Claiborne Fox Jackson had wanted to use these funds to wage war against the federal government. However, with the fall of Jackson’s government in June of 1862, a wave of foreclosures

commenced. The property confiscated in this manner eventually amounted to more than 350,000 acres of land, most of which the banks disposed of at sheriff’s auctions.  

Another common method of expropriation of property was to force southerners to sign bonds to ensure loyal behavior in the future. If federal authorities caught, or even suspected a person of rendering a service to the rebel soldiers or guerrillas, they could force him/her to sign a “loyalty oath.” These oaths pledged the oath-taker to support the Union, abstain from disloyal behavior, and inform federal officials of “any hostile movement, gathering, or conspiracy” against the government of the United States. Often they contained a clause pledging the oath-taker to remain in a particular area for the duration of hostilities. As security for these oaths, those who took them had to sign a bond in which they agreed that federal authorities could seize a portion of their property (usually between $1,000 and $2,000) if they violated their oaths. Since many people did not have enough property to cover the amount stipulated in their bonds, they often had to ask friends, neighbors, or relatives to co-sign their bonds, which made the co-signer’s property also liable to seizure.

Obviously, those who took these loyalty oaths could run afoul of their requirements in any number of ways. The case of Thomas F. Layton of Greene County, illustrates how easily southern sympathizers could lose their property under the loyalty oath system. In February, 1862 Thomas F. Layton, father of the future anti-Bald Knobber leader, Thomas A. Layton, left his home in Springfield, Missouri with General Sterling Price and his retreating Confederate army. Although Layton claimed that he never formally belonged to the rebel army, he admitted that he

49 Mark W. Geiger, “Indebtedness and the Origins of Guerrilla Violence in Civil War Missouri,” *Journal of Southern History* 75, no. 1 (February 2009): 49-82. A map on page 52 of this article shows that the highest number of these foreclosures occurred, as we might expect, in Missouri’s central western counties near the Missouri-Kansas border. However, a significant number also occurred in the southwestern counties of Greene, Lawrence, and Dade.

50 “Thomas Barker,” *United States Provost Marshal Papers*, MSA, Reel #: F 1183. This file contains a copy of Barker’s loyalty oath, which follows the basic format common to such documents. In this particular oath, Barker promises to remain within the confines of his Taney County, where he lived, for the remainder of the war. His bond pledged up to $2,000 of his property as security for his loyalty.
“participated with them at the Battle of Pea Ridge” in Arkansas (March 7-8, 1862) where Union forces captured him. After spending a couple of months at a federal prison in Alton, Illinois, Layton secured his release by taking a loyalty oath and signing a bond in the amount of $1,000. He then returned home to Springfield.\footnote{Thomas F. Layton, \textit{United States Provost Marshal Papers}, MSA, Reel #: F 1360. The fact that Thomas Layton claimed that he did not actually belong to the rebel army, despite fighting on their side at Pea Ridge, raises the possibility that he belonged to an independent company. Otherwise, it is hard to explain in what capacity he fought at Pea Ridge.}

However, shortly after returning home, federal authorities arrested Layton. They alleged that he had sheltered rebel soldiers in his home, and tried to send one of his two slaves, a black woman named Sally, south to rebel territory. Layton vigorously denied both of these allegations. His wife Julia and their houseguest at that time, Julia’s sister Cordelia Richards, both swore out statements in support of his denial. However, Mrs. Layton’s testimony does indicate that the Laytons feared that Sally might run away with her husband, who was most likely a free black man living nearby.\footnote{Ibid. In her statement, Mrs. Layton says that she “suspcioned (sic) that her husband was going to try to get her away, because he tried to hire her from me, and I would not let her go.”}

We do not know whether the Layton family was guilty of the specific offenses charged to them, or if the government actually expropriated their property. However, their family history suggests that they continued to assist the rebel cause covertly from Springfield, with Julia Layton and Cordelia Richards making trips across Union lines at Forsyth carrying badly needed supplies to the Missouri troops in Arkansas, including horseshoe iron and “dolls stuffed with quinine.” Thus, they certainly might have sheltered rebel troops at their home as well. Moreover, during the war Thomas and Julia Layton moved from Springfield to Taney County, so it seems probable that they lost their property in Springfield.\footnote{Viola Hartman, “The Layton Story, Part 2,” \textit{White River Valley Historical Quarterly} 7, no. 3 (Spring 1980): 2-3. Hereafter, this journal’s title shall be abbreviated \textit{WRVHQ}.}
In addition to plunder, vengeance and the desire to inflict pain and suffering on one’s adversaries also fueled the partisan conflict in the Missouri Ozarks. In light of their pre-war history of unionism and political moderation, the ferocity with which the people of this region waged war against each other might seem strange. However, the war had the effect of forcing people to choose sides. As one historian has observed, as the war progressed “neither guerrillas nor Unionists permitted neutralism, seeing it as a service, however meekly given, to the enemy.” Men who attempted to remain neutral earned the mistrust of both factions, and they soon discovered that neutrality would not spare them from robbery or violence. Captain William Monks, a Union militia officer, summed up the prevailing attitude on both sides when he told a Howell County man who wanted to remain neutral “you must choose between the two powers; and if you are more afraid of the rebels than you are of me you will have to take your chances…This day you must settle in your own mind whom you will obey.”

Not surprisingly, such uncompromising attitudes could produce acts of violence shocking in their frequency and ferocity. In September, 1862, a company of about 30 rebel guerrillas under the command of Dave Hilliard visited the home of Jacob Aleshire, a Union sympathizer living in Christian County. They robbed Aleshire of some store goods, women’s clothing and shoes, and a table cloth. They also kidnapped a man named Thomas Budd, who had chosen the wrong time to visit Aleshire’s residence. Three days later Aleshire and a search party discovered Budd’s horribly disfigured body lying next to a nearby creek. According to Aleshire, Budd had “been shot and burned; he was considerable (sic) disfigured, his ears and nose being cut off.”

---

54 Fellman, 51-52.
55 Ingentron, Borderland Rebellion, 128-32, 293.
56 William Monks, A History of Southern Missouri and Northern Arkansas: Being an Account of the Early Settlements, the Civil War, the Ku-Klux, and Times of Peace, ed. John F. Bradbury, Jr. and Lou Wehmer (Fayetteville, AR: The University of Arkansas Press, 2003), 105.
57 “Thomas Budd,” United States Provost Marshal Papers, MSA, Reel #: F 1477.
Cruel acts such as the Budd murder happened frequently in the Missouri Ozarks. On both sides of the conflict certain deranged individuals, whom sane people would have shunned in peacetime, became leaders of men and elevated sadism to an art form. One such individual, the rebel guerrilla leader Alfred Bolin, stands out as an extreme example of the savagery that the war created. Capt. Madison Day, a federal officer and a future Bald Knobber, knew Bolin before the war and recalled that for many years he had made a living as a highwayman operating in the White River region. Bolin declared his allegiance to the Confederate cause and soon gained a following as commander of a guerrilla company. Bolin and his company operated primarily in the White River Hills along the road between Forsyth, Missouri and Carrolton, Arkansas. One of their favorite haunts was a large, boulder-topped hill located about four miles south of Kirbyville in Taney County, which bore the appropriate nickname of “Murder Rock.”

From the security of their bases in the hills the Bolin gang preyed upon Union patrols, pro-union civilians, and anyone else whose life or property they wished to take. Bolin perfected the art of bushwhacking, or firing from cover. According to one local historian, his “specialty was the murder of Union men at home on furloughs.” This usually involved waiting alongside some “lonely road he knew his victim traveled” and picking off his victim “as he traveled to or from his home.” Although Bolin claimed to be a southern patriot fighting for the Confederacy, many of his killings lacked any military justification at all. These included the murder of a twelve-year-old boy named Bill Willis, whom Bolin gunned down near Roark Creek in Taney

---

60 William Neville Collier, “Ozark and Vicinity in the Nineteenth Century,” WRVHQ 2, no. 10 (Winter 1966): 20. This article consists of an excerpt from William Neville Collier’s 1946 manuscript of the same title. The manuscript was published as a series of articles in the White River Valley Historical Quarterly.
County and an eighty-year-old man from Christian County whom the Bolin Gang murdered near Hensley’s ferry on the White River.⁶¹

Although the Union army put a price on Bolin’s head, all its attempts to capture him failed. One of the Union officers tasked with hunting Bolin down was Lt. Willis Kissee of the 73rd Infantry, Enrolled Missouri Militia, a young officer who by the end of the war claimed to have killed thirty-two rebels in the service of his country. Although a tough guerrilla fighter himself, Kissee never did catch Bolin, who narrowly evaded him on a number of occasions. Twenty years after the war Alexander C. Kissee, Willis Kissee’s brother, would play an important role in the Bald Knobber organization of Taney County.⁶²

The federals finally managed to kill Bolin when they sent Zachariah E. Thomas, a young private from the 1st Iowa Cavalry, disguised as a Confederate soldier to find and kill Bolin. Thomas caught up with Bolin on February 1, 1863 at the homestead of a southern family near Forsyth, Missouri. He managed to convince the bushwhacker that he was a sick Confederate soldier headed home on furlough. Later that evening, as Bolin stooped next to the fireplace to light his pipe, Thomas smashed his skull with a blunt instrument. (Depending on the source, he used either a poker or a plow coulter.) Federal troops brought Bolin’s body to Forsyth, where Madison Day identified his remains, and then to Ozark. At some point along the way the soldiers cut off the guerrilla leader’s head, which they fastened to the top of a pole and displayed publically in Ozark as a gruesome warning to other bushwhackers.⁶³

---

If any man could have called himself the Union counterpart to Alfred Bolin, that dubious distinction probably belongs to John R. Kelso. Among the men who earned a warrior’s reputation in the Missouri Ozarks, Kelso stands out for his extraordinary intellect coupled with political fanaticism and a merciless attitude toward rebel soldiers and southern sympathizers. Before the war Kelso made a living as a school-teacher in Ozark, Missouri. There he acquired a reputation as a formidable, albeit self taught, scholar. He excelled in science and mathematics, and had reportedly attained fluency in five different languages with a particular aptitude for Latin and Greek. His contemporaries also remembered him as a cold and aloof man who “formed no friendships and had no companions.”

For the first few months of his military career Kelso served in the Dallas County Home Guards. Subsequently, he became a Lieutenant in the 14th Missouri Cavalry Militia under the command of another famous guerrilla fighter, Captain Milton Burch. Finally, he joined the 8th Missouri State Militia where he achieved the rank of Captain. During his time serving with Captain Burch, Kelso participated in several small-scale military operations typical of the war in Southwest Missouri. One such episode occurred on August 1, 1862, when Confederate Colonel Robert R. Lawther, with about 55 of his Missouri Partisan Rangers, attempted a surprise attack on Captain Burch encamped near Ozark with about 80 men from the 14th Missouri Cavalry, including Lt. Kelso. After receiving news of the approaching rebels, Burch and company repulsed their attackers and pursued them south into Taney County. They caught up to Lawther’s men on August 3 near the Snapp family farm just south of the White River. They attacked and scattered them, inflicting light casualties and capturing several horses and mules along with some

65 Holcombe, 476. For a summary of Burch’s military exploits, see Howard V. Canan, “Milton Burch: Anti-Guerrilla Fighter,” Missouri Historical Review 59, no. 2 (January 1965): 223-42. Hereafter, Missouri Historical Review shall be abbreviated as MHR.
supplies. In another significant military operation in Marion County, Arkansas, Kelso played an important role in capturing and destroying the Confederate saltpeter works at Bean Cave near Dubuque. These works had cost the Confederate $30,000 to build, and could accommodate up to 100 workers at a time. Their destruction deprived rebel forces in the area of an important source of gunpowder.

Small actions such as the skirmishes at Ozark, Snapp’s farm, and Bean Cave served as Kelso’s “apprenticeship” in the ways of Ozarks warfare. After joining the 8th Missouri State Militia he would earn a reputation as one of the Union’s most fearless guerrilla-fighters. Kelso’s fanaticism proved an asset in this respect. He believed fervently in the Union cause, and “held all Confederates to be traitors, guilty of treason and deserving death.” This conviction allowed him to fight the rebel guerrillas with a ferocity that shocked even his comrades. Like Bolin, he perfected the art of ambush from cover, often lying for hours in the brush “with a Latin grammar in one hand and a cocked pistol in the other” as he waited for a victim to appear. According to one report, one night he stumbled upon the camp of three sleeping guerrillas. Creeping into their camp, he noticed that they slept under a large quilt with an attractive design. Not wanting to soil the quilt with their blood, he deftly removed it from their sleeping forms and then quietly dispatched them with his knife.

Fortunately for Kelso, his military career ended more happily than Bolin’s. In 1864 he decided to run for Congress in Missouri’s Fourth Congressional District. His major opponent in the race was the incumbent congressman and fellow Republican Sempronius H. (“Pony”) Boyd.

---

68 Holcombe, 476-77; Collier, “Ozark and Vicinity,” WRVHQ 2, no. 10 (Winter 1966): 20-22. For the quote on Kelso’s attitude toward rebels, see Holcombe, page 477. For the quote about Kelso’s unique method of bushwhacking, see Collier, page 21.
A colorful orator and prominent lawyer from Springfield, Missouri, Boyd would later gain recognition as one of the lead attorneys representing the Bald Knobbers charged with the murder of Charles Green and William Edens in 1887. Kelso defeated Boyd and a few other minor candidates with a healthy plurality of the votes cast in the 1864 general election. As a congressman (1865-67) he became identified with the radical Republican faction in the House of Representatives, and advocated a strict federal Reconstruction policy toward the South.69

Alfred Cook, another famous guerrilla leader who operated in Southwest Missouri, lived in Taney County before the war where he farmed and raised six children with his wife Rebecca. At the beginning of the war Cook tried to remain neutral, but the fact that he and his wife came from Kentucky, and that he owned a few slaves, made his family a target of the county’s unionists. Faced with the threat of violence and robbery, Cook and his family fled across the border into Marion County, Arkansas. However, they found the situation there no better than the one they had left. Finally, Cook and about a dozen like-minded men formed their own independent company to protect themselves and seek vengeance against their enemies.70

In the waning months of the war, the Cook band launched a series of fierce retaliatory raids against Unionists in Missouri and Arkansas, which prompted federal authorities to take action against them. In January, 1865, Captain William L. Fenex of the 73rd Infantry sent Lt. Willis Kissee with a detachment of 25 men to find and destroy Cook’s company. While scouting in Boone County, Arkansas, Lt. Kissee received a tip that Cook and his men often hid in a nearby cave. Not knowing the cave’s location, Kissee captured Cook’s son, described as a “small boy,” and then forced him, by unspecified means, to reveal the location of his father’s hiding place. After Lt. Kissee and his men found the cave and surrounded its entrance he asked Cook’s

---

men to surrender. Nine of the fourteen men inside accepted his request. When the remainder, including Cook, Ed Brown, and Hiram Russell, refused to leave the cave Kissee had a large fire built on the ledge overlooking its mouth. He then ordered the burning timber thrown down in front of the cave to smoke out the remaining men. As the three choking guerrillas stumbled out of the cave Kissee’s men gave them “their Southern rights,” meaning they shot them dead. The federal soldiers placed their bodies in an ox wagon and took them a short distance away before burying them side by side in a common grave.71

As the preceding narrative has shown, guerrilla warfare in Southwest Missouri exposed the people of that region to a uniquely brutal and personal kind of fighting, which impacted the daily lives of ordinary people in the area in a variety of ways. First, the war subjected them to immense suffering and deprivation. Not surprisingly, the war forced large numbers of farmers to leave Southwest Missouri, which temporarily interrupted agricultural production in the region. For example, in 1860 farmers in Christian and Taney counties reported 23,800 and 12,600 acres of improved farm land respectively. Just two years after the war a special report by Missouri’s Commissioner of Statistics showed only 18,900 and 3,800 acres of improved land in those counties, although these figures would improve markedly by 1870.72 Those who remained at home during the war faced the prospect of repeated robbery, which left many on the brink of

---

72 U.S. Census Office, Agriculture of the United States in 1860, 88, 92; Missouri General Assembly, Second Annual Report of the Commissioner of Statistics ... for the Year 1867, 45.
starvation. Some even resorted to eating wild onions, wild salad, or flat cakes made from
ground tree bark in lieu of bread.

The war also drove thousands of people away from their homes. Vera Cruz, the seat of
Douglas County, burned down during the war, as did Rock Bridge, the seat of Ozark County.
When Colonel William Monks returned home to West Plains, the seat of Howell County, he
found that rebel troops had burned down every building except “an old school house…which
was used for a court house.” Likewise, on April 22, 1863, Union troops burned down Forsyth,
the seat of Taney County, prior to evacuating it. Greene County, because of the large federal
garrison stationed in Springfield, remained relatively stable during the second half of the war.
Consequently, it became choked with refugees, many of them female relatives of soldiers on
either side who had no one to protect them at home. At the close of the war an unofficial census
listed 476 “refugees,” living in the county, a number which likely understated the problem.

In addition to the deprivation and displacement the residents of Southwest Missouri
experienced during the war, in the post war years they also saw a dramatic increase in crime and
lawlessness. One historian has described the years immediately following the Civil War in
Missouri as a “reign of terror” in which crime and violence became rampant. Many of the
criminals, who had military experience as soldiers or guerrillas, used the skills they learned in
wartime to make a new livelihood for themselves as outlaws. In response this crime problem,
Missouri’s law-abiding citizens began turning to vigilante justice. Out of 229 documented
lynchings in Missouri’s history, 112 of them occurred in a twenty-year period from 1866 through

73 Turnbo, “Depriving Children of the Last Morsel of Food,” in Turnbo Manuscripts, Vol. 4, Springfield-
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76 Holcombe, 459, 480.
1885. Missourians also formed many local vigilance committees, calling their organizations “Honest Men’s Leagues,” “Anti-Horse Thief Associations,” or “Regulators.” For example, David McKee, a former Union officer, founded the Anti-Horse Thief Association in Clarke County in Northeast Missouri, a group dedicated to stamping out the gangs of horse thieves that plagued that part of the state. The first such organization in Southwest Missouri, the Greene County Regulators, formed in 1866 in response to a rash of robberies, horse theft, and murder. Although the Regulators lasted only a few months, they hung three suspected criminals and shot a third. From 1866 through 1867 a similar organization operated in Vernon County, calling itself the “Marmiton League.” Not much is known about this organization, other than that it broke up a “band of supposed horse thieves and desperadoes” operating in the area, shot one of the outlaws in the process, and expelled several people suspected of “concealing and harboring the criminals.” In 1875 a group in Stone County calling itself the “Sons of Honor” attempted to enforce its own idea of law and order there. In the process it created so many problems for the legitimate local government that Missouri Governor Charles H. Hardin sent his Adjutant General, the famous artist George Caleb Bingham, to the county to mediate an end to the strife. Vigilance committees like these gave residents of Southwest Missouri a foretaste of the tumultuous years to come in the 1880’s.
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CHAPTER 2: TANEY COUNTY FROM THE CIVIL WAR TO THE BALD KNOBBERS

Unlike many other vigilante organizations, the Bald Knobbers of Southwest Missouri have a definite time and place of origin. Although many details of the group’s history remain in dispute, such as the total number of members, the number of their victims, and the date on which they disbanded, all the relevant sources agree that the Bald Knobbers first organized in the town of Forsyth in Taney County, Missouri sometime in late 1884 or early 1885.¹ The date of their founding placed the Ozark vigilantes squarely in the context of a broad late nineteenth century trend in which Americans became increasingly likely to resort to collective violence and vigilantism to solve whatever problems they perceived in their communities. According to one influential study on American vigilantism, the years 1850 to 1889 witnessed the creation of fifty-nine of the eighty-one largest vigilante organizations prior to the twentieth century.² In some cases, this shift resulted from large numbers of people moving into frontier areas where the regular mechanisms of law enforcement had not yet taken hold. Such was the case in many California mining camps after the 1849 gold rush, where residents set up extra-legal tribunals to administer summary justice to people who did not respect their neighbors’ rights or property.³

In other cases, vigilante groups formed in response to the tremendous social turmoil that accompanied the Civil War. The war upset existing social, economic, political, and racial arrangements, thereby forcing people in many parts of the country to create new ones. In many instances, they invented extra-legal organizations to apply violent solutions to problems they

perceived in their society. In the South, where the demise of slavery removed the central institution that had given structure to society, many southerners joined groups such as the Ku Klux Klan to reassert white power and overthrow the reconstruction governments installed by the North in the former Confederate states.\(^4\) Since the Klan’s primary goals were political – the restoration of southern “home rule” and white supremacy – it probably functioned more as a terrorist organization than a vigilance committee.\(^5\) At the same time, its members often defended their actions using the language of vigilantism. They declared their objectives to be the suppression of crime, the protection of life and property, and the defense of the U.S. Constitution and all *constitutional* laws, meaning those laws with which they agreed.\(^6\)

In the West, vigilantes frequently participated in what one historian has called the “Western Civil War of Incorporation,” a series of small, localized conflicts that pitted the supporters of industrialization, big business, and land consolidation against small landholders, cowboys, wage workers, and Native Americans.\(^7\) In some episodes of this conflict, large cattle barons tried to drive out small ranchers, farmers, and homesteaders, either because they were suspected of cattle rustling or simply because the large ranchers wanted their land. This happened during Wyoming’s infamous Johnson County War in 1892,\(^8\) the “Fence Cutters War” in Texas from 1883 to 1884,\(^9\) and again in a similar conflict in Custer County, Nebraska from


\(^6\) Ayers, 163-64; Heather Cox Richardson, *West from Appomattox: The Reconstruction of America after the Civil War* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2007), 90-91.


1877 to 1878.\textsuperscript{10} Other episodes centered on the issue of land consolidation by large corporations. In the 1870’s, the Southern Pacific railroad tried to use litigation to push settlers off of several thousand acres of land claimed by the railroad under a government land grant in the Mussel Slough district of Central California. The settlers responded by adopting vigilante tactics to drive out railroad agents and anyone who supported the railroad’s land claims, eventually resulting in a bloody gunfight between the pro-railroad and anti-railroad factions in 1880.\textsuperscript{11}

As the preceding examples attest, the sundry vigilante groups that flourished in the late nineteenth century took on many different forms depending on the specific local circumstances responsible for their creation. For historians, therefore, identifying a unifying interpretation of American vigilantism has been an intrinsically challenging task. The historian who has had the most success at doing so is probably Richard Maxwell Brown. In his seminal work on the subject, Brown argued that American vigilantism was fundamentally a socially conservative phenomenon. The “prototypical” vigilance committee, in Brown’s view, was one committed to preserving respect for law, property rights, and social order. It also defended the three-tiered social hierarchy that he identified as the basic structure of American society in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. This hierarchy consisted of an upper and middle level, from which vigilante groups usually drew their leadership and rank and file respectively, and a lower level composed of marginal or alienated people who typically became the subjects of vigilante action.\textsuperscript{12}

The classic example that Brown used to illustrate his thesis was the South Carolina Regulator movement of 1767-69, one of the first vigilance committees in American History. The Regulators grew out of the widespread social turmoil and dislocation in the South Carolina

\textsuperscript{10} Brown, \textit{Strain of Violence}, 270-72.
\textsuperscript{11} Brown, \textit{No Duty to Retreat}, 93-110.
\textsuperscript{12} Brown, \textit{Strain of Violence}, 98-118.
backcountry that followed the Cherokee War in the early 1760’s. Their members came primarily from the respectable, property-owning segment of backcountry society, including modest farmers, planters, and large or small slave-owners, while their targets came from the margins of society, including the property-less, outlaws, and vagrants. In these respects, Brown argued, the Regulators set the basic pattern for most subsequent vigilante groups to follow.

Throughout American history, hundreds of similar organizations have arisen, many of which fit Brown’s model of a prototypical vigilante group. Some of the more notable examples include the two San Francisco Committees of Vigilance formed in 1851 and 1856, and the vigilantes of southwestern Montana’s Ruby River district in the 1860’s. The San Francisco vigilance committees emerged in the wake of the California gold rush of 1849, which brought hordes of new settlers to the city as well as a burgeoning criminal population. The first committee focused almost exclusively on purging the city of its criminal element, particularly the so-called “Sydney ducks,” a large contingent of young men from the Australian penal colony. The second committee also combated crime, but had an undeniable political component as well, attacking the corrupt political machine of Democratic boss David C. Broderick, whom the vigilantes blamed for the murder of local journalist James King of William. Both committees drew members from the “better class” of society – bankers, merchants, lawyers, ship captains, etc. – and each of them temporarily quashed the city’s crime problem within a few months.

As in California in 1849, the discovery of gold in Montana’s Alder Gulch in 1863 sparked a massive wave of prospectors into Southwest Montana numbering in the thousands.

---

With little formal law enforcement in place, large gangs of outlaws preyed on the weak and vulnerable, until local residents formed vigilance committees in the bustling Montana mining towns of Virginia City and Nevada City in late 1863. Within a short period these groups unified into a single organization, and spread throughout the Ruby River region. They successfully purged the area of most outlaw activity, albeit at the cost of dozens of extra-legal hangings. These vigilantes drew members from all sectors of society, including ranchers, miners, lawyers, and businessmen, though their leadership tended to come from the “establishment” of the mining camps, a “core group of powerful men [who believed] that they knew better than others how to run things.”

For the most part, the Taney County Bald Knobbers fit this basic model of vigilantism. As we shall see in this chapter, most of their leadership and rank-and-file came from upper or middle class backgrounds, including many lawyers, merchants, businessmen, and several political officeholders. Many of their victims, like the outlaws Frank and Tubal Taylor or local ruffians like Andrew Coggburn, were the very sort of socially marginalized people that Brown expected vigilantes to target. Although the Bald Knobbers would not have articulated their goals in terms of preserving a social hierarchy or class structure, they clearly did have their own economic interests in mind. Their goals included establishing an honest and thrifty local government and making the county safe for immigration, new businesses, and investment.

This agenda did not originate ex nihilo. Rather it resulted from of a specific set of social, economic, and political circumstances arising in Taney County during the generation following the Civil War. During this period the county underwent a series of four dramatic changes. First, the demographic landscape began to change as a continuous stream of settlers from Midwestern

---

states and other parts of Missouri began to challenge Southerners as the dominant demographic group in the county by the end of the century. Second, the post-war period also saw the first signs of industrialization, capital formation, and market-based agriculture penetrating Taney County and the White River region generally. Third, the post-war period witnessed an intense political competition between the local Republican and Democratic parties for control of the county’s government, a struggle which often centered on the issues of crime and an expanding county debt. Finally, a strong surge in criminal activity over the two decades following the Civil War angered many residents in the area and caused them to consider alternatives to traditional law enforcement practices.

As mentioned in the first chapter, prior to the Civil War the vast majority of the early settlers of the Ozarks came from upper South states like Tennessee, Virginia, and Kentucky. In the years following the Civil War, however, the Ozarks entered a second settlement phase in which states such as Ohio, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, and Kansas became the primary source of new immigrants to the Ozarks. The census figures for Taney County illustrate this trend. In 1870 native born Tennesseans, Virginians, and Kentuckians accounted for 936 of the county’s 4,385 residents. This represented more than one-fifth of the total population and nearly half of all those not born in Missouri. By 1900 the population of Taney County had more than doubled to roughly 10,000 inhabitants, while the contingent of people from Tennessee, Virginia, and Kentucky actually declined to 901, and the number of residents from Ohio, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, and Kansas all substantially increased. (Table 2.1)

---

19 U.S. Census Office, The Statistics of the Population of the United States: embracing ... the original returns of the ninth census, (June 1, 1870,) under the direction of the Secretary of the Interior (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1872), 361-63. Since the census compendiums for the 1900 U.S. Census do not have nativity statistics, I compiled the figures for 1900 using Janice Soutee Looney’s transcription of the Taney County
Some of the new arrivals, like their predecessors, were farmers and stock raisers, but they also included people of diverse backgrounds and occupations: clerks, businessmen, entrepreneurs, Civil War veterans, merchants, and land speculators. These people became the “carriers of the New South culture” of economic modernization, political reform, and prosperity based on free markets and free labor. They were predominantly middle class and upper class people who wanted not merely to scratch out a living as their predecessors had done, but to reform and modernize the Ozarks, bringing to the region roads, bridges, railroads, banks, greater social stability, and opportunities for profitable business.

Many of the new residents of Taney County fit this basic pattern of settlement. For example, in 1866 Barnett Parrish, a native Illinoisan and a widower, moved to Taney County bringing with him eight of his children including his thirteen-year-old son Joseph Calvin (“Cal”) Parrish. An energetic and enterprising man, Barnett Parrish quickly established a farm, and later became involved in the hotel business.

Cal Parrish soon surpassed his father in energy and industry. He first rented and then purchased his own land, and by age thirty-five he owned a fine 60-acre farm. He also operated a

---

Table 2.1: 1870-1900 NATIVITY STATISTICS FOR TANEY COUNTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Born in MO</th>
<th>Born in VA</th>
<th>Born in TN</th>
<th>Born in KY, &amp; TN</th>
<th>VA, KY, &amp; TN</th>
<th>IL</th>
<th>OH</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>IA</th>
<th>KS</th>
<th>IN, IA &amp; KS</th>
<th>Total Pop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>2204</td>
<td>2181</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>3379</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>6557</td>
<td>3570</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 1870, 1880, and 1900 U.S. Censuses.


20 Rafferty, 62.

merchandising company in Forsyth with one of his brothers and became co-owner of a drug store there. In 1891 he helped establish the Taney County Bank and became one of its largest shareholders. A shrewd businessman, Parrish knew how to make contacts with the right people and use them to his advantage. In 1875 he married Mary Jennings, the granddaughter of a state legislator, with whom he had eight children. Parrish also joined the Republican Party, the Free Masons (he held several offices in the local Masonic lodge in Forsyth), and eventually the Bald Knobbers.22

Like the Parrishes, Sylvanus and Semira Groom, born in New York and Indiana respectively, moved to Missouri from Indiana in 1859. They eventually settled in Holt County where Semira gave birth to their son, Charles H. Groom, in 1861. During the war Sylvanus Groom fought for the Union in the 13th and 25th Missouri Infantry regiments. After the war he farmed and practiced medicine near the town of Fillmore in Andrew County. At the age of ten Charles Groom moved to Forsyth in Taney County where he began an apprenticeship as a printer. In 1882 Groom married Tremandria L. Jennings, the daughter of Taney County politician Lysander H. Jennings. Like many of his friends and associates, Groom belonged to the Bald Knobbers and the Republican Party in the 1880’s. In 1884, the voters elected the twenty-three year old Groom as county treasurer, thereby making him the youngest man ever to hold county office up to that point. The following year, the Taney County courthouse burned down, but Groom managed to rescue enough of the county’s tax records to furnish the county with a new set of tax books. In 1886 he began studying law. Five years later he passed the bar exam and opened a practice in Forsyth.23

23 Goodspeed Brothers, A Reminiscent History of the Ozarks Region: Comprising a Condensed General History ... of Prominent citizens (Chicago, Goodspeed Brothers, Publishers, 1894), 103-05. The 1880 census does not show Charles H. Groom living in Taney County, even though his Goodspeed biography says he should have
As mentioned in the previous chapter, Alexander C. Kissee, a native of Illinois and resident of Christian County (where his parents had lived since the 1840’s), fought for the Union during the Civil War in the 72nd Enrolled Missouri Militia. Four years after the war ended, Kissee moved to the present site of Kissee Mills in Taney County. Upon his arrival he purchased “a large tract of land, all well improved,” which he farmed. The following year Kissee became post-master of the Kissee Mills post office, a position which he kept for several years. Within a few years he owned or co-owned several local businesses, including “two stores, a grist mill, [and] a saw mill.” He also owned a cotton gin, about which I will say more later in this chapter. In addition to joining the Bald Knobbers in the 1880’s, Kissee belonged to the Free Masons and the Republican Party.24

In 1855, Alexander Kissee married Catherine McHaffie, the sister of James K. Polk McHaffie, who later became one of Kissee’s business partners. Catherine gave birth to 10 children from the time of her marriage to Kissee to her death in 1876. Kissee remarried that same year, this time to Cordelia Davis of Greene County, who bore 11 children during her marriage.25 After Cordelia’s death, Alexander Kissee remarried three more times. In 1899 he married a teenage girl named Rose, whom he divorced the same year.26 In 1900 he married Emma Thomas who died the following year, and in 1902 he got remarried to Sarah J. Bryant. These last two women bore him five more children, giving this remarkable Ozarks progenitor a total of at least 26 offspring.27

---

24 Goodspeak Brothers, Reminiscent History, 349-53.
26 A.C. Kissee vs. Rosa M. Kissee, 1899, Missouri State Archives, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 14, Folder 66. Hereafter, “Missouri State Archives” shall be abbreviated as MSA.
27 Alexander Kissee, 1900 U.S. Census, Missouri, Taney County, Swan Township, District 132, p. 35. In this case, the surname is rendered as “Kinner,” which is a corruption of Kissee. Sarah J. Kissee, 1910 U.S. Census, Missouri, Taney County, Swan Township, District 208, p.7. The 1910 Census lists Sarah Kissee as a widow, living
Kissee’s brother-in-law, James K. Polk McHaffie, was born in Greene County in 1846. His parents, David and Catherine McHaffie, moved from Knox County in Eastern Tennessee to Greene County, Missouri in 1835. They settled first in Springfield, and then moved to a new homestead south of there within the present limits of Christian County. James McHaffie moved to Taney County in 1873 and settled at Kissee Mills, where he partnered with Alexander Kissee in a merchandising operation. He later sold his interest in the business to his partner, and started a farm on Beaver Creek where he eventually owned about “500 acres of rich bottom land.” His father, David McHaffie, had belonged to the Democratic Party before the Civil War, but later joined the Republicans. James McHaffie also joined the Republican Party, and belonged to the Free Masons.\textsuperscript{28}

In 1880, Alonzo S. Prather moved with his wife Mirah and their six children, from Oklahoma to Taney County, apparently with a brief sojourn in Springfield along the way.\textsuperscript{29} A native of Indiana, Prather served during the Civil War in the Sixth Indiana Volunteers, along with his father Hiram and several of his brothers. After the war, Alonzo Prather made a living as a Republican official in Arkansas, winning appointments as the Madison County prosecuting attorney, the superintendent of public education for Arkansas’ 4\textsuperscript{th} Judicial District, which encompassed most of Northwest Arkansas, and receiver at the U.S. Land Office in Harrison, Arkansas. While serving as Madison County prosecutor, Prather (according to a family story recounted by his grandson) risked his life by raising the American flag on the flagpole outside the county courthouse in Huntsville, Arkansas on the Fourth of July. No one had done this since

\begin{footnotes}
29 Douglas Mahnkey, Alonzo Prather’s grandson, says that the Prather family moved from Mulverd, Oklahoma to Taney County in 1880. However, in 1880 the U.S. Census lists the Prather family as living in Springfield, Missouri, although it seems they did not stay there very long. See, Alonzo S. Prather, 1880 U.S. Census, Greene County, Springfield, District 44, p. 34.
\end{footnotes}
before the war. To make his point, he stood guard on the courthouse steps armed with a shotgun and a revolver in order to prevent anyone from taking it down.\textsuperscript{30}

Sometime in the late 1870’s, the Prather family went to Kansas where they settled near a town called Mulverd. In 1880, they relocated to Taney County, where Prather practiced law for many years. He also published a small weekly newspaper, the Taney County \textit{Home and Farm}, from 1881 to 1886, and served five non-consecutive terms as a state representative in the Missouri General Assembly between 1888 and 1910. Like many of his associates, Prather became an active member of the Grand Army of the Republic (GAR), a Union veterans’ organization, and a Bald Knобber.\textsuperscript{31}

Like Alonzo Prather, Nathaniel N. Kinney came to Taney County by way of Kansas, although the man who would become the chieftain of the Bald Knobbers in Taney County had lived an adventurous and well-travelled life by the time he brought his family there in 1883. (Figure 2.1) Born around the year 1845 in modern-day West Virginia, Kinney fought for the Union during the Civil War in the 6\textsuperscript{th} West Virginia Infantry.\textsuperscript{32} Although he later claimed to have held the rank of Captain, he really spent the entire war as a private.\textsuperscript{33} After the war Kinney lived in Indiana, Colorado, and eventually

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure2.1.png}
\caption{Figure 2.1: NATHANIEL KINNEY. Used with the permission of the Western Historical Manuscript Collection, Rolla, MO.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{31} Ibid., 5-6. For the information concerning Prather’s political career, and his career as publisher of the \textit{Home and Farm}, see Elmo Ingenthron, \textit{The Land of Taney: A History of an Ozark Commonwealth} (Branson, MO: The Ozarks Mountaineer, 1983), 334, 463. For information concerning Prather’s activities in the GAR, see \textit{Taney County News}, 2 June 1887. See also, \textit{Taney County News}, 22 September 1887, quoted in Ingenthron, 409-11.
\textsuperscript{32} The Sixth West Virginia Infantry spent most of the war installations along the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad. As a result, it served in no major battles, but did engage in constant skirmishing with small parties of Confederate raiders. See, Theodore F. Lang, \textit{Loyal West Virginia from 1861 to 1865} (Baltimore, MD: Deutsch Publishing Company, 1895), 258-60.
\textsuperscript{33} Nathaniel Kinney served in Companies M and L of the 6\textsuperscript{th} West Virginia Infantry. He held the rank of private when enlisted, and still held that rank at the end of his service. See, National Park Service, “Civil War
Kansas. Along the way he married Margaret Delong, widow of a U.S. Army officer who had perished during the war. The year 1875 saw Kinney living in Topeka, Kansas where the city directory listed him working as a hackman (i.e., carriage driver). Two years later he had found employment as a “superintendent” with the “Topeka Line Company” (probably the Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fe Railroad).34

During his time in Topeka, Kinney maintained a busy social calendar. In 1877 he became a lodge officer in the Ancient Order of United Workmen, a “fraternal and beneficial organization composed of men of all useful professions and occupations.”35 The following year Kinney joined the Topeka Rifles, a local militia company which the Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fe Railroad created to counter widespread labor unrest in the wake of the massive railroad strikes that had paralyzed much of the nation’s railroad network in 1877. Because of his enormous size (variously estimated at between six feet, two inches and six feet, seven inches) and charismatic personality, Kinney’s militia comrades made him their color sergeant.36

In 1880, Kinney and his family, including his wife Margaret, his son Paul and daughter Georgia, and his step-daughters Mary and Eva Delong, left Kansas and moved to Springfield, Missouri. Most likely, his stepson James A. Delong accompanied them, or else followed along

---

34 Samuel Radges, *Radges Bienniel Directory to the Inhabitants, Institutions, Manufacturing Establishments, Business Firms, etc. of the City of Topeka, for 1876-77* (Topeka, Kansas: Commonwealth Job Printing Establishment, 1875), 88; Samuel Radges, *Radges Bienniel Directory to the Inhabitants, Institutions, Manufacturing Establishments, Business Firms, etc. of the City of Topeka, for 1878-79* (Topeka, Kansas: Commonwealth Job Printing Establishment, 1877), 103.

35 Ibid., 242-43.

36 Kalen and Morrow, “Kinney’s Sunday School Crowd,” 7-8; Randolph, 6; Richard Prather, “Cap’ Kinney, Bald Knobber Chief,” (Typed Manuscript, n.d.), 1, from the Lynn Morrow Collection. Prather’s essay on Nat Kinney was later published in the Taney County *Republican* as a series of articles from June 6 to Jun 27, 1957. Concerning Kinney’s size, Prather, who as a young boy knew Kinney personally, says he was six feet six inches tall. Kalen and Morrow put the likely range at between six feet two inches and six feet five inches, with emphasis on the lower figure. Vance Randolph says he measured six feet and seven inches. Estimates of his weight also vary widely. However, all the sources agree that by the standards of the day Kinney was a massive specimen, powerfully built, with a personality to match his physique.
later.\textsuperscript{37} In Springfield Kinney took a job as a saloon-keeper at a notoriously violent local saloon situated on the city square.\textsuperscript{38} The owner of the saloon, J.C.F. Kinney, shared his employee’s last name but no records indicate a family relationship between them. In 1883, the family moved again to Taney County, where Kinney purchased a farm a few miles north of Kirbyville where he raised cattle, sheep, and pigs, which earned him a decent income of roughly $800 to $1,000 per year. Unlike most of his associates in the Bald Knobbers, Kinney actively supported the Democratic Party, although he also belonged to many other organizations such as the Grand Army of the Republic and the Grange.\textsuperscript{39}

Why did ambitious and energetic men like Parrish, Groom, Kissee, McHaffie, Prather, and Kinney choose to make Taney County their home in the years following the war? They had a variety of reasons for their decision. After the war Missouri’s state government, private associations, railroads, and the press all encouraged prospective settlers to move to the state, and many people responded. Missouri’s State Board of Immigration spearheaded this promotional effort, sending agents to the eastern United States and abroad to encourage potential immigrants to move to Missouri.\textsuperscript{40} The state agency also worked in conjunction with private immigration organizations, such as Southwest Missouri’s “Emigrant Association,” which residents of the region founded in 1870 to publicize the benefits of settlement in their section of the state. The

\textsuperscript{37} N.N. Kinney, 1880 U.S. Census, Kansas, Shawnee County, Auburn Township, District 12, p. 15. Kinney’s stepson is not mentioned in this census record, but he definitely came to Taney County later.

\textsuperscript{38} A list of homicides printed in the \textit{Springfield Express} on October 28, 1887, listed two murders that occurred in Kinney’s saloon in 1883 and 1884.

\textsuperscript{39} Kalen and Morrow, “Kinney’s Sunday School Crowd,” 8-9; The description of Kinney’s income and farming activities comes from his deposition in his lawsuit against the city of Springfield. See, \textit{Nat N. Kinney vs. City of Springfield}. Circuit Court of Greene CO, docket # 5902. Greene County Archives. Folder: “Nat Kinney / Bald Knobbers.” Hereafter, Greene County Archives will be abbreviated as GCA.

Atlantic and Pacific Railroad, which completed its line to Springfield in 1870, contributed to the effort by advertising in Europe for new settlers.\textsuperscript{41}

All of this promotional work paid off. By the late 1860’s popular travel guides lauded Southwest Missouri as the “Garden of the West,” a place with good soil, temperate weather, plentiful timber and mineral resources, streams full of “pure, clear, running water,” and game of every description including “deer, geese, turkey’s, prairie chickens, raccoons, quails, opossums, otter, beaver, mink, etc.”\textsuperscript{42} Similarly, the Susquehanna Valley Emigrating Association of Oswego, New York, encouraged residents of the Empire State to move to Southwest Missouri. The Association cited the “salubrity” of its climate, as a result of which “consumption and asthmatic complaints never originate here, and are often cured by the climate.”\textsuperscript{43} Although such promotional literature exaggerated the region’s benefits, it had the desired impact.

Moreover, many of the new settlers had visited the White River country in person as federal soldiers during the war and had gained an appreciation for the commercial potential of the area. They would probably have noticed the region’s many streams and creeks, abundant timber, and plentiful fish, fowl and other game for hunting. They definitely took notice of the large amount of cheap or unclaimed land in Taney and other surrounding counties, a situation which the ravages of war exacerbated by forcing many residents to flee the area. In the late 1860’s the U.S. Land Office at Springfield had about 300,000 acres of public land in Taney County to dispose of, or roughly three quarters of the county’s entire acreage. Similar quantities of public land existed in neighboring counties. Some of it the government would sell at the standard rate of $1.25 per acre, while the rest it made available under the provisions of the

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item[41] Springfield Missouri Weekly Patriot, 5 May 1870.
\item[42] Wilson Nicely, The Great Southwest, or Plain Guide for Emigrants and Capitalists Embracing a Description of the States of Missouri and Kansas ... with Incidents of Two Years Travel and Residence in Missouri and Kansas and other Valuable Information (St. Louis: R.P. Studley and Company, 1867), 15-21.
\item[43] Parrish, 200.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
Homestead Act of 1862 for the nominal fee of $16 per 160 acres. Many of the ambitious young men who began settling in Taney County at the close of the Civil War quickly took advantage of the federal government’s real estate largesse. Among these, at least nineteen known Bald Knobbers in Taney County, most of them recent immigrants to the area, took out homestead patents in the period from the end of the war through the early twentieth century.

In addition to the abundance of available land, the White River itself served as a major attraction to new settlers. In the 1830’s steamboats began plying the lower portion of the river in Northern Arkansas, though prior to the 1850’s no steamboat advanced farther up river than Elbow Shoal at the Arkansas-Missouri line. Before that time most goods shipped down river from Southwest Missouri had to go by keelboat, flatboat, or on large wooden rafts which the owners often disassembled and sold for timber upon reaching their destination. In 1851, the Missouri legislature appropriated $8,000 to make improvements in the upper White River “so as to make it navigable for steamboats and other water craft,” and appointed a three-member river commission to handle the matter. Several private citizens also chipped in for the improvement project. Using these funds, the river commission hired Harrison Snapp to dig a channel at

44 Nicely, 26. Not surprisingly, the narrow corridor of counties along the Arkansas border, which had suffered the worst tribulations during the war, also had the largest number of acres in public land. In addition to Taney County, these included the counties of McDonald (200,000 acres), Barry (300,000 acres), Stone (200,000 acres), and Ozark (300,000 acres).

45 The Bald Knobbers who had homesteads in Taney County included the following persons (the dates and patent numbers are in parentheses): Benjamin Price (1900, 33,995), James R. Vanzandt (1898, 11,141), Thomas W. Phillips (1886, 32,234), Barton Y. Everett (1890, 6,388), James Columbus Boothe (1901, 11,301), Galba Branson (1890, 9,197), Reuben S. Branson (1891, 7,242), Charles H. Groom (1905, 34,928), John M. Haggard (1888, 5,301), William P. Hensley (1881, 4,162), Reuben Isaacs (1881, 4,166), Alexander C. Kisse (1884, 31,823; 1888, 32,693; 1890, 32,864), Arter Kisse (1892, 8,658), James K. Polk McHaffie (1871, 30,515; 1882, 31,375; 1888, 32,699; 1892, 33,245), John T. Dickerson (1895, 10,267), D.F. McConkey (1901, 34,470), James B. Rice (1873, 956; 1881, 31,357), Louis Nagle (1892, 9,717), and Clayton Stokely (1886, 32,174).


47 Return I. Holcombe, *History of Greene County, Missouri* (St. Louis: Western Historical Company, 1883), 222-23.
Elbow Shoal wide enough for a steamboat to pass through. The following year the *Yahogony* became the first steam powered vessel to reach Forsyth. For the remainder of the decade steamboats made intermittent trips up the White River into Missouri, but navigation of the upper White River, with its many snags, shoals, fallen trees, and treacherous bends, remained a dangerous proposition and several vessels wrecked making the attempt.  

The Civil War interrupted commerce on the White River, but following the war the steamboats resumed making their trips. The vessels carried freight up river to sell to Ozarks merchants. On the return trip they carried the products of Southwest Missouri and Northwest Arkansas, primarily lead ore, cotton, and other agricultural produce, down the White River to the Mississippi. From there these products reached markets throughout the United States. Taney County’s location at the northernmost bend in the river put it in an ideal position to capitalize on this trade.

It also helped spark another interesting development – a miniature “cotton boom” in Taney County and neighboring counties. As mentioned in the previous chapter, farmers produced hardly any cotton in the White River hills during the antebellum period. Part of the reason for this stemmed from the soil itself: most of the rough and rocky hill country was poorly suited for cotton growing. But in the rich bottom lands adjacent to the White River and several of the creeks that feed into it farmers could cultivate some cotton. They did not do so prior to the Civil War mainly because of the lack of adequate transportation. Following the war the improvements made to the White River and the extension of the Atlantic and Pacific Railroad to

---


49 In 1860, the Census Office reported that Christian, Douglas, and Taney counties ginned no cotton that year. See, U.S. Census Office, *Agriculture of the United States in 1860*, 89, 93.
Springfield in 1870 helped resolve that difficulty. Thereafter, cotton production in the White River region grew steadily until the turn of the century. In 1879, Taney County farmers devoted 1,400 acres to cotton cultivation on which they grew 760 bales of cotton. The neighboring counties of Stone and Ozark produced similar quantities.\textsuperscript{50} The \textit{Taney County Times} estimated in 1887 that the county had produced roughly $100,000 of cotton that year.\textsuperscript{51} By 1890, cotton cultivation in Taney County had increased to nearly 3,000 acres and more than 900 bales.\textsuperscript{52}

In the larger geographic context, of course, the post-war cotton boom in the White River valley was a fairly minor development. Neither Taney County nor any other part of Missouri ever became a “cotton kingdom” in the sense in which historians generally use that term. The entire state of Missouri produced fewer bales of cotton in 1890, roughly 16,000, than several counties in Mississippi.\textsuperscript{53} Those local farmers who did plant cotton generally sold a few bales a year to supplement their income. It did not become their sole livelihood. Nevertheless, the growth in cotton production symbolized several larger trends affecting Taney County at this time. These included the county’s increasing connection to larger national markets and the continuing desire of many citizens for economic modernization. Not surprisingly, then, several Bald Knobbers became involved in the cotton trade. William Connor built a cotton gin on the White River south of Forsyth in the middle 1870’s. Likewise, the Kissee family built a water-
powered cotton gin at Kissee Mills on Beaver Creek in 1890, and James B. Rice established a
cotton gin on Cedar Creek.\textsuperscript{54}

Nor did the trend toward economic modernization stop at the cotton trade. By the late
nineteenth century liquor distilling had become an integral part of the local economy. At first, the
production of spirits remained the domain of small-scale producers who sold to local customers.
Many distillery owners also operated grist mills, which provided the corn mash used to make
whiskey. The owners sold their product to customers at the rate of a gallon of whiskey for a
bushel of corn.\textsuperscript{55} Then in 1884 four local entrepreneurs, including Samuel W. Boswell and
William Peck, and the Bald Knobbers Calvin Parrish and Reuben S. Branson, founded the largest
distillery in Taney County at Forsyth. By the 1890’s this distillery produced about 3,000 gallons
of whiskey per year, and according to one observer, “these men are doing a paying business.”\textsuperscript{56}

For a time, it looked like mining might also become a vital bulwark of Taney County’s
economy. In the late 19th century, several counties in Southwest Missouri saw a rapid expansion
of mining for lead and zinc. In 1880 Christian, Greene, Dade, Newton, and Jasper counties all
produced substantial quantities of lead, and four of the five mined zinc as well.\textsuperscript{57} Alas, Taney
County did not immediately share in its neighbors’ good fortune. In that county very little mining

\textsuperscript{54} Ingenthron, \textit{Land of Taney}, 319-21. Ingenthron gives William Connor’s name as “W.G. Conner.”
However, I can find no entry in the census records matching that name. The closest match is William Connor, who
lived in Taney County in 1880. See, William Connor, 1880 U.S. Census, Missouri, Taney County, Swan Township,
District 124, p. 8.

\textsuperscript{55} \textit{Taney County Republican}, 31 December 1903, cited in Ingenthron, \textit{Land of Taney}, 325; W.D. Cameron,
“History and Hearsay,” \textit{WRVHQ} 5, no. 7 (Spring 1975): 19.

\textsuperscript{56} Goodspeed Brothers, 126; Mary Lou Boswell, “The Levi Boswell Family of Taney County, Missouri,”
\textit{WRVHQ} 9, no. 12 (Summer 1988): 11.

\textsuperscript{57} U.S. Census Office, \textit{Report on the Mining Industries of the United States (exclusive of precious metals):
with Special Investigations into the Iron Resources of the Republic and into the Cretaceous coals of the Northwest}
(reprint; New York: Norman Ross Publishing, Inc., 1991), 804. Jasper County was easily the biggest mining county
in Missouri in 1880, producing nearly 11,000 tons of lead ore and 21,000 tons of zinc ore, followed by Newton
which mined roughly 1,300 tons of lead and 10,000 tons of zinc. The other counties discussed here produced far
less.
activity occurred prior to the 1890’s.\textsuperscript{58} Then, in 1891, reports of new lead and zinc finds resulted in a surge of interest in mining in Taney County. For example, a mining convention held in Springfield that year drew a delegation comprised of some of the most prominent men in Taney County, including three former Bald Knobbers: Alexander Kissee, James B. Rice, and James R. Vanzandt. Another Bald Knobber, John Lafayette Cook, the president of the White River Real Estate and Mining Company, took advantage of the mining fever by selling and leasing land to “capitalists and others desirous of buying or leasing mineral land in the White River Country.” Moreover, several new mines opened up including the King Solomon Mine near Kirbyville, the Gibralter Mine near Hercules, and the Golden Eagle Mine near Protem. By the turn of the century one contemporary writer noted that “lead prospecting has been accompanied with some success along Turkey, Bylin, Swan, Bull, Bear, Beaver, and Short creeks.” Unfortunately, mining did not enjoy prolonged success in Taney County. Most of the lead and zinc deposits proved too small and too scarce to sustain profitable mining operations. By the end of World War I, declining lead and zinc prices brought an end to mining in the county.\textsuperscript{59}

Despite the significant progress Taney County made in the generation following the Civil War, in many ways it remained one of the poorest and most underdeveloped counties in Southwest Missouri. Its population grew steadily between 1860 and 1890 from nearly 3,600 to roughly 8,000. Nevertheless, its rate of population growth over that time span lagged far behind neighboring counties, some of which tripled or quadrupled their populations over the same

\textsuperscript{58} U.S. Census Office, \textit{Report on Mineral Industries in the United States at the Eleventh Census, 1890} (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1892), 166. According to the report on mineral industries in 1890, Taney County mined no lead or zinc that year, although this is hardly definitive since the report includes the disclaimer that the “difficulty of collecting data for the lead and zinc mining industry in Southwest Missouri was insuperable.” Moreover, only the larger mining companies kept records, and even these often proved incomplete.

Taney County also fell behind neighboring areas in terms of economic and infrastructural development. While the total value of farms in the county increased from roughly $210,000 in 1860 to $837,000 in 1890, Taney County still trailed far behind Greene ($9.5 million), Christian ($2.8 million), and Douglas ($1.4 million) counties, and about even with Stone and Ozark counties. While the Atlantic and Pacific railroad reached Greene County in 1870, and Christian County received railroad service in 1883, Taney County had to wait until 1906 for the completion of the White River Railway, which for the first time brought regular railroad transportation to the county.

Many factors contributed to this disparity of fortunes, including the lingering effects of the Civil War, Taney County’s remote location, the late arrival of railroad transportation, and the relatively poor condition of much of its soil. Regardless, by the 1880’s, many inhabitants had begun to blame their misfortune on corruption in local government and crime. To explain the dissatisfaction many of the area’s residents had with their government I must first briefly sketch the political history of the county between the end of the Civil War and the mid-1880’s.

The Civil War ended with the Republican Party firmly in power, both at the state level in Jefferson City, and at the local level in Taney County. At the war’s conclusion John R. Kelso represented Missouri’s fourth congressional district, which included Taney County, in Congress. Francis M. Gideon represented the county in the state house, and William Yandell served as...
county judge. All three men belonged to the Republican Party. Former Confederate sympathizers found this situation intolerable. One of them, John Haggard, wrote to a friend that Southerners had “no more chance for to get justice (sic) in Taney County than a cat in hell without claws.”

The Republicans dominated politics in the county for two main reasons. First, many of the county’s former residents (mostly Democrats) had left during the war. Second, the state government had disfranchised most of the remaining Democrats as Confederate sympathizers. In the election of 1864, only 29 voters cast ballots in Taney County. Most of these votes probably came from a garrison of Union soldiers under the command of Captain William L. Fennix, a Republican, whom Governor Thomas C. Fletcher later appointed county and circuit clerk. Not surprisingly, they voted unanimously for Abraham Lincoln for President and F.M. Gideon for state representative.

After the war, Missouri Republicans controlled the state legislature and called for a constitutional convention in 1865 to draft a new charter for the state. Charles D. Drake, a Radical Republican from St. Louis, whom the city’s *Daily Dispatch* referred to as “dogmatical (sic) and not infrequently overbearing,” emerged as the dominant figure at the convention. Drake pushed for the convention to include strong provisions in the new constitution disfranchising former Confederates and ensuring Republican hegemony. On May 1, 1865, the delegates to the convention followed Drake’s lead and passed an “ousting ordinance,” which expelled from office roughly 800 officials whose loyalty they deemed suspect. The act vacated the offices of all the justices and clerks for the “Supreme Court of Missouri, the circuit courts, the county courts, and the special courts of record and of all county recorders and circuit attorneys.”

---

Governor Fletcher then replaced most of them with Republicans. In Taney County this act resulted in the appointment of a whole new slate of officials, including a new sheriff, three justices of the county court, and a new county clerk and circuit clerk.

The convention also passed a provision of the new constitution which required that anyone who voted or ran for office must take an oath swearing that they had never “been in armed hostility to the United States,” had never aided or supported “persons engaged in any such hostility,” and had never disloyally communicated with enemies of the state. This provision, called the “Ironclad Oath,” effectively disfranchised almost all ex-Confederates. In order to enforce disfranchisement, the constitution included so-called “Registry Acts,” which divided Missouri into voting districts, and required all citizens who wished to vote to register in their districts and take the Ironclad Oath. Moreover, certain professional groups including attorneys, educators, and some corporate officers also found themselves subjected to the Ironclad Oath. This provision even applied to members of the clergy. Radical Republicans often blamed rebel clergy for encouraging sedition and disloyalty within their congregations. Consequently, they too had to take the oath in order to practice their profession.

The intended purpose of these provisions in the 1865 Constitution, in addition to punishing former rebels, was to ensure Republican dominance in Missouri politics by disfranchising most of the people who would have voted the Democratic ticket. In Taney County, as in many other Missouri communities, they accomplished that purpose efficiently. For

---

67 Missouri Secretary of State's Office, *Register of Civil Officers, Vol. 5: Reynolds - Wright Counties, 1865-1904*, MSA, Record Group 5, Reel No. S83, p. 319. George Meyers served as sheriff, James Morrow, Henry Loughlin, and Thomas Maynard served as justices of the county court. As previously mentioned, William L. Fennix was appointed both county clerk and circuit clerk. The offices of county clerk and circuit clerk were often combined to save money.
68 Meyer, 408-09; Thomas S. Barclay, “Test Oath for the Clergy in Missouri,” *MHR* 18, no. 3 (April 1924): 345-81.
example, in the 1868 presidential election the Republican candidate, Ulysses S. Grant, defeated Democrat Horatio Seymour in Taney County with 208 votes to 52 votes. That same year the Republican candidates in all the major state-wide races won Taney County by similar margins. In the state senate race Republican S.W. Headley beat Democrat L.T. Watson by a four votes to one margin, and in the state representative race Republican Jesse Jennings prevailed over fellow Republican Willis Kissee and Democrat J.W. Wyatt with a majority of the total votes cast. Republicans also won most, if not all, of the county offices. For example, Larkin Adamson won the election for sheriff, Levi Boswell won the race for county treasurer, James Keithley became public administrator, and Enos Stanley became the new probate judge.

Republican dominance in Taney County continued until the early 1870’s, when intra-party discord between Liberal Republicans and Radical Republicans undermined the party’s control of Missouri politics. The Liberal Republicans, led by party dissidents such as Carl Schurz and Benjamin Gratz Brown, revolted against their party leadership for a variety of reasons. For one, they objected to the corruption of the Grant Administration, epitomized by the Whiskey Ring scandal in St. Louis in which a group of major distilleries and public officials defrauded the government of millions of dollars in taxes. The Liberal Republicans also opposed, on both moral and philosophical grounds, the continued disfranchisement of former rebels. For this reason, in the party’s 1870 convention the Liberals supported a resolution pledging the party’s

---

69 1868 Election Returns for Taney County, MSA, Record Group 5, Box 12, Folder 5.
support for constitutional amendments “removing all disqualifications from the disfranchised people of Missouri and conferring equal political rights on all classes.”

The Radicals, who drew their strongest support from the rural northern and southwestern parts of Missouri where guerrilla fighting had taken the heaviest toll on the pro-Union population, bitterly opposed this resolution. They argued that enfranchising former Confederates would reward treason and undermine the party’s control of the state. Senator Charles Drake, in a bitter speech attacking fellow Senator Carl Shurz for his treachery against the Republican Party, predicted that the once the voters passed constitutional amendments re-enfranchising the state’s ex-rebels, “you might bid goodbye to Missouri as a Republican state.”

The majority of delegates to the 1870 convention agreed with him. When the full convention voted down the resolution favoring re-enfranchisement the Liberals walked out of the convention and nominated Benjamin Gratz Brown for governor of Missouri.

Thus, the 1870 gubernatorial election became a strange fratricidal struggle between Radicals and Liberal Republicans. The Democrats, sensing an opportunity to exploit their opponents’ discord, cast their lot in with the Liberal Republicans and Brown. They calculated that if he helped repeal the voting restrictions they would surely win the following election. That November Brown easily defeated the Radical nominee, Joseph W. McClurg, in the race for governor. The Liberal Republican triumph appeared complete. In a sign of things to come, however, Brown won with slightly less than half of his support coming from the Liberal Republicans and the rest from Democrats.

---

73 Charles Drake, The Betrayal of the Republican Party in Missouri, in Congressional Globe, 41st Congress, 3rd Session, Appendix No. 1, p. 3.
75 Meyer, 415-17.
In the same election that elevated Brown to the governor’s mansion, Missouri voters approved a constitutional amendment repealing the Ironclad Oath. The following year the new governor, true to his word, supported legislation overturning the Registry Acts, thereby completing the end of disfranchisement in Missouri. Unfortunately for the Liberal Republicans, the dire predictions of the Radicals that the re-enfranchisement of former rebels would lead to a Democratic resurgence proved accurate. In the next gubernatorial election in 1872, Silas Woodson swept into office with the support of tens of thousands of newly registered Democratic voters. Woodson’s victory initiated a period of Democratic dominance in state government lasting nearly forty years.76

The dramatic political changes occurring at the statehouse also had huge ramifications for Taney County. Most of the local Republicans in this rural border county in Southwest Missouri, the scene of vicious guerrilla fighting during the war, sided naturally with the Radicals during the political insurgency of 1870. That year Taney County gave a large majority of its votes (231 out of 314 votes cast) to the Radical Republican Joseph W. McClurg for governor. It also helped elect the Radical Harrison Eugene Havens to the first of two terms in the U.S. Congress by a similarly large margin.77

The 1870 election marked the last occasion in which Taney County Republicans would enjoy such untrammeled dominance in county politics. With the end of disfranchisement, the 1870’s witnessed a resurgence of the local Democratic Party which brought the two parties into approximate parity, during which the Democrats managed to wrest control of local government from the Republicans for a period of several years. The change in the county’s electoral make-up

76 Ibid., 418-22.
77 1870 Election Returns for Taney County, MSA, Record Group 5, Box 13, Folder 2. At the 1870 Republican Convention in St. Louis Harrison E. Havens had served as one of the floor leaders for the Radical faction. See, Barclay, “Liberal Republican Movement,” MHR 21, no. 1 (October 1926): 68.
became apparent almost immediately. In the presidential election of 1872, Ulysses S. Grant still
carried Taney County, but by a much smaller margin than in 1868. In addition, his opponent, the
Liberal Republican-Democratic candidate Horace Greeley, received four times as many votes
(201) as Horatio Seymour had earned in the previous election. Likewise, the Democratic
candidates for governor, lieutenant governor, and all the other major state offices increased their
vote totals over the previous election by similar margins. Further down the ticket, the Democrats
did even better. Rev. Jordan M. Haworth, a Democrat and future Anti-Bald Knobber, came
within a whisker of winning the race for sheriff, losing by only 20 votes. John J. Brown, a
Republican and future Bald Knobber, barely survived in his race for prosecuting attorney against
B.F. Dilley, winning by just eight votes.

Because of a number of resignations, governor’s appointments, and special elections in
the early 1870’s, combined with the uncertainty of determining certain officials party affiliation,
it is not exactly certain which party held the balance of power in Taney County’s local
government between 1872 to 1874. It is clear, however, that in the 1874 elections the Democrats
scored a victory in the local elections. That year Democrat Thomas F. Layton replaced Lysander
H. Jennings as county and circuit clerk, Rufus V. Burns replaced J. J. Brown as prosecuting
attorney, and William L. Peck took over the job of sheriff and collector of revenue from James
C. Johnson. The Democrats also elected J.J. Reynolds and Jordan M. Haworth to the county

78 Goodspeed Brothers, History of Southeast Missouri, 169-72. Grant’s margin of victory in Taney County
(128 votes) might have been even smaller if he had been running against an actual Democrat. Greeley had the
endorsement of both the Liberal Republicans and the Democrats in 1872, but his abolitionist past may have put off
many of Taney County’s newly enfranchised Democrats. In the 1876 presidential election only seventeen votes
separated Democrat Samuel Tilden from Republican Rutherford B. Hayes in Taney County, and in 1880 Democrat
Winfield S. Hancock won the county by a large margin over the Republican candidate James A. Garfield.
79 1872 Election Returns for Taney County, MSA, Record Group 5, Box 14, Folder 9.
court, where they joined Republican and U.S. Army veteran C.C. Owens, which gave the Democrats two out of the three members of that body.  

The Democrats never dominated local politics in the 1870’s the way that Republicans had in the late 1860’s, but for the most part they controlled county government for the balance of the decade. A small cadre of officeholders, which the Bald Knobbers later referred to contemptuously as the “old county ring,” comprised the core of the local party leadership. This core consisted of William L. Peck, who served as sheriff and collector of revenue from 1874 to 1880, John Moseley, who succeeded Peck in that position from 1880 to 1884, John J. Reynolds, who won two elections for Probate Judge in 1874 and 1878, and Thomas C. Spellings, who served as the county prosecutor for five months in 1880, and a full term from 1882 to 1884. It also included of several members of the Layton family, such as Thomas F. Layton, who served four years as circuit and county clerk from 1874 to 1878, his son Thomas A. Layton, who succeeded him in that position in 1878 and won a second four-year term in 1882, and Albert S. Layton who served as coroner from 1878 to 1880.  

The period of Democratic ascendancy in Taney County, which lasted until 1884, also coincided with a strange development in the county’s finances. For reasons which remain somewhat unclear, during the 1870’s the county amassed more than $42,000 in bonded debt, which many residents in those days considered a startling figure. Whatever reasons Taney

80 Missouri Secretary of State's Office, Register of Civil Officers, Vol. 5: Reynolds - Wright Counties, 1865-1904, MSA, Record Group 5, Reel No. S83, p. 320; Morrow, “Where Did All the Money Go?” WRVHQ 34, no. 2 (Fall 1994): 10. Prior to 1985 the county court was the main legislative body and administrative body at the county level, empowered by state law to handle the county’s “budget, its business, and its road system.” Most small counties, such as Taney, had three judges on their county courts, although larger counties sometimes had different arrangements. In 1985 the name of this body was changed to the “county commission,” but its function remained the same. See, Richard J. Hardy, et.al., ed. Missouri Government and Politics, rev. ed. (Colombia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 1995), 308.  

81 New York Sun, 23 December 1888.  

82 Missouri Secretary of State's Office, Register of Civil Officers, Vol. 5: Reynolds - Wright Counties, 1865-1904, MSA, Record Group 5, Reel No. S83, p. 320. In Missouri at that time, the positions of Sheriff and Collector of Revenue were often combined and held by the same person, as were the positions of County Clerk and Circuit Clerk. See, Hardy, 309-11.
County officials had for borrowing this money, or however they spent it, the fact remains that the public debt became a political bone of contention among residents of the county. This intensified the atmosphere of mistrust in local government, which contributed to the rise of vigilantism in the middle 1880’s.83

The story of Taney County’s debt problem began just prior to the Civil War. In the 1850’s the county needed a new courthouse. Unfortunately, at the time residents of the county owned only about 5 percent of the county’s land in fee simple. This narrow property base limited the local government’s ability to borrow the money necessary to build the structure. In 1855 the Missouri General Assembly passed a law allowing the county to borrow roughly $3,600 from the state’s internal improvements fund, and repay the state with bonds which the county would pay down over time by raising taxes. Taney County’s small tax base must have made repayment of the debt a serious burden on local taxpayers. In 1861, just prior to the outbreak of the Civil War, the state forgave the county’s outstanding debt thereby allowing it to eliminate the taxes raised for that purpose.84

Unfortunately for the residents, their brand new courthouse did not survive the war. It burned down in the course of a Federal assault on Forsyth in 1861.85 Nor did the war spare the county’s finances. In the years 1863 and 1864 the county government, like many other counties

83 This aspect of the history of Taney County, and of the Bald Knobbers, was first examined by Lynn Morrow in his article published in 1994 in the WRVHQ titled “Where Did All the Money Go?: War and the Economics of Vigilantism in Southern Missouri,” which I have already cited. In addition to providing me with excellent research advice, Mr. Morrow graciously gave me access to his personal research collection, including many of the state government documents upon which the following analysis is based.
84 Missouri General Assembly, Laws of the State of Missouri, Passed at the First Session of the Eighteenth General Assembly, Begun and Held at the City of Jefferson (Jefferson City: James Lusk, Public Printer, 1855), 475-76; Missouri General Assembly, Laws of the State of Missouri, Passed at the Regular Session of the 21st General Assembly, Begun and Held at the City of Jefferson, on Monday, December 31, 1860 (Jefferson City: W.G. Cheeney, Public Printer, 1861), 463-64.
85 Ingenthorn, Land of Taney, 95-96.
in Southwest Missouri, found itself unable to collect taxes of any kind.\(^{86}\) The problems with tax collection did not cease with the end of the war. In an 1867 report to the state government, the county assessor E.W. Meyers remarked that many residents “are jealous of everything tending to show the wealth of the county, believing it to be a scheme of government to ascertain their income preparatory to assessing a national revenue tax.” He also observed that under present circumstances, the county could rarely find enough qualified men to fill all the county offices.\(^{87}\)

Then in the early 1870’s, something quite strange happened. Between January, 1871 and March 31, 1872, Taney County issued some $17,650 in bonds. That in itself does not seem suspicious. Other counties also increased their indebtedness by similar amounts or more. The biannual state auditor’s report, however, shows that during that period the total acreage in Taney County subject to taxation expanded dramatically, from roughly 35,000 acres valued at $142,000 in 1871 to nearly 360,000 acres valued at $500,000 in 1872. To put it another way, according to these figures in one year the total amount of land owned in fee simple expanded tenfold, and total property values increased roughly 350 percent. This seems highly improbable, especially since by 1875 Taney County’s taxable acreage figures had dropped back down to pre-1872 levels, and even by the end of the decade residents owned only 72,000 acres in fee simple valued at roughly $177,000.\(^{88}\)


\(^{88}\) Missouri General Assembly, *Report of the State Auditor to the Twenty-Seventh General Assembly of the State of Missouri, for the Two Years, from January 1, 1871 to December 31, 1872* (Jefferson City, MO: Regan & Carter, State Printers, 1873), 116, 125, 132-33; Missouri General Assembly, *Report of the State Auditor to the Thirty-Second General Assembly of the State of Missouri, for the Two Fiscal Years Beginning January 1, 1881, and Ending December 31, 1882* (Jefferson City: State Journal Company, State Printers, 1883), ii-v; See also, Morrow, “Where Did All the Money Go?” *WRVHQ* 34, no. 2 (Fall 1994): 11-12.
The most reasonable interpretation of this evidence is that Taney County’s officials intentionally inflated their property and property value statistics in order to secure the loans they needed for public works projects, particularly a new courthouse. I cannot identify the exact person(s) responsible for this dubious financial maneuver. It seems probable, however, that at least Lysander Jennings, the county clerk, and Elias Cleavenger, the surveyor, would have known about it. Both men won elections in 1870, prior to the official end of disfranchisement, and therefore almost certainly belonged to the Republican Party. Thus, the first expansion of county debt occurred on the Republican watch.89

The Democrats, however, did not prove themselves any better stewards of county finances. In July, 1880, Taney County carried roughly $32,000 in bonded debt, reportedly for the purpose of “funding old bonds and warrants.” By January 1, 1883, the figure stood at more than $28,000. The state auditor’s report for that year noted that the vast majority of this debt, roughly $27,000, came in the form of ten year bonds with a ten percent rate of interest. The county had issued these bonds in 1874, and sold them to the Greene County National Bank in Springfield, and the St. Louis National Bank in St. Louis. The report also noted that the county had not made prompt interest payments on its debt, and had only $422,000 in taxable wealth. By comparison, two of Taney County’s equally poor neighbors, Douglas and Ozark counties, carried just $15,000 and $10,000 in debt, and neighboring Christian County had no debt at all. Finally, in November, 1883, the county refinanced part of its debt by issuing $33,000 in new five to twenty-year bonds with a six percent rate of interest, sold to the Third National Bank in St. Louis. This act brought the county’s total indebtedness to the then staggering figure of $42,600.90

89 Missouri Secretary of State’s Office, Register of Civil Officers, Vol. 5: Reynolds - Wright Counties, 1865-1904, MSA, Record Group 5, Reel No. S83, p. 319.
90 Missouri General Assembly, Report of the State Auditor to the Thirty-First General Assembly of the State of Missouri for the Two Fiscal Years, January 1, 1879 to December 31, 1880 (Jefferson City: Tribune Printing
Paltry as these figures might seem today, people living in a small, rural section of Southwest Missouri in the late nineteenth century had plenty of reasons to consider a debt burden of that size a significant problem. For one thing, it meant paying higher taxes in order to pay down the debt. By the late 1880’s the property tax rate had reached $2.10 per $100 of assessed property, of which $1.35 went to interest payments and paying down the debt.\textsuperscript{91} Moreover, failure to make interest payments, which became an issue for Taney County, could damage a county’s credit and hinder its ability to borrow money for other necessary projects.

By the 1880’s, the county debt had become an important political issue in the ongoing struggle between Democrats and Republicans in Taney County. The Republicans, forgetting their own role in creating the problem, happily blamed the burgeoning debt on the Democrats.\textsuperscript{92} Taney County’s finances also became a potent symbol of the corruption and inadequacy of local government which the men who started the Bald Knobber movement found so offensive. For example, the Bald Knobber chieftain Nathaniel Kinney recalled his shock upon arriving in Taney County and learning that “the county was $42,000 in debt, and had not even a plank to show for it. The money had simply been stolen.”\textsuperscript{93}

Regardless of the accuracy of Kinney’s accusation, the debt burden became one of two major focal points of Republican and Bald Knobber dissatisfaction with the Democratic regime running local government. By the 1880’s, however, the crime problem easily eclipsed the debt problem in the public’s consciousness. Before discussing the issue of crime in Taney County, I

\textsuperscript{91} Ingenthron, \textit{Land of Taney}, 226.
\textsuperscript{92} Ibid., 225; Thomas M. Spencer, “The Bald Knobbers, the Anti-Bald Knobbers, Politics, and the Culture of Violence in the Ozarks, 1860-1890,” in \textit{The Other Missouri History: Populists, Prostitutes, and Regular Folk}, ed. Thomas M. Spencer (Colombia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 2004), 36-37.
must add that the postwar surge in lawlessness that the county experienced was neither unprecedented nor unusual in the context of postwar Missouri. As discussed in chapter one, the years following the Civil War witnessed a dramatic increase in crime and lawlessness, sometimes referred to as a “reign of terror.” The impact of this crime surge swelled the state’s prison population. The number of inmates in Missouri’s prisons increased from 286 in 1860 to 1,623 in 1870, and further increased to 2,041 in 1880. Many of the outlaws – most notably the members of the infamous James-Younger gang – gained a sort of apprenticeship in the outlaw trade while fighting as soldiers or guerrillas in the Civil War.

The inhabitants of Taney County experienced more than their share of this crime surge. While an unfortunate courthouse fire in 1885 prevents an exact accounting of the number of homicides in the county, numerous newspapers and contemporary accounts from the 1880’s suggest that murder became more common after the war than before. Most of these sources put the number of murders in Taney County from the Civil War to the Bald Knobber period at between thirty and forty. For example, in their apologetic narrative of the Bald Knobber movement, Charles Groom and D.F. McConkey state that prior to the Civil War only three murders took place in Taney County, but since that time “there has been near thirty murders committed” with only one successful prosecution of the culprit. The Jefferson City Daily Tribune reported that over the same period there “had been thirty-eight willful murders” with no
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94 Meyer, 506-08.
97 Charles Groom and D.F. McConkey, The Bald Knobbers or Citizens Committee of Taney and Christian Counties, Missouri (Forsyth, MO: The Authors, 1887), 4.
convictions, a figure with which the editors of the *Taney County News* concurred. Similarly, the New York *Sun* reported that the number of homicides “is estimated all the way from thirty-two to forty-two … and no one punished for them” even with prison terms.\(^{98}\)

It is important to remember, however, that these figures represent only the best estimates of journalists relying on evidence obtained by word of mouth from local residents. By the late 1880’s the Bald Knobbers had become a sensationalized topic in the press, and the tendency of journalists to exaggerate may have inflated the number of homicides that actually took place.

Although it is impossible to examine the circuit court records for Taney County between 1865 and 1885, an analysis of the records for neighboring Christian County over the same period provides some perspective on the state of violent crime in that area. The records show that Christian County witnessed no less than fifteen murders during the years in question, along with dozens of cases of assault and battery or assault with intent to kill.\(^{99}\) To be sure, some violent crimes probably escaped the attention of local authorities, but the homicides documented in court records represent a solid basis from which to start. Given that social conditions in the two counties were basically similar, and that Christian County had its own vigilance committee


starting in 1886, it seems reasonable to assume that the number of murders in each county were roughly equal. For that reason, I would cautiously estimate that Taney County had around fifteen to twenty murders between the end of the Civil War and the beginning of the Bald Knobber period, or about half the numbers usually cited by contemporary journalists.

Whatever the actual homicide figures may have been, the evidence taken together presents a picture of Taney County as a community beset by crime, with the legal authorities struggling to cope with an aggressive criminal element. Moreover, the lawmen themselves often took grave risks in attempting to enforce the law. For example, in 1879 Taney County deputy marshals James Coggburn and J.W. Dawson led a posse in pursuit of a band of horse thieves, catching up with them at a house located on Bee Creek in the southern part of the county. In the ensuing gunfight James Coggburn, and one other man, William Bates, lost their lives.\footnote{The Springfield \textit{Patriot Advertiser,} 1 May 1879, quoted in Janice Looney, “Andrew Coggburn, 1866-86,” \textit{WRVHQ,} 9, no. 6 (Winter 1987): 8-9.}

In addition to investigating homicides, the law officers of Taney County also had to deal with widespread property theft, particularly of horses and livestock. Gangs of horse thieves and cattle rustlers inundated the county, taking full advantage of the “open range” system of stock-raising practiced at that time. In those days, most farmers allowed their hogs and cattle to run freely in Taney County’s densely forested hills, grazing wherever they wished.\footnote{Robert L. Harper, \textit{Among the Bald Knobbers: A History of the Desperadoes of the Ozark Mountains, Their Atrocious Deeds, Rendesses, Homes and Habits, the Arrest and Conviction} (Clinton, MO: The Author, 1888), 13-14; William L. Vandeventer, \textit{Justice in the Rough} (Ozark, MO: The Author, c. 1937), 12-13, 21. William L. Vandeventer was born in 1889, the son of Dr. Daniel Vandeventer, a Christian County Bald Knobber. Vandeventer served for many years as the prosecuting attorney for Christian County, an Assistant U.S. Attorney in Kansas City, and finally as a judge on the Springfield Court of Appeals from 1944 until his death in 1953. His manuscript, \textit{Justice in the Rough,} consists of his recollections of the Bald Knobber movement as told to him by several of the participants, including his father, as well as research he did in many of the court cases involving the Bald Knobbers. For Judge Vandeventer’s obituary, see \textit{Christian County Republican,} 12 November 1953.} The large proportion of unclaimed or government owned land provided stock raisers with plenty of open territory on which to fatten their cattle. At the same time, however, this system made cattle theft
relatively easy. A thief had only to catch an unbranded cow out of sight of its owner and mark it with his own brand, or else erase the old brand and put his own mark on it. Because the thieves operated exclusively in the county’s hills and forests, they could almost always evade detection. The Bald Knobber Joe McGill, who had returned to Taney County in 1881 after living for several years in Texas, recalled that the sheriff at the time, John Moseley, made hardly any arrests at all. For the most part, the criminals simply ignored the lawmen, or taunted them saying that their “authority reaches only to the bluffs,” and when they were once in the hills and brush, they defied the law – nothing and nobody were safe.”

One band of criminals that worked these hills was the notorious Taylor gang, so called because several brothers named Taylor belonged to it. The Taylor family had come to Missouri from Kentucky and settled about five miles from Forsyth on a hill called Nubbin Ridge. The Taylor gang, led by the brothers Frank and Tubal, engaged in a variety of petty crimes including brawling, carrying concealed weapons, discharging firearms in public thoroughfares, and stealing cattle or chickens. The Taylors often publically flaunted their disregard for the law by riding into Forsyth loaded with cash and inviting “the whole town to drink at their expense, remarking that they didn’t work for their money.” They also had the ugly habit of mutilating other people’s cattle for sport. One of their enemies, Alexander Kissee, made the mistake of speaking ill of them in public. For this transgression they took three of his finest cows, cut their tongues out, and left the poor beasts to starve.

By the 1880’s, many residents of Taney County found their communities, in the laconic words of Joe McGill, “in a bad way.” Their local government “was bankrupt and in the hands of
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a party clique.” Criminals ran roughshod over their neighborhoods, exposing their property to theft and their lives to danger, and the officers of the law appeared either unable or unwilling to stop them.\textsuperscript{105} The men who would create the Bald Knobber organization found this situation intolerable. Most of them had arrived in Taney County after the Civil War expecting to build new lives and new fortunes there. They had moved their families to a war-torn region and invested years of their lives and most of their capital to make their new home a success. As former soldiers, many of them yearned to take matters into their own hands. They only needed a spark or provocation to push them into action.

The first spark came on September 22, 1883, with the murder of James M. Everett. Everett’s friends, including Nathaniel Kinney and many others who later became Bald Knobbers, described him as “a prominent merchant of the town, in the liquor business.” James Everett owned a store in Forsyth located on the east side of the town square. His store, which also served as a billiard hall and saloon, consisted of two rooms. The back room contained a billiard table, and the front room housed Everett’s merchandise and a bar. Everett managed his saloon with the help of his brother, Barton, whom most people called “Yell” Everett on account of his penchant for speaking louder than necessary. In their establishment the brothers sold a type of whiskey generally called “forty rod,” meaning extremely potent whiskey.\textsuperscript{106}

On the day in question, two young men, Albert G. Layton (commonly called “Al”), a local roughneck of bad reputation, and his friend Sam Hull, went to Everett’s establishment for drinks and a few games of pool. While playing their game they had an argument of some kind which quickly escalated to blows. In the heat of the moment, Layton attempted to draw his

\textsuperscript{105} Hoenshel, 54-55.
revolver, at which point James Everett intervened. He grappled with Layton, wrestled him out of
the saloon, and threw him down onto the porch outside. Those present recalled that Everett told
the younger man, “I don’t low to hurt ye,” as he held him pinned to the floor, but “I aim to keep
ye out of trouble.” A small crowd of spectators gathered around the prostrate pair, some of them
urging Layton to give up his weapon in exchange for promises of safety, which the young man
refused to do. Then someone suggested that Everett had better let him up, which the
saloonkeeper unwisely did. Once released Layton reportedly dusted off his clothes; then without
warning he raised his revolver and fired two shots into Everett’s body, killing him instantly. Next
he turned and shot Everett’s brother Barton “through the right shoulder, making him yell louder
than he had ever done before.” Before those present had time to react, Layton ran to his horse
and galloped away.107

Shortly after making his escape, Al Layton surrendered to Sheriff John Moseley and
posted bond. Given the generally dismal record of county law officers at apprehending fugitives,
Layton might well have tried his luck on the run. The young man also had good reasons,
however, to hope for a favorable outcome in court. He belonged to one of the oldest and best
known families in the county, and his cousin, Thomas A. Layton, held the offices of county and
circuit clerk. Most of the county officers, including Prosecutor T.C. Spellings and Sheriff
Moseley, were friends and political allies of his family. Moreover, local juries had a reputation
for acquitting criminals, in part because jurors had to consider the potential consequences of
convicting men amongst whose relatives they had to live. Whatever role these calculations may
have played, a grand jury, which ironically included Nathaniel Kinney, indicted Layton for

107 New York Sun, 23 December 1888; Stone County News Oracle, 20 September 1963; New York Times,
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See, Vandeventer, 22.
murder. The circuit court granted Layton a continuance and set the date of his trial for October, 1884, more than a year after the shooting took place.108

In the interval, another notorious crime took place. On October 7, 1884, a young man named Newton Herrell killed Amos Ring, a man alternately described as his stepfather, or his “mother’s lover.” Mr. Ring had recently begun living with Herrell’s mother, a widow living in a cabin a short distance from Forsyth. Their domestic arrangement did not include the benefit of clergy. Herrell took offense at this affront to his mother’s reputation. On the day in question he went to his mother’s home and exchanged harsh words with her beau. Taking offense at this treatment, Ring retrieved a piece of wood from the stove and advanced on Herrell intending to teach him a lesson. Herrell quickly seized the opportunity to settle his score with Ring, and drew his revolver and shot the older man dead.109

The murders of James Everett and Amos Ring infuriated many local residents, who saw them as symptomatic of the larger problems which had afflicted Taney County for some time. The friends of the deceased James Everett, in particular, looked toward the upcoming trial of Al Layton as a test case to see if the courts would sustain the law and punish the guilty. Many of them believed that “if murder, robbing, arson, horse stealing, petty thieving, and other crimes were to continue and go unpunished, it was time that the law-abiding citizens organize to combat lawlessness.”110 So it must have come as an unpleasant shock to them when the jury in the Layton murder trial returned a verdict of not guilty on October 22, 1884. Charges of foul play flew back and forth. Some alleged that Prosecutor T.C. Spellings had accepted a bribe in
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109 New York Sun, 23 December 1888; New York Times, 11 December 1886; Springfield Express, 10 October 1884.
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exchange for sabotaging the case against Layton. Still others accused County Clerk Thomas A. Layton of using his influence on behalf of his cousin, and still others accused the defense of plying the jury with alcohol. No hard evidence exists to substantiate any of these claims, although according to one newspaper report “it is admitted that the jury got drunk.”

The reaction to Layton’s acquittal came in two forms. First, it helped galvanize the political opposition to the Democratic clique running the local government at that time. In the elections held the following month the Republicans had their best showing, and the Democrats their worst, in roughly a decade. At the top of the ticket, Republican presidential candidate James G. Blaine handily won Taney County with 646 votes to Grover Cleveland’s 460 votes. In the governor’s race Nicholas Ford, the “Fusion” candidate representing the Republican Party and the Greenback Labor Party, defeated Democrat John Sappington Marmaduke in Taney County by a similar margin.

More significantly, several Republicans (including some who became prominent Bald Knobbers) won election to local offices defeating the Democrats who had held those positions. James K. Polk McHaffie defeated John Moseley in the race for sheriff and collector of revenue, Reuben S. Branson defeated incumbent Thomas F. Compton in the race for county assessor, and Charles H. Groom won the election for treasurer. All three men belonged to the Republican Party, and would also join the Bald Knobbers. Additionally, Republicans Francis M. Keithley and J.W. Underwood won election to the County Court. Prosecutor T.C. Spellings, who had drawn criticism for his role in the Layton trial, lost his job to Rufus V. Burns, who was most
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likely a fellow Democrat. County Clerk Thomas Layton kept his office, but only because his four year term did not end until 1886. The one Bald Knobber who lost an election in 1884, Nathaniel Kinney, ran for state representative as a Democrat against Republican James C. Johnson and Greenbacker James R. Vanzandt. Johnson prevailed in the contest with a modest majority.

In addition to the political backlash of 1884, the controversy surrounding the Layton trial also prompted several leading citizens to begin meeting about the possibility of forming a vigilance committee. The available sources disagree about the exact date of the first meeting, but it happened either shortly before or shortly after the verdict in the Layton trial, possibly as late as January, 1885. It took place in Forsyth, in the store formerly belonging to James Everett but subsequently managed by his brother Barton. Thirteen men attended the meeting, including Nathaniel N. Kinney, Alonzo S. Prather, Barton Y. Everett, James B. Rice, Thomas W. Phillips, James R. Vanzandt, Patterson F. Fickle, J. J. Brown, Galba E. Branson, James K. Polk McHaffie, James A. Delong, Charles H. Groom, and Benjamin B. Price. Kinney chaired the meeting, and the participants appointed Brown, a prominent attorney in Forsyth, to draw up a series of resolutions which the participants signed. The resolutions established a vigilance committee, officially named the “Committee for Law and Order,” for the purpose of assisting local law enforcement officers in the performance of their duties. They pledged that the signers “would at all times respond to the call of the officers to enforce obedience to the law.”
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no specific rules concerning masks, and in Taney County the members of the committee rarely, if ever, wore them. The only insignia the vigilantes wore was a small badge made of red silk, approximately five by two inches, with the words “Stand Up for Taney County and Law and Order” stitched onto them.\textsuperscript{117}

The participants also decided to make the vigilance committee a secret organization, so as to ensure the safety of its members, and they asked Brown and Kinney to draw up a constitution and by-laws and a membership oath to administer to new recruits. No copies of the constitution and by-laws survive, since to preserve their secrecy the members of the group later decided to destroy them.\textsuperscript{118} Several versions of the membership oath do survive, however, including one which the New York \textit{Sun} later reprinted. The oath represented the basic sentiments and purpose of the organization:

\begin{quote}
Do you, in the presence of God and these witnesses, solemnly swear that you will never reveal any of the secrets of this order nor communicate any part of it to any person or persons in the known world, unless you are satisfied by a strict test, or in some legal way, that they are lawfully entitled to receive them; that you will conform and abide by the rules and regulations of this order, and obey all orders of your superior officers or any brother officer under whose jurisdiction you may be at the time attached; nor will you propose for membership or sanction the admission of anyone whom you have reason to believe is not worthy of being a member, nor will you oppose the admission of anyone solely on a personal matter. You shall report all theft that is made known to you, and you shall not leave unreported any thief on account of his being a blood relation of yours; nor will you willfully report anyone through personal enmity. You shall recognize and answer all signs made by lawful brothers and render them such assistance as they may be in need of, so far as you are able or the interest of your family will permit; nor will you willfully wrong or defraud a brother, or permit it if in your power to prevent it. Should you willfully and knowingly violate this oath in any way, you subject yourself to the jurisdiction of twelve members of this order, even if their decision should be to hang you by the neck until you are dead, dead, dead. So help me God.\textsuperscript{119}
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{118} Randolph, 9.
Despite the sense of urgency which the language of the oath seemed to convey, at this point the vigilance committee remained in its infancy stage. For the first few months of its existence the organization did not do much either to enforce the law or to apprehend or punish criminals. In order to have the impact they desired the vigilantes needed greater numbers, and better organization.

To accomplish those ends, the vigilantes held their first large-scale organizational meeting on April 5, 1885. It took place on a large treeless ridge (a “bald knob” in local parlance) located near Kirbyville, an area the residents called “Snapp’s Bald.” The vigilantes selected this location because it gave them a clear view of the surrounding countryside, which provided security in case someone wished to spy on the proceedings or ambush them. According to James A. Delong, Nathaniel Kinney’s stepson, early that morning Kinney went to the meeting place alone, ostensibly to ensure its security. The vigilante leaders had invited those they wished to recruit into the organization ahead of time. As the morning broke, a small handful of men approached the meeting place in “terror lest they should be led into a trap.” At the clearing at the top of the hill they met Kinney, who greeted them all as they arrived.120

As the day wore on more men arrived, eventually bringing the total to roughly 100. When it looked like no more would come, Kinney stood up to address the crowd. He gave what witnesses described as “a blood-stirring oration over the bloody shirt of J.M. Everett.” No one preserved the exact text of Kinney’s speech, but according to one newspaper account it ended as follows: “What will become of our sons and daughters? Our lives, our property, and our liberty
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are at stake. I appeal to you, as citizens of Taney County, to say what we shall do. Shall we organize ourselves into a vigilant committee and see that when crimes are committed the laws are enforced, or shall we sit down and fold our arms and quietly submit?” At this point some men in the crowd shouted “Boy, she pops!” This phrase, a common colloquialism among the hill folk at that time, replaced the traditional “aye” at future Bald Knobber gatherings.121

After voting to organize themselves into a vigilance committee, the new recruits took the membership oath and received instruction concerning the rules and secrets of the order. The members also voted to elect Nathaniel Kinney as the leader, or “chieftain,” of the group. One of the first rules which Kinney imposed forbade the use of written records of any kind in order to preserve the secrecy of the organization. They also adopted a semi-military structure, which subdivided the organization into separate companies, or “legions,” each with a captain commanding it. For example, James Delong, Kinney’s stepson, commanded a legion which eventually numbered more than forty men.122

The first public act associated with the Bald Knobbers occurred shortly thereafter, on April 7, 1885, at Forsyth. Somewhere between ten o’clock in the evening and one o’clock in the morning a company of between sixty and 100 armed men rode into town. They surrounded the county jail, apparently intending to take from it the prisoner Newton Herrell, who had sat there awaiting trial for the murder of Amos Ring seven months before. When he heard the commotion outside, Herrell, who probably realized the crowd’s intention, began shouting for help. The riders demanded the keys to the jail, but Sheriff McHaffie, himself a charter member of the Bald Knobbers, denied their request. The riders backed down this time, but before leaving town they

121 New York Sun, 23 December 1888; Stone County New Oracle, 4 October 1963; Randolph, 8-9. These quotations are found in both sources.
entered the courthouse and placed on the judge’s bench a length of rope tied into a noose, presumably to signal their desire that the court convict Herrell and punish him accordingly.\textsuperscript{123}

Sheriff McHaffie’s refusal to give the vigilantes the keys to the jail raises some interesting questions. First, it calls into question whether the Bald Knobbers had really reached a consensus among themselves about how to punish lawbreakers. Second, it raises the possibility that a conflict had already arisen among members of the Bald Knobber leadership. Given Kinney’s election as chieftain just two days prior, it seems safe to assume that he approved the raid on the jail. Sheriff McHaffie evidently did not. One newspaper account suggests that the riders only intended to scare Herrell, not to lynch him.\textsuperscript{124} If true, that would mean the vigilantes staged the entire affair as an elaborate ruse to frighten a prisoner and project their own authority. It hardly seems likely, however, that the Bald Knobbers would have gone to all that trouble to scare a man who would soon stand trial for murder. In any event, their escapade did not have the desired effect. In response to the night’s events, the circuit judge granted Newton Herrell a continuance of his trial and sent him to the Greene County jail in Springfield for his safe keeping.\textsuperscript{125} Several months later, on November 2, Herrell escaped from jail and promptly disappeared. He managed to evade re-capture for two years, until lawmen finally caught up with him at Golden City, Missouri on September 6, 1887.\textsuperscript{126}

Despite the inconclusive end to the Bald Knobbers’ first raid on Forsyth, it sent a signal that the vigilantes intended to bring real change to Taney County and that they would no longer tolerate lawlessness in their communities. About a week after the near lynching of Herrell, Alonzo Prather wrote an editorial in his newspaper, the \textit{Home and Farm}, which justified the
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action and explained what the people could expect from the vigilantes in the future. Prather noted that for the preceding two or three weeks “small squads of men” had been meeting at various places in the county to discuss the crime situation in their community. The “demonstration in Forsyth on Monday night of last week,” he said, had showed that now “something more than talk is likely to be done toward enforcing the law.” This demonstration was a response to the prevailing condition of lawlessness in Taney County, where for several years the lawful authorities had allowed criminals to run rampant while decent citizens had “to keep under cover and pay the bills.” Henceforth a “combination of law-abiding citizens” would assist law officers in enforcing the law and apprehending criminals. This new organization, Prather promised, would ensure that “the law will be enforced hereafter in Taney County – not mob law, but there will be force enough to sustain the courts and the officers.”

The Bald Knobbers soon had another opportunity to demonstrate what kind of force they could bring to bear in order to enforce the law and punish criminals. On April 7, 1885, the same day as the Bald Knobbers’ attempted lynching of Herrell, Frank Taylor visited John T. Dickenson’s general store at Eglinton, a small village of mostly English settlers located about five miles northeast of Forsyth, near the present site of Taneyville. Taylor attempted to purchase a pair of boots and other items on credit. Since Dickenson had already extended credit to Taylor without receiving payment he refused to comply with this request. An argument broke
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out which culminated with Taylor’s cursing and threatening Dickenson. He also wrecked the store and left merchandise strewn across the floor, before he walked out.\textsuperscript{129}

A few hours after the confrontation at Dickenson’s store, Frank Taylor rode into Forsyth where his brother Tubal had recently surrendered to the authorities on the charge of maiming Alexander Kissee’s cattle. For some unknown reason, Sheriff McHaffie had placed Tubal in the temporary custody of a deputy, rather than locking him up in the jail. When Frank Taylor arrived in town, he found Tubal and his guardian on the porch of the Everett saloon. Frank dismounted in front of the saloon, and without any warning Tubal jumped into the saddle and rode away.\textsuperscript{130}

The following day, April 8, John Dickenson went to Forsyth. Dickenson naturally resented the treatment he had received from Frank Taylor. In earlier days he might have let Taylor’s insults and abuses pass. Dickenson had recently joined the Bald Knobbers, however, and perhaps this new association emboldened him. He swore out an indictment against Frank Taylor on the charge of disturbing the peace. The grand jury indicted Taylor, but he quickly posted bond to secure his release.\textsuperscript{131}

Two days later, on April 10, 1885, Frank Taylor, his brother Tubal, and a friend named Elijah Sublett, returned to the Dickenson store. They brought with them a large “black-snake” whip, with the apparent intent of horsewhipping the storekeeper for having Frank indicted. Upon entering the store Frank Taylor sat down next to Mr. Dickenson and exchanged the customary “good evening.” Suddenly, Taylor seized the older man by the throat. Dickenson tried to free himself, and the two men struggled with each other until Taylor pulled out his revolver and shot Dickenson in the mouth, knocking out several teeth and a part of his left jawbone. Hearing the commotion, Mrs. Dickenson attempted to intervene on her husband’s behalf. In the ensuing

\textsuperscript{129} Vandeventer, 27-28; Groom and McConkey, 8; New York Sun, 23 December 1888.
\textsuperscript{130} Ibid.; Groom and McConkey, 8-9.
\textsuperscript{131} New York Sun, 23 December 1888; Groom & McConkey, 9; Vandeventer, 28.
commotion the Taylor brothers and Sublett fired at least four more shots, wounding Mr. Dickenson in the right shoulder, and inflicting slight wounds to Mrs. Dickenson. Neither person’s wounds proved fatal.\textsuperscript{132}

The shooting of the Dickensons touched off a huge manhunt. A large search party said to number “several hundred strong,” including Bald Knobbers and other citizens, turned out to search for the perpetrators.\textsuperscript{133} The vigilantes especially wanted the Taylors whose many transgressions made them primary targets for the vigilantes’ wrath. In the end, however, the Taylor brothers gave themselves up when they learned that their victims had not succumbed to their wounds. Given the county’s long history of acquitting criminals, the brothers may have thought that they could win an acquittal as Al Layton had done. Or perhaps they thought that they had better take their chances with the law rather than risk running into a company of Bald Knobbers. Still another version of this story suggests that when the Taylors heard about a reward offered for their capture they devised a plan to enrich themselves. According to this scenario, they would enlist some of their friends to hand them over to Sheriff McHaffie. After the Taylors posted bonds to secure their release, “their friends were to collect the reward and divide [it] with them.”\textsuperscript{134}

Whatever intentions they may have had, the brothers clearly miscalculated the situation. On April 15, 1885 they sent a message to Sheriff McHaffie that they wished to surrender in return for a promise of protection. Two of the sheriff’s deputies took the Taylor boys into custody and locked them up in the county jail. At about ten o’clock that evening a company of
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between 75 and 100 armed men rode into Forsyth and surrounded the jail. Although the men reportedly wore no masks, none of them was identified afterward, save for Nathaniel Kinney, whose size made him unmistakable. This time no one interfered with their work. Using two sledge hammers procured from a local blacksmith shop, the men battered down the door to the jail and broke into the cell holding the Taylors.\textsuperscript{135}

The men seized the Taylors and took them from the jail. The brothers now realized their danger and “begged piteously for their lives but all to no purpose.” As the Taylors rode out of town with their captors, Frank Taylor reportedly noticed a young woman named Jennie Lunce watching from the home of J.S.B. Berry where she worked. He had once courted her, and so as he passed by the house he bid farewell to her.\textsuperscript{136} The next morning Deputy T.H. Toney and another man named A.L. Parrish discovered the bodies of the Taylor brothers hanging from the limb of a scrub oak tree roughly two and a half miles outside of Forsyth. Attached to Tubal Taylor’s shirt they found a placard, with the following message inscribed upon it: “Beware! These are the first victims to the wrath of outraged citizens. More will follow. The Bald Knobbers.”\textsuperscript{137} Despite this rather obvious clue, the coroner’s inquest held concerning the Taylor brothers’ deaths concluded simply that the men had died “by hanging at the hands of about one hundred men to this jury unknown.”\textsuperscript{138}

The hanging of the Taylor brothers came at a critical juncture in the history of Taney County and Southwest Missouri. During this period the forces of economic modernization, improved transportation, and mass immigration steadily wore away the foundations of the traditional rural and communal society in the Ozarks. At the vanguard of this new social order

\textsuperscript{135} New York Times, 11 December 1886; New York Sun, 23 December 1888.
\textsuperscript{136} Kansas City Star, 11 December 1886.
\textsuperscript{137} New York Sun, 23 December 1888; Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 19 September 1888; Taney County News, 27 September 1888.
\textsuperscript{138} Groom & McConkey, 10.
came a group of men determined to remake Ozarks society in their own image. Although their backgrounds varied significantly, they did share certain traits. They were predominantly middle class and upper class men, many of whom came from states in the North or the Midwest. Several of them had served in the Union army, or else belonged to families that had supported the Union during the Civil War, and most of the veterans continued to identify with the Union cause through participation in organizations such as the Grand Army of the Republic. A majority of them belonged to the Republican Party, although there were significant exceptions (e.g., Kinney). Most importantly, they shared a common vision of the kind of society they wanted to build in the Ozarks, which included new roads, bridges, banks, railroads, responsible local government, and prosperity based on free markets and free labor. The Bald Knobber movement championed this vision, and drew into its ranks those who believed in it. They identified the enemies of their cause as the numerous outlaws and petty criminals who had long plagued their communities and the corrupt courthouse ring of Democratic politicians who they believed had burdened them with an outrageous public debt. The lynching of the Taylor brothers demonstrated their willingness to use violent force to remove these obstacles to progress.
CHAPTER 3: THE PURGING OF TANEY COUNTY

The lynching of Frank and Tubal Taylor set off a chain of events that few of the Bald Knobbers who participated in the hangings could have predicted. These included the emergence of an organized opposition to the vigilantes, an escalation of violence between them and their opponents, and the eventual intervention by the state government. The initial response to the lynchings, however, was widespread apprehension about what might come next. Following the Taylor brothers’ untimely demise, at least a few members of the vigilance committee began to have second thoughts about their activities. Some members, including Emmett Everett and Jim Parnell, quit the group shortly after the lynching. These drop outs felt that they had joined the organization to enforce the law and to assist legitimate law officers, not to subvert or take the law into their own hands. For most of the band, however, the real concern was whether their action would meet with the approval of their fellow citizens, on whose sentiments their survival depended. Over the next couple of weeks, the Bald Knobbers held meetings to discuss the mood of the public. They took heart when they learned that most of their neighbors approved of the Taylor lynching, and few wanted to see the men who took part in it prosecuted. In fact, their actions brought more recruits into their ranks, which according to some sources soon swelled to at least 300 men.

---

3 Estimates of the numerical strength of the Bald Knobbers in Taney County vary greatly depending on the source. On March 6, 1886 the Jefferson City *Daily Tribune* estimated that the vigilantes numbered around 300 men out of around 1,200 males of voting age in the county. By contrast, the Bald Knobber chroniclers Charles H. Groom and D.F. McConkey estimated that the Taney County vigilantes numbered around 1,000 at the height of their influence. See, Charles H. Groom and D.F. McConkey, *The Bald Knobbers or Citizen’s Committee of Taney and Christian Counties, Missouri: A History of Southwest Missouri’s Famous Organization, Its Origin, Object, Workings, and Final Termination* (Forsyth, MO: Groom & McConkey, 1887), 5. Additionally, the Springfield *Daily Herald* (March 13, 1886) estimated that around 300 Bald Knobbers attended a mass meeting at Forsyth on March 10, 1886, and the *New York Times* (December 11, 1886) and the New York *Sun* (December 23, 1888) both estimated that around 500 Bald Knobbers attended the final public meeting of the Bald Knobbers on April 10, 1886. Since the
With the support of their community, the Bald Knobbers felt emboldened to begin purging Taney County, driving out criminals and other people whose presence they considered undesirable. Contemporary observers reported a general exodus of the county’s outlaw population who discovered “that while horses were getting wilder, more skittish and harder to catch after dark, the farmers were raising more hemp than ever before.” The possibility of receiving a visit from “the dreaded Bald Knobbers recalled to their memories the fact that it was a long time since they had seen their kinfolks in Arkansas, and they generally took the trip forthwith.”

Among the first people to leave were Francis and Matilda Taylor, the parents of Frank and Tubal Taylor whom the Knobbers had so recently dangled from their ropes. The Taylors moved the remainder of their family to Marionville in Lawrence County. Frank and Tubal’s brother, William Taylor, enrolled in Marionville College, where he joined the Methodist Episcopal Church and taught Sunday school. William Taylor would himself later play a role in the Bald Knobber story the following year.

Over the next several months many other families and individuals followed the Taylors out of Taney County. No one knows exactly how many people the Bald Knobbers drove out, but enough examples exist to suggest that scores of people left, if not hundreds. The Bald Knobbers commonly referred to their method of eviction as “warning out.” It involved a night time visit to the victim’s home by a group of riders. Often the riders fired a few shots into the air to announce

---

Bald Knobbers kept no membership records, their exact numerical strength can never be firmly established. I lean toward the lower end of the numerical spectrum, however, because of the relatively small population of the county, and because of the tendency of observers to overstate the number of people in crowds. An even lower estimate comes from historian Thomas Spencer, who suggested that the Taney County Bald Knobbers numbered only “eighty to one hundred active members.” See, Thomas M. Spencer, “The Bald Knobbers, the Anti-Bald Knobbers, Politics, and the Culture of Violence in the Ozarks, 1860-1890” In Thomas M. Spencer, ed., The Other Missouri History: Populists, Prostitutes, and Regular Folk (Columbia, MO: University of Missouri Press, 2004), 37. Spencer does not explain how he arrived at this estimate, however, and I believe it is too low in light of the evidence cited above.

4 Taney County Times, 17 November 1887. The words “raising more hemp” in this context appear to be a play on words suggesting the use of hemp for making nooses.

5 Groom and McConkey, 10. For the members of the Taylor household in 1880, see “Francis Taylor,” 1880 U.S. Census, Missouri, Taney County, Swan Township, District 124, p. 21.
their presence, followed by a stern warning to the transgressor to flee or face dire consequences. Frequently the riders left a bundle of hickory switches at the doorstep of the evictee. The number of switches represented the number of days the victim had to depart before the Bald Knobbers would pay him a return visit.⁶

A resident named Ben Boyd received one of the first of these visits from the vigilantes. Boyd lived with his family across the White River from Forsyth. By the fall of 1885 he had gained a reputation for “larcenous proclivities;” several of his neighbors complained about his alleged thefts. The Bald Knobbers decided to expel him from Taney County. One night Charles Groom led a small group of four riders to Boyd’s house. As they approached the home, Boyd’s dog alerted him to their arrival, and he quickly took refuge underneath the structure. As they arrived, the men called for Boyd to come out to meet them. He refused and asked them what they wanted. Groom replied that they had received complaints “that you have difficulty in distinguishing your property from that of your neighbors, and consequently your neighbors are objecting to your residing longer in the community.” Groom went on to say that Boyd had ten days to leave before they paid him another visit. Boyd, perhaps feeling relieved to hear that they did not want to punish him that night, replied “Hell, I’ll give you back nearly all that time.” He promised to leave the county by 10 o’clock the next morning. True to his word, Boyd and his family departed for Arkansas the next day.⁷

Several members of the Pruitt clan received similar warnings from the Bald Knobbers. The family of William H. Pruitt received the following notice: “W. H. Pruitt, you have fooled with the wrong end of the mule, and you have 30 days to leave the county.” As if to emphasize their point, the note contained a picture of a tree with a noose hanging from it. The families of

---

⁷ Vandeventer, 74-75.
Reuben Pruitt, Wade Pruitt, and James Pruitt received similar messages. According to the Anti-Bald Knobber, Sampson Barker, a band of about 65 Bald Knobbers paid a nocturnal visit to the home of one of the Pruitt families (he did not specify which one). During the course of the raid the vigilantes “abused his half idiotic wife and poor little bare headed, bare-footed, and semi-naked girl children,” killed the family dog, and “shot into the walls of the poor miserable log hut in which they were camped.”

J.F. Grant and his family, including his wife and three children, also received an eviction order from the Bald Knobbers, as did three of the Orr brothers and their families. The Bald Knobbers also paid night-time visits to the homes of Robert Patterson, Frank Grand, and James Coffer, an “old inoffensive man” who never quarreled with anybody and who was “almost a pauper” at the time of his eviction. On another occasion, the Bald Knobbers seized a man named Edward Tuttle and kept him prisoner for a whole day and night. They told the terrified man that they wanted to hang him, and even forced him to make out a will. In the end Tuttle only saved himself from a lynching by promising to sell his farm and leave the county immediately. Tuttle sold his farm at a considerable loss and moved his family to Christian County.

The Bald Knobbers targeted some people on the suspicion of criminal activity. Others had to go because they were connected in some way to the Democratic political machine that the voters had recently deposed in the 1884 election. Still others the Bald Knobbers forced out

---

8 Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 10 November 1885; Sampson Barker to Governor John S. Marmaduke, Forsyth, MO, March 20, 1886, Missouri State Archives, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7. Hereafter, Missouri State Archives will be abbreviated MSA. The Tribune article spells the names of William H. Pruitt and Reuben Pruitt as “Pruet,” but the correct spelling can be found in Sampson Barker’s letter to Governor Marmaduke, and in the 1880 census records.

9 Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 10 November 1885; Barker to Governor Marmaduke, Forsyth, MO, March 20, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7. The reference to the three of the Orr brothers probably refers to James, Lewis, George, and Brazzeal Orr, four brothers who lived in Taney County in the 1880’s. Census records show that all four were born and in Maries County, Missouri to James and Temperance Orr. Unfortunately, it is not clear which three of the four brothers were expelled from Taney County. See, “James Orr,” 1860 U.S. Census, Missouri, Maries County, Boone Township, p. 9.
simply because they somehow had managed to anger, annoy, or inconvenience the vigilantes. Examples of such coercion abound. The Bald Knobbers expelled Jerome Winslow, a young man described in newspaper accounts as “well connected,” and a “friend of the Taylors.” They also warned out Jefferson Weaver, the son-in-law of ex-Sheriff John Mosely, possibly to keep him from testifying against a Bald Knobber who was on trial for felonious assault. A man named Jonathan Brooks received a warning from the Bald Knobbers that he had built a fence on a public road. The fence inconvenienced some of his Bald Knobber neighbors, who ordered him to move it. When he failed to comply, they destroyed it at night. He wisely decided not to rebuild it. Another man drew the Bald Knobber’s ire during a dispute with a widow concerning the possession of a farm. The Bald Knobbers sent him a written note telling him “politely but firmly” not to “meddle with Mrs. S________ or her business; let her alone in possession of her homestead; don’t make any more threats to send a mob to drive her away from home. You will also allow her to cultivate the crop that her husband planted. Don’t misunderstand this note, but obey it to the letter, or we will use you in our way of doing.”

Neither political connections nor social stature protected those who attracted the Bald Knobbers’ wrath. For example, the justice of the peace in Oliver Township had to leave Taney County because, according to one newspaper account, “He could do no business. He was not a Bald Knobber.” The same article reported that a band of about 40 Bald Knobbers arrested “two young men named Coggburn” without a warrant, and took them before a judge who “fined them $25.00 for carrying concealed weapons.” The father of these young men was most likely John S. Coggburn, whom the newspaper called “one of the best citizens of the county” as well as the

---

10 Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 10 November 1885.
treasurer of the local Masonic Lodge. John S. Coggburn was also the uncle of Andrew Coggburn, who within a few months would die at the hands of Nathaniel Kinney.  

The Bald Knobbers also paid visits to the homes of John H. Haworth and M.P. Boyd, and fired gunshots into their houses in an attempt to intimidate them and drive them away. John Haworth’s uncle, Jordan M. Haworth, was a prominent minister in the community who had served a term as the presiding judge of the county court and as the county’s representative in the Missouri General Assembly. John Haworth himself belonged to the Forsyth Lodge, Number 453, of the Free Masons and had co-founded (with his uncle) the first Christian Church in Taney County. Many years later Haworth wrote an account of his experiences during the Bald Knobber years in which he recalled that the raid on his house stemmed from his decision to rent a farm about ten miles south of Forsyth. Apparently, several Bald Knobbers wanted to purchase the place, but as long as Haworth held the lease they could not do so. On the night in question a party of about 20 Bald Knobbers led by a man named McGill (probably Joe McGill) came to his home. McGill asked him if he intended to keep his lease. Haworth replied that he did even if “every ear of corn cost me a dollar.” McGill warned that if he did so “we will crack your neck.” Several of the Bald Knobbers then fired shots into the roof of Haworth’s house, after which they turned around and rode off.

---

11 Ibid.; Janice Looney, “Andrew Coggburn, 1866-1886,” *White River Valley Historical Quarterly* 9, no. 6 (Winter 1987): 8-9. Hereafter, the *White River Valley Historical Quarterly* shall be abbreviated *WRVHQ*. The Daily Tribune does not identify the father of the two Coggburn boys by name, but John S. Coggburn is the most likely candidate since he was the only male head of a household named Coggburn who would have had two adult sons in 1885. See, “John Coggburn,” 1880 U.S. Census, Missouri, Taney County, Oliver Township, District 124, p. 10.


13 J.H. Haworth, “Taney County Bald Knobbers,” *WRVHQ* 3, no. 9 (Spring, 1986): 20-21. This article was published posthumously after Mr. Haworth’s death in 1942. A description of this incident is also found in the Jefferson City *Daily Tribune*, 7 March 1886.
As the preceding episodes demonstrate, in addition to enforcing the law, the Bald Knobbers were not above using their power to intervene in private disputes between neighbors. Many of them apparently felt that their authority also extended to matters of private morality and vice. If the Bald Knobbers believed a man had abused his wife or neglected to support his family, he could find himself the recipient of an unwelcome visit from a “dark assemblage” that would “take him from his bed, and mete out justice to him with a full-grown sapling.”\textsuperscript{14} The Bald Knobbers took special notice of any public disruptions made during religious services. Nathaniel Kinney, a very religious man, used to teach a Sunday school at the Oak Grove schoolhouse near Kirbyville. Kinney’s reputation for stern religiosity drew scorn from many of the young roughnecks with whom he so frequently clashed as a vigilante leader. Richard Prather, who attended Kinney’s Sunday school as a boy, recalled that one Sunday a group of “wild young hellions” sitting in the back row disrupted Kinney’s lesson. The enormous Kinney walked back to where the “young scamps” were sitting and towering over them declared “One more peep out of you and I’ll throw you out of the window.” In the face of this furious giant the scamps wisely left the church and rode off firing their pistols into the air in defiance.\textsuperscript{15}

Not all of the roughnecks showed such discretion. In September, 1885 local authorities issued a warrant for the arrest of two of the three Mercer brothers on the charge of disturbing public worship. On September 29, Deputy Sheriff Arter Kissee, a Bald Knobber and a brother of Alexander Kissee, took the warrant to the Mercer family’s cabin on Nubbin Ridge. There he found Buck Mercer, one of the two men named in the warrant. Kissee entered the cabin from the back door. When Mercer saw the officer coming toward him he ducked out the front door. On

\textsuperscript{14} Robert L. Harper, \textit{Among the Bald Knobbers: A History of the Desperadoes of the Ozark Mountains, Their Atrocious Deeds, Rendezvous, Homes and Habits, the Arrest and Conviction} (Clinton, MO: The Author, 1888), 19.

\textsuperscript{15} Richard Prather, “Cap’ Kinney, Bald Knobber Chief,” 2. This is a typed manuscript from the Lynn Morrow Collection. Hereafter, the Lynn Morrow Collection shall be abbreviated LMC.
his way out Mercer grabbed his shotgun, which unfortunately he discovered was unloaded. He ran around the back of the house intending to grab his other gun which he had left leaning against the rear wall of the cabin. Kissee met him coming out the back door and fired a shot into the man’s chest, killing him instantly.16

One of the young hellions who delighted in disrupting Kinney’s Sunday school was Andrew Coggburn, nephew of John S. Coggburn and cousin to the two young men whom the Bald Knobbers had arrested for carrying concealed weapons. In the summer of 1885, Kinney’s congregation held a Sunday school convention at their church. One morning the participants opened the door to find that someone had left a coat in the church. The coat had a placard on it marked with skull and crossbones, and the words “Captain Kinney’s,” a reference to the Bald Knobber leader.17 Affixed to the front door of the church they also found a miniature coffin with a buckshot ball and a note inside. The note read “To old Kinney, pisen (sic) and death is his favorite role.” Several of the Coggburn boys, including Andrew Coggburn, and their sisters attended the church that morning. The Coggburns evidently found it amusing to observe the discomfort their actions had caused. Kinney later recalled that when he saw the coffin with the ominous note he “called everybody up to see it, and said, pointing my finger at Coggburn, ‘the man who did this is here and he will need a box before I do.’”18 The Coggburn boys carried no weapons with them, so no violence occurred at that time, but someone later said that their sisters had revolvers concealed under their dresses in case their men needed them. After that day

---

16 Groom and McConkey, 14; New York Sun, 23 December 1888; Kansas City Star, 30 September 1885.
17 Kansas City Journal, 13 April 1886.
18 Interview with Springfield Daily Herald, 6 March 1886, quoted in Groom and McConkey, 36.
Kinney and the Coggburns “were like tigers, each watching the other lest he might pounce on him unawares.”

Kinney’s stern warning to Andrew Coggburn evidently did not deter the younger man from continuing to antagonize the Bald Knobber chieftain and his followers. At some point he decided upon a rather innovative method of expressing his disrespect for the vigilante leader. He wrote a satirical song titled “The Ballad of the Bald Knobbers” sung to the tune of the popular old ditty “My Name is Charles Guiteau.” The song, which Coggburn and his friends enjoyed singing whenever they wanted to annoy the Bald Knobbers, mocked the vigilantes for their pride, greed, and abuses of power. At least two different versions of the song exist, indicating that it may have gone through multiple revisions and redactions over time, but both versions contain a verse aimed directly at Nathaniel Kinney:

There is one big Bald Knobber
Whose Name I will Expose,
His Name is Nat N. Kinney,
And he wears his federal clothes.
He tries to boss the people
And make them do his will.
There’s some that does not fear him,
But others mind him still.

The disturbances surrounding Nathaniel Kinney’s church, and the feud between Kinney and the Coggburn family, underscored the escalating tensions between the Bald Knobbers and

---

19 Kansas City Journal, 13 April 1886. The articles in the Kansas City Journal and the Springfield Daily Herald disagree on two small but interesting points. The Daily Herald only mentions the miniature coffin, not the coat with the placard, and whereas the Journal says that the miniature coffin was placed on the coat, the Daily Herald says that it was affixed to the front door of the schoolhouse. Since the Daily Herald drew its information from an interview with Nathaniel Kinney, the Journal’s description of the coat with the threatening placard may have been an invention added after the fact. I have left it in my account, however, because it could just as easily be a true detail which the Daily Herald left out.

20 Vance Randolph, The Devil’s Pretty Daughter: and Other Ozark Folk Tales (New York: Columbia University Press, 1955), 39-42; Hartman and Ingenthron, 97-100. Randolph attributed the song to Coggburn alone, but Hartman and Ingenthron suggested that other individuals such as Andrew Coggburn’s uncle, Robert Coggburn, or Aunt Matt Moore, may have contributed verses to it as well. Additionally, the version of the song found in Hartman and Ingenthron’s book has several more verses than the version in Randolph’s The Devil’s Pretty Daughter.
those who found their tactics heavy handed and unjust. Those tensions only increased when, on December 19, 1885, the Taney County courthouse mysteriously burned down. Only two sets of records survived the fire. County treasurer Charles Groom, a Bald Knobber, managed to rescue enough of Taney County’s tax records to furnish the county with a new set of tax books. County Clerk Thomas A. Layton, an Anti-Bald Knobber who still held office by virtue of winning a four-year term in 1882, managed to rescue a book containing the abstracts of Taney County’s land titles.21

The actual cause of the fire remains unknown, but the people of Taney County had no shortage of theories on the matter. The Bald Knobbers blamed the fire on their enemies, particularly the members of the old Democratic courthouse ring that the voters had thrown out in the election of 1884. This included former Sheriff John Moseley, former Prosecutor T.C. Spellings, former County Judge J.J. Reynolds, and Thomas A. Layton, the current county clerk, who still held office by virtue of winning a four year term in 1882. After retaking the county courthouse the new Republican regime petitioned the Circuit Court to appoint an independent investigator to go over the county’s books, presumably to uncover evidence of their predecessors’ financial misdeeds. The Democratic officials declared that they had nothing to hide and that an investigation would only vindicate them. Before any investigation could proceed, however, the courthouse burned down. The Bald Knobbers claimed that the members of the old regime had burned down the courthouse in an attempt to cover their tracks. Their opponents, by contrast, claimed that the vigilantes themselves had set the fire, possibly to destroy the county’s land records so as to allow the Bald Knobbers to push property owners off their land. Vance Randolph, in his historical narrative of the Bald Knobbers, wrote that one Bald

21 Goodspeed Brothers, A Reminiscent History of the Ozark Region: Comprising A Condensed General History, A Brief Descriptive History of Each County, and Numerous Biographical Sketches of Prominent Citizens of such Counties (Chicago: Goodspeed Brothers, Publishers, 1894), 105; New York Sun, 23 December 1888.
Knobber “made a great deal of money over a long period of time, which he could not have made if the county records had been preserved.” He did not, however, name the individual or provide any further elaboration.\(^{22}\)

Neither of these explanations seems very plausible. Even if the Bald Knobbers had wanted to start the blaze, they must have realized that the cost of replacing the courthouse would add to the county’s already large debt burden, which as tax-payers they wanted to eliminate. In 1890, state representative Alonzo Prather managed to convince the General Assembly to underwrite the construction of a new courthouse, but in 1885 no one knew that would happen. Likewise, if the former county officials whom the Bald Knobbers accused of starting the fire wanted to destroy evidence of financial malfeasance, why not get rid of any incriminating evidence before leaving office? Why take the risk of committing arson? Moreover, nineteenth century courthouses were typically constructed of wood, packed full with flammable material, and heated using wood stoves or open fireplaces. Thus, they had a notorious propensity for going up in flames.\(^{23}\) So the blaze that destroyed the Taney County courthouse may have had an entirely innocent explanation. This seems like a more probable scenario than any of the conspiracy theories. Unfortunately, in the tense and highly suspicious atmosphere of 1885 and 1886, few people gave their neighbors from the “other side” the benefit of the doubt.

Following the courthouse fire of 1885, two events took place which helped push the Bald Knobbers and their opponents perilously close to open violence against one another. The first was the murder of Mack Dimmock, a young mentally retarded man from Marionville in

\(^{22}\) New York Sun, 23 December 1888; Taney County News, 27 September 1888; Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 19 September 1888; Randolph, 12.

\(^{23}\) According to a 1944 article in the Journal of Southern History on state of record keeping in the South, virtually every state “has had many and disastrous courthouse fires.” For example, the North Carolina and Arkansas have had 54 and 35 courthouse fires respectively. See, J. G. De Roulhac Hamilton, “Three Centuries of Southern Records, 1607-1907,” Journal of Southern History 10, no. 1 (February, 1944): 15.
Lawrence County, who died in a gulch near the Boston Road (also called the “Wilderness Road”) which ran through Taney County. Many of the Bald Knobbers assigned the blame for this terrible crime to William Taylor, the brother of Frank and Tubal Taylor who had perished the previous April.

In late February, 1886, William Taylor hired Mack Dimmock, who owned a horse and buggy and a wagon, to take him on a short trip into Taney County, ostensibly to purchase a load of timber there. About five days later Taylor returned to Marionville, with the horse, buggy, wagon, and lumber, but without Dimmock. He had a bill of sale that he acknowledged writing, but claimed that Dimmock had signed it, selling him the horse and other equipment for $60 in cash and a promissory note for another $60. Taylor claimed that during their journey Dimmock left him at a place called Camp Spring in Taney County. Dimmock, he explained, intended to go to Springfield and take a train to Illinois to see his mother who had recently moved there. Many people had suspicions about the accuracy of this story, but no one investigated the matter until Mrs. Dimmock, anxious over not hearing from her son, wrote from Illinois to Deputy Sheriff S.R. Stafford in Marionville asking him to find the young man. Stafford set out for Taney County re-tracing the route Taylor and Dimmock had followed. In Taney County he met some men who told him they had seen the body of a young male in a deep gulch not far from the road. The lawman found the body and brought it back to Marionville, where someone identified the remains of the unfortunate victim as young Mack Dimmock. Stafford then filed formal charges against William Taylor for murder.24

24 Groom and McConkey, 10-12; Vandeventer, 34-35; State of Missouri vs. William Taylor, 1886, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 5. Both the accounts by Groom and McConkey and Vandeventer describe Stafford as a “detective,” but the circuit court records clearly state that he was a “deputy sheriff.”
Since the murder had taken place in Taney County, the authorities there issued a warrant for Taylor’s arrest on March 30, 1886. They intended to have him brought back to Taney County to await trial there. Not surprisingly, William Taylor feared to return to Taney County lest he should die at the end of a rope like his brothers. So he made an impassioned appeal to the authorities in his own county to send him to the Greene County jail in Springfield instead, where at least he would have protection from the Bald Knobbers’ brand of justice. In his appeal he cited the death of his brothers “who were disposed of by the Taney County mob” as proof that he would not survive if sent there. In view of his brothers’ unfortunate end, the authorities in Lawrence County granted Taylor’s request and sent him to Springfield. He remained there until the next term of the Circuit Court in Forsyth held in April, 1886.\(^{25}\)

Around the same time that Taylor allegedly murdered Mack Dimmock, another tragic event took place in Taney County, at the Oak Grove schoolhouse near Kirbyville where Nathaniel Kinney’s Sunday school met. On Sunday, February 28, Nathaniel Kinney took his young son Paul to the schoolhouse to attend an evening service held by the Reverend H.C. Dennison. He met Andrew Coggburn and Samuel H. Snapp outside the doors of the schoolhouse. The exact sequence of events that followed became the subject of much debate in the ensuing months, but this much remains clear: moments after meeting him at the schoolhouse Nathaniel Kinney shot and killed Andrew Coggburn.\(^{26}\) The rest of the story depends largely on which narrative one chooses to believe.

According to the pro-Bald Knobber version of the event, the service had already started by the time that Kinney arrived. One of Kinney’s friends, most likely Deputy Sheriff Galba

\(^{25}\) Ibid.
\(^{26}\) *New York Times*, 5 March 1886; Jefferson City *Daily Tribune*, 7 March 1886; Springfield *Daily Herald*, 11 March 1886; *Springfield Express*, 5 March 1886. Some historians mistakenly give the date of this event as March 12, 1886, a mistake that stems from Charles H. Groom and D.F. McConkey’s usually reliable narrative (see, p. 20).
Branson, noticed Coggburn and Snapp in attendance and ran to warn the Bald Knobber chieftain to take precautions in case they meant harm to him. Kinney strapped on his revolver and headed toward the Church. When they arrived at their destination, Kinney and his son tied their horses about a hundred feet from the schoolhouse door and cautiously approached on foot. According to Richard Prather, Kinney’s son Paul later told him that his father made him walk behind his huge frame for protection. As they approached the building Kinney made out the figures of two men standing in the shadows on either side of the door. According to Paul Kinney, it seemed at the time like a deliberate attempt to ambush his father. Kinney stopped, drew his revolver, and called out to the two men to raise their hands and come into the light. Andrew Coggburn stepped into the light and raised his left hand, but with his right he attempted to draw his own revolver, at which point Kinney shot him once in the body causing him to fall backward onto the ground. As he died, Coggburn tossed up his pistol into the air and it nearly landed at Paul Kinney’s feet. Turning to Sam Snapp, Kinney leveled his gun and asked him what he intended to do. Snapp replied that he had no weapon and would do nothing, so Kinney sent him back inside the schoolhouse to wait for the authorities to arrive.  

Kinney then went to the doorway of the building and instructed everyone inside to wait until he told them they could leave. He picked out several men from the crowd whom he knew personally, and asked them to come outside and build a fire in order to provide some light at the crime scene. When his men had finished this task, Kinney allowed the congregants to leave the building one at a time, keeping the “bad ones covered all the time until they were well out of sight.” Then he surrendered to Deputy Sheriff Branson, who took him into custody until a coroner’s inquest held the following morning declared the killing a justifiable homicide, and

\[\text{27 Groom and McConkey, 20-21; Richard Prather, “Cap’ Kinney, Bald Knobber Chief,” 3, LMC; Springfield Daily Herald, 11 March 1886.}\]
cleared Kinney of all charges. Some people doubted the validity of this conclusion, given the fact that Kinney and several of his supporters showed up at the hearing heavily armed with firearms and other weapons, ostensibly to protect Kinney from retaliation by Coggburn’s relatives or friends. Kinney himself carried a revolver and a double-barreled shotgun, despite the fact that the county’s law officers still officially held him under arrest. The coroner’s jury only examined one witness, a man named John Davis, who had attended the service that night. Neither Paul Kinney nor Sam Snapp testified, even though both actually witnessed the killing.

Those hostile to the Bald Knobbers told a very different version of the story. What the Bald Knobbers described as a simple case of self-defense, their enemies described as a cold-blooded murder. The basic details of this version of the event came from Sam Snapp, the only Anti-Bald Knobber present at the scene of the killing. He told his story to Adjutant General James C. Jamison about six weeks after Coggburn’s death. According to Snapp, he and Coggburn had gone to the schoolhouse together simply to attend the service. They got up to leave the service, and as they exited the building they saw Nathaniel Kinney walking towards the door. Coggburn turned to Snapp and asked, “Do you think they will hurt me?” By this Snapp understood him to mean Reuben Branson and the other Bald Knobbers present at the service. “No, I think not,” Snapp replied. Coggburn then stepped forward and called out to Kinney, “How are you, Cap?” Kinney immediately drew his weapon and commanded Coggburn to “throw up your hands!” He repeated the command three times, to which Coggburn replied, “I have my hands up.” After the third command Kinney fired his revolver and “Coggburn fell dead, exclaiming, ‘Oh, I’m killed.’” Snapp claimed that Coggburn had no weapon on his person when he died, and he did not “draw or attempt to draw a pistol.” When Kinney shot Coggburn, the

30 Springfield Daily Herald, 11 March 1886.
younger man had both hands “raised up above his head and there was no pistol or other weapon in them.”\(^{31}\)

Kinney then turned toward Snapp and asked him if he had a weapon. Snapp assured him that he did not, and Kinney ordered the frightened man to go back into the church. A party of armed Bald Knobbers soon gathered and kept the doors to the building closed for roughly a half an hour and did not allow anyone to go in or out. When the Bald Knobbers finally allowed the crowd of worshipers to go home, Snapp noticed a Bald Knobber named Hensley (probably Augustus or William P. Hensley) “feeling in and about the clothing of the dead man Coggburn.” Snapp maintained that he did not testify before the coroner’s jury because he never received a subpoena ordering him to do so, even though at the time the inquest took place he was “at home all the time, or nearby chopping wood, not over 100 yards from the public road and in plain view of it all the time…and could have been subpoenaed if they had wanted my testimony.”\(^{32}\)

No one will ever know exactly what happened outside the Oak Grove Church that February night, but since I have summarized the two competing narratives of this event I feel compelled to offer my own interpretation. I do not believe that either Andrew Coggburn or Sam Snapp went to the church service that night intending to assassinate Nathaniel Kinney. Everything about Coggburn’s behavior up to that point – including his disruptions at Kinney’s Sunday School, his threats against the Bald Knobber chieftain, and his Anti-Bald Knobber song – paints him more as a juvenile agitator rather than a calculating killer. His casual greeting to Kinney moments before his death (“How are you, Cap?”) does not fit the typical pattern of an

---

\(^{31}\) Statement of Samuel H. Snapp to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Forsyth, MO, April 9, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7. Jamison made a report on his trip to Taney to Governor John S. Marmaduke, which includes statements he took from many individuals including Samuel Snapp.

\(^{32}\) Ibid.
Ozarks ambush: bushwhackers did not greet their victims before killing them. Nor does it seem probable that either Coggburn or Snapp would risk ambushing Kinney outside a church where they knew other Bald Knobbers attended services. It seems more likely, therefore, that the two men went to the church service to antagonize Kinney and the other Bald Knobbers, as Coggburn had often done before.

I do not believe, however, that Nathaniel Kinney murdered Andrew Coggburn in cold blood, and I have several reasons for this conviction. First, Kinney saw himself as a vigilante leader, not an outlaw. Whatever one may think of the Bald Knobber chieftain’s actions, Kinney clearly believed that he enforced law and order in his community. Intentionally murdering someone outside a church service would have seriously undermined that self-image, not to mention his reputation among his neighbors and peers. Moreover, with his young son present, Kinney would have felt reluctant to do anything that could escalate the crisis into a violent confrontation. On the other hand, if he believed that the situation posed a threat to his life or that of his son, he might well have felt compelled to act preemptively. In the dark Kinney might not have been able to tell whether Coggburn carried a weapon, and even if he did not have one, as Snapp insisted, Kinney probably assumed that he did. A lifetime of violent encounters, first during the Civil War and then as a member of a militia company in Kansas, would have taught him to suspect a possible ambush and act accordingly.

In the long run, however, it did not matter exactly how Coggburn died. The larger significance of his death is that it hardened the positions of both the Bald Knobbers and their opponents. As a result of the killing an organized Anti-Bald Knobber opposition faction began to

---

33 During the Civil War the term “bushwhacker” was generally defined as a stealthy assassin who fired from cover at an unsuspecting and unprepared victim. See, Leo E. Huff, “Guerrillas, Jayhawkers and Bushwhackers in Northern Arkansas during the Civil War,” *Arkansas Historical Quarterly* 24, no. 3 (Summer, 1965): 130-31. If Coggburn and Snapp were indeed trying to ambush or “bushwhack” Kinney in the typical Ozarks fashion, Coggburn’s greeting would have surrendered the critical element of surprise.
take shape. The opposition aimed to end vigilante justice using public protest, political agitation, and potentially violent force. For the first time it became possible to speak of the Anti-Bald Knobbers as a specific group of people rather than an ill-defined collection of dissidents. The names of at least twenty-six of these anti-vigilantes can be identified using newspaper accounts, their letters to public officials, and other primary and secondary sources. Using a similar array of sources, the names of at least forty-two Bald Knobbers can also be identified. 34

An investigation into the backgrounds of these men makes it possible to offer certain general observations about each group, and suggests several points of comparison between the two. First, the Anti-Bald Knobbers came from very similar geographic backgrounds. All but two of the twenty-six anti-vigilantes can be found in U.S. census records. Nearly half of the remainder, eleven of twenty-four, were born in Missouri, four were born in Tennessee, three in Virginia, one in Kentucky, one in Arkansas, and one in North Carolina. Only three hailed from outside of the South, including one from Indiana and two from Illinois. 35 Thus, the Anti-Bald Knobber cause seemed to draw recruits primarily from the county’s southern inhabitants. They also attracted people with deep family roots in the community. As seen in chapter one, many of the supporters of the anti-vigilante cause came from families that had moved to Southwest Missouri very early in the settlement period, often before the Civil War. Such families included

---

34 Many of these names, particularly those of the Anti-Bald Knobbers, can be found in a large file of correspondence pertaining to the troubles in Taney County found in Adjutant General James C. Jamison’s public papers. See, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7. Others can be found using articles from contemporary newspapers such as the Springfield, Missouri Daily Herald, the Springfield, Missouri Daily Republican, the Kansas City, Missouri Star, and the Jefferson City, Missouri Daily Tribune. Still others can be found using primary source materials such as Robert L. Harper’s Among the Bald Knobbers, William L. Vandeventer’s Justice in the Rough, and Charles H. Groom and D.F. McConkey’s The Bald Knobbers, all of which are already cited here. Additionally, I have used Elmo Ingenthron and Mary Hartman’s Bald Knobbers: Vigilantes on the Ozarks Frontier, and Lucille Morris Upton’s Bald Knobbers to confirm the identity of many of these individuals.

35 The information related to the place of birth of both Bald Knobbers and Anti-Bald Knobbers is based on federal census records for the years 1870, 1880, and 1900. The 1890 census records are unavailable because nearly the entire 1890 census was destroyed as a result of a fire at the National Archives in 1921. See, Kellee Blake, “First in the Path of the Firemen’: The Fate of the 1890 Population Census,” Prologue: Quarterly of the National Archives, 28, no. 1 (Spring 1996): 64-81.
the Laytons, the Snapps, and the Coggburns. Additionally, Dr. K.L. Burdette and Jordan M. Haworth also arrived in Southwest Missouri before the Civil War.

By contrast, the Bald Knobbers drew recruits from more widespread geographical backgrounds. Once again, census records can be found for all but two of the vigilantes. Only twelve of the remaining forty vigilantes, or three tenths, claimed Missouri as their birth state, while ten hailed from Tennessee, three from Arkansas, two from Virginia, one from North Carolina, and one from West Virginia. Interestingly, at least ten of them, or one quarter, came from places outside of the South, including three from Illinois, two from Indiana, and one each from Ohio, New York, Massachusetts, and Kansas. One Bald Knobber, John T. Dickenson, came from as far away as England. Moreover, a significant number of leading vigilantes had settled in Taney County after the Civil War, many of them in the last ten or fifteen years before the Bald Knobber period. For example, Nathaniel Kinney brought his family to the county in 1883; Alonzo Prather arrived with his family in 1880; Augustus Hensley moved there with his family in 1878; James K. Polk McHaffie settled there in 1873; and Charles Groom came to the county as a young boy in 1871.

In keeping with their predominantly southern backgrounds, most of the Anti-Bald Knobbers old enough to have served in the Civil War sided with the Confederacy. Eight of them fought in the Confederate Army, compared with only two for the Union. As we shall see later in this chapter, many of them considered their service a point of pride, and used it to appeal to Governor John S. Marmaduke, Missouri’s first ex-Confederate governor, for help against the

---


Bald Knobbers. By contrast, the Bald Knobbers sided overwhelmingly with the Union during the Civil War. As mentioned in chapter one, twelve of the vigilantes fought in the U.S. Army, compared to only three who fought for the Confederacy.\textsuperscript{39} Civil War loyalties do not, by themselves, explain the conflict between the two groups. It is interesting to note, however, the distinct differences between the military backgrounds of the two factions, and this in turn suggests that wartime loyalties played a role in determining which side many men chose to support. It also helps to explain their readiness to resort to violence to resolve their disputes. Nine of the Bald Knobber veterans and four of the Anti-Bald Knobber veterans served in Missouri units, meaning that they witnessed and participated in some of the cruelest and ugliest fighting in the war. As a result, they had little reason to feel sympathy or show compassion for people on the other side.

The two camps also differed in terms of their occupational pursuits. A clear majority of people on both sides engaged in some form of agriculture, which in Taney County generally included farming and stock-raising. Among the Anti-Bald Knobbers found in census records, eighteen out of twenty-four, or three fourths, reported themselves as farmers on census returns. Among the Bald Knobbers just a little more than half, twenty-three out of forty, practiced agriculture as their profession. Moreover, the Bald Knobbers had more members who practiced different occupations, or had other professions in addition to farming, while a greater proportion of Anti-Bald Knobbers pursued farming as their sole occupation.\textsuperscript{40}


\textsuperscript{40} The occupational statistics cited here are based primarily on federal census records for the years 1870, 1880, and 1900. The census records for 1890 are unavailable because they were destroyed by fire. In addition to census records, I have gleaned occupational data from the Goodspeed Brothers, Reminiscent History of the Ozarks Region, and E.J. and L.S. Hoenshel, Stories of the Pioneers, both of which are already cited here. I have also consulted several articles from the White River Valley Historical Quarterly.
Among the Anti-Bald Knobbers, nine individuals had jobs other than farming around the time of the Bald Knobber conflict. They included the county clerk, Thomas Layton, along with two ministers, two schoolteachers, one mill operator, one physician, one storekeeper, and one carpenter. Among the Bald Knobbers, twenty-nine individuals had jobs other than, or in addition to, farming. They included eight lawyers, three schoolteachers, three postmasters, three mill operators, two storekeepers, two merchants, two newspaper publishers, one ferryman, one minister, one clerk, one physician, and one carpenter.

A surprising number of the Bald Knobbers also served in local political offices. Between 1886 and 1892, four Bald Knobbers occupied the office of sheriff: James K. Polk McHaffie, Galba Branson, Reuben Isaacs, and John Lafayette Cook. Two of the vigilantes, Galba Branson and Arter Kissee, became sheriff’s deputies. Charles Groom served as county treasurer from 1884 to 1886. Reuben Branson held the assessor’s office from 1884 to 1886, and then replaced Anti-Bald Knobber Thomas Layton as county clerk, in which post he served from 1886 to 1888. Madison Day held the position of county coroner from 1886 to 1890. James A. Delong and Benjamin Price occupied the office of county prosecutor from 1888 to 1890, and 1892 to 1894 respectively.41 As discussed earlier in this chapter, the Anti-Bald Knobbers also had several officeholders in their ranks, although most of these were former Democratic officials who had lost their positions following the Republican takeover in 1884. These included John Moseley, the former sheriff, Jordan M. Haworth, a former state representative and justice of the county court, Thomas A. Layton, the sitting county clerk, and John J. Reynolds and Enos Stanley, both former probate judges.42

42 Ibid.
The greater diversity of occupations among the Bald Knobbers, as well as the greater number of non-agricultural pursuits which many of them practiced reinforced their commitment to a future of economic modernization, improved infrastructure, and responsible local government. The predominantly agrarian Anti-Bald Knobbers, on the other hand, remained committed to the traditional rural and agricultural values of the Ozarks. They resented being driven from power in local government, and chaffed at the Bald Knobbers high-handed methods and interference in their personal affairs. They viewed the vigilantes as greedy usurpers, busybodies, and untrustworthy newcomers. But if they wanted to defeat their vigilante oppressors, they would need to enlist of the power of the state government. To do that they would have to wage a vigorous public relations campaign to make their grievances known to a wider audience, and to the authorities in Jefferson City.

Even before Coggburn’s death, the Bald Knobbers’ enemies had tried to make their grievances known by speaking out through the press. They received first rate publicity on November 10, 1885, when Missouri’s long-serving secretary of state, Michael K. McGrath, published an editorial in the Jefferson City Daily Tribune, one of the state’s most influential Democratic organs. In this editorial McGrath aired many of the Anti-Bald Knobbers’ complaints against the vigilantes. As a partisan politician, McGrath also attempted to link the Taney County Bald Knobbers to the Republican Party. He described them as a “ku-klux organization composed of Republican officeholders,” and noted that “several of the county officers” belonged to the Bald Knobbers, and that all of the county officers save two were “Radicals,” a pejorative term for Republicans. McGrath may have been sincere, but his Anti-Bald Knobber comments were

---

43 Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 10 November 1885. Many of the specific acts mentioned in McGrath’s editorial, such as the eviction of the Pruitts and Orrs, Jefferson Weaver, and Jerome Winslow, are also mentioned in Sampson Barker’s March 20, 1886 letter to Governor John S. Marmaduke. Additionally, letters to Secretary of State McGrath from Thomas A. Layton (March 4) and J.J. Reynolds (March 12) are also found in MSA, Adjutant
also part of a larger game of rhetorical one-upmanship between Republicans and Democrats. In the 1870’s and 1880’s, Missouri Republicans had routinely denounced the politically ascendant Democrats for being “soft on crime,” and used the exploits of such notorious outlaws as Frank and Jesse James to embarrass their opponents. Thus, by blaming the Bald Knobbers on the Republicans, the secretary of state delivered some payback to Republicans for blaming the James gang on the Democrats.

Even so, McGrath had the story partially correct. As seen in chapter two, most of the Bald Knobbers supported the Republican Party, and the emergence of the Bald Knobbers coincided with the ascendance of the Republican Party in Taney County around 1884. McGrath neglected to mention, however, that the Bald Knobbers’ leader, Nathaniel Kinney, not only belonged to the Democratic Party, but chaired the local Democratic Party committee in 1886. Democrats John Lafayette Cook and James R. Vanzandt also joined the vigilante ranks. Thus, the Bald Knobbers did not act merely as a cohort of the Republican Party, but rather as a bi-partisan organization, which had opposed the old Democratic courthouse ring because they associated it with high crime rates and political corruption.

After Andrew Coggburn’s death, the Anti-Bald Knobbers stepped up their publicity campaign against the vigilantes. Since the newspapers in Taney County usually supported the

General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7. Although neither of these letters could have been the source of McGrath’s editorial published on November 10, 1885, they do show that he kept in contact with several of the Anti-Bald Knobber leaders in Taney County, which means that he probably got his source material from them.

44 William A. Settle, Jr., “The James Boys and Missouri Politics,” MHR 36, no. 4 (July 1942): 412-29. In addition to becoming a political issue between Republicans and Democrats, the James gang and other ex-Confederate outlaws became a source of controversy within the Democratic Party itself, which was divided between rival factions of ex-Confederates who sympathized with the James gang and ex-Unionists who did not. See, T.J. Stiles, Jesse James: Last Rebel of the Civil War (New York: Vintage Books, 2003), 260-63, 286-91.


46 Cook and Vanzandt were both originally Democrats, though Vanzandt briefly joined the Greenback-Labor Party when he won the race for state representative in 1882, and Cook joined the Republicans when he ran for Sheriff to replace the deceased Galba Branson in 1889. See, Goodspeed Brothers, 284, E.J. and L.S. Hoenshel, Stories of the Pioneers: Incidents, Adventures and Reminiscences as Told by Some of the Old Settlers of Taney County, Missouri (Branson, MO: White River Leader, 1915), 4, and Springfield Express, 26 July 1889.
Bald Knobbers, the Anti-Bald Knobbers mostly aired their grievances in newspapers in nearby Springfield and other parts of the state. For example, on March 6, 1886, a column by an anonymous “Citizen of Taney” appeared in the Springfield Daily Herald, which described the Coggburn killing as “but an outburst of the malice and hatred deep-seated and long-cherished in the hearts of an organized clan” that dominated the county.47 Ten days later the Herald published another broadside from an anonymous “Citizen of Taney County” that lambasted the Bald Knobbers as “an organized clan of marauders, outlaws, and murderers … whose very name is a symbol of vindictiveness, treachery, and outrage, and whose dark deeds and dangerous menaces hold a reign of force and terror over every citizen not a member of this invisible Sanhedrin.” The editorialist also took aim at Nathaniel Kinney, whom the writer accused of hunting down “Joe Cogburn (sic), whom he found and shot down at church, in a most brutal and cowardly manner, and who ‘packed’ the coroner’s jury with ‘Bald Knobbers’ and … by dint of menaces and threats bulldozed the jury into acquitting him.”48

The Anti-Bald Knobbers also had objectives that went beyond merely publicizing their grievances. On the Monday following Andrew Coggburn’s death, a group of around forty people opposed to the Bald Knobbers met in Forsyth. There they drafted and signed a petition to the governor of Missouri, John S. Marmaduke, asking him to intervene on their behalf. The petitioners wanted official permission to establish a company of militia in their community, and weapons with which they would protect themselves and oppose the Bald Knobbers. The participants at the meeting appointed a committee of three, including J.J. Reynolds, Jordan M. Haworth, and Dr. Kenneth L. Burdette to carry their petition to the governor in Jefferson City.

47 Springfield Daily Herald, 6 March 1886.
48 Springfield Daily Herald, 16 March 1886. Both Anti-Bald Knobber editorials mistakenly referred to Andrew Coggburn as “Joe Cogburn,” a mistake the editor of the Herald did not correct, even though the newspaper printed his name correctly in other issues.
The following day, Kinney and several of his followers appeared in Forsyth and made public “threats against those who had attended the meeting on Monday.” Concerning the participants in the meeting, Kinney reportedly declared “We have spotted all of them; revenge is ours, and we will have it.” In the face of such intimidation, Haworth and Burdette backed out of the trip to Jefferson City, leaving only J.J. Reynolds to carry the petition to the governor, which he did on March 5, 1886.  

Although only Reynolds risked making the trip to Jefferson City, several other Anti-Bald Knobbers supported the petition effort by writing letters to the governor and other state officials affirming the need for state intervention. For example, on March 1, County Clerk Thomas A. Layton, the only Anti-Bald Knobber still in office in 1886, wrote to the governor begging him to heed the Anti-Bald Knobber petition that “Dr. K.L. Burdett and J.M. Heaworth (sic)” would soon bring to Jefferson City. The petition would ask the governor to use “the power of the state to quell the lawlessness” in Taney County. Layton wrote that the county’s residents needed state intervention because the sheriff, James K. Polk McHaffie, “is in sympathy with the ‘mob,’” along with most of the “civil authorities” in the area. When it became apparent that Burdette and Haworth would not arrive as scheduled, Layton wrote another letter, this time to Secretary of State McGrath, begging the state’s patience in the matter. He explained that Burdette and Haworth had not yet left because they “were watched by the Gang of outlaws [and] they were afraid to go.” According to Layton, Haworth had become so afraid of the Bald Knobbers that he left his own house, and had gone to stay at his brother-in-law’s home instead. Layton begged

---

49 Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 7 March 1886. There are no extant copies of the actual pro-militia petition, or the list of signatures. For a similar account of Kinney’s threats against the militia supporters, see, Statement of H.C. Everett, Anti-Bald Knobber, to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Forsyth, MO, April 9, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.

50 Thomas A. Layton to Governor John S. Marmaduke, Forsyth, MO, March 1, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7. Layton curiously does not mention Reynolds, even though he was the one who actually brought the petition to the governor.
McGrath to tell the governor to “send the Adjutant General down to inquire into matters here.” He warned that “something terrible” would happen if the governor did nothing, because the “opponents to this ‘Bald Knob Gang’ are now preparing to defend themselves.”

This subtle allusion to preparations for self-defense referred to some early steps that the Anti-Bald Knobbers had taken toward organizing a militia company, to which they hoped the governor would give his approval. J.J. Reynolds returned home after his meeting with Governor Marmaduke, having received a vague promise from the governor to send a representative to Taney County who would “get the facts on both sides.” After his return from Jefferson City, Reynolds and fellow Anti-Bald Knobber, William H. Miles, the father of the man who would later kill Nathaniel Kinney, began organizing a militia company. Although it remains unclear whether Governor Marmaduke actually gave them permission to do so, within a few days Reynolds wrote to the governor to report on the progress of the militia company, which he predicted would soon reach a full strength of eighty men by March 15. Their progress at recruiting came to a halt, however, when on March 11 the Bald Knobbers held a mass meeting of their own in Forsyth attended by between 154 and 300 men. Nathaniel Kinney chaired the meeting, and most of the Bald Knobber leadership attended it, including Sheriff McHaffie, Alonzo S. Prather, Benjamin B. Price, James A. DeLong, and many others. The purpose of the meeting, according to the vigilantes’ opponents, was to awe and intimidate those Anti-Bald Knobbers involved in organizing a militia company. It apparently succeeded, in as much as the

51 Thomas A. Layton to Governor John S. Marmaduke, Forsyth, MO, March 4, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
52 Jefferson City Daily Tribune, 7 March 1886.
54 Ibid.; Thomas A. Layton to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Forsyth, MO, March 15, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7; Springfield Daily Herald, 14 March 1886. Both Layton and Reynolds put the number of participants in the Bald Knobber meeting at the surprisingly exact figure of 154, while the Daily Herald estimated that around 300 Bald Knobbers attended the meeting.
following day Reynolds wrote to Secretary of State McGrath telling him he would have already filled the roster of the militia company “had not [the] Nobers (sic) … made their demonstrations” and scared off potential recruits.55

The vigilantes may well have intended to intimidate their opponents and thwart the organization of a militia company. Their stated purpose, however, was to draft a series of resolutions that condemned the creation of a militia company. A six-member committee, including Alonzo S. Prather, James A. Delong, W.H. Pollard, Elverton C. Claflin, William G. Connor, and T.W. Price, drew up the resolutions, which urged Governor Marmaduke not to intervene in their local affairs, and opposed the creation of “any militia company … believing that it will have a tendency to incite turmoil and cause serious trouble in the county.” The anti-militia resolutions stated that no need for a militia existed because “the constituted authorities of the county are able and willing to enforce the civil law” and that J.J. Reynolds and his fellow Anti-Bald Knobbers had based their case for a militia “upon a false representation of alleged lawlessness” in the county. The meeting’s participants also selected a three member committee including Sheriff James K. Polk McHaffie, Thomas W. Phillips, and Benjamin B. Price to bring a copy of these resolutions to the governor, along with a list of 234 signatures of people who endorsed their message.56

The competing appeals from the Bald Knobber and Anti-Bald Knobber factions created a difficult dilemma for Governor Marmaduke. If he intervened in Taney County on behalf of the

---

55 J.J. Reynolds to Secretary of State Michael K. McGrath, Forsyth, MO, March 11, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
56 Springfield Daily Herald, 14 March 1886. A handwritten copy of the Forsyth anti-militia resolutions was also made available to me by Lynn Morrow, along with a list of 234 signatures of people who endorsed them. The mere act of signing the document does not by itself prove membership in the Bald Knobber organization, since many people who did not belong to the vigilantes might still have objected to the cost of creating a militia company, which legally Taney County would have to bear. Nevertheless, the number of signatures on the anti-militia resolutions, compared to the roughly forty signatures on pro-militia petition submitted to the governor, indicates that the Bald Knobbers had the sympathy of a greater proportion of the Taney County’s citizens than their opponents.
Anti-Bald Knobbers there, he ran the risk of provoking an outbreak of violence for which the public would hold him responsible. As a former Confederate officer during the Civil War, Marmaduke had participated in some of the bitter fighting in southern Missouri and northern Arkansas. Thus, he would not have relished the thought of a new round of bloodletting in the Ozarks. On the other hand, as the Anti-Bald Knobbers persistently warned him, if he did nothing, violence might still occur. Then the public would blame him for his inaction. Moreover, many of the people trying to organize the anti-vigilante militia company were fellow Democrats, and his political supporters. As Sampson Barker reminded the governor in one his letters, he had supported the governor’s election and had always been a faithful “defender of your administration.” As a supporter, therefore, he urged the governor to “carefully consider our grievances, give the matter the attention that is due as to its merits or demerits.”

Another militia supporter, William H. Lunceford, put it in even blunter terms when he wrote to remind the governor that he had “voted for you as governor of the state believing that you would discharge the duties of the Chief Executive with credit to yourself.” Now he asked Marmaduke to fulfill his obligation to “extend the protection that is due a law loving and law abiding citizen” by suppressing the Bald Knobbers, “this lawless black midnight organization,” which met under cover of darkness and terrorized anyone brave enough to oppose them.

As though these political considerations did not carry enough weight, many of the militia supporters could also appeal to the governor on an emotional level, citing their shared experiences in the Confederate military as a reason he should help them now. Sampson Barker pointed out that one of the militia commanders, William H. Miles, had served as a lieutenant in

---

57 Sampson Barker to Governor John S. Marmaduke, Forsyth, MO, March 20, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
58 W.H. Lanceford to Governor John S. Marmaduke, Forsyth, MO, March 27, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
the Confederate army and “more than once won the praise of his superiors for his bravery and magnanimity.” Barker himself hailed from a family of proud servicemen. Both of his grandfathers served in the Revolutionary War, while his father fought in the War of 1812, and his brother served in the Mexican War. Barker himself “served in the C.S. Army under T.J. Jackson and R.E. Lee.” These experiences, wrote Barker, had taught him that “the great bulwark of American liberty lies in the powers of our Citizen Soldiers.” With that in mind, how could “any law abiding citizen be opposed to the organization of our citizens into military companies?”

Faced with this difficult dilemma, Governor Marmaduke needed a way to make the problem go away without causing any more bloodshed. To accomplish this he turned to one of his most trusted lieutenants, Adjutant General James C. Jamison, the commander of Missouri’s militia forces. By 1886 Jamison had already lived a colorful and adventurous life. Born in 1830 in Pike County, Missouri, Jamison spent much of the 1850’s in California prospecting for gold. In 1855, he set out for Nicaragua, where he fought under William Walker in one of his famous filibustering expeditions to Central America. After Walker fled Nicaragua following his defeat at the hands of a Costa Rican army, Jamison returned to Missouri. When the Civil War broke out Jamison fought for the Confederacy, serving under General Sterling Price at the Battle of Lexington in Missouri. As Adjutant General from 1885 to 1889, Jamison had proved himself a useful lieutenant to Missouri’s chief executive. In 1885 and 1886, the governor asked him to use state troops to help control labor unrest among Missouri railroad workers and coal miners.

________

59 Sampson Barker to Governor John S. Marmaduke, Forsyth, MO, March 20, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
Jamison also helped negotiate an end to a bitter strike that temporarily halted transportation and shipping along several railroad lines running through Missouri and Kansas.\footnote{Williams, “James Carson Jamison, 1830-1916,” \textit{The Chronicles of Oklahoma} 21, no. 1 (March 1943): 5-7; \textit{James Carson Jamison},” \textit{MHR} 25, no. 4 (July 1931): 623-26. For Jamison’s role in settling the 1885 railroad strike, see, \textit{New York Times}, 16 March 1886.} Faced with another potential crisis, Governor Marmaduke turned once again to his favorite “fixer” to handle the Bald Knobber situation.

According to the official Adjutant General’s report for 1886, Jamison arrived in Forsyth on April 8, 1886, and the following day he convened a meeting with people from both sides in the dispute. He delivered a short speech in which he told the people that the state government intended “to enforce obedience to the civil law in Taney County” and urged the “prompt disbandment of the citizen’s committee,” an organization also known as the Bald Knobbers.\footnote{James C. Jamison, \textit{Report of the Adjutant General of Missouri for 1886} (Jefferson City, MO: Tribune Printing Company, 1887), 6.} The same day he also met with several of the leading Anti-Bald Knobbers and Bald Knobbers in the area. During the course of these conferences he received a promise from Nathaniel Kinney and other vigilante leaders to disband the Bald Knobbers. According to Joe McGill, who attended these meetings, Jamison laid down an ultimatum to the vigilantes: if they disbanded and ceased their activities the state would “make no more trouble about it – just let it drop.” But if they did not disband, the state “would be compelled to send the state militia at our expense, to bring about peace and order.”\footnote{Hoenshel, 57.} The adjutant general’s demand forced the Bald Knobbers to face a difficult decision. Not all of them wanted to break up their organization. A moderate faction led by Alonzo Prather, advocated disbanding the group while Nathaniel Kinney and some of his followers wanted to continue as before. This disagreement caused a rift between the two men, and within the Bald Knobber leadership, but the moderate side prevailed.\footnote{Richard Prather, “Cap” Kinney, Bald Knobber Chief,” 4, LMC.}
On the following day, April 10, a large crowd of about 500 men met on the public square in Forsyth. They appointed a committee to draft a series of resolutions that publicly disbanded the Bald Knobber organization, declaring that the need for a vigilante group had come to an end, and that the “civil authorities and courts of our county can and will guarantee protection to life, liberty, and property.” Since that time, wrote Jamison, although “a few lawless acts” had occurred in the county, for the most part the people had known “comparative peace and order.”

Thus, on the surface, Jamison’s visit seemed to have resolved the problem. In his private correspondence with the governor, however, the adjutant general painted a less optimistic picture. His summary of the interviews he conducted at Forsyth show that not everyone anticipated an immediate return to “comparative peace and order.” Most of the Anti-Bald Knobbers interviewed believed that peace would return so long as the Bald Knobbers kept their promise to disband their organization. For example, Dr. Kenneth L. Burdette told Jamison that he no longer thought it “advisable to arm the militia company recently raised in this county,” and that the government should give the Bald Knobbers a chance to “make good on their promise of disbanding, which, if done in good faith will end our troubles.” On the other hand, he worried about the Bald Knobber leader, Nathaniel Kinney, whom he did not trust to keep the peace. Although he hoped that “the disbanding of the Bald Knobbers will result in the restoration of peace,” he had “no confidence in the public promises made by Cap Kinney to you today.” Another Anti-Bald Knobber, Henry C. Everett, echoed Burdette’s sentiments, telling Jamison that if “Kinney’s band is broken up, as he publically promised you today, I believe it will end the

---
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trouble.” But he too expressed concerns over Kinney’s influence over his followers.68 Still other Anti-Bald Knobbers wished that Kinney would stand trial for killing Andrew Coggburn.

According to William Blunk and Enos Stanley, two members of the local grand jury, this seemed unlikely since the other members of the grand jury sympathized with the vigilantes and “would not find any bills against anyone who was a Bald Knobber.”69

Aside from the bitterness toward Kinney, another issue had the potential to disturb the uneasy truce that Jamison had hammered out. Around the same time that Jamison arrived in Forsyth, William Taylor went on trial for the murder of Mack Dimmock. Many of the Anti-Bald Knobbers feared that the vigilantes would lynch Taylor just as they had done to his brothers the year before. If that happened, the tenuous peace would not last. To assuage these fears, the Bald Knobbers addressed the case in their resolutions of disbandment, in which they pledged to give “William Taylor, who is charged with murder, a fair and impartial trial by a jury of his peers.”70 Likewise, R.V. Burns, the county prosecutor whom Jamison described as a “neutral” in the factional conflict within Taney County, told the adjutant general that he expected Taylor to remain unmolested until his trial and sentencing. Burns believed that the vigilantes had no reason to lynch Taylor, since the evidence against him appeared “so strong that hardly a doubt remains of his guilt.”71

On this point, the Bald Knobbers made good on their promise, for they did not lynch William Taylor. One of the vigilantes, attorney John J. Brown, even agreed to represent him in court. On April 8, the circuit court delayed his trial by granting his motion for a continuance,

---

68 Statement of H.C. Everett, Anti-Bald Knobber, to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Forsyth, MO, April 9, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
70 Springfield Daily Herald, 14 April 1886.
71 Statement of R.V. Burns, Neutral, to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Forsyth, MO, April 9, 1886, MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
which he filed on the grounds that he needed more time to prepare his defense. When the court reconvened in October, Taylor again filed a motion for a continuance on the grounds that he had not yet located two witnesses essential to his defense, William Timbers and James Rothdell, and could not proceed to trial without them. Many of the Bald Knobbers scornfully referred to these men as “fictitious witnesses,” whom William Taylor had invented in order to delay the proceedings. In any event, the court denied the second motion for a continuance and the case went to trial, but in a surprise ruling the jury found Taylor not guilty. Immediately after his acquittal, William Taylor wisely decided to leave Forsyth. He walked about 25 miles to Chadwick, a railroad depot in Christian County, and from there he went back to his hometown of Marionville. When he returned the people of that town shunned him, since many of them entertained doubts about his innocence. So Taylor eventually left Marionville and settled in Howell County, Missouri.73

Many Bald Knobbers claimed that they never took part in any vigilante activity after the Bald Knobbers disbanded, and that the formal ceremony held at Forsyth on April 10 marked the end of the group’s history in Taney County. For example, about a week after the ceremony, the Springfield Daily Herald published an editorial from an anonymous pro-vigilante resident of Taney County. The writer declared that peace now reigned in Taney County: “The Bald Knobbers are non est – if that word means that they are in their fields plowing, trying to make an honest living. The militia have beat their imaginary guns into plowshares and are also quietly at work.” Likewise, August C. Hensley wrote that the “regular organization disbanded that day and never met again,” even though many subsequent misdeeds were later attributed to the Bald

72 State of Missouri vs. William Taylor, 1886, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. 37192, Box 1, Folder 5.
73 Groom & McConkey, 13-14; Vandeventer, 37-38; Springfield Express, 15 October 1886; Springfield Express, 5 November 1885.
74 Springfield Daily Herald, 14 April 1886.
Knobbers, who then “got a bad reputation that didn’t belong to them all over the country.” Joe McGill concurred, writing that “the original organization that was called the Bald Knobbers disbanded that day … and never met afterwards.” McGill then added the cryptic qualifier that “some who had been members of the original organization did meet” and continued to use the name “Bald Knobbers.”

There is no reason to doubt either man’s veracity, and it seems likely that many of the Bald Knobbers kept their word to the adjutant general to remain disbanded. It appears equally certain, however, that not all of the vigilantes abided by the agreement they had made with the government. Some continued to enforce their own brand of law and order, and incidents of night riding and intimidation continued after Jamison departed. On Saturday night, May 1, 1886, someone shot a horse belonging to Thomas A. Layton, and set fire to a fence on his property. The flames consumed “about 40 panels before the neighbors could stop it.” The following week a barn belonging to someone referred to as “Dr. Anderson” also burned down. Some Anti-Bald Knobbers charged that the vigilantes continued their policy of forced evictions. According to John J. Reynolds, they ran off two men named Kur and Brannan, and even expelled a widow “with her small children” from their home. Nor did the truce long prevent the resumption of bloodshed. On Sunday, May 9, George Washington (“Wash”) Middleton, a Bald Knobber who had sometimes served as Nat Kinney’s bodyguard, shot and killed Samuel H. Snapp in Kirbyville, Missouri.

---
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Unlike the killing of Andrew Coggburn, where the competing stories told by the Bald Knobber and Anti-Bald Knobber factions obscured the truth, the basic facts surrounding the death of Sam Snapp are fairly clear. On the day of the killing, witness Ben Prather observed Sam Snapp and Wash Middleton sitting on boxes and talking in front of John Kintrea’s General Store in Kirbyville. Prather himself sat outside the store reading a newspaper, and failed to take notice of anything out of the ordinary. He later described their tone as “mild and compromising,” rather than bitter or angry. At some point he heard Middleton call Snapp a liar. Then the two men stood, and Middleton pulled out his gun and began firing. As Middleton opened fire Snapp continued to retreat, making no attempt to draw or fire a weapon. Claude Layton, another witness, said he heard two shots before looking up to see Middleton firing a third shot, which finally finished Snapp off. He also recalled that at the time Middleton fired his third shot Snapp had retreated several paces, apparently trying to get out of Middleton’s line of fire.\textsuperscript{80}

The two men seemed to have had a history of hostility towards each other. George W. Gibson recalled that a few days before the shooting he heard Wash Middleton threaten and verbally abuse Sam Snapp, saying that he would kill him. Likewise, William Ellison said that several hours before the shooting he saw Middleton and Snapp arguing, and that Middleton called Snapp a “damn bushwhacker.”\textsuperscript{81} According to Alonzo Prather, who at the request of Adjutant General Jamison wrote a letter describing the shooting, the hostility between the two men may have originated when Middleton attempted to join the local chapter of the Agricultural Wheel, an organization similar to the Farmer’s Alliance. Snapp, who already belonged to the group, blocked Middleton’s membership because of the role he had taken in the “Bald Knob business.” This rejection angered Middleton, who never forgave Snapp for the slight. On the day

\textsuperscript{80} State of Missouri vs. George Washington Middleton, 1886, Forsyth, MO, LMC.
\textsuperscript{81} Ibid.
of the shooting Sam Snapp and William Ellison met up with Middleton on the road outside Kirbyville. Both men had spent the weekend drinking. Upon seeing Middleton, Snapp made the fateful error of singing the anti-Bald Knobber song composed by the late Andrew Coggburn. Middleton remarked that it was “a nice song for a bushwhacker” to sing, to which Snapp replied “you bet your boots” and rode on. Prather believed that “the singing of that song caused Snapp’s death. If he had kept quiet … he would be alive today.”

Later that day the two men met again outside John Kintrea’s store, and an argument broke out which led to Snapp’s death. On this point Prather’s account differed from the court testimony, which clearly depicted Middleton as the aggressor. Prather wrote that Snapp confronted Middleton and demanded to know what Middleton meant by calling him a bushwhacker. Middleton replied that he called Snapp a bushwhacker because of “the company he kept,” at which point Snapp challenged Middleton to “settle the matter” right there. Prather did not explicitly say whether Snapp carried a weapon, although Middleton obviously did. Prather did mention, however, that both of them usually went about armed, as did “everybody else for that matter.” He also pointed out the physical inequality between the two men. Snapp was a young man in the prime of life and weighed roughly 200 pounds, while Middleton had advanced beyond fifty years in age and weighed around 140 pounds. Nevertheless, Middleton was far from helpless. During the Civil War he had served as a scout for the U.S. Army, and had “the reputation of being a ‘killer’ and it is said [he] killed several men since the war.”

Middleton’s choice of the term “bushwhacker,” the common unionist pejorative for a rebel guerilla, is curious on a number of levels. As a veteran himself, Middleton would have known that Snapp, at about thirty years of age, was too young to have fought in the Civil War.

---
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His explanation that he called him a bushwhacker because of “the company he kept,” was probably a reference to Snapp’s association with the Anti-Bald Knobber faction. Thus, Middleton’s insult indicates that the grizzled guerrilla-fighter had transferred the enmity he felt for his old Confederate foes to the Anti-Bald Knobbers as a group.

The murder of Sam Snapp set off another firestorm of protest against the Bald Knobbers, much of it in the form of letters to Jefferson City and Adjutant General Jamison. Over the course of the next week, Jamison received letters from the Anti-Bald Knobbers W.H. Jones, John J. Reynolds, and K.L. Burdette, as well as the wife of Anti-Bald Knobber James S.B. Berry. All of them referenced the Snapp killing as proof that the vigilantes had broken their promise to keep the peace. Mrs. Berry called the killing a “cold blooded murder,” and bemoaned the fact that the death of Snapp, a widower, had made orphans of his “five small children.”

According to Reynolds, the death of Snapp proved that the “disbanding [of the Bald Knobbers] is as I thought it would be a farce.” Jones and Burdette both pointed out that Sam Snapp had witnessed the killing of Andrew Coggburn, and implied that vigilantes may have eliminated Snapp in order to keep him silent. On this point the Anti-Bald Knobbers probably misinterpreted the evidence. The coroner’s inquest held two months earlier had declared Nat Kinney’s killing of Andrew Coggburn a case of self-defense, and with Bald Knobber-friendly officials in power in the local government Kinney had little reason to fear any trouble from the law. Alonzo Prather made essentially the same point in his letter to Jamison when he dismissed the notion of a “conspiracy.”

---
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to kill Sam Snapp, and observed that since the grand jury had already declined to indict Kinney “there was no necessity to ‘remove’ Snapp.”

If Middleton expected the pro-Bald Knobber local officials to protect him as they had Kinney, he was soon disappointed. After a series of legal maneuvers by the lawyers on both sides delayed the start of his trial by more than a year, a jury found Middleton guilty of murder in the second degree and sentenced him to a term of 40 years in the state penitentiary, which a circuit judge, Walter D. Hubbard, later reduced to 15 years.

Sheriff McHaffie and his deputies never had a chance to transport Middleton to the state penitentiary; on October 16, 1887, he escaped from the county jail in Forsyth and fled to Arkansas. Following Middleton’s escape, accusations flew back and forth concerning who had been responsible for letting Middleton go. Some of the Anti-Bald Knobbers accused Sheriff McHaffie of letting the convict escape, while others believed that the Bald Knobbers had broken into the jail and freed their comrade. One former Bald Knobber, George Brazeal, even said the vigilantes had given Middleton a horse and saddle, a Winchester rifle, and 50 dollars to facilitate his flight. Not surprisingly, the Bald Knobbers tended to assign blame for the jailbreak to other parties. After the escape, Nathaniel Kinney told a reporter for a Springfield newspaper that one of Middleton’s sons came up from Arkansas and broke his father out of jail. No hard evidence exists to substantiate any of these theories. Nevertheless, it does not appear that the Bald Knobbers eagerly anticipated Middleton’s recapture. As Kinney explained to the Springfield reporter, Middleton’s extensive knowledge of the Ozark hill country made his recapture unlikely.
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87 Prather to Jamison, Kirbyville, MO, June 11, 1886. MSA, Adjutant General’s Papers, Box 88, Folder 7.
88 State of Missouri vs. George Washington Middleton, 1886, Forsyth, MO, LMC; Springfield Express, 14 October 1887.
89 Springfield Daily Herald, 19 October 1887.
91 Springfield Daily Herald, 19 October 1887.
He also remarked cryptically that “if [Middleton] is ever taken at all it will be more the result of accident than of design.”92

Kinney’s prediction proved inaccurate, however, for Wash Middleton spent the remaining eight months of his life on the run from the law. The Snapp family offered a sizable reward for Middleton’s capture, to which the county court added a bounty of $100. Additionally, Governor Marmaduke offered $300 of the state’s money “for the arrest and delivery of said George Middleton to the warden of the Missouri State Penitentiary” in Jefferson City.93 These incentives apparently had the desired effect, for in February, 1888, a posse led by Charles Richardson caught up with Middleton in Boone County, Arkansas. In the ensuing gunfight, Middleton managed to kill Richardson before making his escape.94 By the following summer, his luck had run out. On July 4, Detective James L. Holt attempted to apprehend Wash Middleton at an Independence Day picnic in Newton County. When Middleton resisted arrest Holt shot and killed him right there. Middleton’s body was buried in an unmarked grave in the Little Buffalo cemetery near the town of Parthenon, Arkansas.95

The Middleton-Snapp shooting marked the beginning of an extended lull in hostilities between the vigilantes and anti-vigilantes in Taney County. After Sam Snapp’s body hit the ground in Kirbyville on May 9, 1886, more than two years elapsed before another homicide related to the Bald Knobber troubles occurred. The 1886 election resulted in another Republican victory, and the voters elevated three of the vigilantes to the important positions of sheriff, county clerk, and coroner. Ironically, the only vigilante candidate who did not win his race that

92 Ibid.
94 Springfield Express, 24 February 1888.
year was Nat Kinney, who lost a second bid for state representative, probably because he once again ran as a Democrat. With a pro-Bald Knobber local government the vigilantes, for the most part, seemed content to run the county through the legitimate channels of power. The appeals to the state government for assistance following the killing of Sam Snapp fell on deaf ears. Adjutant General Jamison’s official report to the governor in 1887 had declared that “comparative peace and order” now reigned in the county, and the state government did not seem anxious to reopen the issue. Without support from the state government, the Anti-Bald Knobbers could do little loosen their enemies’ grip on power, or to avenge the wrongs they had suffered. In time, the old hostility between the two groups would flare up again in renewed bloodshed. But for the time being, at least, an uneasy peace settled over the county.

———
CHAPTER 4: RIGHTEOUS DEVILS – THE BALD KNOBBERS IN CHRISTIAN AND DOUGLAS COUNTIES

As the Bald Knobber troubles in Taney County subsided into a welcome, though still uneasy, truce between the vigilante and anti-vigilante factions, many hoped that Bald Knob vigilantism would soon become a thing of the past. Unfortunately, certain ongoing events in the two counties immediately northward would soon make the Ozark vigilantes even more notorious than previously. The Bald Knobber chapters in Christian and Douglas counties, which form the focus of this chapter, evolved out of the original organization in Taney County. Apart from their name, the northern vigilantes shared several significant characteristics with the first vigilance committee, especially in the areas of organization and ritual. They also differed from the parent group in a number of fundamental ways, including their basic objectives, the causes which motivated them to organize, and the type of men who joined their ranks. The northern vigilantes tended to be poorer and less socially prominent than their southern counterparts, and took a dimmer view of the economic changes affecting the Ozarks, which so many of the original committee enthusiastically promoted. They also tended to be more religiously devout, and more inclined to use vigilante tactics to regulate the morals of their neighbors. Finally, unlike the Taney County vigilantes who generally avoided prosecution for their actions, the excesses of the Bald Knobbers in Christian and Douglas counties eventually landed scores of them in court on various charges.

The differences between the two groups seem all the more striking considering that they operated almost simultaneously. Within months of the Bald Knobbers’ founding in Taney County, new chapters of the group began sprouting up in other parts of Southwest Missouri. During the summer of 1885, the Bald Knobbers organized in Douglas County. Joseph Walker, a farmer living in the vicinity of Ava, became the leader of the group there. Walker had plans for
bringing the Bald Knobbers to neighboring Christian County, so he invited Nathaniel Kinney to come up from Kirbyville to help establish a new chapter of the organization. Sometime in the fall of the 1885, Joseph Walker and Nathaniel Kinney held an organizational meeting in the town of Chadwick. This meeting resulted in the creation of a new chapter of the Bald Knobbers. Joseph’s brother, David Walker, took command as the chieftain of the vigilante group in Christian County.¹

Dave Walker had fought for the Union during the Civil War, serving in the 16th Missouri Cavalry (originally the 6th Enrolled Missouri Militia), a unit which spent most of the war fighting in Southwest Missouri.² He worked a modest farm near the breaks of Bull Creek, which earned him the nickname “Bull Creek Dave.” (Figure 4.1) His son, William Walker, though only a teenager at the time, soon rose to a position of leadership within the Bald Knobbers, and functioned as second in command or “assistant chief” to his father.³ Following the custom established by Kinney’s vigilantes, the Bald Knobbers in Christian County sub-divided into multiple companies or “legions,” each based in a particular town or township. Dave Walker himself took command of the legion based in Chadwick. Samuel Preston, Sr. commanded the company based in Sparta. James M. (“Bud”) Gann led the legion based in Shady Grove.

¹ William L. Vandeventer, Justice in the Rough (Springfield, MO: The Author, 1937), 85; See also, Author’s Interview with Lloyd Walker, Springfield, MO, January 2, 2009. Lloyd Walker is Joseph Walker’s grandson. In my interview with him he stated that his grandfather was the chieftain of the Bald Knobbers in Douglas County, which agrees with Vandeventer’s account.
³ State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888. Missouri State Archives, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8. Hereafter, Missouri State Archives shall be abbreviated MSA.
Martin T. Humble commanded the company in Buckhorn, John James led the legion in Garrison, and Sylvanus Kissee took command of the company in Finley Township.\(^4\)

Other men who seemed to play a leadership role in the organization, based on the frequency with which they later appeared in indictments, included John Mathews, Wiley Mathews, Charles O. Simmons, and Gilbert Applegate.\(^5\) John Mathews was a small farmer and a Baptist deacon who enjoyed a reputation as one of the finest marksmen in the hills.\(^6\) The Reverend Charles O. Simmons (whom contemporaries called “C.O. Simmons”) worked a farm and preached at a Baptist church in Chadwick, Missouri.\(^7\) Like many of their vigilante compatriots, Mathews and Simmons were devout Christians and active in the religious life of their communities. Nevertheless, both of them would face charges for various crimes including their role in the murders of Charles Greene and William Edens, for which Mathews received a death sentence and Simmons spent a term of twelve years in the state penitentiary.\(^8\)

John Mathews’ nephew, Wiley Mathews, was a young man in his early twenties when the Bald Knobbers first organized. The younger Mathews was born in Arkansas around the year 1863. In 1880, he lived with his parents, Lefford and Elizabeth Mathews, and worked on their farm.\(^9\) Born in Arkansas in 1845, Gilbert Applegate came to Missouri with his parents Joseph and Elizabeth Applegate before the Civil War. The Applegate family moved around frequently.

\(^4\) Vandeventer, 85. For Dave Walker’s leadership status, see St. Louis Post-Dispatch, 20 April 1887.
They lived in Greene County in 1850, but by 1860 they had relocated to Taney County.\textsuperscript{10} Applegate fought for the Union during the Civil War, serving in the 16\textsuperscript{th} Missouri Cavalry, and also earned a reputation as a pro-Union bushwhacker. He reportedly murdered a Confederate prisoner named Fulbright, even though local Union militia already had him in custody. Applegate waited near a road for the militia troops transporting the captive to pass, and then fired two shots, the second piercing his victim’s skull.\textsuperscript{11} In the 1880’s, Gilbert Applegate actually lived in Douglas County, but as a Bald Knobber he participated with Bald Knobbers in Christian County in several vigilante actions across the county line.\textsuperscript{12}

In addition to Christian and Douglas counties, considerable circumstantial evidence suggests that the Bald Knobbers, or organizations similar to them, sprouted up in other parts of Missouri and even Arkansas. In the spring of 1887, newspaper reports alleged that the “spirit of the Bald Knobbers” had infected Callaway County near St. Louis. They cited as evidence of their claim the brutal flogging of a man named Turner, possibly because he was suspected of having extramarital relations with a neighbor’s wife. The same articles pointed to other vigilante activities, such as the flogging of one black man and the shooting death of another, as proof that Bald Knob-style vigilantism had come to Callaway County.\textsuperscript{13}

Similar anecdotal evidence from newspapers suggests that Bald Knobbers or other groups like them spread from Southwest Missouri to Northwest Arkansas in the middle 1880’s. When a party of night-riders murdered Phillip Clayton and severely wounded his teenage son in Marion County in December of 1886, one newspaper account suggested that the perpetrators targeted
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Clayton because he belonged to the “Bald Knobbers,” an organization which was “numerically strong in the border counties or Arkansas and Missouri.”14 The following year in September a dispute over the ownership of a drove of hogs led to the murder of John Hardcastle, a farmer living in Stone County, Arkansas. Five assassins shot and killed Hardcastle as he attempted to leave the area with his family. In the wake of the shooting, rumors circulated that the killers belonged to “an organization similar to the Bald Knobbers of Missouri.”15 Other newspaper accounts also reported that the Bald Knobbers had become numerous in northern Arkansas and that while most of the vigilantes in that part of the country were good citizens and church-goers, they were “emphatic with those who disagree with them” and they “regulated morals in their own way.”16

In addition, some Bald Knobber activity may have occurred in nearby Webster and Wright counties. In September, 1887, in Webster County, a group of around 50 masked men rode into Marshfield and conducted a public demonstration. They left threatening messages at the county courthouse advising the officers of the court including Circuit Judge Washington I. Wallace not to prosecute any Bald Knobbers for misdeeds they had allegedly done in that county.17 In Wright County, federal authorities prosecuted a man named Granville Vanbiber for threatening and intimidating a homesteader named A.J. Symmes, and driving him from his home. The case file itself does not prove that Vanbiber was a Bald Knobber, for he apparently acted alone unlike the defendants in the other cases of this nature. Attached to the file, however, is a small handwritten note from the United States land commissioner to U.S. Attorney Maceanas
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E. Benton calling the case “a very good Bald Knob case,” and recommending that he investigate it. It remains unclear whether the commissioner used that description because he knew that Vanbiber actually belonged to the Bald Knobbers, or because the case shared similar characteristics with other Bald Knobber cases involving homesteaders.

A few Bald Knobbers may also have been active in Greene County, Missouri. On October 1, 1887, a Springfield newspaper ran a story about a “shiftless” Greene County man named John Loney who received a threatening note, supposedly from the Bald Knobbers, warning him to leave the county. The note accompanied a bundle of hickory switches, a well-known Bald Knobber calling card. It scared Loney enough to cause him to leave the area immediately. Another man named James Robinson received a similar message after he allegedly stole some German carp from a neighbor’s fishpond. Robinson awoke one morning to find a threatening message posted on his door. It included the following poetic passage describing his crimes: “A mess of fish now and then, occasionally a big fat hen, or a bushel of wheat from some man’s pen.” The signature on the paper read “Bald Knobbers.” A few days later, when Robinson still had not left the area, he received a second, more direct note telling him to leave within ten days or face the consequences. The signature at the bottom read “Citizens.” The people behind these threats may have belonged to an actual Bald Knobber organization, or they may have simply copied their methods in order to rid themselves of troublesome neighbors. Either way, their actions showed that the Bald Knobbers had become a potent symbol of vigilante justice in Greene County, even if they did not have significant numerical strength there.

---

18 US v. Granville Vanbiber, 1887, National Archives at Kansas City, MO, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 7. Hereafter, the National Archives at Kansas City shall be referred to as KCA.

19 Springfield Daily Herald, 1 October 1887.
An abundance of documentary evidence demonstrates the existence of a strong Bald Knobber movement in Christian and Douglas counties. Beginning in the summer of 1886, the Bald Knobbers in this area conducted a campaign of night riding and intimidation. In the main, they targeted people whose lives failed to measure up to the exacting moral standards of the vigilantes, as well as recently settled homesteaders whose arrival cut off the vigilantes’ access to land and resources they wished to utilize. One of their first recorded acts of violence occurred on the evening of July 30, 1886, when a group of masked Bald Knobbers went to the home of E.P. Helms, a 34-year-old homesteader with a wife and six children who had settled in the eastern part of Christian County the previous year. The vigilantes broke into Helms’s home, dragged him outside, put a rope around his neck, whipped him severely, and warned him to leave the country. Helms apparently did not take the lesson to heart, for he stubbornly remained on his land. In March of the following year, the Bald Knobbers returned to Helms’s place and repeated the process all over again. This second visit finally convinced him to leave the area and resettle near Springfield, Missouri.20

As soon as he was out of his enemies’ grasp, Helms filed charges against the Bald Knobbers for what they had done to him. As a result, several vigilantes faced prosecution, both in the circuit court for assault and battery, and in federal court for intimidating homesteaders, which was a federal crime under the provisions of the Homestead Act of 1862 and other federal legislation.21 During one of the federal trials stemming from this case, the Bald Knobbers’ attorney, S.H. Boyd, claimed that the vigilantes had whipped Helms for keeping “lewd women” in his home. Not surprisingly, Helms had a different take on the situation. He stated that the men

20 *US v. John James*, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 82; *Springfield Daily Herald*, 28 September 1887.
whipped him because he had testified against Bald Knobbers in court, because he refused to sell
his land to the Bald Knobber Amos Jones, and because Helms had cut some timber on his own
land to make railroad ties. Helms’s wife testified that the several of the vigilantes warned him to
“steal nobody’s timber but your own,” which may indicate that they thought he had taken timber
from his neighbors’ land as well.22

Another Christian County man, Greene Walker, provoked the anger of the Bald Knobbers
for more salacious reasons. People throughout the Ozark hill country knew of Walker as a
notorious polygamist, in that he kept more than one woman as his wife. His unconventional
lifestyle did not sit well with the moralistic Bald Knobbers. In August, 1886, Dave Walker led a
group of at least nineteen vigilantes to Greene Walker’s house. They seized the unfortunate man,
took him from his home, and beat him “upon the body … with sticks and large switches,” which
they had brought for that purpose.23 After beating him, the vigilantes advised Walker to
discontinue his misconduct. He failed to heed their warning, however, and a party of night riders
led by Michael M. Humble (brother of Martin Humble) returned and beat him a second time,
after which he left the area.24

In addition to whipping people who violated accepted sexual mores, the Bald Knobbers
also punished men who in their judgment abused or neglected their families. According to
William L. Vandeventer, if a man became known for behaving abusively toward his children he
might some evening receive a bundle of switches and a note warning him to change his ways.
One unnamed individual who unwisely failed to comply with such a demand had the Bald
Knobbers visit his home and apply “hickory switches with unsparing hands” to his body. This

22 Springfield Daily Herald, 28 September 1887.
23 Vandeventer, 90-91; State of Missouri vs. David Walker, et al., 1887, MSA, Christian County Circuit
Court Files, Reel No. C 44327, Box 10, Folder 62.
24 Kansas City Star, 24 August, 1887.
had the desired effect of persuading him to leave the county. Similarly, on August 15, 1886, a band of around 15 vigilantes led by Michael Humble visited the home of Horace Johnson, a shiftless man described as “too lazy to support his family.” They dragged him from his house and beat him “on the back, legs, and body … with sticks and large switches.”

Finally, the Bald Knobbers punished those who made a public nuisance of themselves, or disturbed the peace of their communities. For example, in August, 1886, a group of around ten or fifteen Bald Knobbers stopped a local man named Clayton Whiteacre on a public road, pulled him off his horse, and beat him severely with their guns. They told Whiteacre that the alleged offense for which they punished him was disturbing the peace by firing his gun in public and throwing rocks at people passing by on a public road.

In the minds of many Bald Knobbers, the saloons and gambling dens in the small railroad town of Chadwick represented an even graver threat to the peace and happiness of local families than did public nuisances or lazy and shiftless husbands. Prior to 1883, Chadwick did not even exist on the county map. That year the Springfield and Southern Railroad Company, a subsidiary of the St. Louis and San Francisco Railroad, completed a feeder line into Christian County. The railroad first built the town as a camp to house its workers, and then made it the line’s terminal depot. The railroad used Chadwick primarily as a shipping center from which to purchase and

25 Vandeventer, 89-90.
26 Ibid., 92; See also, Charles H. Groom and D.F. McConkey, The Bald Knobbers or Citizen’s Committee of Taney and Christian Counties, Missouri: A History of Southwest Missouri’s Famous Organization, Its Origin, Object, Workings, and Final Termination (Forsyth, MO: Groom & McConkey, 1887), 46.
27 State of Missouri vs. M.M. Humble, et al., 1887, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 44328, Box 10, Folder 120; Kansas City Star, 24 August 1887.
28 State of Missouri vs. David Walker, et al., 1887, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. 44339, Box 18, Folder 99; Springfield Leader, 26 August 1887.
ship the railroad ties it needed for laying new tracks elsewhere. The county’s immense forests helped meet the railroad’s demand for timber.\(^{30}\)

Within a few years timber cutting, or “tie-hacking” as the locals called it, became an integral part of the local economy. Hundreds of the county’s farmers and stock-raisers took up tie-hacking as a means of supplementing their incomes. The men would cut down a straight-trunked oak or hickory tree using a crosscut saw, and then use broad axes to hew the trunks into eight-foot lengths of timber for use as ties. In this manner a man might make eight or ten railroad ties in a typical work day. Next they loaded their ties into wagons and hauled them to Chadwick, where purchasing agents paid for them in cash, usually about 20 cents per tie.\(^{31}\) With so much money flowing in and out of Chadwick, a local vice industry soon developed designed to siphon off the tie-hackers’ hard earned income. Though the town numbered only around 100 inhabitants in its early years, there quickly sprang up a number of ramshackle saloons and gambling dens where men could drink hard liquor or lose their earnings at the card tables if they so chose. These establishments became known as “blind tigers” in the local parlance, and soon drew the ire of the Bald Knobbers. The vigilantes blamed the saloon keepers, the “agents for the devil” as one contemporary writer called them, for corrupting the morals of the tie-hackers, whom they taught “games; trickery, and all imaginable indecency and wicked vices.” The vigilantes understandably believed that the money lost through these pursuits should have gone to supporting the men’s families at home.\(^{32}\)

In the evening of November 9, 1886, Dave Walker led a band of around 40 Bald Knobbers into Chadwick. They broke into a saloon belonging to John Rhodes and Russell

\(^{30}\) Christian County Centennial, 136; Christian County Museum Historical Society, 25.


\(^{32}\) Harper, 36-37.
McCauley, both of whom had previously appeared before the circuit court to face charges for selling liquor without a license.  

Rhodes and McCauley put up a brief fight, and they exchanged a few shots with the night riders before beating a hasty retreat out of town. The vigilantes then entered the saloon and busted it up, breaking all the furniture and pouring out the proprietors’ stock of beer and whiskey.

The saloon keepers apparently proved more recalcitrant than the vigilantes anticipated, because two days later another party of 100 or so night riders rode into Chadwick again, intending to repeat the deeds of the previous raid. As they performed this task, however, several citizens of Chadwick began firing on them from adjacent buildings. In short order the “shooting became general, more than 100 shots being exchanged,” and the Bald Knobbers “stampeded” out of Chadwick ahead of a hail of bullets. Amazingly, only one vigilante fell “seriously wounded” in the fray, and his comrades managed to get him out of town safely.

Not surprisingly, such methods infuriated people who did not appreciate the vigilantes intruding on their personal affairs. Although in Christian and Douglas counties an organized opposition to the Bald Knobbers never developed as it had in Taney County, many people still chaffed under their coercion, and some even dared to speak out against them. The vigilantes contemptuously referred to their enemies and critics as “slickers,” and often punished them for their impudence. In November, 1886, they visited the home of Bob Patterson to warn him not to talk about them anymore. When Patterson answered the door one of the Bald Knobbers pistol-
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33 State of Missouri vs. John Rhodes, 1885, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 44313, Box 1, Folder 103; State of Missouri vs. John Rhodes, 1886, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 47704, Box 66, Folder 127; State of Missouri vs. H.R.H. McCauley, 1886, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 44320, Box 5, Folders 67 and 68.

34 State vs. David Walker, et al., 1887, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 44318, Box 4, Folder 72; Groom and McConkey, 46.

whipped the unlucky man “inflicting a very dangerous and ugly wound.”

Around the same time they visited George Baty, who had been “talking too much” about them, whipped him with switches, and pummeled him with their fists.

Ultimately, the Bald Knobbers’ intolerance of criticism led to tragic and unforeseen consequences, both for them and their critics. About a year before his death at the hands of the vigilantes, William Edens got into an accidental scuffle with a group of Bald Knobbers when they came to his home in search of his brother-in-law, John Evans, who lived with him at that time. The men wanted to whip Evans because he had reportedly disturbed a Sunday worship service by showing up drunk at church. Afterwards he returned home firing his pistol into the air and swearing. Unfortunately, when the vigilantes came to whip him, William Edens attempted to protect his relative and got between his brother-in-law and the men whipping him. As a result, the men “struck him a few licks” before Dave Walker yelled at them to stop.

After that incident, William Edens hated the Bald Knobbers because of the indignity done to him and his relative, and he frequently spoke out against them. On one occasion he boasted publically that if the night riders ever tried to whip him they would have to return the next morning “when it is light and count their dead around my house.” When the Bald Knobbers heard about this threat, Dave and William Walker led a group of vigilantes to William Edens’ home and dragged him out of the house. They stripped him, tied him to an oak tree, and beat him bloody with sticks and switches. When they had finished they mocked him as they departed.
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36 Vandeventer, 91.
37 State vs. David Walker, et al., 1887, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 44318, Box 4, Folder 33; Hartman and Ingenthron, 150.
38 Harper, 107-08.
telling him that in the morning he should get up and “see how many dead Bald Knobbers you can count.”

The Bald Knobbers in the two northern counties shared some traits in common with the original organization in Taney County. As mentioned above, they used the same semi-military style of organization, with a head man or “chieftain” at the top, and several companies or “legions” based in various parts of each county. In practice, however, they did not exhibit much formal discipline within their ranks. Although contemporary estimates put the strength of the Christian County Bald Knobbers at between 200 and 800 men, most of the vigilante acts attributed to the group involved at most a few dozen members. Dave Walker’s Chadwick legion was apparently very active, a fact reflected in the number of times Walker’s name made its way onto indictments, but other legions seem to have done fairly little. Dave Walker himself apparently did not have great confidence in his authority within the organization. During the trials for the murders of Charles Greene and William Edens, he told an interviewer, “They call me the chief but I don’t know as I am eny more ‘an eny of ‘em.’” One might well infer from this explanation that Walker wanted to minimize his responsibility for other people’s misdeeds. His words also indicate, however, that he exercised less influence over his followers than Nat Kinney did over his men. For example, after his arrest, Walker tried to convince his fellow Bald Knobbers not to testify against each other, but his pleas did not prevent a number of the vigilantes from turning state’s evidence.
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39 State vs. David Walker, et al., 1887, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 44318, Box 4, Folder 32; Vandeventer, 134-35.
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41 Harper, 106.
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The vigilantes in the two northern counties also had a membership oath that closely replicated the pledge used by their counterparts to the south. A descendant of Joseph Walker has preserved a copy of the oath used in Douglas County. The initiate who took it pledged “never to reveal the secrets of this order” and to obey the commands of his superiors within it. Significantly, the new member promised to observe all the rules of his order, and of any “sister order under whose jurisdiction I may at the time be attached.” This provision indicated that some members transferred their membership from one county organization to another whenever they moved, and that members often participated in Bald Knob activities across county lines. The new member also pledged not to sponsor anyone for membership who did not deserve it, not to oppose the membership of any person out of personal hostility, and to report all crimes of which he became aware, even if a blood relative committed them. Finally, the initiate promised that he would never defraud fellow members, and that if he betrayed the oath he would submit to the judgment of his comrades, even if they decided to hang him “by the neck until dead!”\(^\text{43}\)

The type of men recruited into the vigilante ranks in the two northern counties differed in significant ways from the composition of the group in Taney County. As seen in Chapter Three, the original Bald Knob chapter included not only a large proportion of farmers, but an equally significant number of lawyers, schoolteachers, merchants, storekeepers, and other professions not related to agriculture. They came from diverse geographical backgrounds; about half of them listed either Missouri or Tennessee as their birthplace, while the rest came from different parts of the country, including Virginia, West Virginia, Arkansas, North Carolina, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, New York, Massachusetts, and Kansas. One even emigrated from England. They were

\(^{43}\text{A photocopy of this oath can found in a file labeled “Lloyd Walker Collection,” at the Greene County Archives in Springfield, Missouri. Lloyd Walker also showed me the original copy when I interviewed him on January 2, 2009.}\)
predominantly older or middle age men, averaging nearly 40 years old apiece, and more than a quarter of them had fought for the Union during the Civil War.

By contrast, the members of the Bald Knobbers in Christian and Douglas counties were overwhelmingly farmers. Out of ninety-five vigilantes in these counties for whom census records are available, roughly nine tenths of them engaged in agriculture as their sole or primary profession. This usually meant that they classified themselves as “farmers,” “farm laborers,” “farm hands,” or some related designation on the federal census returns. They tended to be much younger men, averaging around 30 years in age, with many members in their teens or early twenties. As a result, more than half of them were born in Missouri, while most of the rest came from the neighboring states of Tennessee, Arkansas, and Illinois, with a smattering of members from other states. Only a few were old enough to have seen military service during the Civil War, but of that group at least twelve fought for the Union, and two fought for the Confederacy. (Table 4.1)

Moreover, the Christian County Bald Knobbers usually came from humbler economic circumstances than their counterparts to the south. Although tax assessment records for both counties are spotty at best, some general observations can be gleaned from them. First, the vigilantes in Christian County tended to have less wealth in land than
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44 The data concerning occupation, age distribution, and place of birth for the Bald Knobbers in Christian and Douglas Counties comes primarily from federal census records for the years 1870, 1880, 1900, and beyond. These records were accessed using Ancestry.com.

their brethren in Taney County. The land assessment records for Christian County in 1885 contain the names of at least thirty-one Bald Knobbers. Twenty-two of these, or roughly two thirds, owned land assessed at less than $500, while just nine owned more than $500 of real estate, and only one owned land worth more than $1,000. By contrast, the land assessment records for Taney County in 1886 contain the names of at least nineteen Bald Knobbers. More than half of these, eleven, owned land valued at more than $500, while just eight owned less than $500 in real estate. Five of them had land valued at more than $1,000. Second, the wealthiest vigilantes in Taney County far outstripped those in Christian County. Four Bald Knobbers in Taney County – John J. Brown, James K. Polk McHaffie, Alexander C. Kissee, and his brother Arter Kissee, owned land valued in excess of $1,800, while the wealthiest vigilante in Christian County, William Johns, had approximately $1,200 in real estate. Finally, the Bald Knobbers in Taney County were far more likely to own property in towns, particularly the county seat at Forsyth, while those in Christian County mainly owned property in rural areas. For example, John J. Brown owned dozens of lots in Forsyth, and several other Bald Knobbers including McHaffie, George Washington Middleton, James B. Rice, Charles H. Groom, Reuben S. Branson, and John L. Cook owned property in Forsyth and other nearby towns as well.\footnote{Christian County Land Assessment, 1885, MSA, Reel No. C 1649; Taney County Land Assessment, 1886, MSA, Reel No. C 14339. I was unable to find a majority the names of the Bald Knobbers who lived in these counties, partly because the records cited here were handwritten and not always legible, and because they may not have been complete to begin with. In the case of Taney County, the courthouse fire in 1885 probably destroyed many records that would otherwise have been available. The observations made here are based on the records for those individuals that I could find, which I believe constitute a large enough sample to make some general observations, even though they do not encompass every Bald Knobber property owner. Additionally, I compare only Christian and Taney counties because no land assessment records were available for Douglas County during this period.}

The vigilantes in Christian County did not follow the custom of meeting on the large treeless hills, or “bald knobs,” favored by their brethren to the south. Unfortunately, these convenient geographical features did not exist there. Instead, they held many of their meetings in caves.
When the group first organized in the county, Dave Walker suggested that they meet in a cave he knew of about two miles from his house. The cavern was located in a deep gulch beneath a high, overhanging cliff face which provided the vigilantes with natural seclusion from prying eyes. The mouth of the opening was broad and spacious enough to accommodate many men. In view of these advantages, the vigilantes made the cavern a regular meeting place.47

Another custom that set the Bald Knobbers in the northern counties apart from their southern counterparts was their penchant for hideous and terrifying masks. The members of the original organization in Taney County rarely, if ever, wore masks during their vigilante excursions.48 They had no need to, since their members essentially controlled the county government from the middle to late 1880’s. In the two northern counties, however, the vigilantes did not have that luxury. The sources currently available indicate that only one local official in Christian County, Judge Reuben L. Hale of the county court, may have belonged to the Bald Knobbers.49 In Douglas County, Constable George L. Sanders and Justice of the Peace Joel Casad likely belonged to the Bald Knobbers, but no other public officials did.50

Thus, the vigilantes in these counties adopted the practice of wearing elaborate masks to hide their identity. The members typically used black cambric or calico material to make their masks. The masks covered the whole head and face, with holes cut out for the eyes and mouth. The men stitched around the edges of the holes with red thread, which created a “button-hole” appearance. From the top of the each mask extended two cloth cones or “horns,” made of the same material. Plugs made of wood or cork held the cones upright, and the point of each

47 Vandeventer, 85-86.
49 New York Times, 21 March 1887. When rumors of Hale’s involvement with the Bald Knobbers became public in 1887 they nearly cost Hale his job, as angry citizens submitted petitions demanding his resignation.
50 U.S. vs. Joel Casad, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 99; U.S. vs. George L. Sanders, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 107.
protrusion had a tassel of red thread on it. Lastly, they drew circles of white paint around the face holes to give the masks a ghostly aspect.⁵¹ One may readily imagine the psychological impact that such masks had, particularly on those unfortunate enough to face a party of riders wearing them in the dead of night. The vigilantes who disguised themselves in this manner wanted to look like “hideous, horrid creatures” that possessed “[f]orms like men but faces like devils.”⁵² (Figure 4.2)

Yet the men who wore the masks did not see themselves as devils, but as righteous men fighting for justice and morality in their neighborhoods and communities. The moral fervor of these “righteous devils” stemmed in large part from the devout brand of evangelical Christianity that many of them shared. Although sufficient records do not exist to determine the church membership status of most Bald Knobbers, contemporary observers frequently referred to the strong religiosity of many of the vigilantes from Christian and Douglas counties. After the Edens-Greene killings one observer noted that 14 of the 25 individuals arrested in connection with that crime belonged to Baptist congregations.⁵³ Around the same time, the Enquirer-Sun of Columbus, Georgia reported that several members of the vigilante movement then incarcerated,
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⁵¹ New York Sun, 23 August 1888; Stone County News-Oracle, 4 October 1963.
⁵² Harper, 50.
⁵³ Ibid., 79.
including Dave Walker, Joseph Hyde, William Abbott, and C.O. Simmons, all belonged to the Baptist church.\textsuperscript{54}

The emphasis that these vigilantes placed on the moral regulation of their neighborhoods and communities did not go unnoticed by contemporary observers. In comparing the Bald Knobbers to other vigilante groups of the day, the Jefferson City \textit{Daily Tribune} conceded that vigilantes sometimes performed a useful function by tracking down horse thieves and other criminals. On the other hand, the “desirability of an organization which shall go into the houses of their neighbors to tell them how to live, or to intimidate neighbors for any purpose whatever, is very doubtful.”\textsuperscript{55} Years after the Edens-Greene murder trials, Judge Walter D. Hubbard, the jurist who presided over the trials, joined with several other prominent Springfield attorneys in requesting Governor Lawrence V. Stephens to commute the sentences of Amos Jones and William Stanley. Hubbard argued that these men bore less responsibility for the tragic deaths of Charles Greene and William Edens than other parties involved in the incident, and said that he did not believe they “were bad men at heart.” Rather, they had “imbibed a kind of Joan of Arc sentiment, and being ignorant, thought that they had a right to go out and make humanity do right according to their notions of right.”\textsuperscript{56}

Even some Bald Knobbers from Taney County felt that their northern brothers had gone too far in trying to regulate the morals of their neighbors. In their short history of the Bald Knobber movement, Charles Groom and D.F. McConkey drew a sharp distinction between themselves and their more fanatical brethren in Christian County, who erred in trying to “force those who would not by persuasion or of their own account be what the God of nature designed
they should be.” Groom and McConkey pointed to the whipping of the polygamist Greene Walker and the raid on John Rhodes’ and Russell McCauley’s saloon as evidence that their northern cousins had interfered too much in the private lives of other people. Indeed, the harsh attitude of the northern Bald Knobbers toward liquor diverged substantially from the more relaxed attitude of their southern counterparts on the subject. As seen in Chapter Two, the southern vigilantes elected as their chieftain the former saloonkeeper, Nat Kinney, and two of their more prominent members, Reuben Branson and Calvin Parrish, owned a large distillery producing thousands of gallons of whiskey per year.

The northern Bald Knobbers emphasized moral regulation largely because they wanted to protect their family members from the pernicious influences that accompanied the emergence of modern industrial capitalism in the Ozarks. In the wake of the railroad and timber companies came brothels, blind tigers, gambling dens, and various purveyors of vice who challenged the traditional social mores of the hill country. The vigilantes reacted to these changes by using violent force to impose moral discipline on their community. Political philosopher Michael Walzer examined a similar dynamic in an influential essay on the political ideology of Puritanism in sixteenth and seventeenth century England. The English society that gave birth to Puritanism, writes Walzer, exhibited by a high degree of economic growth, social mobility, and instability. The purpose of Puritan politics, therefore, was to “regain control of a changing world” by enforcing moral discipline on society. Something similar took place in the backcountry of North Carolina in the late 1760’s. There a diverse coalition of disaffected farmers
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and religious dissenters combined to challenge the wealthy land speculators and corrupt
government officials who exploited them. The North Carolina Regulators, as these agrarian
radicals came to be known, drew inspiration from the religious legacy of the Great Awakening,
which created an “insurgent climate” that gave small farmers the moral self-assurance necessary
to challenge those in positions of authority. The Regulators especially objected to the gradual
divergence of Christian morality and capitalist economics, one of the cultural changes
accompanying the emerging capitalist order, and wanted to create a world where “morality and
economics would not be separate.”

The zeal to create a righteous society, which in England culminated in the Revolution of
the 1640’s, and in North Carolina resulted in the Regulator Movement, took a very different
form in late nineteenth century America. There the impulse toward moral reform often found
expression in a multitude of vigilante organizations, of which the Bald Knobbers were but one
example. Another more pervasive example was the widespread phenomenon known as “white
capping.” It began in southern Indiana in the 1880’s and quickly spread to “the four corners of
the nation.” White capping took a variety of forms, depending on the location and context in
which it occurred. In Mississippi, the white caps were mainly poor white farmers who persecuted
black farm laborers to prevent economic competition. In New Mexico, the white caps were
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poor Mexican ranchers who resisted the illegal enclosure of common grazing land by wealthy Anglo-American and Mexican land owners.\textsuperscript{64}

In its original and most prevalent form, however, white capping was “a movement of violent moral regulation by local masked bands,” aimed at punishing anyone who transgressed the moral standards of their community.\textsuperscript{65} In Sevier County in eastern Tennessee, for example, residents formed a white cap organization around the year 1892. The Sevier County white caps became notorious for enforcing a stringent moral code on their neighbors, and even whipped several women suspected of sexual promiscuity. When one of their female victims died of her wounds, the enemies of the white caps formed a rival organization called the “Blue-bills” to combat them, resulting in skirmishes between the groups.\textsuperscript{66} Likewise, the white caps of Oklahoma’s Indian Territory punished men and women suspected of such offenses as sexual immorality or drunkenness.\textsuperscript{67}

In southern Indiana, where the first white cap group originated, enforcing individual morality became the “overriding concern” of the vigilantes. The offenses which they targeted included “neglect of family, wife or child beating, laziness, drunkenness, and improper conduct between men and women.”\textsuperscript{68} Significantly, the author of the only study on this group links the surge in vigilante activity in the 1880’s to the completion of a major railroad line through the area in 1883. The railroad drew the region’s farmers into the wider commercial network of the United States, and undermined the economic independence that had previously characterized

\textsuperscript{65} Brown, 150.
\textsuperscript{67} Robert E. Cunningham, \textit{Trial by Mob} (Stillwater, OK: Redland Press, 1957), 10-12.
their lives. Thus, the “intensity of whitecapping in [southern Indiana] in the 1880’s was, in this sense, a reaction to the general unsettledness of the times.”

Like the white caps of Tennessee, Oklahoma, and Indiana, the Bald Knobbers of Southwest Missouri responded to the “general unsettledness of the times” by trying to impose a strict moral order on their communities. But the vigilantes of the Ozarks also clashed with the agents of economic change on matters of dollars and cents. For example, in the mid-1880’s, one of the most powerful economic institutions in Southwest Missouri was the J.L. Lee Tie and Timber Company of Springfield, Missouri, which later merged into the Hobart-Lee Tie Company. This firm engaged in a variety of lumbering operations throughout the region. One of its primary businesses was the purchase and transport of railroad ties, which it bought from local tie hackers and resold to the St. Louis and San Francisco Railroad and other customers. In 1886 the founder of this corporation, Joshua L. Lee, sent his nineteen-year old son Robert E. Lee (named after the famous general) to Sparta to take over the company’s commissary there.

Years later, in an interview with journalist Lucille Morris Upton, Lee estimated that around 600 Bald Knobbers worked for the timber company as tie hackers. This figure, though probably greatly exaggerated, indicated how important timber had become to the local economy. Lee also remembered that sometime after his arrival in Sparta a band of night riders visited his father at the company’s office in Chadwick. The riders demanded that the elder Lee raise the price of railroad ties, and tell his tie inspector not to cull so many of their ties before purchase. They threatened to burn down the company’s commissary if he did not meet their demands. Lee
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recalled that his father refused their request, telling them that he treated them as fairly as he
could, and that if they harmed him or destroyed company property they would only drive him
away and lose jobs and wages. A short time later a company inspector found a note attached to a
load of ties cut by Dave and William Walker. The note warned that if he culled any ties “the
Bald Knobbers will call on you.”71 Neither of these threats resulted in actual violence against the
company or its representatives, likely because the vigilantes realized the legal and economic
consequences of following through on their threats. They did show, however, that some Bald
Knobbers considered achieving economic goals an appropriate use of vigilante action.

Likewise, many vigilantes now wanted to stop new homesteaders from settling in their
region. As the Bald Knobber movement gained momentum and spread to different areas of
Southwest Missouri, it began to attract the attention of federal officeholders who worried that
such activities could retard the socioeconomic development of the region. On October 1, 1886,
U.S. Commissioner McLain Jones wrote to federal judge Arnold Krekel expressing his concern
about “a body of men called Bald Knobbers, who ride around the country doing as they please,
giving people notice to leave the country, whipping them, etc.” Jones believed that these men did
“a great deal of harm” to the region by “keeping back emigration, etc.” He pointed out a recent
case in New York in which federal authorities had prosecuted several people for attempting to
deprive homesteaders of their right to live on and develop their land. He went on to suggest that
the same strategy might apply in Southwest Missouri.72

The commissioner’s prediction proved correct. From 1887 through 1888 dozens of Bald
Knobbers from Christian and Douglas counties stood trial in federal court for intimidating
homesteaders and running them off their land. The most successful of these cases, in terms of the

71 Upton, 110-12.
72 Springfield Daily Herald, 16 September 1887. This article discusses the origins of the homesteader
intimidation cases, and includes a copy of a letter sent from McLain Jones to Arnold Krekel.
number of persons prosecuted, stemmed from the two whippings of the Christian County homesteader E.P. Helms in July, 1886, and March, 1887. Twenty vigilantes faced charges in federal court in connection with these two beatings. \(^73\) About four months after Helms’s first beating, Bald Knobber captain John James led a band of armed and masked men to the residence of homesteader Perry Hursh in Christian County. They called him out of his house and ordered him to leave the county within 30 days or they would hang him. Faced with these unattractive alternatives, Hursh wisely decided to move on. \(^74\)

The vigilantes’ hostility to homesteaders extended even to those who helped them settle in the area. In November, 1886, Bald Knobber John Denny led a party of night riders to the home of Hugh Ratliff, a homesteader in Douglas County. They found Ratliff in bed asleep with his wife. Suddenly the men broke the door down and burst into the house. A furious struggle ensued as the vigilantes attempted to seize Ratliff and put a noose around his neck. He fought back, and in the course of their struggle the men broke down the bed where he lay. Ratliff’s wife tried to intervene, but her arm became caught between the bed railing and one of the attacker’s

\(^73\) U.S. v. John James, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 82; U.S. vs. James T. White, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 111; U.S. vs. William Applegate, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 113; U.S. vs. William Smith, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 116; U.S. vs. James Morrisett, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 121; U.S. vs. Jack Hiles, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 122; U.S. vs. Henry Applegate, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 123; U.S. vs. William Roberts & Jack Hiles, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 126; U.S. vs. Sam Newton & James Morrisett, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 128; U.S. vs. Gat Walker, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 130; U.S. vs. Matt Nash & Gilbert Applegate, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 133; U.S. vs. Andy Adams & C.O. Simmons, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 134; U.S. vs. William Newton & Matt Shipman, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 135; U.S. vs. Wylie Mathews & Ed Linscott, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 136; U.S. vs. Amos Jones & Henry Applegate, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 137; U.S. vs. Newton Myers, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 323.

\(^74\) U.S. vs. John James, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 82; U.S. vs. Thomas K. Jones, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 78; U.S. vs. Dr. Daniel Vandeventer, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 85. Hursh’s name was spelled “Heursh” in some of the court documents.
knees. The young infant she carried in her arms was nearly crushed as a result of the fighting, but the men let her up when she cried out that “they were killing the child.”

The men finally wrestled Ratliff out of the house. They took him to an old tree stump where they held him down and proceeded to whip him. As they administered this punishment, Ratliff asked them why they were treating him this way. The men replied that they wanted him to “pay your just and honest debts and tend to your own business, and furnish no more money to homesteaders in this country.” Ratliff later explained in his statement that he had loaned money to one Caleb Atwood, another homesteader who had recently arrived in the area, in order to help him get established. The U.S. Marshals, acting under orders from Commissioner Jones, began rounding up the men involved in this case in December, 1886. They eventually charged ten vigilantes with intimidating Ratliff and Atwood and forcing them to leave the county. The attorney for these men, S.H. Boyd, brokered a deal with U.S. Attorney Maceanas E. Benton whereby they pled guilty in exchange for relatively mild sentences of between two and six months in prison for their role in evicting these men.

Although the efforts of officials such as McLain Jones and Maceanas Benton met with great success, the federal government’s anti-Bald Knobber campaign did not immediately eliminate the threat to homesteaders. In April, 1887, a band of 10 to 15 armed and masked men visited the homestead of Robert Ellis in Douglas County. When the men arrived, Ellis and his friend Joseph Bacorn heard them approach. Realizing the danger, Ellis and Bacorn ran outside and hid in some brush nearby. When vigilantes entered the house they questioned Ellis’s brother,

75 U.S. vs. John Denney, 1887, KCA, Central Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 2520.
76 Ibid.
George Ellis, but he refused to tell them where his brother had gone. The men told George that his brother had 20 days to leave the county or they would kill him. As the vigilantes prepared to leave, Bacorn heard one of them say they intended to visit his homestead next. The following day he returned home to find a bundle of switches and a note ordering him to leave. Although the men wore masks, Ellis and Bacorn could identify at least four of them from their body types and voices, including J.L. Garrison, David H. Hunter, John F. Stout, and W.W. Wagoner. Additionally, Stout’s wife later testified against her husband, informing the authorities that he belonged to the Bald Knobbers and had taken part in the raid.79

Around the same time as the Ellis raid, a party of men including David Hunter, whom witnesses recognized because of his long white beard visible underneath his mask, went to the homestead of Noah O’Connell and ordered him to leave the area. When he did not comply with their order, they burned down his house. The following January a group of Bald Knobbers, including David Hunter, paid a return visit to Robert Ellis’s home and ordered him, in front of his family, to leave his homestead “under penalty of death.” They also left a note to that effect, advising him that “[s]ix feet of land will be your worth if you don’t heed this warning.”80

Some homestead intimidation cases had no definitive connection to the Bald Knobbers even though they had many of the earmarks of their other actions. One such case involved homesteader Daniel A. Skeens of Douglas County. Skeens took out a homestead patent in September, 1886, and settled with his family on his new land. He found it difficult to earn a living there, however, and in early 1887 he left his family and his land to find work elsewhere. In the meantime, one of his neighbors, Uriah Pruitt, filed a lawsuit against Skeens, seeking to appropriate his homestead on the ground that he had abandoned it. The suit went before officials

79 U.S. vs. J.L. Garrison, 1888, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 260; U.S. vs. David Hunter, 1888, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 309.
80 Ibid.
in the local U.S. land office in Springfield, who ruled in Pruitt’s favor. Skeens immediately appealed the decision to General Land Office in Washington, however, and in the interval U.S. Attorney Benton wrote to all parties involved informing them that Skeens had the right to remain on his homestead until authorities in Washington reached a final decision. Acting in defiance of these instructions, local constable George L. Sanders went to the homestead with a party of men and forcibly evicted Skeens and his family from their home. Pruitt and his friends also had the support of local Justice of the Peace Joel Casad who issued an order allowing Sanders to evict Skeens, even though the land office in Springfield warned him that he had no authority to do so. Furthermore, Casad refused to allow Skeens to “introduce any papers from the land office at all in his court,” which would have upheld his right to the land.81

Nothing in the court records related to the Skeens case conclusively proves that the men who evicted him belonged to the Bald Knobbers, although the timing, location, and methods that these men employed all suggest such a connection. A similar case occurred in Stone County, where James W. Carr harassed and intimidated one Coe Holley, an elderly homesteader in that area. Carr lived in Christian County at the time of the federal census in 1880. He had been cutting some cedar timber from government land in the vicinity of Holley’s homestead, a crime for which he had previously faced charges in federal court. At some point Carr must have decided to access the timber on Holley’s land, for in June of 1887, he and an accomplice named Jacob Horn made two attempts to drive Holley from his property. One night Carr shot into Holley’s tent while he and his family lay asleep. Later, when Holley began erecting a log house

---

81 U.S. vs. Simon Lakey, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 97; U.S. vs. Uriah Pruitt, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 98; U.S. vs. Joel Casad, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 99; U.S. vs. George L. Sanders, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 99.
on his property, Carr tried unsuccessfully to burn it down.\textsuperscript{82} Nothing in the case file directly substantiates a link to the Bald Knobbers, but once again the timing, location, and nature of the crime suggest the strong possibility of a connection.

The precise reasons for the Bald Knobber hostility to homesteaders require some effort to sort out, in part because the court documents do not always explain why the vigilantes targeted particular individuals. Certain homesteaders may have earned the vigilantes’ enmity through theft or immoral behavior. Nevertheless, the frequency of these cases, and the strong federal response they elicited, suggest that the vigilantes had an underlying motive for wanting to exclude homesteaders from the area in the 1880’s. In some cases, homesteaders may have inadvertently intruded on existing land arrangements. In the Ozarks at that time the custom of “squatting” remained fairly prevalent, and many homesteaders may have taken up land that somebody else had long held without ever acquiring formal title.\textsuperscript{83} Attorney S.H. Boyd, who represented dozens of the vigilantes in various causes, probably had this in mind when he remarked in the course of one trial that the “Homestead law was a constant source of annoyance and cost to the Government, and it would be better if it did not exist.”\textsuperscript{84}

At the same time, some of the Bald Knobbers themselves filed for homesteads with the federal government, including such prominent members as David Walker, Gilbert Applegate, and Bud Gann.\textsuperscript{85} So clearly the vigilantes did not object to homesteaders in general, but rather to individual homesteaders who somehow provoked them. Access to timber likely played a role in the decision to target particular homesteaders. As previously discussed, after the new railroad

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{82} U.S. vs. James W. Carr, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 88.
\item \textsuperscript{83} Dallas Morning News, 9 December 1887.
\item \textsuperscript{84} Springfield Daily Republican, 9 September 1887.
\item \textsuperscript{85} The U.S. General Land Office at Springfield, MO issued David Walker patent number 410 for 160 acres in Christian County in 1871 and patent number 32171 for 33 acres in 1886. The same office issued patent number 2956 for 40 acres to James Gann in 1876 and patent number 7463 for 160 acres to Gilbert Applegate in 1891.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
line to Chadwick opened in 1883, tie-hacking became a significant part of the local economy, and access to good timber land became extremely important. Judge William L. Vandeventer, whose own father faced charges in connection with the case involving Perry Hursh, wrote that the vigilantes especially disliked “squatters” who would settle on vacant land, and “erect for themselves a log cabin, and proceed to appropriate the ‘tie timber’ thereon.”\(^{86}\) Obviously, homesteaders were not the same thing as “squatters,” but Vandeventer probably used the term pejoratively to describe those who only came to the area to harvest the timber.

In the 1880’s, a troupe of amateur actors based in Sparta performed an historical drama titled “The Bald Knob Tragedy,” which included a scene based on just such a situation involving a homesteader and timber theft. In the scene, the Bald Knobbers went at night to the home of their intended victim, a man named McCormack, and called him out of his house. They informed him that “you have been stealing timber for the past year and it has got to be stoppen (\textit{sic}), you dirty old rascal.” McCormack, they said, practiced no occupation besides “stealing government timber,” and would often cover his theft by reporting it to the authorities as the work of some innocent person. For these crimes the vigilantes gave him fifty lashes “well laid on.”\(^{87}\) Although the incident represented in the play is probably fictional, it supports the notion that the Bald Knobbers targeted homesteaders who took timber that the vigilantes wanted for themselves.

The cases involving E.P. Helms and Coe Holley offer further support for the contention that access to timber played a significant role in the Bald Knobbers’ anti-homesteader campaign. The vigilantes claimed that they whipped Helms because of his moral deficiencies. Both Helms and his wife, however, testified that the men targeted him because Amos Ring wanted to acquire

\(^{86}\) Vandeventer, 20.

\(^{87}\) J.J. Bruton, et al., \textit{The Bald Knob Tragedy of Taney and Christian Counties} (Sparta, MO: Self-Published by the Authors, 1887), 19-20.
By aggressively prosecuting vigilantes who harassed or intimidated homesteaders, the federal government dealt a severe blow to the Bald Knobber movement in Southwest Missouri. Over a period of roughly two years beginning with the first arrests in late 1886, federal authorities tried and convicted nearly three dozen known or suspected Bald Knobbers in Christian and Douglas counties for violating homesteader’s rights. It remains uncertain, however, whether the federal legal offensive would have exerted sufficient force to collapse the vigilante organization had not events at a small cabin in Christian County on March 11, 1887, turned the power of public opinion and the full weight of local and state authorities decidedly against the vigilantes.

That cabin belonged to James Edens, the father of William Edens, who had so unwisely antagonized the Bald Knobbers. The elder Edens lived in the northwest quarter of section 5, township 26, and range 19 of Christian County. He resided in a small, one-room structure approximately 16 by 18 feet, with doors on the east and west sides, and a small window to the right of the western door. His house lay alongside the railroad line to Chadwick, approximately two miles east of Sparta. A three-cornered fence enclosed the yard about the house. It was shaped that way because the railroad cut off part of the yard. At its closest point, the railroad passed about 40 yards from the house.\footnote{All information about the location and layout of James Edens’ home comes from John C. Rogers, the former county clerk, who testified in the Edens-Greene murder case. State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888,}

\footnote{Springfield Daily Herald, 28 September 1887.}
\footnote{U.S. vs. James W. Carr, 1887, KCA, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 88.}

his land, and because they desired the timber thereon.\footnote{Likewise, James Carr tried to drive Coe Holley off his land in order to harvest the timber on it. Even if Carr did not belong to the Bald Knobbers, which remains uncertain one way or the other, his actions illustrate the lengths to which some residents would go to obtain precious timber resources.}
On the night in question, James Edens had two of his adult children staying with him. His daughter Melvina had recently given birth to a child, and since that time had experienced a bout with the measles. Her husband, Charles Greene, had taken her and their two young children to stay with her parents so they could help nurse her back to health. James Edens stayed up until about ten o’clock that evening, sitting with his daughter Melvina and administering her medicine. His son William, who lived about a quarter mile northwest of him, had also come to his parents’ house for a visit along with his wife Emma.  

(Figure 4.3) Earlier that day, William had visited Sparta where he once again publically antagonized the vigilantes by comparing a Bald Knobber to a “sheep killing dog,” and implying that he had as much right to kill one as the other. This insult had apparently reached the ears of young William Walker, who vowed revenge on the impertinent Edens.  

Around the time that the Edens and Greene families had settled down to bed, Dave Walker and a group of about twenty-five to thirty Bald Knobbers began holding a meeting. The specific purpose of the meeting remains somewhat vague. Judge Vandeve}

---

MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8; State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.  
91 State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8.  
92 Vandeve. 3, 122.  
both wrote that Dave Walker, who had grown wary of the group’s increasingly violent activities, called the meeting in order to disband the organization.\textsuperscript{94} In his testimony in Dave Walker’s trial, Gilbert Applegate confirmed that the day before the meeting at the old smelter Walker told him that he wanted to disband his group, and he wanted Applegate to attend because he had taken part in disbanding some of the Bald Knob legions in Douglas County. Once at the smelter, however, Dave Walker did not attempt to disband the group, and according to Applegate “if he made any proposition to disband his men I didn’t hear it.”\textsuperscript{95}

The meeting commenced around eight o’clock in the evening, and lasted for roughly two hours. It took place in an isolated location called the “old smelter,” located in deep ravine or “holler” about two miles southeast of Sparta, and roughly a mile west of Dave Walker’s home. The smelter was located in the northwest quarter of section 6, township 26, and range 19.\textsuperscript{96} The meeting itself does not appear to have been especially well-organized or purposeful. James McGuire, who attended the assembly, testified that members continued to drift in for roughly an hour after the meeting began, and some men got bored and left early. As the members arrived, most of them congregated beneath a tree next to the road running through that place. The night air must have felt cold, however, for someone had built a fire about twenty-five or thirty feet from the tree, and during the meeting men would get up and “pass back and forth from the tree to the fire.” Several of them carried weapons, mostly pistols, rifles, and shotguns. For example, William Newton later recalled that Wiley Mathews carried a double barreled shotgun, and John Mathews had a Winchester rifle, while Newton himself carried a pistol and a shotgun. Most of

\textsuperscript{94} Vandeventer, 97; Harper, 88-89. This explanation also appears in Hartman and Ingenthron, 151.
\textsuperscript{95} State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.
\textsuperscript{96} State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8; State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.
the men wore the distinctive Bald Knobber mask of black cambric or calico cloth with horns and tassels of white or red thread.\footnote{State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8; State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4; State of Missouri vs. William Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 1. McGuire testified in all four trials involving the Bald Knobbers sentenced to death. This included the John Mathews trial, but that case file is incomplete and his testimony is missing. William Newton testified in the Wiley Mathews and William Walker trials.}

The men present discussed a variety of topics, much of it unrelated to any kind of vigilante business. Gilbert Applegate recalled that they talked about “shooting, and making ties, and so-on … and bragging on their guns and one thing and another.” Some of the men suggested whipping various individuals, but most of those present rejected these proposals. Then someone proposed that they go pour out some whisky belonging to a local moonshiner named William (“Bucky Bill”) Roberts, to which Dave Walker agreed. Around ten o’clock that evening the meeting broke up. Some of the men parted ways with the others and returned to their homes, but at least half of the crowd followed Dave Walker as he led them out of the holler. A few of the vigilantes, including Dave Walker, rode horses, but most of the crowd proceeded on foot. The group following Walker headed in a northeast direction, passing the homes of Thomas Day and Judge Reuben L. Hale, before reaching the tracks of the railroad line to Chadwick. They crossed the tracks at a railroad crossing built for the convenience of tie hackers and timber haulers. At this point, some of them must have realized that they were very close to William Edens’ home, for a group of about ten or twelve of them led by William Walker broke away from the rest, ran towards the house, and entered it. Finding William Edens absent, the men decided to search for him at his father’s house, located about a quarter of a mile to the southwest. They ran back to the railroad, and then followed the tracks at a rapid pace down towards James Edens’ residence. This action apparently took the rest of the vigilantes, including Dave Walker, Joe Inman, Gilbert Applegate, and James R. McGuire, completely by surprise. When he saw the men rushing
towards James Edens house, Joe Inman hollered to his chieftain to stop them or they would get themselves into trouble. Walker called out to his men, yelling “hold up there, boys,” but they either did not hear him or chose to ignore the order.  

A great deal of confusion and sometimes contradictory testimony surrounds the events that happened next, but the following facts are clear. After the crowd of about ten or twelve Bald Knobbers reached the Edens cabin one of them called out, presumably to William Edens, something to the effect of “Get out of there, God damn you, or we will kill you!” William awoke suddenly when he heard the commotion outside. As he sprang out of bed and pulled up his pants, he shouted to his father, “Get up, pap, the Bald Knobbers are here!” The elder Edens jumped out of bed and felt for his pistol in the pocket of the coat that he had left hanging over the bed. He called to his wife, who said she had the weapon, and he grabbed it from her. About that time someone on the outside shattered the window on the western side of the house and fired three shots into it.

The next moment the two doors on either side of the house crashed open. Wiley Mathews used an axe he found in the yard outside to break down the western door, while someone else, possibly William Walker, kicked in the door on the other side. Suddenly, eight or ten men surged into the house, setting off a chaotic struggle inside of it. Three of them seized James Edens, who had just laid hold of his pistol, and attempted to pry the weapon away from him. With a strength born of desperation, Edens managed to break loose of two of his assailants just long enough to raise his pistol and fire a round, which struck William Walker in the leg. At the same time he saw what appeared to be an axe coming at his head. He managed to turn his head just enough to avoid taking the full force of the axe on his skull, but still suffered a glancing blow. At roughly

\[98\] Ibid.
\[99\] Ibid.
the same time someone fired a shot which ripped through the side of his neck, creating a wound which Coroner J.P. Ralston later described as “large enough that I could introduce my index finger very readily for quite a distance.” James Edens lost consciousness immediately, and fell across his daughter-in-law Emma onto one of the beds in the house. He did not wake up until the following day.\footnote{Ibid. Coroner Ralston testified in all four cases, as did James Edens.}

As the elder Edens struggled with his assailants, his son and son-in-law faced mortal danger. One of the masked men ordered William Edens to raise his hands, and he immediately complied. But an instant later another of the vigilantes, most likely Wiley Mathews, shot him in the back with a shotgun at point blank range. Several of the pellets pierced his heart, and others tore all the way through his torso. As Edens fell to the floor in front of the fireplace, someone else shot him in the back of the head with a rifle. The bullet entered the back of his skull and exited underneath his chin. Meanwhile, another vigilante shot Charles Greene in the side of his head. The bullet entered his left temple and pierced his brain, but it did not come out the other side. Instead, it left an impression in his skull that could be “distinctly felt just back of the right ear.” When Melvina Greene saw her brother killed, she jumped out of bed. One of the vigilantes raised his gun as if to shoot her, but she got her hand up in time to deflect the muzzle of the weapon. When the gun discharged, the bullet tore off the tip of the little finger on her left hand, and the blast from the front of the weapon caught her dress on fire. The woman briefly grappled with her assailant, and managed to pull the mask partly off his face. She noticed that he had no beard, which in those days usually indicated a young man.\footnote{Ibid. Melvina Greene later identified her assailant as William J. (“Bud”) Ray, although subsequent testimony demonstrated that Ray had gone home after the meeting and had not gone to the Edens house. In retrospect, her assailant was most likely William Walker, whose was also a young man and clean shaven.}
After the shooting subsided, the Bald Knobbers quickly exited the house. Emma Edens managed to extricate herself from beneath her father-in-law’s unconscious body, and went to check on her husband. Before she got to him, however, she saw two of the vigilantes standing out in the yard a few feet from the door. One of them said to the other one “put it to him again.” The other man, who later testimony revealed was most likely William Walker, raised his gun and fired a last shot into the house. Then the men turned and left. To Emma Edens’ surprise, she found her husband still breathing when she got to him, but he drew only a few short breaths before he expired.102

The tragic events that took place inside James Edens’ cabin lasted only a few minutes, but they fundamentally altered the entire course of Bald Knobber movement. Overnight the vigilantes had transformed themselves from righteous defenders of the law and Christian morality into murderers, outlaws, and social pariahs, an outcome that surely surprised many of them. The Bald Knobbers thought of themselves as dutiful citizens fighting against crime and immoral behavior that the railroads, industry, and social change had brought to their communities. They considered themselves protectors of poor families from what they perceived as the unfair policies of corporations like the J.L. Lee Tie and Timber Company, and unfair competition from homesteaders who used federal law to deprive them of resources they saw as their own. The incident at James Edens’ cabin changed all that.

102 Ibid.
CHAPTER 5: “A SCENE OF GHASTLINESS” – DECLINE OF THE BALD KNOBBERS IN SOUTHWEST MISSOURI

The Edens-Greene murders constituted a watershed moment that dramatically altered the history of the Bald Knobber movement in Southwest Missouri. The homicides prompted a harsh response from local authorities in Christian County, particularly Sheriff Zachariah A. Johnson, who energetically set out to track down those responsible for the killings and bring them to justice. At a special session of the circuit court held the following month, the grand jury not only indicted sixteen individuals whom they held responsible for the deaths of Edens and Greene, but also investigated many other vigilante-related crimes. These inquiries eventually produced indictments against roughly 80 individuals on around 250 charges.1 The anti-Bald Knobber crackdown by local authorities in Christian County, coupled with the ongoing campaign of federal authorities against vigilante intimidation of homesteaders, succeeded in quashing virtually all Bald Knobber activity in Southwest Missouri. Most of the leading vigilantes found themselves in court facing charges for crimes of varying severity. Many of the others took the opportunity to flee the region ahead of the anticipated posses.2 Even as the Bald Knobber movement began to die out, however, interest in the vigilantes increased markedly among the state and national press. The murders of Edens and Greene, and the numerous trials with their captivating courtroom dramas that followed them provided perfect fodder for editors and reporters interested in capitalizing on the lurid and sensational aspects of the Bald Knob story. Although many journalists reported on their subjects accurately, some of the media coverage contained myths, distortions, and outright falsehoods, which unfortunately affected public perceptions of the Bald Knobbers and the Southwest Missouri region.

1 Kansas City Star, 28 April 1887; St. Louis Post-Dispatch, 28 April 1887; New York Times, 29 April 1887.
2 Kansas City Star, 28 April 1887; New York Times, 28 April 1887.
Even before the slayings of William Edens and Charles Greene, some people had begun calling for swift action to put down the Bald Knobber movement in Southwest Missouri. In addition to the anti-Bald Knobber protests originating in Taney County, the actions of the vigilantes in Christian County and other places began drawing unfavorable attention in the press. About three months prior to the tragedy at the Edens cabin, the *St. Louis Republican* denounced the organization as a “tyrannical and criminal conspiracy against the peace of [Missouri]” that was “bringing the state into disrepute.”³ Springfield’s *Leader* seconded the *Republican’s* sentiment, and added that the Bald Knobbers posed an obstacle to the socioeconomic progress of Southwest Missouri, because “[e]migration and capital cannot be expected to go into counties where mob law rules.” The city of Springfield had an interest in the affairs of the counties where the Bald Knobbers held sway, argued the *Leader*, because “Taney and Christian and other counties are directly tributary to Springfield, and influences which retard the development of those counties are influences directly inimical to Springfield’s interests.”⁴

These arguments seemed to carry weight with federal authorities. As discussed in the previous chapter, federal officeholders in Southwest Missouri began cracking down on the Bald Knobbers in late 1886, primarily by prosecuting vigilantes who violated the rights of homesteaders to live on and develop their property. The men who spearheaded this effort included officials such as U.S. Commissioner McLain Jones and District Attorney Maceanas E. Benton, both of whom worked assiduously to bring to justice as many Bald Knobbers as possible. By contrast, prior to March, 1887, no Bald Knobbers faced charges in Christian County for crimes related to vigilante activity, and local officials in that county and elsewhere seemed to have little interest in prosecuting these men. Some of the officials, like Sheriff Zach Johnson,

---

³ *St. Louis Republican*, 12 December 1886.
⁴ *Springfield Leader*, 13 December 1886.
knew the vigilantes as friends, neighbors, and political supporters, while others like County Judge Reuben L. Hale may have belonged to the organization themselves. Most of them probably feared the consequences of attacking an organization that exercised such great influence in their neighborhoods. Journalistic critics of the night riders decried this apparent apathy, arguing that the “laws of the state have suffered the most, and the conspiracy cannot be broken up unless the state laws are enforced.” To that end, the Leader urged authorities in Christian, Taney, and Douglas counties to “look after this subject” at the next session of the circuit court in their respective districts.6

Ultimately, it took more than prodding by newspapers to convince reluctant officeholders to take action against the Ozarks’ fearsome night riders. Some notorious event would have to take place, which would turn public opinion against the vigilantes and force local officials into action. The murders of William Edens and Charles Greene provided just such a tipping point. News of the homicides spread rapidly from “neighbor to neighbor; from village to village” throughout the area,7 arousing the “intense indignation” of the public against the vigilantes.8 On March 13, the citizens of Ozark held a public meeting at the courthouse where they passed resolutions condemning the Bald Knobbers and urging county officials to act swiftly against them.9 The public also demonstrated its anger by their attitude toward County Judge Reuben L. Hale. During the course of the investigation into the Edens-Greene affair, it became known that Judge Hale probably belonged to the Bald Knobbers. Although he had played no role in that night’s unfortunate events, nor in any other vigilante outrage as far as anyone knew, angry

6 Springfield Leader, 17 December 1886.
8 St. Louis Post-Dispatch, 14 March 1887.
9 Vandeventer, 100.
citizens petitioned Hale to resign his position on the County Court immediately. Hale did not resign, and he actually won another term in the same office in 1888, but the initial backlash against him revealed the public’s hostility toward the vigilantes in the weeks following the murders.

The first official to view the scene of the crime was the county coroner J.P. Ralston, who went to James Edens’ cabin the morning after the killings. He examined the two corpses and made notes about the wounds. He also examined James Edens, who had been seriously wounded in the attack but would eventually recover. Ralston left the crime scene as he had found it and went to assemble a coroner’s jury which returned to the crime scene and interviewed the survivors, including the wives of the deceased, Emma Edens and Melvina Greene. Neither woman could positively identify the attackers, since all of them wore masks, but they did give the names of six men whom they thought they had recognized, including Samuel Preston, Sr., Samuel Preston, Jr., James Preston, William J. (“Bud”) Ray, William Roberts, and Joseph Inman. The women swore out affidavits against these men, and arrest warrants were issued. Mrs. Greene identified young Bud Ray as the man with whom she had struggled in the cabin. She had managed to pry her assailant’s mask partly off his face, and thought she could identify Ray from his beardless face, which in those days usually indicated a young man. Subsequent testimony, however, later exculpated him.
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The responsibility for tracking down the Bald Knobbers who participated in the Edens-Greene affair fell to Sheriff Johnson. In 1873 he sheriff, a native of Indiana, had moved to Ozark in Christian County as a young man. He eventually settled down there, married, and raised a family. Johnson became active in county politics, and in 1886 he received an appointment from Governor John S. Marmaduke to fill the office of sheriff, which had fallen vacant after the death of the incumbent William Gardner.\textsuperscript{15} In response to the Edens-Greene killings, Johnson quickly assembled a posse and went in search of the perpetrators. Acting on the information obtained from the widows of Greene and Edens, the sheriff quickly arrested Samuel Preston, Sr., his son, Bud Ray, and William Roberts.\textsuperscript{16} He then caught an important break. Acting on a tip from an anonymous source, he managed to locate and arrest Joe Inman, who became the first Bald Knobber to break down and make a full confession, naming everyone he knew of who had been involved in the events of that tragic night.\textsuperscript{17} Using this information, Sheriff Johnson quickly apprehended almost all of the vigilantes who had either attended the meeting at the Old Smelter or had taken part in the killings. By March 16, he had sworn affidavits against twenty-two of his suspects and had already arrested thirteen of them, including several of the leaders like Dave Walker, John Mathews, Wiley Mathews, and C.O. Simmons.\textsuperscript{18} The following day the sheriff had nineteen men in custody, and moved Inman to the Greene County jail in Springfield for his own protection.\textsuperscript{19} By March 18, Johnson had in addition netted Andrew Adams, Jesse Robertson, Gilbert Applegate, Peter Davis, Lewis Davis, and James McGuire, which brought the total number of suspects incarcerated to twenty-five.\textsuperscript{20}
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Thus, in about a week, Sheriff Johnson managed to round up nearly all of the parties suspected of taking part in the Edens-Greene affair. His swift response to the situation earned him name recognition in newspapers across the country, and effusive praise from journalists, one of whom referred to the sheriff’s performance as the “quickest capture of murderers on record in the Southwest.” Of the suspects, only William Walker, the assistant chief and son of the vigilante chieftain Dave Walker, remained at large. The younger Walker had suffered a wound in his leg during the fray at the Edens cabin, which caused his father to take him into Douglas County where he could hide with his relatives and recuperate. Sheriff Johnson would later invent a cunning stratagem to apprehend the young man, but for the moment he remained outside of the law’s reach.

In the meantime, the excitement occasioned by the Bald Knobber murders and the ensuing manhunt began to fray the nerves both of the county officials and the public at large. About ten days after the murders ex-county clerk J.C. Rogers, who had “been on his feet almost constantly … since the Bald Knob campaign began,” reported that he had lost nearly ten pounds during that period. Similarly, County Recorder W.M. Wade spent so much time guarding Bald Knobber prisoners that he had to catch up on his paperwork on a Sunday. He sheepishly told a reporter that he “hoped his religious friends would not blame him” for this infraction. The stress of the situation particularly impacted the citizens of Ozark, the county seat. They felt especially uneasy because of the large number of Bald Knobbers incarcerated in their courthouse, and because the jail was too small and dilapidated to accommodate so many. Such great fear did the night riders engender in that part of the county that many townsfolk assumed
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that the vigilantes would raid their community in a rescue effort. It appeared as though their worst fears had come true on the evening of March 17, when reports reached Ozark of gunfire coming from the direction of Sparta. In their heightened state of fear, many residents assumed that a Bald Knobber rescue party approached their town. Alarms went out, and soon a large body of armed citizens assembled at the courthouse ready to repel the anticipated raiding party. Wild rumors began circulating; one man ran into town and reported that around twenty men had died in fighting between Bald Knobbers and citizens at Sparta. It turned out, however, that the object of their fears was nothing more than a boisterous wedding “charivari,” in which friends and well-wishers serenaded a newly married couple with dozens of gunshots aimed at the sky.24

The public’s anxiety, coupled with legitimate concerns about the security of the prisoners confined to the courthouse, forced local officials to consider other means of housing them. On March 19, Sheriff Johnson and two deputies took fifteen Bald Knob prisoners to Springfield by train, and placed them in the county jail there “for greater security.”25 The removal of the greater number of their prisoners to Springfield allowed county officials to focus on the task of gathering evidence and preparing for the trials which would inevitably ensue. In late March, Judge Walter D. Hubbard of the circuit court called for “a special term of the court to be held at Ozark on the 19th of April” to deal with the Bald Knobbers connected to the Edens-Greene homicides.26

In the meantime, Sheriff Johnson and his deputies continued their search for William Walker, the last remaining vigilante who had eluded their grasp. Walker’s capture had symbolic significance, not only because of his prominent role in the Edens-Greene killings, but also because he had served as the assistant chief of the Bald Knobbers in Christian County. For this reason, the county government and Governor Marmaduke together put up a reward of $300 for

24 *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, 18 March 1887.
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26 *Kansas City Star*, 29 March 1887.
information leading to his apprehension. The problem was that William Walker seemed to have disappeared into the hills. Some reports circulated that he had gone into hiding “in the woods near Chadwick,” while others stated that he had already died and his friends had buried him.

Joe Inman told authorities that the young man lost a lot of blood from his gunshot wound, which Inman believed “will in all probability prove fatal.”

William Walker did not die. He went into Douglas County where his father Dave had taken him to stay with his uncle, Charles Gatson (“Gat”) Walker, until he recuperated. As long as he remained safe in the hills among his relatives, it seemed unlikely that the law could reach him. Sheriff Johnson did have one asset, however, which he utilized to the fullest possible advantage. He had in his custody one William Newton, a Bald Knobber arrested in connection with the Edens-Greene affair. Newton’s sister, Lois, was William Walker’s sweetheart and quite possibly his fiancé.

William Newton’s brother, Joseph Newton, also belonged to the Bald Knobbers, but had not yet been arrested for anything. Joe wanted to help his brother in any way he could, so he approached Sheriff Johnson with an offer to help him capture William Walker. The sheriff told Joe Newton that if he helped capture William Walker he would do whatever he could do for Joe’s brother.

Joe first visited his brother in jail and urged him to testify for the state, which was advice he wisely decided to follow. Next he visited Dave Walker and convinced the vigilante chieftain that he wanted to help his son get far away from Southwest Missouri where he could be safe from capture. Dave Walker agreed, and told Joe Newton about his son’s
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whereabouts. He even wrote a letter of introduction for Newton to use in locating his son. About two weeks after the murders, Joe Newton left for Douglas County in a wagon, taking with him his sister Lois and William Walker’s sister Ellen. He apparently intended to use the women as bait to earn William Walker’s trust, though he did not disclose his true intentions to them at the time. When they arrived at Gat Walker’s home in Douglas County they discovered that William had already crossed the state line into Baxter County, Arkansas. Gat Walker told Newton he could find the young fugitive at his Uncle John Walker’s house in Arkansas. A lame horse prevented Newton from taking the women any farther in the wagon, so he sent them back to Christian County. He then set off for Arkansas accompanied by Joseph Walker, another one of William’s uncles.  

(Figure 5.1)

When Joe Newton arrived at John Walker’s home in Baxter County, he found William Walker, still hobbling around on crutches because of his wounded leg, and an outlaw named Frank Bean who had recently escaped from the Greene County jail in Springfield. Newton persuaded both men to accompany him across the border to West Plains, Missouri, on the pretext of helping them escape capture. From West Plains they could catch a train down to Jonesboro, Arkansas, from whence they would enter the hill country surrounding Batesville. There they could hide out in greater security, he argued, than at their current location. The two fugitives agreed, and followed Newton to West Plains. While en route Newton and Walker discussed the fateful night of the Edens-Greene killings. According to Newton, Walker told him that he blamed the botched raid on the many inexperienced “tenderfoots” among the

Figure 5.1: JOSEPH WALKER.
This photograph is a part of the Lloyd Walker Collection at the Greene County Archives. It is used here with the permission of Lloyd Walker.

33 See, testimony of Joseph Newton in State of Missouri vs. William Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 1; Vandeventer, 106-09.
vigilantes that night. Walker told Newton that he had struggled with Charles Greene because he had pulled a pistol and tried to wrestle the weapon away from him. In all probability it was actually James Edens with whom Walker had grappled, but he would not have known that at the time. Walker also mentioned that one of women (probably Melvina Greene) attacked him and tore off part of his mask, and that he would have “killed her dead as hell” had she not put her hand up in time to deflect his pistol. When the men arrived at their destination, they decided to camp for the night just outside of town. Joe Newton took the first opportunity to go into town and send a telegram to Sheriff Johnson in Ozark, telling him to come to West Plains immediately. The sheriff gathered his deputies and did as instructed, arriving in West Plains in time to arrest William Walker and Frank Bean before they could board another train back to Arkansas. The lawmen also arrested Joe Newton, but only to keep the other two from suspecting his role in their capture, which only became public knowledge about a year later at the trial of William Walker, where Newton testified against Walker and explained his role in the assistant chief’s capture.\(^{34}\)

The arrest of William Walker brought to twenty-six the number of vigilantes arrested in connection with the Edens-Greene murders. As impressive as the performance of Sheriff Johnson and his deputies had been, there still remained the hard work of gathering information that the county prosecutor, Gustavus A. Watson, could use to build cases against them – a task easier said than done. The men who raided the Edens’ cabin had worn masks, the house was dark, and the chaotic struggle inside lasted only a few moments. Emma Edens and Melvina Greene, who had witnessed and survived the attack, could only guess the identity of those attackers whom they thought they recognized. Thus, if the prosecution wanted to build a case against any of the vigilantes, it would have to obtain information from the Bald Knobbers

\(^{34}\) Ibid.
themselves. As long as the vigilantes kept their oaths and refused to divulge any secrets regarding their order, the prosecution would find it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to prove any charges against them. If one or more of them broke, however, the entire Bald Knobber band could end up in prison. Dave Walker foresaw this danger, and tried to convince his fellow vigilantes to stonewall the authorities and withhold any useful facts from them. Shortly after the Edens-Greene killings, Walker conferred with his followers and told them to keep that night’s events to themselves. He instructed them to invent alibis which they could corroborate for each other. For example, Walker told Charles Graves, Joseph Inman, Gilbert Applegate, and James McGuire to say that they spent the evening playing cards at Inman’s house until 11 o’clock, around the time of the murders.  

Likewise, during the court proceedings the following month several witnesses said that after being arrested Walker tried to convince them not to divulge information. One witness said that the chieftain went so far as to come up to him in the courthouse and tell him to “Die with the whole thing in you.” Rumors circulated among the Bald Knob prisoners that their comrades outside stood ready to “inflict the full penalty of the oath on anyone who might give anything away.”

These rumors and threats notwithstanding, the Bald Knobbers did not prove very disciplined when it came to obstructing prosecutors and resisting interrogation. Over the next few weeks and months, a veritable wave of confessions took place. Many vigilantes who had sworn oaths of secrecy quickly forgot their pledges and by telling whatever they knew looked for an opportunity to curry favor with the prosecution. Like a series of dominos falling, each new confession led to others, as men realized that if their comrades had already started talking they had little to gain from silence. As mentioned above, the first such domino to fall was Joseph

---

35 See, testimony of Charles Graves and Joe Inman in State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.
36 Kansas City Star, 15 April 1887.
Inman, one of the Bald Knobbers who had attended the meeting at the Smelter and witnessed, but did not directly participate in, the Edens-Greene killings. Inman gave a description of the night’s events, including the meeting at the Old Smelter and the eventual attack on the Edens’ cabin. He gave the names of several men whom he had recognized at either place, and he identified William Walker as the one who led the small band of vigilantes to James Edens’ home, and who suffered a wound in the fray. Perhaps most damming of all, Inman reported that shortly after the killings Dave Walker had conferred with John Mathews and suggested that if someone would take care of his son he would lead a group back to the house to “finish killing them women,” thereby silencing any witnesses.\(^\text{37}\)

Shortly after Inman’s confession, James McGuire also agreed to testify. McGuire was a young man who was boarding at Joe Inman’s house at the time of the killing. He had joined the Bald Knobbers earlier that day. The assistant chief, William Walker, administered the oath to him and also showed him the special hand grips and passwords by which vigilantes identified each other. In most respects McGuire’s testimony concerning the fateful night’s events corroborated Inman’s version. He said that when the meeting at the smelter broke up he thought the participants were going home. McGuire did not participate in the raid on James Edens’ house, and said that he did not even realize whose house it was until after the attack occurred. He also said that Dave Walker gave him a pistol with one round in it as they left the smelter ground.\(^\text{38}\)

Like James McGuire, William Abbott displayed especially bad timing when he decided to join the Bald Knobbers. On the invitation of Joseph Hyde, Abbott attended the final meeting at

\(^{37}\) Kansas City Star, 17 March 1887; St. Louis Post-Dispatch, 18 March 1887; Testimony of Joe Inman in *State of Missouri vs. David Walker*, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.

\(^{38}\) Kansas City Star, 21 March 1887; Testimony of James McGuire in *State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews*, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8.
the Old Smelter, and took the oath of membership that very night. He apparently did not know much about the organization when he joined. When asked in court what the purpose of the Bald Knobbers was, Abbott replied that it “didn’t have any object as I know of; they didn’t tell me what their object was.” Abbott did not accompany the crowd to James Edens’ house, and therefore did not testify concerning the murders. He did, however, talk about what transpired at the meeting at the smelter. He gave the names of several of the vigilantes present, and explained how they equipped themselves, including weapons and masks.39

The testimony of another Bald Knobber, Charles Graves, also significantly affected subsequent murder trials. Graves’ evidence proved particularly devastating to Wiley Mathews and Dave Walker. Graves recalled that after the raid on James Edens’ cabin Wiley Mathews spoke to him about what transpired in the cabin. Mathews told him that he had killed William Edens that night “to save his uncle John that he shot him in the back with a shot gun.”40 Graves also corroborated Joe Inman’s claim that Dave Walker wanted to eliminate any witnesses to the murders. The chieftain, said Graves, proposed to “go back and kill the women and children,” and burn down James Edens’ cabin, so as to remove any trace of the crime.41 In addition to testifying about the events surrounding the Edens-Greene killings, Graves actually took Sheriff Johnson to the crime scene and helped him recover evidence, including some Bald Knobber masks, weapons, and a dark lantern that the vigilantes had hidden following the murders.42

Shortly after Charles Graves agreed to testify, Reverend C.O. Simmons and William Newton both expressed their desire to turn state’s evidence. At first the prosecution rejected their

39 Testimony of William Abbott in State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8.
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41 Testimony of Charles Graves in State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.
42 St. Louis Post-Dispatch, 23 April 1887; Springfield Leader, 23 April 1887.
request, saying that they already had enough testimony. In Newton’s case, however, they must have changed their minds, for they later dropped their case against him in order to use him as a witness in subsequent trials.\textsuperscript{43} Newton’s testimony, along with that of Charles Graves, proved pivotal in acquitting Gilbert Applegate, the first Bald Knobber to stand trial for murder.\textsuperscript{44} Newton also helped convict several other Bald Knobbers of murder. For example, he testified that he saw Wiley Mathews batter down western door of James Edens’ cabin with an ax, and that he witnessed William Walker fire the last shot into the Edens cabin as he stood outside the door of the house. Finally, he swore that after the killings, Wiley Mathews told him that he had an empty gun, which indicated that he had fired it in the house.\textsuperscript{45}

The preceding examples represent a few of the more prominent instances in which the Bald Knobbers themselves provided the prosecution with the evidence necessary to build a case against the parties responsible for the Edens-Greene murders. By the time the special term of the circuit court began on April 19, 1887, Prosecutor Watson had enough evidence to pursue indictments against sixteen of the twenty-six men originally arrested in the case. On April 21, the grand jury handed down indictments for first degree murder against twelve men for the killing of William Edens. These included David Walker, William Walker, Wiley Mathews, John Mathews, C.O. Simmons, Gilbert Applegate, William Stanley, William Newton, Charles Graves, Joe Inman, Amos Jones, and Andrew Adams. Two days later the grand jury delivered indictments for murder against the same individuals and four others – James Mathews, Joe Hyde, Lewis Davis, and Peter Davis – for the killing of Charles Greene.\textsuperscript{46} The defendants charged in each of
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these indictments pled not guilty and quickly filed for a continuance on the basis that they had not had sufficient time to prepare for their defense. Since many of them were relatively poor men, they also needed time to raise the necessary funds to pay their attorney’s fees. Judge Hubbard granted the request for a continuance until the next regular term of the circuit court scheduled to begin on August 22, 1887.47

In addition to handling the murder cases, the grand jury also used the special session of the court to issue indictments against those implicated in other Bald Knobber-related crimes. Over the next week, the grand jurors handed down indictments against roughly eighty individuals on approximately 250 separate charges.48 For example, on April 26 the grand jury indicted twenty-seven vigilantes for participating on November 9, 1886, in the raid on the Chadwick tavern belonging to John Rhodes and Russell McCauley.49 At the same time, the grand jurors filed indictments against nineteen individuals involved in the whipping of the polygamist Greene Walker on August 21, 1886.50 Likewise, they indicted nine vigilantes for the beating of the now deceased William Edens who had publically criticized them,51 nine for the flogging of homesteader E.P. Helms,52 and fifteen for the flogging of Horace Johnson for failing to support his family.53 The grand jury also indicted nine Bald Knobbers for whipping George Baty for
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speaking against them, six more for conspiring to whip Samuel Daves, and another six for stopping Clate Whiteacre on a public road, knocking him off his horse, and beating him with their guns.

In addition to charging everyone who had participated in Bald Knobber raids or whippings, the grand jury also filed indictments for unlawful assembly against anyone who had attended a Bald Knobber meeting, particularly when those meetings led to acts of violence. For example, the twenty-seven people whom the grand jury indicted for raiding Rhodes and McCauley’s tavern in Chadwick also faced charges for participating in an unlawful assembly, which in this case meant a meeting held for the purpose of planning or carrying out a criminal act. Likewise, the men who whipped William Edens faced charges not only for the assault itself, but also for participating in an unlawful assembly, as did those who whipped Horace Johnson, Greene Walker, Samuel Daves, and so on. As we have already seen, in order to assemble the evidence necessary to prove these charges, local authorities had to rely heavily on information obtained from the vigilantes themselves. For that reason, the grand jury took a dim view of anyone who attempted to give them false or misleading information. For example, they indicted Thomas B. Daugherty for perjury for providing false testimony regarding the beating of
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Horace Johnson, and they indicted Joseph Garrison for doing essentially the same thing in the Greene Walker whipping case.

The implicit purpose of all these indictments was not only to tie as many charges to as many Bald Knobbers as possible, but also to send a message that local officials would no longer tolerate vigilante activity in Christian County. At the beginning of the special session, Judge Hubbard delivered a “charge to the grand jury” that encouraged the jurors to take a strong stand against “Bald Knobism” by issuing tough indictments against the vigilantes. Most of the public heartily endorsed the judge’s message. The actions of the grand jurors over the following week showed that they agreed with the judge and wanted to follow his lead in stamping out the Bald Knobber organization in their communities. The vigilantes themselves seemed to understand that message, because those who could do so fled the area rather than face prosecution or testify against their friends. In late April, a correspondent for the Kansas City Star reported that a merchant based in Sparta told him that between forty and fifty people had “left the county since the grand jury began its investigations. The same paper had earlier reported on a “general exodus” of vigilantes from “the Bald Knob section about Chadwick” in response to the prosecution of Bald Knobbers. Likewise, the St. Louis Post-Dispatch reported that many people had left the state even before the grand jury began its session.

Those who fled often did so to avoid the economic costs of a long trial and incarceration as well as to evade a conviction and possible prison sentence. The families of the indicted men sometimes found themselves in dire straits, having to sell “their life’s accumulations” in order to
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“retain lawyers to defend their misguided sons.” Many people expected the cost of the trials to “nearly bankrupt the community.” For example, when Joe Inman found no one willing to lend him money to pay for his defense, he turned to his father whom he begged to mortgage a farm the family owned in Greene County in order to pay for his legal bills.

The Bald Knobber trials also created logistical and security problems for the authorities in Christian County. The townspeople in Ozark had expected the Bald Knobber prisoners held in the Springfield jail to return on August 22, a Monday. Sheriff Johnson, however, feared for the security of the prisoners if they arrived on schedule. On Saturday he and a couple of his deputies went in secret to Springfield, gathered their charges, and on Sunday morning took them by train back down to Ozark. They placed their prisoners in the county’s new brick jail, completed just in time for the next term of the circuit court in Ozark. The court opened with great fanfare. Large crowds including spectators, lawyers, witnesses, jurors, defendants, the families of the accused, and many journalists descended on this small town of fewer than 500 inhabitants. Newspaper reports estimated the multitudes, probably with some exaggeration, at between 1,000 to 2,000 people. The crowds became so large that they quickly exceeded the town’s capacity to handle them all, and Ozark’s few available hotel rooms soon became “overrun with people from all over the country.” Many people in the crowd were relatives of the accused Bald Knobbers being held in jail. These people mainly camped out on the outskirts of town in wagons and tents. So numerous did they become that at night Ozark was “illuminated by the numerous camp fires that
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completely surrounded the town.” The families of the Bald Knobbers came to support their loved ones, and Sheriff Johnson allowed them to bring the prisoners gifts of food, clothing, tobacco, fruit, and whatever other creature comforts they could obtain. One woman even brought an apron full of “old fashioned ginger cakes” to her husband in jail.

The presence of so many relatives of the jailed vigilantes added a tragic aspect to the court proceedings. Many of the Bald Knobber families were very poor, and had to make tough financial sacrifices to abandon their farms during the court session. One newspaper estimated that “work has been suspended on half of the farms in the county” because of the trials. In the camps outside of town some people made extra money by selling watermelons to bystanders looking to beat the summer’s heat. During the court session the families of the prisoners frequently crowded into the town’s Methodist church to pray for their men. For example, on Thursday evening, August 25, the church held a candle light service which so many people attended that “many were turned away for want of standing room.”

Judge Hubbard opened the court session at one o’clock on Monday afternoon. The first day the court handled mostly minor business. Several motions to quash various indictments were heard and rejected. John Wilson, a Bald Knobber and Baptist preacher, pled guilty to taking part in the whipping of Greene Walker. Later that afternoon the proceedings were interrupted when the wife of William Stanley, one of the Bald Knobbers indicted for the Edens-Greene murders, had what appeared to be a nervous breakdown. She had fallen ill with a fever that morning, and had gone to bed for rest. When she awoke, however, she rushed toward the jail yelling wildly and praying to heaven to spare her husband’s life. Her “frantic shrieks” disturbed the crowd
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gathered around the jail and courthouse and interrupted court proceedings until her friends could lead her away.\textsuperscript{77}

For the balance of the week, the court dealt mainly with cases involving small offenses such as whippings, raids, and unlawful assemblies. On Tuesday, August 23, the court heard confessions from dozens of Bald Knobbers who admitted participating in a variety of crimes including the first and second floggings of Greene Walker, the whipping of Horace Johnson, and the raid on the Rhodes and McCauley saloon in Chadwick. Many of the same men confessed to taking part in unlawful assemblies.\textsuperscript{78} The next couple of days brought more of the same. On Wednesday and Thursday, the court heard testimony from victims of Bald Knobber outrages, including people like Greene Walker, Horace Johnson, and E.P. Helms. It also heard more confessions from the vigilantes accused of unlawful assembly, and dealt with other cases involving small offenses such as carrying concealed weapons, disturbing the peace, and assault and battery. For example, the Bald Knobbers John Carter and Isaac Garrison pled guilty to beating Greene Walker and raiding the Russell-Mccauley saloon in Chadwick. Moreover, on Thursday, several Bald Knobbers accused of the Edens-Greene murders filed a motion (which they later withdrew) for a change of venue based on the severe “prejudice” against them on the part of their neighbors.\textsuperscript{79}

The following day the attorneys for eight of the Bald Knobbers indicted for the Edens-Greene murders tried another tactic. They filed an application for a second continuance until the circuit court’s next regular term scheduled to begin the following February. They based this request on the grounds that they had not yet located two witnesses supposedly critical to their

\textsuperscript{77} Ibid., 23 August 1887; Kansas City Star, 23 August 1887.
\textsuperscript{78} Kansas City Star, 24 August 1887; Springfield Leader, 24 August 1887.
defense: Gat Walker, Dave Walker’s brother, and another man named Missouri Bond. Gat Walker had recently moved to Memphis, Tennessee, and Bond to Baxter Springs, Kansas. The applications stated that Bond, if present, would testify that on the morning after the shooting, James Edens told him that his son William was actually responsible for the shooting because he had fired the first three or four shots through the window of the cabin at the men outside, which “was the cause of the whole trouble … no one was to be blamed for what occurred there but William Edens.” Gat Walker, if present, would testify that within a day or two of the shooting he overheard Emma Edens, William Edens’ widow, say that her husband, Charles Greene, and James Edens started the trouble by firing at the men on the road outside, and that when these men entered the house she did not recognize any of them.\footnote{State of Missouri vs. David Walker, et al., 1887, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel #: C 44336, Box 16, Folder 42.}

Although Judge Hubbard decided to grant the request for a second continuance, the facts alleged in the application seem dubious for several reasons. First, they directly contradicted the sworn testimony of James Edens and Emma Edens themselves.\footnote{Testimony of James Edens and Emma Edens in State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8, and State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.} Second, Gat Walker hardly qualified as a credible witness. He belonged to the Bald Knobbers himself, had sheltered William Walker while he was on the run from the law, and had been indicted for the beating of homesteader E.P. Helms.\footnote{U.S. vs. Gat Walker, 1887, National Archives at Kansas City, MO, Southern Division of the Western District of Missouri, Docket # 130.} Third, Missouri Bond, if he ever spoke to James Edens at all, could not have done so the morning after the shooting because at that time Edens was lying unconscious in his home having sustained serious injuries the night before.\footnote{Testimony of James Edens and J.P. Ralston in State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.} Fourth, multiple witnesses who saw the crime scene said that the glass shards from the broken window in the

...
Edens cabin were found only inside the house. If someone inside the house had fired through the window first shards would have fallen outside the house.\textsuperscript{84} Finally, Walker and Bond never did testify in any of the subsequent murder trials, which suggests that the defense only asked for a second continuance to buy extra time. Why did Judge Hubbard grant their request? He most likely did so because the court was already backed up with over a thousand cases, far more than it normally handled in any given term, and about a quarter of those involved the Bald Knobbers.\textsuperscript{85}

One Bald Knobber did not want to delay the proceedings. Against the advice of his lawyers, Gilbert Applegate asked that his trial begin as soon as possible. The court agreed, and Applegate’s trial began on September 2.\textsuperscript{86} It attracted much attention, both from spectators and the press, because many saw it as a dry run for the murder trials that would proceed during the next term. Indeed, almost all of the key witnesses who testified in this case also testified in the trials of Dave Walker, William Walker, Wiley Mathews, and John Mathews.\textsuperscript{87} The case differed from the others, however, in a few important ways. First, unlike the other men who attended the meeting at the old smelter, Applegate did not belong to Dave Walker’s Chadwick legion. He came from Douglas County and had joined the Bald Knobbers there. According to Applegate’s own testimony in Dave Walker’s trial, he only attended the meeting that night because he

\textsuperscript{84} Testimony of Mary J. Greene, and A.E. Lasley in \textit{State of Missouri vs. David Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 4. Lasley was a member of the coroner’s jury that saw the crime scene.

\textsuperscript{85} \textit{Kansas City Star}, 23 August 1887.

\textsuperscript{86} \textit{Springfield Daily Herald}, 3 September 1887.

\textsuperscript{87} \textit{Springfield Daily Herald}, 3 September 1887; \textit{Springfield Daily Herald}, 4 September 1887; \textit{Springfield Daily Herald}, 6 September 1887. No transcripts were made of this trial, but according to newspaper accounts the following people testified: James Edens, Melvina, Greene Emma Edens, George W. Greene, Mary J. Greene, Arch Mayden, Nancy Bridges, A.E. Lasley, J.P. Ralston, George F. Greene, Elizabeth Edens, James McGuire, W.J. Johns, W.J. Ray, James Preston, Jack Hiles, John Hiles, Mat Nash, John Nash, Nelson Fischer, Thomas Wendle, Sheriff Zachary Johnson, Charles Graves, E.B. Brown, William Newton, and Lewis Davis. Of these, only Wendle and Brown did not testify in the cases involving Dave Walker, William Walker, Wiley Mathews, or John Mathews.
thought Dave Walker wanted his help in disbanding the vigilante group in Christian County. Moreover, none of the state’s witnesses testified that Applegate actually entered James Edens’ house, or that he had conspired with any of the other men to kill or injure William Edens and Charles Greene, or even that he had encouraged the others to do so. In light of these facts, on September 7, 1887, a jury found Gilbert Applegate “not guilty” on the charge of first degree murder. For the prosecution this failure ultimately became a blessing in disguise, because Gilbert Applegate later testified for the state in cases involving other persons charged with the same crime.

Even as the court dealt with the Applegate case, it proceeded to wrap up the other Bald Knob cases on its docket. Although the local officials like Prosecutor G.A. Watson and the attorneys assisting him aggressively pursued the vigilantes responsible for the Edens-Greene murders, as a rule they showed leniency toward vigilantes who had not taken part in the killings. This pattern of selective leniency held true for the many individuals who pled guilty or were convicted of participating in whippings, raids, and unlawful assemblies. For example, on August 27 the court handed down fines to roughly two dozen vigilantes for these minor offenses. The fines varied in amounts based on the number and severity of offenses, but overall they averaged between $20 and $30. The highest went to Hiram Anderson who received four fines for a total of $100, while several individuals paid only a single fine of $12.50. Those receiving the fines also had to give bonds, ranging from $100 to $300, to ensure that they would “keep the peace” in the future. As lenient as these penalties were, however, some of the vigilantes still had no money to pay and had to go to jail. The individuals confined to jail for non-payment of fines included

88 Testimony of Gilbert Applegate in State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.  
89 Springfield Daily Herald, 7 September 1887; Springfield Daily Herald, 8 September 1887; Kansas City Star, 7 September 1887, Taney County News, 8 September 1887.
Reuben Shipman, Matt Shipman, J.H. Shipman, Isaac Garrison, and Thomas (“Tandy”) Dalton.\textsuperscript{90} These men later filed appeals to have their fines commuted.\textsuperscript{91}

Shortly after the Applegate trial the circuit court adjourned its August session and departed Ozark. It would not return until its next session scheduled for late February. After Applegate’s acquittal, the court still had only dealt with one of the cases involving those responsible for the Edens-Greene murders. On September 7, 1887, the court granted bail to seven of these men including Andrew Adams, Joe Inman, Joe Hyde, Charles Graves, Lewis Davis, Peter Davis, and the recently acquitted Gilbert Applegate, who still faced indictments on other charges. The nine men who remained incarcerated included Dave Walker, William Walker, Wiley Mathews, John Mathews, James Mathews, C.O. Simmons, Amos Jones, William Newton, and William Stanley. Some people interpreted the move as an indication of whom the prosecution would target the following term. When he saw the other men being released, Dave Walker reportedly remarked, “Well boys, you see now whose necks are to be broken.”\textsuperscript{92}

The chieftain’s appraisal of the situation proved basically accurate. Four of the seven men released from jail – the Davis brothers, Joe Inman, and Charles Graves – testified as witnesses for the state in exchange for which the authorities declined to prosecute them. In April, 1889, the vigilantes Andrew Adams and Joseph Hyde reached an agreement with the prosecution to have the cases against them dropped as well.\textsuperscript{93} By contrast, only one of the nine remaining prisoners, 

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{90} Springfield Leader, 28 August 1887; Springfield Daily Republican, 28 August 1887; New York Times, 28 August 1887.
\item \textsuperscript{91} Springfield Leader, 30 August 1887; Springfield Daily Republican, 30 August 1887; Kansas City Star, 30 August 1887.
\item \textsuperscript{92} Springfield Daily Herald, 8 September 1887; Springfield Leader, 26 September 1887.
\item \textsuperscript{93} Springfield Weekly Republican, 14 March 1889; Chicago Daily Inter-Ocean, 29 August 1889. The Weekly Republican reported on March 11, that the attorneys for the state and the defendants Andrew Adams and Joseph Hyde had reached a mutual agreement that the cases against them would be continued to the next term “which means that these two members of Walker’s band will never be tried for their part in the last raid.” Not until August 28, however, did the state actually drop the cases against them. The reason for the interval is unclear.
\end{itemize}
William Newton, saved himself by turning state’s evidence and testifying against his comrades. Four of the prisoners, including Dave Walker, William Walker, Wiley Mathews, and John Mathews, were later convicted of first degree murder and received death sentences. Three more of them, Amos Jones, C.O. Simmons, and William Stanley later pled guilty to second degree murder and received prison sentences, while James Mathews, John Mathews’ teenage son, received probation on account of his youth.

Preparations for the next term of the circuit court began on February 27, 1888. On that day Judge Hubbard arrived by train from Springfield, along with the other officers of the court and many attorneys. The large crowds which had accompanied the court to Ozark at the August term also showed up for this session. Sheriff Johnson allowed the families of the imprisoned vigilantes to visit them in jail, and dozens of women and children crowded into the brick structure to spend a few moments with their fathers, husbands, and brothers. At 2:30 in the afternoon Judge Hubbard ordered the sheriff to bring the Bald Knobber prisoners into the court room. At the prisoners’ request, the court granted them a “severance,” meaning that each defendant would stand trial separately. After a brief consultation, the attorneys for the defense and prosecution agreed to try the case of William Walker first.

The trial of William Walker began a climactic phase in the history of the Bald Knobbers, in as much as Walker became the first significant vigilante leader to stand trial for murder. Appropriately, some of the most well known legal names in Southwest Missouri represented either the state or the defense in this case. Four prominent attorneys from Springfield and Ozark represented Walker in this case: David M. Payne, Sempronius H. Boyd, Thomas J. Delaney, and
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94 State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8. The prosecution in this case issued a *nolle prosequi* order concerning the indictments against Charles Graves and William Newton, allowing them to testify.
95 Vandeventer, 137-38.
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Oliver H. Travers.97 D.M. Payne was a successful criminal attorney based in Ozark. Just a few months after the Bald Knobber trials, he became the first mayor of Ozark when the county court officially incorporated it as a city in August, 1888.98 S.H. Boyd was one of the more colorful figures in the legal history of Southwest Missouri. In addition to practicing law intermittently from 1857 until his death in 1894, he fought as a colonel in the Union Army during the Civil War, served a brief stint as a circuit court judge, and won election to Congress twice in the 1860’s. He co-owned and managed the Southwest Pacific Railroad Company in the 1860’s and 1870’s, and founded a wagon factory in Springfield in 1874.99 Boyd’s son-in-law, T. J. Delaney, served for two years as Greene County’s prosecuting attorney from 1883 to 1885, after which he practiced law for several years as a partner in his father-in-law’s firm.100 O.H. Travers, another notable lawyer from Springfield, came to Southwest Missouri from Baltimore, Maryland shortly after the Civil War. Like Delaney, Travers served two years as the county’s prosecuting attorney, from 1879 to 1881.101

This same legal team represented not only William Walker, but also his father Dave, and John and Wiley Mathews. For the most part, they did so pro bono; the small fees they could collect from their clients did not cover the hotel bills, train fare, and other costs associated with handling cases that eventually went all the way to the Missouri Supreme Court.102 They probably did so for the recognition that came with handling such high-profile cases, although in the case of Delaney, a genuine friendship seemed to have developed between the lawyer and his clients.

97 State of Missouri vs. William Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 1.
99 Goodspeed Brothers, A Reminiscent History of the Ozarks Region: Comprising a Condensed General History ... of Prominent citizens (Chicago, IL: Goodspeed Brothers, Publishers, 1894), 180-81.
100 Goodspeed Brothers, Reminiscent History, 786-87.
101 Goodspeed Brothers, Pictorial and Genealogical Record of Greene County, Missouri: together with biographies of prominent men of other portions of the state, both living and dead (Chicago, IL: Goodspeed Brothers, Publishers, 1893), 106.
102 Springfield Leader, 9 May 1889;
Delaney made a point of visiting Dave and William Walker before their eventual executions, and Dave Walker mentioned the lawyer fondly in one of his last letters to his brother Joseph in Douglas County. “It is too bad for him to work so hard to try to save our lives at his own expense,” Walker lamented, and he asked his brother to “get some money for him” and send it to him as soon as possible.¹⁰³

Prosecutor G.A. Watson also headed up a four man team of attorneys representing the state. The team included J.A. Hammond, Almus Harrington, and John J. Brown.¹⁰⁴ Hammond was a notable attorney based in Ozark as well as a founder and future alderman of that town.¹⁰⁵ Harrington learned about the law while working as a stage coach driver carrying lawyers from place to place on the twenty-first judicial circuit, which encompassed much of Southwest Missouri. He passed the bar exam in 1879, and set up his own practice in Springfield. Although Harrington never excelled as a student – a fellow attorney once said he “had ignorance reduced to an exact science” – he made up for it with colorful oratory designed to sway juries to his side.¹⁰⁶ Perhaps the most surprising member of the team was J.J. Brown, the former Taney County prosecutor, who helped found the Bald Knobbers in that county and wrote the original Bald Knobber oath.¹⁰⁷ Brown’s motives for joining the prosecution remain somewhat unclear. Not long before the trials began he moved his law practice from Forsyth to Ozark, so he may have wished to distance himself from the Bald Knobbers in the minds of his neighbors.

The trial of William Walker began on March 1, 1888. Prosecutor Watson delivered the opening argument for the state, while D.M. Payne opened for the defense, and the trial proceeded

¹⁰³ Springfield Express, 10 May 1889; Dave Walker to Joseph Walker, Ozark, MO, April 23, 1889 in the “Lloyd Walker Collection,” at the Greene County Archives in Springfield, MO.
¹⁰⁴ State of Missouri vs. William Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 1.
¹⁰⁶ Vandeventer, 114-15; Goodspeed Brothers, Pictorial and Genealogical Record, 73-74.
with the hearing of evidence. From the beginning it became clear who had the weight of evidence on their side. The prosecution had assembled an impressive list of 28 witnesses, including 11 vigilantes, who testified for the state.\textsuperscript{108} The most significant of these, in terms of the substance of their testimony, were James McGuire, Charles Graves, Joseph Newton, Lewis Davis, and William Newton. McGuire testified that William Walker had initiated him into the Bald Knobbers the day before the killing, which confirmed Walker’s leadership position in the group. McGuire also identified Walker as the man wounded in the attack on James Edens’ cabin. Charles Graves’ testimony agreed with McGuire’s on this point. Graves said that when the shooting at the cabin stopped, he saw two or three men carrying another away, and afterwards discovered it was William Walker. Later that night he overheard a conversation between Dave and William Walker in which the younger man admitted that he had tried to shoot a woman in the cabin, most likely Melvina Greene, but that she had managed to deflect the gun with her hand. Joseph Newton recounted his role in the capture of William Walker and testified about the conversations he had with Walker. Newton said the young assistant chief told him about the night’s events, including his struggle with James Edens and his attempt to shoot Melvina Greene. Lewis Davis identified William Walker as one of the men who went into the Edens cabin that night. Although Davis did not see what happened in the cabin himself, he said that he later overheard William Walker say that someone had shot him in the house, but that he had “gotten revenge on the sons of bitches.” William Newton testified that he had gone to the Edens cabin but did not go into it. He did, however, see William Walker and other men enter the house, and when Walker came out the door he observed that one leg of Walker’s pants had caught fire.

Newton asked him if he had been shot, and Walker replied that he had. Newton also said that he saw Walker stand at the doorway to the cabin and fire one last shot inside, which presumably was the shot aimed at the prostrate form of William Edens.\footnote{Testimony of James McGuire, Charles Graves, Joseph Newton, Lewis Davis, and William Newton in \textit{State of Missouri vs. William Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 1.}

The testimony of these witnesses alone might have been enough to convict William Walker, but the state added to them witnesses such as James Edens, Emma Edens, and Melvina Greene, who described the desperate fight that went on in the cabin that night. The prosecution also called on Coroner J.P. Ralston who described the physical evidence at the crime scene, and Sheriff Johnson who explained how he had recovered Bald Knobber masks, weapons, and other equipment from the vicinity of the killings. Other witnesses such as Charles Greene’s father, George W. Greene, and his wife Mary, saw the crime scene not long after the killings, and testified as to what they saw.\footnote{Testimony of J.P. Ralston, Zachary Johnson, George W. Greene, Mary J. Greene in \textit{State of Missouri vs. William Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 1.}

Against this veritable mountain of evidence William Walker’s defense attorneys could muster only three witnesses. The young man, not yet 18 years old, testified in his own behalf and stubbornly maintained his innocence. He denied firing any shots at the house, denied trying to shoot anyone, denied fighting with a woman, and adamantly denied trying to shoot a woman. He further denied any of the statements attributed to him by the state’s witnesses. The defense also called Lafayette Abbott, a Bald Knobber, who briefly testified that he saw Walker’s shotgun the day after the killings, and it was broken and could not fire. He also mentioned, however, that the gun had blood on it. Lastly, the defense called to the stand Lois Newton, William Walker’s sweetheart. The young woman had remained steadfastly loyal to her beau throughout the ordeal, even though it caused her to become estranged from her family. She tried to impeach the
testimony of her brothers Joseph and William, saying that they had both told her they would say anything to convict Walker because he “was a damn mean man.” Her testimony probably failed to impress the jury, however, because of her obvious affection for her sweetheart. Under cross-examination, she admitted that she had gone to live at Dave Walker’s residence because her father had threatened to throw her out if she testified against her brothers.  

Given the weight of the evidence against the defendant, it probably surprised no one when the jury found William Walker guilty of murder in the first degree on March 9, 1888. Since Missouri law prescribed a sentence of death by hanging for this crime, the judge set the date for Walker’s execution at May 18, 1888.

The trial of Wiley Mathews began the same day the court found William Walker guilty. Most of the evidence that the prosecution presented in this trial had also been used in William Walker’s trial, so the outcome was never much in doubt. Once again, the testimony of fellow Bald Knobbers, particularly William Newton, Charles Graves, and Lewis Davis, proved critical to the outcome. Newton testified that he saw Wiley Mathews batter down the western door of James Edens’ cabin with an ax, and saw him enter the cabin along with eight or ten other men. Afterwards, as the men made their way away from the crime scene, Newton talked with Mathews, who mentioned that he had an empty gun, which indicated that he had fired it inside the house. Graves also testified that after the raid on the Edens’ cabin Wiley Mathews talked with him about what happened inside the cabin, and told him that he killed William Edens that night “to save his uncle John that he shot him in the back with a shot gun.” Lewis Davis recalled that the Sunday after the killing he had gone to John Mathews’ house and heard Wiley Mathews
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112 State of Missouri vs. William Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 1; Springfield Leader, 10 March 1888;
telling his uncle John that he had shot William Edens in the back with a shotgun because he saw Edens aiming a pistol at John. Mathews reportedly told his uncle, “If I hadn’t pulled down on him with my shotgun he would have got you.” Other witnesses disagreed as to whether or not William Edens had a pistol that night, but in any event Davis’s testimony clearly indicated that Wiley Mathews inflicted the fatal wound on Edens.  

Against this compelling evidence, Wiley Mathews’ attorneys mustered only a meager response. They managed to cast some doubt on the testimony of George W. Greene, who had said that when one of the Bald Knobbers stopped him on the road to James Edens’ house he recognized his voice as belonging to Wiley Mathews. They introduced Greene’s testimony from the trial of Gilbert Applegate in which he said that he only recognized the man’s voice as being “one of the Mathews,” but did not know which one. The defense also called the court stenographer, Arthur D. Allen, to confirm this point. Other than that, the defense barely dented the prosecution’s case against Mathews, and the jury found him guilty of first degree murder on March 15, 1888. The judge set the date for his execution at May 18, 1888, the same day as William Walker.

The trial of John Mathews came next on the court’s docket. The account of the elder Mathews’ trial is more difficult to narrate because the Supreme Court case file does not include transcripts of the witnesses’ testimony. It does, however, include a table of contents that indicates that witnesses in this case were essentially the same people who had testified in the other murder trials. None of the testimony given in those trials proved that John Mathews shot

---

113 Testimony of William Newton, Charles Graves, Lewis Davis, and James Edens in State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8. During cross-examination, D.M. Payne asked James Edens if his son owned a pistol. Edens replied that he did, and he kept it at his own house, but he did not have it with him on the night he was murdered.

114 Testimony of George W. Greene (from Gilbert Applegate trial) and Arthur Allen in State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8.

115 State of Missouri vs. John Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 7.
anybody on the night in question. Testimony from several witnesses, however, proved that Mathews accompanied the other men who went inside James Edens’ house. So even if he did not kill anybody, he did nothing to stop the killing and effectively aided those who did it. Nor did Mathews do much to help his own cause. According to one newspaper account, at one point during his trial Mathews broke down and made a rambling, semi-coherent confession in which he admitted to entering the house, but said he did not go with the intention of killing anybody. He claimed that someone shot at him, the bullet grazing the back of his head, and that he clubbed the man (possibly James Edens) with his rifle to save his own life. He also claimed he tried to remonstrate with the other men, saying, “Stop that shooting, in the name of God what do you mean?” Finally, he said that after the killing William Walker told him, “I am shot, but while they were getting me I got three of them.”

Without having the full transcript of Mathew’s trial, it is difficult to know what to make of this account, and one can only wonder what the jury made of it. Mathew’s version of events diverges sharply from testimony taken in the other cases. No one else seemed to remember him pleading with other Bald Knobbers not to kill anybody, and no one else testified that Mathews was shot in the back of the head. William Walker may well have confided in Mathews after the killings, but it is hard to imagine him saying that he “got three of them” when only two men were killed, and Walker would have known that Wiley Mathews killed one of them. Perhaps Mathews’ strange “confession” was the first instance of a pattern of bizarre behavior that had many people, including his own lawyers, to question his sanity in the coming months. Shortly

116 Testimony of Charles Graves, and Lewis Davis in State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8, and State of Missouri vs. William Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 1; Testimony of Joseph Inman in State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.
117 Springfield Leader, 26 March 1888.
118 Springfield Daily Republican, 16 April 1889.
before his execution, Mathews’ lawyers wrote a letter to Sheriff Johnson asking him to assemble a jury “to inquire into the sanity of John Mathews whom they believe insane.” This last attempt to spare their client’s life failed, but it also showed that the people closest to him had begun to question his mental stability. In any event, the jury did not believe Mathews’ story, and found him guilty of first degree murder on Saturday, March 24, 1888.

The trial of Dave Walker began on April 9, 1888. In this case the prosecution’s most damaging evidence probably came from Joe Inman and Charles Graves. These men testified that after the killings took place Dave Walker conferred with other Bald Knobbers on the road leading away from James Edens’ house and suggested going back to kill the women and children, thereby eliminating any evidence of the crime. Walker’s attorneys considered Graves’ testimony particularly damning, so much so that during the trial they tried assiduously to undercut his credibility as a witness. They put Dave Walker on the stand to deny Graves’s charge that he had suggested killing women and children. Walker declared that he had never even thought of such a thing “until I heard that Charles Graves’ swore it.” The defense also introduced as witnesses William Stilliens and Frank Williams, two prisoners in the Greene County jail in Springfield at roughly the same time that many Bald Knobbers including Graves were held there. Stilliens and Williams swore that Charles Graves had told them in jail that the only way out of his predicament was to swear to whatever the prosecution wanted him to

119 Springfield Leader, 9 May 1889.
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122 Testimony of David Walker in State of Missouri vs. David Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 4.
swear.\textsuperscript{123} Given the outcome of the trial, however, these denials apparently carried little weight with the jury.

The trial differed from the other three in one key respect: unlike William Walker, Wiley Mathews, and John Mathews, Dave Walker never actually entered the house. Indeed, even the state’s witnesses agreed that Walker actually tried to keep his men from going to James Edens’ house, and after the shooting commenced he called to them to stop firing.\textsuperscript{124} The prosecution, however, argued that Walker’s leadership position within the Bald Knobber organization made him responsible for the raid on James Edens’ house, because he could have prevented it. The judge helped the prosecution’s case by handing down instructions to the jury telling them that the defendant did not actually have to kill anybody to be guilty of murder. Rather, they should find him guilty if the evidence showed that he was part of a group engaged “in a common design or agreement to kill either Greene or Edens.” In that situation, the defendant would be “responsible for the acts of the others the same as if he had killed Greene [or Edens] with his own hand.”\textsuperscript{125} The jury found Dave Walker guilty of first degree murder on April 12, 1888, and the judge set his execution date for May 18, the same date as the other condemned Bald Knobbers.\textsuperscript{126}

This string of convictions must have come as dispiriting news to the other men in jail with the four condemned men. The prisoners knew that the evidence against each of them was pretty much the same, so with each new conviction they felt their own chances for acquittal rapidly diminishing. After the verdict in John Mathews’ trial, William Stanley, Amos Jones, C.O. Simmons, and James Mathews pled guilty to second degree murder. Judge Hubbard took

\textsuperscript{123} Testimony of William Stilliens and Frank Williams in \textit{State of Missouri vs. David Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 4.
\textsuperscript{124} Testimony of Joe Inman, Charles Graves, and James McGuire in \textit{State of Missouri vs. David Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 4.
\textsuperscript{125} Instructions to the jury in \textit{State of Missouri vs. David Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 4. The jury instruction quoted here is instruction number eleven.
\textsuperscript{126} \textit{State of Missouri vs. David Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 4.
pity on James Mathews because of his youth – he was only 16 years old at the time – and gave him probation. He made the young man sign a bond, with his attorneys S.H. Boyd and D.M. Payne as co-signers, as security for his future good behavior. Then the judge told him to go home, help support his family, and stay out of trouble in the future. Hubbard sentenced Stanley to a prison term of 21 years, and gave Jones and Simmons sentences of 25 years and 12 years respectively.\textsuperscript{127}

Nine years later, Judge Hubbard joined several other well-known Springfield lawyers, including T.J. Delaney who had represented the Bald Knobbers and two members of the prosecuting team G.A. Watson and Almus Harrington, in petitioning Governor Lawrence V. Stephens to commute the sentences of Amos Jones and William Stanley.\textsuperscript{128} In a separate letter to Governor Stephens, Hubbard wrote that at the time he gave Simmons a lighter sentence than the others because he still had “some slight doubt” about his guilt. A few months later, however, Hubbard had a private conversation with William Walker in jail, which removed any doubt about Simmons’ guilt. He now believed “Stanley and Jones do not deserve any greater punishment than Simmons gets,” and should be released at the same time as Simmons.\textsuperscript{129} Responding to these requests, Governor Stephens commuted the sentences of Stanley and Jones on July 16, 1897.\textsuperscript{130}

Almost immediately after their convictions, the four Bald Knobbers sentenced to death appealed to the state Supreme Court for a reversal of the lower court’s decision. In preparation for the appeal the circuit clerk compiled lengthy transcripts of their trials totaling roughly

\textsuperscript{127} Springfield Daily Herald, 28 March 1888; Springfield Leader, 26 March 1888; Vandeventer, 137-38.
\textsuperscript{128} A Petition from the members of the Springfield Bar to Gov. Lon V. Stephens, Springfield, MO, June 14, 1897, Western Historical Manuscripts Collection at Columbia, Missouri. Collection # 1725: Lon V. Stephens Papers, Folder 1. Hereafter, the words Western Historical Manuscript Collection shall be abbreviated WHMC.
\textsuperscript{129} Walter D. Hubbard to Governor Lon V. Stephens, Springfield, MO, March 1, 1897, WHMC at Columbia, Missouri. Collection # 1725: Lon V. Stephens Papers, Folder 1.
\textsuperscript{130} Emporia, Kansas, Daily Gazette, 16 July 1897.
315,000 words, and forwarded them to the Supreme Court in early May. On Monday, May 14, Missouri’s high court agreed to hear the Bald Knob cases, which granted the condemned men a temporary reprieve from their appointment with the hangman that Friday.\footnote{Springfield Leader, 14 May 1888; Kansas City Star, 14 May 1888.}

The Supreme Court considered the first three cases – those pertaining to William Walker, Wiley Mathews, and John Mathews – in November and December of 1888. The issues in these cases were relatively straightforward, and dwelt mainly on technical matters. For example, in William Walker’s case, Walker’s attorneys argued that the court erred in allowing certain witnesses, including Charles Graves, to testify even though they clearly had self-interested motives for doing so. They objected to some of the court’s instructions given to the jury, which they said were worded in such a way as to sway the jurors in favor of conviction. They further objected that William Walker was forced to testify against himself in that he was compelled to undergo a medical examination to verify that he had sustained wounds consistent with other witnesses’ testimony. Finally, they argued that Walker, if he was guilty of anything, had conspired only to whip William Edens and not to kill him, and therefore should have been charged with a lesser crime. On November 12, the Supreme Court rejected these arguments and upheld the decision of the lower court, setting a new date for Walker’s execution on December 28.\footnote{Brief and Argument in support of Motion for a Rehearing in State of Missouri vs. William Walker, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 1; Springfield Daily Republican, 14 November 1888; Aberdeen, South Dakota, Daily News, 15 November 1888.} The next two cases ended up much the same way. On November 26, the high court upheld the conviction and sentence of the John Mathews, and on December 20 the court upheld the conviction of Wiley Mathews as well. The Supreme Court set a new execution date for these men at February 15, 1889. Governor Albert P. Morehouse, who had assumed the governorship
when John S. Marmaduke unexpectedly died in office, postponed their executions until after the court could rule on Dave Walker’s case.133

The Supreme Court did not hear Dave Walker’s case until March, 1889. In the meantime the four condemned Bald Knobbers remained confined in the county jail in Ozark. The local government desperately wanted to ensure the security of their prisoners. They had built a new jail the previous summer in part because they knew they would need a safe place to keep their vigilante prisoners, lest their comrades on the outside attempt to mount some kind of rescue operation.134 They also deputized many local residents of Ozark and the surrounding area to assist law enforcement officials in providing security. Years later one such resident, Andrew Jackson Whitehead, recalled that local authorities deputized around 100 men to serve as guards at the jail, because they believed that “some organized effort might be made by the Bald Knobbers to rescue the four” condemned men.135

The county put the same effort into making the jail itself as impervious to escape as possible. According to Judge William L. Vandeventer, who saw the structure while it was still standing, the new jailhouse served as both a jail and the sheriff’s residence. It consisted of a two story brick structure with four cells inside a large cage located on the first floor. The doors to the cells could be locked or unlocked using a lever located “inside a steel box, and this box was always kept locked.” Inside the box another padlock secured lever itself. The door to the cage around the cells was locked on the outside, while the “outside door of the jail proper was also

133 State of Missouri vs. John Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 7; State of Missouri vs. Wiley Mathews, 1888, MSA, Missouri Supreme Court Files, Box 1144, Case 8; Chicago, Illinois, Daily Intelligencer, 30 December 1888.
134 Springfield Daily Republican, 24 August 1887.
locked and bars were across all the windows.” Thus, four locks, thick brick walls, and barred windows all separated the prisoners from freedom.\(^\text{136}\)

In December, 1888, around the time the Supreme Court rejected the appeals submitted by the first three Bald Knobbers, John and Wiley Mathews began planning their escape. They stole the keys to the padlocks on the doors to the cells and the cage from one of the sheriff’s deputies who guarded the jail, and made impressions of them on a bar of soap. Sheriff Johnson had allowed John Mathews, a skilled wood carver, to keep a pocketknife and some wood in order to make canes to sell to support his family. Mathews used his skill to carve keys to the padlocks on the cell and the cage. However, they still needed a way to get into the big steel box that held the levers. To do this, Mathews asked one of the guards for a bar of lead, ostensibly to make heads for his canes. Instead, he melted the lead together with some zinc from an old washboard they had in their possession, using the jail’s wood stove for heat. He then poured the alloy into a mold carved in a pine board. This gave them a key they could use to unlock the box. Now the prisoners could get outside of their cells and the cage, but the door to the jail itself locked from the outside. Over several nights the prisoners surreptitiously overcame this obstacle by making a hole in the wall on the southern side of the jail where the jailer had stacked a pile of firewood. Each night the prisoners removed bricks from the inside of the wall by filing away the mortar between the bricks and pulling them out. When they stopped working they would put the bricks back in the hole without the mortar. They left the outside layer of bricks intact, knowing they could break through it when they needed. From the outside, the wall of the jail looked secure. On the inside, however, the pile of firewood concealed their progress on the hole.\(^\text{137}\)

\(^{136}\) Vandeventer, 140.

\(^{137}\) Vandeventer, 141-42; *State of Missouri vs. J.C. Rucker*, 1889, MSA, *Christian County Circuit Court Files*, Reel No. C 44361, Box 36, Folder 115.
On the evening of December 28, 1888, John and Wiley Mathews made their escape from jail. The men made some noise breaking through the remaining bricks in the wall and scampering away from the jail, which awoke Sheriff Johnson sleeping in his quarters. When the sheriff went to check on the prisoners he found that John and Wiley Mathews and five other prisoners had gone, and he saw Dave and William Walker dressed and standing outside of the cage. He also found the guard on duty that evening, J.C. Rucker, bound and gagged on the floor, having apparently been overpowered by the escapees. The Walkers claimed they had not tried to escape, and had no intention of leaving for, as Dave Walker laconically remarked, he had “not come into the jail by that hole, and he was not going out that way.”

The circumstances notwithstanding, the Walkers may well have told the truth when they said that they did not wish to escape. The Missouri Supreme Court had not yet ruled on Dave Walker’s appeal, so he had reason to hope that the law might yet vindicate him. Likewise, William Walker probably hoped that an appeal to the governor for clemency would succeed given his youth.

In the wake of the escape, sensationalized stories appeared in newspapers across the country inaccurately attributing the escape to a Bald Knobber raiding party numbering as many as 25 men. The raiders supposedly broke through the brick walls, and then used crowbars to pry open the doors to the cells, all the while failing to raise the alarm in Ozark and bring the sheriff and his many deputies running to intervene. In Ozark, the public tended to suspect that the escaped prisoners had help from someone on the inside. Suspicion fell heavily on one of the guards, a sheriff’s deputy named J.C. Rucker, who some believed had helped the escaped prisoners. At the next term of the circuit court, a grand jury actually indicted Rucker for assisting

---

the escaped fugitives, and his case went to trial. The jury did not find the evidence persuasive 

enough to convict Rucker, however, and declared him not guilty.\textsuperscript{140}

John Matthews did not fare well as a fugitive. Because of his long confinement, the older 

man had grown unused to outdoor conditions, and in the dead of winter he did not make it very 

far. One night he almost froze to death hiding in a corn field. Finally, he sought shelter at a 

nearby house.\textsuperscript{141} The owner of the house, a farmer named James Collins, reportedly found the 

convict in a pitiful state, “almost exhausted by hunger and cold, having had but one meal since 

he left the jail.” Collins turned Mathews over to the authorities, who took him back to jail.\textsuperscript{142}

Unlike his uncle, the younger and more physically robust Wiley Mathews managed to 
evade capture and eventually made his way into the Oklahoma territory. Walker family tradition 
states that many years later, around 1930, Wiley Mathews returned to Christian County to visit 
his sister who still lived in the area. After he arrived he checked into a hotel in Chadwick under 
an assumed name, and claimed to be a “mineral man” from Oklahoma who had come to the 
Ozarks to conduct surveys. Shortly thereafter he went to visit his sister, and slept in the woods 

near her house in order to avoid arousing suspicion concerning his identity. During his stay he 
briefly met a small boy named Lloyd Walker, the grandson of Joseph Walker, Dave Walker’s 
brother. When Mathews left the area the boy’s father asked him if he knew who the stranger was. 
When the boy replied that he did not, his father told him it was Wiley Mathews, “the Bald 
Knobber that escaped from jail in Christian County.”\textsuperscript{143}

\textsuperscript{140} State of Missouri \textit{vs.} J.C. Rucker, 1889, MSA, Christian County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 44361, Box 36, Folder 115.


\textsuperscript{142} \textit{New York Times}, 2 January 1889.

\textsuperscript{143} Author’s Interview with Lloyd Walker, Springfield, MO, January 2, 2009. The date of this meeting is 
estimated. In my interview with him, Lloyd Walker remembered being about six years old when he met Wiley 
Mathews. Since Walker was born in 1924, he probably met the fugitive around 1930.
The escape from the county jail in Ozark was one of many lurid and sensational aspects of the Bald Knobber story that had captured the attention of both state and national newspapers ever since the Edens-Greene killings two years before. It is likely impossible to express in quantitative terms how big a story the Bald Knobbers became in the late 1880’s. One may safely say, however, that for a couple years after March, 1887, most of the newspaper reading public in America had some knowledge of them. Major city newspapers greatly increased their coverage of the Bald Knobbers after the Edens-Greene murders. For example, prior to the killings the New York Times ran just four articles about the Bald Knobbers,¹⁴⁴ but over roughly the next two years the nation’s newspaper of record published at least 25 articles on the topic.¹⁴⁵ Likewise, the Chicago Daily Inter-Ocean ran no articles on the Bald Knobbers prior to the Edens-Greene affair, but subsequently published no fewer than 38 articles on them.¹⁴⁶ Many major newspapers sent reporters to Southwest Missouri, especially when the circuit court convened in Ozark, to report on the Bald Knobber trials and collect information about the vigilante order disclosed in them. For example, in December, 1888, local newspapers noted that a special correspondent for the New York Sun, William McMurtrie Speer, had come to visit Taney and Christian counties to gather information for a series of articles for that newspaper.¹⁴⁷

¹⁴⁶ Chicago Daily Inter-Ocean, 30 May 1887, 18 June 1887, 16 July 1887, 11 August 1887, 23 August 1887, 24 August 1887, 26 August 1887, 27 August 1887, 28 August 1887, 19 August 1887, 31 August 1887, 4 September 1887, 9 September 1887, 10 September 1887, 11 September 1887, 14 September 1887, 14 September 1887, 30 September 1887, 5 November 1887, 6 November 1887, 13 February 1888, 18 February 1888, 25 February 1888, 26 February 1888, 29 February 1888, 10 March 1888, 12 March 1888, 10 April 1888, 13 April 1888, 14 May 1888, 9 July 1888, 24 July 1888, 22 August 1888, 13 November 1888, 30 December 1888, 2 January 1889, 9 February 1889, 10 February 1889, 29 August 1889.
¹⁴⁷ Springfield Daily Republican, 29 December 1888; Taney County Times, 13 December 1888. Speer was a prominent political reporter for the Sun, who spent several years reporting on the state government in Albany, New York. See, New York Times, 12 April 1893.
Correspondents like Speer produced a steady stream of Bald Knobber related articles to satisfy the public’s appetite for information about the colorful vigilantes. Much of the newspaper coverage in this period was responsible and accurate, but a substantial number of the articles published contained errors, distortions, and sometimes outright fabrications. The worst errors tended toward embellishment of the violent and bloody aspects of the vigilante saga. For example, in November, 1888, a rumor began circulating, first in Kansas City and then through newspapers across the country, that on November 13, a band of night riders had lynched five witnesses who had testified against “the Bald Knobber king Dave Walker” in his murder trial.\textsuperscript{148} Variations of this story appeared in newspapers in many parts of the country including South Dakota,\textsuperscript{149} Georgia,\textsuperscript{150} and Nebraska.\textsuperscript{151} Even the well respected \textit{New York Times} ran the story, adding that “the action of the Supreme Court in sentencing Walker to death” had caused the lynchings.\textsuperscript{152}

All of these stories were completely false. The circuit court records for Christian County contain no reference to a quintuple lynching at that time or any other time, nor do the local newspapers in the area corroborate it. The Springfield \textit{Daily Republican} did reprint a version of the story that had appeared in a newspaper published in Denver, Colorado. The \textit{Republican} cited it as an example of the kind of “slanderous story” and “purely malicious invention” that often besmirched the reputation of Southwest Missouri. The Springfield paper attributed the original source of the story to a Kansas City newspaper it sarcastically referred to as the “Kansas City Liar.”\textsuperscript{153}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{148} \textit{Kansas City Star}, 15 November 1888.
\item \textsuperscript{149} Aberdeen, South Dakota, \textit{Daily News}, 17 November 1888.
\item \textsuperscript{150} Columbus, Georgia, \textit{Enquirer-Sun}, 16 November 1888.
\item \textsuperscript{151} Omaha, Nebraska, \textit{Daily Herald}, 16 November 1888.
\item \textsuperscript{152} \textit{New York Times}, 16 November 1888. The \textit{Times} apparently did not know that the Supreme Court had not yet ruled on Dave Walker’s case.
\item \textsuperscript{153} Springfield \textit{Daily Republican}, 24 November 1888.
\end{itemize}
Such Sensational stories enjoyed widespread popularity among America’s newspaper reading public. The stories appealed to readers in part because they played upon generations of ingrained stereotypes about southern mountain people, particularly those who lived in the Appalachian or Ozark hill country.\(^{154}\)

Big city journalists, who cover episodes of social unrest in these regions, have often yielded to the temptation to fit their facts into preexisting narratives about savage “hillbillies” or “mountaineers” always ready to resort to violence at the slightest provocation. Historians who have written about such diverse events as the Hatfield-McCoy feud in the Tug Valley region of Kentucky and West Virginia, or the famous Arkansas “ghost trial” of 1929-30, have noted this tendency of reporters to shade the truth when dealing with southern hill folk as their subjects.\(^ {155}\)

Likewise, during the infamous Scopes “Monkey Trial” in Dayton, Tennessee, newspaper coverage of the event tended to focus not only on the trial itself but also the character Dayton’s residents, usually to their detriment. Some of the most savage caricatures came from editorialist H.L. Mencken, whose portrayal of local mountain people as “yokels” and “morons” nearly provoked mob violence against him during the trial.\(^ {156}\)

Public interest in the Ozarks vigilantes remained high as the Missouri Supreme Court got ready to decide the last Bald Knob murder case on its docket. On March 23, 1889, the court announced its decision on Dave Walker’s appeal. Prior to this decision, the vigilante chief still had some reason to hope for a reversal of the lower court’s judgment. After all, the testimony taken in his trial tended to show that unlike the other three condemned men he had not actually


gone into James Edens’ house where the killings occurred. Moreover, even some of the state’s witnesses agreed that he had tried to call back the men headed toward the house before the killings happened, and had ordered them to stop shooting once he heard shots fired.\textsuperscript{157} The justices, however, did not consider these facts sufficient grounds for acquittal. The court ruled that Dave Walker’s leadership role within the Bald Knobber organization made him responsible for the actions of his subordinates. Writing for the majority, Justice Theodore Brace declared that since Walker “was the leader and master spirit” of the vigilantes who did the killing, he “could be guilty of no less a crime than murder in the first degree and could have no ground or justification to stand upon.”\textsuperscript{158}

The Supreme Court set the date for Dave Walker’s execution at May 10, and on April 17 Missouri’s newly-elected Governor David R. Francis reset the execution dates for John Mathews and William Walker to coincide with that day as well.\textsuperscript{159} The court’s decision to uphold the convictions of the men sentenced to death for the Edens-Greene killings meant that the three vigilantes still in jail in Ozark had only one hope left to escape execution. That hope rested in Governor Francis, to whom the condemned men had to appeal for clemency if they wished to avoid the hangman’s noose.

Even before the Supreme Court handed down its final decision in the Bald Knobber cases, the lawyers for the three men coordinated an ambitious public relations campaign aimed at persuading the governor to commute their sentences. In November, 1888, attorney T.J. Delaney told the Springfield \textit{Daily Republican} that a grassroots effort to spare his clients’ lives had begun. This included a petition drive on behalf of William Walker, who many people believed

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{157} Testimony of James McGuire, Joe Inman, and Charles Graves in \textit{State of Missouri vs. David Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 4.
\textsuperscript{158} Opinion of the Court, filed March 23, 1889, in \textit{State of Missouri vs. David Walker}, 1888, MSA, \textit{Missouri Supreme Court Files}, Box 1144, Case 4.
\textsuperscript{159} Springfield \textit{Daily Republican}, 18 April 1889; Columbus, Georgia, \textit{Enquirer-Sun}, 18 April 1887.
\end{footnotesize}
merited clemency on account of his youth at the time the crime was committed. Many prominent persons in the local community, including even jurors in the Walker case, had signed these petitions.\textsuperscript{160} The following February Governor Francis received a delegation of distinguished men from Southwest Missouri, which included Delaney, S.H. Boyd, former Secretary of State Martin K. McGrath, and other politicians and office holders. The visitors pleaded with the governor to save the lives of the three condemned men, and presented statements from officials in all of the counties affected by the Bald Knobber organization, who swore that it “had disbanded forever.”\textsuperscript{161} In April, as the deadline for the executions approached, Francis received several visits from delegations of “representative men from Christian, Douglas, Stone, Taney, and Greene counties,” who called on him to express their support for clemency.\textsuperscript{162} One such delegation included several prominent Springfield attorneys such as Delaney, O.H. Travers, and John Walker. State Representative Alonzo Prather, the former Bald Knobber from Taney County, also presented a petition “signed by 102 members of the [General] Assembly,” which requested clemency for the Bald Knobber prisoners.\textsuperscript{163}

Why the sudden outpouring of support for the convicted murderers? Strange as it might seem, given the initial public backlash against the vigilantes following the Edens-Greene killings, by the spring of 1889 Southwest Missourians had softened their attitude toward the three condemned prisoners and support for clemency had grown. For example, one newspaper report estimated, likely with some exaggeration, that nine-tenths of the Christian County population would support the governor commuting the death sentences of the Bald Knobbers to

---

\textsuperscript{160} Springfield \textit{Daily Republican}, 28 November 1888.
\textsuperscript{161} Springfield \textit{Express}, 15 February 1889.
\textsuperscript{162} Springfield \textit{Daily Republican}, 13 April 1889.
\textsuperscript{163} Ibid., 14 April 1889.
life in prison.\textsuperscript{164} The reasons for this shift in attitude were difficult to explain to people from outside the region. As one newspaper commented, most locals believed that although the vigilantes “were criminals in act, they were not so in motive or intent; that in spirit and purpose they do not possess the characteristics of the murderer.” These ordinary citizens would have no sympathy at all for a “hardened criminal,” but for the vigilantes they had made “earnest and numerous appeals for clemency.”\textsuperscript{165} Other people believed that the Bald Knobbers deserved clemency because they had maintained generally good reputations prior to becoming involved in vigilantism. For example, Greene County Prosecutor James J. Gideon told an interviewer that he had served with Dave Walker during the Civil War, and he considered Walker “as good a citizen as we have had since the war, never getting into trouble until this thing came up.”\textsuperscript{166}

In the face of this outpouring of public sentiment, Governor Francis remained mostly silent and weighed his options carefully. On the one hand, he could appease public opinion in his own state if he commuted the death sentences of the vigilantes. On the other hand, if he did so, he would necessarily have to overturn the judgments of both a circuit court and the state supreme court. Moreover, as a businessman and former president of the St. Louis Merchant Exchange, Francis worried that a commutation would tend to reinforce the belief in the rest of the country that Missouri was a lawless and criminal state. This perception could discourage people from immigrating to Missouri or investing their money there. “The people of the East,” he once remarked, “have an idea that lawlessness prevails here, and profess to believe that their lives and property would be unsafe within our borders.”\textsuperscript{167}

\textsuperscript{164} Springfield Express, 10 May 1889.
\textsuperscript{165} Springfield Daily Republican, 16 April 1889.
\textsuperscript{166} Springfield Weekly Republican, 4 April 1889.
On Wednesday, May 8, Governor Francis made the decision not to intervene on behalf of the prisoners. He sent a letter explaining his decision to T.J. Delaney, the Bald Knobbers’ ever faithful attorney who had continued lobbying for a commutation until the last possible moment. The letter arrived just past midnight. In it the governor said that he had made his decision because the evidence in the cases indicated that the “crime was the result of deliberation,” because Dave Walker could have stopped the killings if he wanted to, and because William Walker’s leadership role among the vigilantes made him as responsible for the murders as anyone. Francis concluded, saying that “the crime must be expiated by the lives of the three men and that they must suffer on account thereof, I discover many reasons why the sentence of the law should be carried out.”

The following morning the governor also sent a brief telegraph to Ozark announcing his decision to the authorities there. The message read, “I have declined to intervene,” but in a cruel twist of fate the telegraph operator mistyped it as “I have decided to intervene.” This erroneous report “raised the hopes of the three men to a high pitch.” A few hours later, however, they received the disappointing news that the first message was a mistake, and that the three of them still faced execution.

For the rest of the day the town of Ozark made preparations for the impending executions. Large crowds began arriving that morning from the surrounding countryside to witness the event, and by evening the town had completely filled up. Journalists from several major newspapers came to Ozark to watch the execution. Ozark had only one telegraph wire, however, so the reporters made arrangements with horsemen to take their manuscripts and “drive at full speed” to Springfield where they would wire their stories back to their editors. A stockade had already been constructed around a space on the eastern side of the jail, and inside

---

168 Springfield Weekly Republican, 9 May 1889.
169 Springfield Daily Republican, 10 May 1889.
170 Springfield Leader, 9 May 1889.
that area the sheriff and his deputies built a scaffold on which the executions would take place.\footnote{Springfield Express, 10 May 1889.}

Sheriff Johnson had originally intended to hire a professional executioner named Daniel Binkley of Kansas City to do the job, but the prisoners objected to this plan. Dave Walker told the sheriff that he did not want to die at the hands of a stranger, and asked Johnson to perform the execution himself as a last favor to a friend. Johnson agreed, even though he had no experience with executions, a decision he later came to regret.\footnote{Kansas City Star, 5 January 1889; Vandeventer, 152-53.}

The evening before the execution the three condemned men participated in a small religious service held at their request inside the jail. A local minister, T.B. Horn, officiated. William Walker had requested an opportunity for baptism, so the sheriff and his men procured a bathtub, and Reverend Horn performed the rite for the young man who emerged from the water “shouting, singing, and praying so loudly that he was heard for quite a distance.”\footnote{Springfield Daily Republican, 10 May 1889.} Dave and William Walker seemed relatively composed that evening. They managed to fall asleep shortly before midnight and did not wake until dawn. By contrast, John Mathews spent most of the night awake, praying, singing hymns, and talking to the guard on duty in the jail, and “every now and then protesting that he was innocent” and did not deserve to be hanged. He finally fell asleep just before dawn. The other men in the jail decided not to wake him until necessary.\footnote{Springfield Express, 10 May 1889.}

At around six o’clock in the morning T.J. Delaney paid a last visit to his clients. Mathews had not yet wakened, but the Walkers received him warmly, and thanked him for all his work on their behalf. Half an hour later the men had their last meal, which they “ate heartily and with evident relish.” John Mathews even requested a second pint of coffee to wash his food down. About an hour after they finished their meal, the men held devotional exercises with three local

\footnotesize

\footnote{Springfield Express, 10 May 1889.}
\footnote{Kansas City Star, 5 January 1889; Vandeventer, 152-53.}
\footnote{Springfield Daily Republican, 10 May 1889.}
\footnote{Springfield Express, 10 May 1889.}
ministers who had come to witness the execution. The preachers prayed with the prisoners and joined them in signing several of their favorite religious songs. Herbert Rogers, who had been a boy living in Ozark at that time, recalled hearing the words to the old hymns “Nearer My God to Thee,” “Home Sweet Home,” and “In the Sweet Bye and Bye” wafting through the windows of the jail.

At about half past nine o’clock the sheriff and two of his deputies marched the prisoners to the place where the executions would occur. The stockade which enclosed the yard and the gallows blocked the view of the crowd assembled outside. Only a small group of people, including the jurors in the case, the three ministers, three physicians, and a few journalists had been invited to witness the event. Before tying the nooses, the sheriff gave the prisoners a chance to say a few last words. The two Walkers both made terse statements, in which they repeated that they were innocent, but also said that they were ready to die. John Mathews made a long and rambling statement, loudly proclaiming his innocence, and bemoaning the plight of his poor wife and children who would soon lose their father. When the sheriff slipped the nooses over their heads Mathews “gave way to talking again.” He asked the journalists present not to write ill of them, or to say “that we will take our dinners in hell today,” and begged the witnesses to help support his wife and children after he died. (Figure 5.2)

Just before the sheriff pulled the black hoods over their heads, Dave and William Walker leaned close and kissed each other. As the hood went over John Matthews’ head he yelled out a farewell to all the witnesses. Then, at six minutes before ten o’clock the sheriff pulled the lever and the three men fell through the trap door in the scaffold. From that point on, things went

---

175 Ibid.
176 Will Townsend, “Now 92, Herbert Rogers Rubbed Horses’ Noses Nearby as Grisly Scene Unfolded,” Springfield News-Leader, 23 November 1975. This article is based on an interview that Will Townsend conducted with Herbert Rogers in 1975.
177 Springfield Express, 10 May 1889; Vandeventer, 165-67.
terribly wrong. Only John Mathews’ execution went according to plan; his neck broke on the first drop and he died quickly. The Walkers, however, did not have it so easy. Sheriff Johnson had either misjudged the length of Dave Walker’s rope, or else had not taken into account how far it would stretch, for the elder Walker was left dangling with his feet touching the ground, desperately trying to push himself up. Meanwhile, William Walker’s rope had come undone, and he fell to his knees moaning in agony and spitting out blood and phlegm into his hood. The sheriff and his deputies left William there for the moment as they struggled to lift up his father, while shortening and readjusting the rope. After re-hanging Dave Walker the sheriff and his men attempted to do the same to his son. In the process William’s noose slipped entirely off his head, and he fell to the ground on his face groaning in pain, and begging God to end his suffering. Finally, the sheriff and his men succeeded in hoisting William through the trap door and seated him on the scaffold. He remained there until the physicians present pronounced both his father and John Matthews dead. At sixteen minutes past ten o’clock the sheriff and his men finally lifted William up, retied the rope, and hung him a second time. Once again William’s neck failed to break when he dropped through the trap door, and over the next sixteen minutes he slowly strangled to death. Finally, the sheriff and his deputies cut him down and laid him next to his father. They placed the bodies in walnut coffins each encased in a pine box.178

---

178 *Springfield Express*, 10 May 1889; *Kansas City Star*, 10 May 1889.
This “scene of ghastliness” reportedly had a sobering effect on everyone who witnessed it.\textsuperscript{179} Some people blamed the tragedy on Sheriff Johnson, who had performed the execution without having sufficient knowledge or training to do so. In reality, however, the sheriff had only honored the wishes of the men in his charge, and could not have predicted the unfortunate outcome.\textsuperscript{180} Most people, even some of the Bald Knobbers’ “most bitter enemies,” admitted that they wished the two Walkers “had died a less painful death.”\textsuperscript{181}

The deaths of the Walkers and John Mathews also left their families with the pressing problem of how to bury them. Before his death John Mathews expressed a desire to have his remains buried on his own property so as to be near his wife and children. So Mathews’ family took his body and quietly buried it in a small plot on the family’s farm.\textsuperscript{182} Before the execution, the Walker family had relied on the members of the Sparta post 257 of the Grand Army of the Republic (GAR), a veteran’s group to which Dave Walker belonged, to provide burials for him and his son. Unfortunately, at the last minute the other members changed their minds, and informed the family that they would not provide a funeral because they did not wish to be publically associated with the Bald Knobbers. Finally, two GAR members volunteered to take the bodies in a wagon to a small cemetery behind the Baptist Church in Sparta. The Walker family buried the bodies without ceremony and without a minister present. Lois Newton, who had lived with the Walkers after becoming estranged from her family, accompanied them to the grave site. The mourners placed their loved ones in a single large grave dug wide enough to accommodate both coffins. Since the family had no money for headstones, they placed two

\textsuperscript{179} Kansas City Star, 10 May 1889.
\textsuperscript{180} Springfield Express, 10 May 1889.
\textsuperscript{181} Ibid., 17 May 1889.
\textsuperscript{182} Vandeventer, 173. See also, John H. Mitchell, Tales of Bull Creek Country (Cassville, MO: Self-published by the Author, 1990), 21.
wooden boards at the top of the grave, on which they scrawled the names of the deceased. Fortunately, the grave did not remain unmarked forever. A few years later, members of the GAR placed a small military headstone to mark Dave Walker’s gravesite, which remains there to this day. For more than a century, however, the grave had no marker bearing William Walker’s name. Finally, in the 1990’s a descendant of Dave Walker placed a second, larger headstone at the gravesite with the names of both father and son inscribed on it. (Figure 5.3)

The executions of Dave Walker, William Walker, and John Mathews represented the culmination of a long chain of events that began with the murders of William Edens and Charles Greene. Those killings provoked a public outcry from the people of Southwest Missouri who demanded prompt and forceful action from their courts and law enforcement officials to put down the dangerous vigilante movement in their midst. The legal crackdown on the Bald Knobbers that ensued resulted in a protracted legal battle that went all the way to the Missouri Supreme Court, and beyond to the office of Governor David R. Francis, whose final decision sealed the fate of the men who died on the scaffold in Ozark on May 10, 1889. More importantly, the hangings in Ozark marked the effective end of the Bald Knobber movement in nearly all of Southwest Missouri. With the exception of Taney County, where the summer of 1889 had yet to bring one final spasm of violence, the masked night riders no longer haunted the Ozarks hills.

Figure 5.3: AUTHOR’S PHOTOGRAPH OF WALKER GRAVESITE.
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183 Vandeventer, 172-23; Springfield Express, 17 May 1889.
184 Author’s interview with Sharon Maggard, Sparta, Missouri, August 9, 2010. Mrs. Maggard is the great granddaughter of Dave Walker. She related to me the information given here concerning the grave site of Dave and William Walker, and also gave me directions to the cemetery so that I could visit it myself.
CHAPTER 6: A DUEL ON THE FOURTH OF JULY

A primary rationale behind the creation of a vigilance committee in Taney County was the perception of many residents that their community faced an epidemic of crime and violence, and that the local government lacked either the ability or the will to confront it. The elections of 1884 and 1886 handed control of local government to a political faction consisting of several leading Bald Knobbers and their allies, thereby giving the vigilantes the opportunity to govern as they wished. Once they held the reins of power, therefore, the Bald Knobber officials knew that they needed to demonstrate their capacity to enforce the law more effectively and aggressively than had their Democratic and Anti-Bald Knobber predecessors. Their first major challenge to prove that they could do so came on May 9, 1886, when in front of several witnesses Wash Middleton murdered Sam Snapp outside of John Kintrea’s general store in Kirbyville.\(^1\) Since Middleton belonged to the Bald Knobbers and because Snapp had witnessed Nat Kinney’s shooting of Andrew Coggburn the year before, many Anti-Bald Knobbers concluded that the vigilantes had arranged for Snapp’s death in order to protect their leader from prosecution. The Bald Knobber critics assumed that the vigilantes would always protect one of their own, even if that meant shielding a murderer from the law’s consequences. Therefore, they appealed to the state government for help lest the county descend into anarchy and bloodshed.\(^2\) Contrary to these dire predictions, the Bald Knobbers did not interfere on behalf of Middleton, and Prosecutor Harrison E. Havens built a successful case against him. On October 10, 1887, a jury in Forsyth found Middleton guilty of murder and sentenced him to forty years in the state

\(^1\) Springfield \textit{Daily Herald}, 13 May 1886; \textit{Springfield Leader}, 12 May 1886.

\(^2\) W.H. Jones to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Forsyth, MO, May 10, 1886, and K.L. Burdette to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Forsyth, MO, May 12, 1886, Missouri State Archives, \textit{Adjutant General’s Papers}, Box 88, Folder 7, MSA. Hereafter, the Missouri State Archives shall be abbreviated as MSA.
penitentiary, a sentence which Judge Walter D. Hubbard later reduced to fifteen years. Alas, Middleton escaped from the county jail before spending any time in the state penitentiary.

Around the same time that the circuit court handed down its decision in the Wash Middleton case, it also had another sensational murder case on its docket. On June 6, 1887, a Christian County man named Robert Meadows was on his way to visit his brother John Meadows who lived on Bull Creek in Taney County. His wife accompanied him. Just south of the county line, someone shot at him from cover with a Winchester rifle. The bullet struck him through the heart, killing him instantly. His wife caught a glimpse of two men running from the scene, and later identified one of them as L.T. Matthews, also a resident of Christian County.

The initials L.T. referred to Lemuel T. Matthews, an Arkansas man who came to Missouri with his father, Enoch A. Matthews, and who so far as I know had no family connection to the Bald Knobbers John and Wiley Mathews.

Some early reports of the murder speculated that the bushwhacking was linked in some way to the Bald Knobber troubles. Other reports contradicted that idea, however, suggesting that a personal grievance rather than vigilantism explained the killing. As the Taney County News pointed out, a “feud has existed between the [Matthews and Meadows] families” stemming from circumstances surrounding the tragic death of Matthews’ infant son. The child died as the result of a botched ambush perpetrated by the “Payton boys,” two malcontent teenage brothers who harbored a grudge against Matthews. In 1885, Matthews took his family north from Taney

---

3 *Springfield Express*, 14 October 1887; *Springfield Leader*, 12 October 1887, and 17 October 1887.
4 *Springfield Daily Herald*, 19 October 1888.
5 *Kansas City Star*, 8 June 1887; *Taney County News*, 9 June 1887, and 16 June 1887; *Springfield Leader*, 13 October 1887, and 17 October 1887. Only the Taney County News and *Springfield Leader* refer to the perpetrator of this crime as “L.T. Matthews.” Other sources refer to him as “Bud” or “Bob” Matthews, but these are probably nicknames.
6 For L.T. Matthews’ census information, see, Lemuel T. Matthews, 1880 U.S. Census, Taney County, Jasper Township, District 123, p. 19.
7 Chicago, Illinois, *Daily Inter-Ocean*, 9 July 1887.
8 *Taney County News*, 9 June 1887.
County to a new home near Chadwick. During the move, the Payton brothers tried to shoot him from ambush but killed his son instead.\textsuperscript{9} Robert Meadows had apparently loaned “considerable money” to the Payton brothers to help pay for their defense, which earned him the enmity of the Mathews clan.\textsuperscript{10}

The preliminary hearing in the L.T. Matthews murder case took place in Forsyth about a week after the murder. Prosecutor Havens handled the case for the state, and Nat Kinney’s stepson James A. DeLong assisted him.\textsuperscript{11} The circuit court took up the case at its next regularly scheduled term in October. The jury retired to deliberate over the case on Monday, October 17, and returned a verdict of not guilty later that day “on the ground that the state’s evidence failed to prove his identity as the murderer beyond a ‘reasonable doubt.’”\textsuperscript{12}

The prosecutions of Wash Middleton and Lemuel Matthews demonstrated the willingness of the county’s pro-Bald Knobber government to bring alleged murderers before the bar of justice. They needed to do so in order to prove to the public that they would take a tougher stand on crime than had their predecessors. On some occasions, however, this aggressive approach to law enforcement could lead to unanticipated bloodshed. On Friday, August 12, 1886, at a dance held at a local residence in Forsyth, some of the rowdier people in attendance became intoxicated and went into the public square where they fired their pistols into the air. Warrants were sworn out against several of the participants for disturbing the peace. Deputy Sheriff George L. Taylor, a Bald Knobber, and a local constable named Jerry Franklin, took the warrants and went in search of the offenders. After arresting a number of guilty parties, they went to the home of

\textsuperscript{9} Springfield Express, 17 April 1885.
\textsuperscript{10} Taney County News, 9 June 1887. One of the Payton brothers, James S. Payton, was sentenced to be hung for his role in the murder of the Matthews boy. Payton appealed his case all the way to the Missouri Supreme Court, which affirmed the lower court’s ruling. See, Springfield Express, 10 December 1886; San Jose, California, Daily News, 8 December 1886.
\textsuperscript{11} Taney County News, 16 June 1887.
\textsuperscript{12} Springfield Leader, 17 October 1887, and 19 October 1887.
Reuben Pruitt, one of the participants in the drunken festivities. Pruitt was an Anti-Bald Knobber, and several members of his family had already been driven from the county. The exact details of what happened next are somewhat murky, but it appears that Pruitt resisted arrest, and Taylor shot him in the chest. For a while many people believed that Pruitt would likely die of his wounds, but he eventually recovered and left the area never to return.\footnote{13}

Later that same month an outlaw named James Brown, who had escaped from the Greene County jail in Springfield a few months before, passed through Taney County. After Brown robbed a number of homes and farms in the area, local authorities formed a posse to pursue him. The posse caught up with Brown on the morning of August 20, and a shootout ensued in which the outlaw shot one of his pursuers, a man named James Manes, in the bowels, the shot piercing his bladder. Manes later died of his wound, but he managed to return fire and inflict several wounds on his killer. Nevertheless, Brown still managed to elude the posse. The following day they caught up with him again, and when he failed to surrender one of the posse, James Bunch, killed the outlaw with a shot to the back.\footnote{14}

As the preceding examples attest, the new Bald Knobber regime for the most part delivered on its promise of a more vigorous approach to law enforcement, although the same incidents also show that violent crime and outlawry had not vanished from Taney County under Bald Knob rule. Another key element of the Bald Knob agenda was the promotion of economic development and population growth in their region. To that end, many former leaders of the Bald Knobbers participated in the Taney County Immigration Society, an organization created to

\footnote{13} Charles Groom and D.F. McConkey, *The Bald Knobbers or Citizens Committee of Taney and Christian Counties, Missouri* (Forsyth, MO: The Authors, 1887), 33; *Springfield Express*, 20 August 1886. Reports differ as to exactly how the shooting occurred. Groom and McConkey say that Pruitt drew his revolver first and that he and Taylor fired at about the same time. The *Express* states that Taylor drew and fired first. As with most of the violent acts in this narrative, different people often told conflicting stories about the same event.

\footnote{14} Groom and McConkey, 34; *Springfield Express*, 27 August 1886.
promote “immigration into our county by bringing prominently before the public our wealth of resources and desirability of location.”\textsuperscript{15} A membership role of the Immigration Society, published in a local newspaper, showed that the organization had at least eight known Bald Knobbers on its roster, including Alonzo S. Prather, James A. DeLong, Charles H. Groom, Nat Kinney, John L. Cook, Joseph C. (“Cal”) Parrish, John T. Dickenson, and D.F. McConkey.\textsuperscript{16}

In addition to their promotional work on behalf of immigration to their county, the Bald Knobber officials also tried to attract settlers by cutting taxes. In 1887, the county court passed legislation that slashed property taxes by 30 percent from $2.35 per $100 of assessed property value, to $1.60 per $100 of property. The rationale for this move was to increase the economic incentive for new settlers to move to the county. “This move alone,” proclaimed the Taney County News, a generally pro-Bald Knobber and pro-Republican newspaper, “will cause the population of this county to increase more rapidly than was ever known before.”\textsuperscript{17}

The tax cuts, however, accompanied a much stronger approach to enforcing tax collection. Before they had seized control of the local government, the Bald Knobber faction had sharply criticized the old Democratic courthouse ring for their irresponsible handling of county finances. As Nat Kinney had observed, Taney County had amassed “$42,000 in debt, and had not even a plank to show for it.”\textsuperscript{18} In order to reduce the county’s indebtedness, not to mention pay for their tax cuts, the Bald Knob officials needed to tackle aggressively the problem of tax evasion. In March, 1887, the Taney County News published a brief announcement stating that

\textsuperscript{15} Taney County News, 16 June 1887.  
\textsuperscript{16} Taney County News, 23 June 1887.  
\textsuperscript{17} Taney County News, 5 May 1887. The editor of the News was James A. DeLong, Nat Kinney’s stepson, and so its comments on the Bald Knobbers generally favored the vigilantes. The newspaper had no official partisan affiliation, but in its news coverage and its editorial comments it generally supported positions held by the Republican Party. For example, in the same issue quoted here it defended its opposition to increased railroad regulation, a position held by the Republican Party, stating that “until we are thoroughly convinced that further legislation will not discourage railroad building in Missouri we shall remain earnestly opposed to it.”  
\textsuperscript{18} Springfield Weekly Republican, 16 May 1889.
Sheriff James K. Polk McHaffie, who also served as the county tax collector, “is now making preparations to institute suit against all delinquent tax payers. All those in arrears should take warning.”

True to its word, over the next couple of years the county government initiated scores of lawsuits against delinquent taxpayers. Those whom the court found to be in arrears either had to pay the balance of taxes owed or have their property seized and auctioned to cover the debt and court costs. The suits involved sums both large and small and targeted all sorts of people, including some former Bald Knobbers. It comes as no surprise, however, that the names of individuals formerly associated with the Anti-Bald Knobber faction showed up more often as defendants in these cases. For example, in 1888, the government brought suit against William H. Miles, the former leader of the Anti-Bald Knobber militia company, and three other individuals including Anti-Bald Knobber James S.B. Berry, for back taxes owed on several parcels of property near Forsyth. The case concluded with the auctioning of the property to pay for the debt in question.

Likewise, from 1887 through 1888, the county brought suit against Sampson Barker three times for sums ranging from thirty-two dollars to fifty-one dollars. Over roughly the same period, the county also sued John S. Coggburn, Andrew Coggburn’s uncle, three times for

---

19 Taney County News, 17 March 1887.
20 The Bald Knobbers whom the county sued for back taxes included Charles H. Groom, John J. Brown, Alonzo S. Prather, John L. Cook, and John Haggard. See, State ex rel. vs. C.H. Groom, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 4; State ex rel. vs. Charles H. Groom, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folders 6 and 14; State ex rel. vs. J.J. Brown, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 23; State ex rel. vs. J.L. Cook, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 62; State ex rel. vs. A.S. Prather, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 147; State ex rel. vs. John Haggard, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folder 61.
21 State ex rel. vs. William H. Miles, et al., 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folder 107.
22 State ex rel. vs. Sampson Barker, 1887, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 26; State ex rel. vs. Sampson Barker, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folders 3 and 37.
amounts between seven dollars and thirty-three dollars.\textsuperscript{23} Finally, the government sued Thomas A. Layton four times for sums ranging from eleven dollars to ninety-three dollars.\textsuperscript{24} Other Anti-Bald Knobbers who faced legal action for taxes owed to the county included K.L. Burdette, William Buck, Jordan M. Haworth, William Lunceford, Thomas F. Layton, and William E. Moore.\textsuperscript{25} Exactly what these defendants thought about the government’s actions remains unknown, but they most likely did not view the expropriation of their property favorably. It also seems probable, given the history of antagonism between the two factions in the county, that the government’s efforts to retrieve the money owed to it by delinquent taxpayers further embittered those Anti-Bald Knobbers who found themselves the targets of these lawsuits.

Nor did the opponents of the Bald Knobbers have only tax lawsuits to worry about. In the years following the formal disbandment of the vigilante organization in 1886, the former vigilantes used their control of the local legal system to bring their erstwhile adversaries into court on a wide variety of charges. In April, 1886, the county’s grand jury indicted Thomas A. Layton, the Anti-Bald Knobber county clerk, for carrying a concealed weapon into the courthouse. While serving as clerk, Layton brought a revolver into the courthouse, allegedly for his own protection. Since he did not need to have a firearm to perform any of the lawful duties of

\textsuperscript{23} State ex rel. vs. John Coggburn, 1887, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 64; State ex rel. vs. John Coggburn, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 96; State ex rel. vs. Thomas A. Layton and J.S. Coggburn, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37197, Box 17, Folder 59.

\textsuperscript{24} State ex rel. vs. Thomas A. Layton, 1889, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folder 140; State ex rel. vs. Thomas A. Layton, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37197, Box 17, Folders 55 and 60; State ex rel. vs. Thomas A. Layton and J.S. Coggburn, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37197, Box 17, Folder 59.

\textsuperscript{25} State ex rel. vs. K.L. Burdette and Michael Bowerman, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folders 49 and 54; State ex rel. vs. William M. Buck, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 15, Folder 60; State ex rel. vs. J.M. Haworth, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folders 55 and 84; State ex rel. vs. William Lunceford, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folder 138; State ex rel. vs. William Lunceford, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37197, Box 17, Folder 57; State ex rel. vs. Thomas F. Layton, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37197, Box 17, Folder 60; State ex rel. vs. Thomas F. Layton, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folder 142; State ex rel. vs. W.E. Moore, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37196, Box 16, Folders 170 and 171.
his office, this act rendered him in violation of the law against carrying concealed weapons, a
misdemeanor generally punished with a fine.\textsuperscript{26}

Later that same year the grand jury indicted Sampson Barker, who had served as deputy
clerk under Layton, for issuing a false witness certificate to one Price Wilson, a witness in the
murder trial of William Taylor who had never actually testified. Barker allegedly sold the
certificate to a man named D.R. Riggs, who used the document to claim $17.70 in travel and
attendance fees from the circuit court.\textsuperscript{27} Barker, who seemed to attract indictments like a magnet,
also faced charges in 1887 and 1889 for embezzlement. In the first case he stole roughly 20
dollars from Aurora Gallagher, a minor under the age of 16, who had entrusted him to act as her
agent in paying certain taxes on property belonging to her. Instead of paying the taxes, Barker
pocketed the money for himself. In the second case, he embezzled 133 dollars from the estate of
Thomas Snodgrass, another minor, of which Barker acted as the curator.\textsuperscript{28} Two of Barker’s sons,
Rufus C. Barker and Edward E. Barker, also frequently ran afoul of the law. In 1888, a grand
jury indicted Rufus of selling whiskey without a license. In 1893, Edward Barker faced charges
for killing a cow belonging to Barnett P. Parrish, the father of the Bald Knobber Joseph Calvin
(“Cal”) Parrish.\textsuperscript{29}

For certain former Anti-Bald Knobbers, their trouble with local law enforcement
continued well into the 1890’s. In that decade, a number of Anti-Bald Knobbers faced
prosecution for violating Missouri’s game and fishing laws. Almost all of these cases involved

\textsuperscript{26} State of Missouri vs. Thomas A. Layton, 1886, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 6.
\textsuperscript{27} State of Missouri vs. Sampson Barker, 1886, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 15.
\textsuperscript{28} State of Missouri vs. Sampson Barker, 1887, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 8; State of Missouri vs. Sampson Barker, 1889, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 32.
\textsuperscript{29} State of Missouri vs. Rufus Barker, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 24; State of Missouri vs. Ed Barker, 1893, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 2, Folder 22.
the illegal use of seines, or large fishing nets made to hang vertically across a body of water such as a river, creek, or stream. Once again, members of the Barker family, including Sampson Barker and his sons Edward and Rufus, frequently violated the law against this type of fishing. Between 1893 and 1897 each of the Barker men went to court multiple times to face charges of illegal seine fishing. In 1897, the grand jury also indicted Elisha Miles, the son of Anti-Bald Knobber leader William H. Miles, for illegal seine fishing, and charged the Anti-Bald Knobber John Haworth with using an illegal fish trap to catch fish in White River.

The law forbade the use of seines and fish traps because those who used them depleted the stock of fish for everybody else. For the most part, however, the authorities only rarely enforced such laws, and many people who lived in the county at that time remembered using such methods without interference from the law. One elderly resident, Isaac Moore, recalled that “at that time they were allowed to seine all they wanted to. I saw seven thousand pounds seined out at one draw. … There were so many fish in the seine we could not draw it out.” Another old timer, C.B. Stallcup, bragged “I have helped to catch several hundred pounds at one haul with a seine. Some of the fish were big fellows, weighing twenty-five pounds or more. There were buffalo fish, drum, catfish, and many others.” Indeed, the circuit court records for Taney County in the nineteenth century contain only a handful of criminal cases pertaining to game and fishing laws, but a substantial number of that handful involved people who either belonged to the

30 State of Missouri vs. Rufus C. Barker, et al., 1893, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 2, Folder 21; State of Missouri vs. Rufus C. Barker, et al., 1893, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 2, Folder 47; State of Missouri vs. Edward E. Barker, et al., 1893, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 2, Folder 49; State of Missouri vs. Edward E. Barker, et al., 1894, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 2, Folder 68; State of Missouri vs. Sampson Barker, et al., 1897, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel C 37193, Box 4, Folder 45; State of Missouri vs. Edward E. Barker, et al., 1897, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel C 37193, Box 5, Folder 2.
31 State of Missouri vs. J.M. Kinyon, et al., 1897, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37193, Box 5, Folder 7; State of Missouri vs. John Haworth, 1897, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37193, Box 5, Folder 7.
33 Ibid., 26.
Anti-Bald Knobber faction, or were related to someone who was. This does not mean that the charges against these people were false or trumped up, but it does show that local law enforcement officials paid close attention to any sort of criminal activity in which they might get involved. That in turn indicates that some of the old prejudice between the vigilante and anti-vigilante factions of the 1880’s survived well into the next decade.

The Anti-Bald Knobbers directed much of their animus toward the person of Nathaniel Kinney himself. The huge vigilante captain had a polarizing effect on those around him, and few who knew him lacked an opinion about him. From the late 1880’s until well into the twentieth century, many rumors circulated among the people of Southwest Missouri that some members of the Anti-Bald Knobber militia faction conspired to have Kinney killed. One of the earliest of these rumors found its way onto the pages of the Kansas City *Journal*. This newspaper reported that one of its reporters had learned from a local resident that several militia men were plotting to murder Nat Kinney and several other leading vigilantes. According to the *Journal*, “Captain Kinney was to be the first one killed” followed by “Deputy [Galba] Branson and William P. Hensley … then Rube Isaacs, West Brooks, A.C. Kissee, [John] T. Dickenson, and Colonel Prather. Then Deputy [Arter] Kissee and Sheriff McHaffie.”

Another story, recorded in Vance Randolph’s account of the Bald Knobbers, stated that a group of Kinney’s enemies put together “a purse of $2,000 in gold” as a bounty for anyone who would kill him. Still another account, first published in the Taney County *Republican* in 1959 and later repeated in Elmo Ingenthron and Mary Hartman’s book on the Bald Knobbers, stated that Kinney’s killers decided his fate by a game a cards. According to this story, sometime after Sam Snapp’s death a group of five Anti-Bald Knobbers, including Billy Miles and Matt Snapp, met in a barn and played a game of cards,

---

34 Kansas City *Journal*, 13 April 1886.
with the loser agreeing to kill Nat Kinney. Since Miles lost the game, it fell to him to kill the vigilante captain, which he eventually did two years later.\textsuperscript{36}

The common denominators of each of these conspiracy theories are their sensational character and lack of corroborating evidence. In all likelihood, none of these accounts describe something that actually happened. The first story posited a sequence of events that did not occur (only Nat Kinney was assassinated, not the other men mentioned), and the second and third seem like attempts to explain Nat Kinney’s death after the fact. Moreover, even if Kinney’s enemies had put a bounty on his head, it seems improbable that in a poor region like Southwest Missouri they could have raised $2,000 \textit{in gold} for that purpose. Finally, the story of the assassin’s card game drew a strong rebuttal from Billy Miles’ niece, Mrs. Ida Miles DeClue, in a 1995 interview with \textit{Branson Living} magazine.\textsuperscript{37}

At the same time, these stories do convey a sense of the extreme hostility that Kinney evoked on the part of many of his neighbors. The Anti-Bald Knobbers generally considered him a “bad man,” and many doubted whether peace would ever return to their community so long as he remained among them.\textsuperscript{38} Even among some vigilantes, Kinney’s domineering personality had begun to create mixed feelings. As previously discussed, when Adjutant General James C. Jamison demanded that the Bald Knobbers disband, Kinney and a number of his followers initially wanted to continue their work as before, but a moderate faction led by Alonzo S. Prather

\begin{footnotes}
\footnoteref{37} Gaye Lisby, “Bald Knobbers Exposed: Taney Countian shares for the first time her family’s experiences with Bald Knobber treachery and murder,” \textit{Branson Living} (Summer 1995): 24-25.
\end{footnotes}
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opposed them and supported disbanding the group. The moderate position prevailed, indicating that most of the vigilantes had no desire to provoke a confrontation with the state.39

Opposition from fellow Bald Knobbers may explain why Kinney found his ambitions for political office frustrated, even as other leading vigilantes triumphed in local elections. In 1884, and again in 1886, Kinney lost elections for state representative from his county. In the first race, he lost to Republican and fellow Bald Knobber James C. Johnson. In the second campaign, the local Republican Party recruited Samuel Dial, a former Arkansas state representative and the receiver of the federal government's land office in Harrison, Arkansas, to run for state representative against him. In a very close contest, Dial prevailed over Kinney, winning by fewer than fifty votes.40 Some Bald Knobbers probably opposed Kinney because of his political affiliation. He was a staunch Democrat, after all, and most of his fellow vigilantes sided with the Republicans. In the election of 1886, however, he was the only Bald Knobber candidate who lost. Fellow vigilantes James McHaffie, Reuben Branson, and Madison Day each won their contests for sheriff, county clerk, and coroner respectively.41 That Kinney could not win, even with his faction ascendant in the county, indicates that some of his comrades had reservations about trusting him with a political office.

The controversy that Kinney’s polarizing personality so often engendered also showed up during the course of a bitter and protracted legal battle that the vigilante captain waged with the city of Springfield. The trouble started on the evening of September 12, 1885. Kinney had gone to Springfield on business, and late that afternoon he went to meet a train at the depot. Following

39 Richard Prather, “Cap’ Kinney, Bald Knobber Chief,” 4, Lynn Morrow Collection. Hereafter, the Lynn Morrow Collection shall be abbreviated LMC.
41 Missouri Secretary of State's Office, Register of Civil Officers, Vol. 5: Reynolds - Wright Counties, 1865-1904, Record Group 5, Reel No. S83, pp. 322, MSA.
that he went out for some supper, and then went to a local bowling alley where he bowled three
games of ten pins with a group of his friends from Taney County who were also in town. This
group included the Bald Knobbers Patterson Fickle, Clayton Stokely, Cal Parrish, and several
others. After they finished bowling, Kinney and a number of his companions started walking
toward a feed store. Before they had gone far Kinney fell into an uncovered pot hole on a dimly
lit thoroughfare known as South Alley (now called McDaniel Street), which ran between
Campbell Street and South Street. It seems that for some time prior to the accident, city
employees had kept the hole covered by putting a wood box over the opening, but that night
someone had removed the box. As a result of his fall, Kinney suffered injuries to his left foot,
leg, and hip, as well as his stomach and left testicle.42

After seeing a physician who gave him some bandages and liniments, Kinney spent the
evening convalescing at a local hotel called the American House. His friend Clayton Stokely
stayed with him, and the following day Stokely took him back home. The next month Kinney
filed a lawsuit against the city of Springfield for $5,000 in damages for failing to cover properly
the dangerous pot hole. He claimed that the accident had left him permanently disabled, making
it difficult for him to perform his ordinary labor as a farmer and stock raiser. The case first
appeared on the circuit court docket in May, 1886, but the court continued the case all the way to
November, 1887, when it finally came to trial. The attorneys for the city accused Kinney of
contributory negligence, claiming that he was drunk at the time of the accident, a charge that he
strongly denied. They also requested a court-appointed physician to examine Kinney in order to
determine the extent of his injuries, which the court refused to do because they made the request
too late. On November 17, 1887, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Nat Kinney, and awarded

42 Nathaniel Kinney vs. the City of Springfield, 1887, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 5902,
Springfield, MO. Hereafter, the Greene County Archives shall be abbreviated GCA. See also, Kristin Kalen and
him $1,500 for his injuries. The city naturally chaffed at this decision, and appealed it all the way to the St. Louis Court of Appeals, which affirmed the lower court’s decision on March 19, 1889. Unfortunately, Kinney never received the money, having died almost seven months before the final decision occurred.\(^{43}\)

The case merits discussion, not only because of the plaintiff, but also because of the people who testified in it. Not surprisingly, several men associated with the Anti-Bald Knobber faction, including the former Democratic officeholders Dr. K.L. Burdette, Leroy Thomas, Thomas A. Layton, and John Moseley, took advantage of the opportunity to get back at their nemesis by testifying against him. For example, Burdette testified that he had seen Nat Kinney at a Democratic barbeque in October, 1884, during his first campaign for state representative, and that at that event he was “so lame that he could hardly walk,” and had to use his cane in order to mount the podium to speak. Burdette also said that he believed Kinney suffered from rheumatism. He had seen Kinney several times since the barbeque. Sometimes Kinney used a cane and sometimes he did not, but in any event Burdette believed that he had gone lame before September, 1885. Leroy Thomas attended the same barbeque and his observations generally confirmed Burdette’s account. By contrast, Layton and Moseley testified that after Kinney’s alleged injuries they had seen him engaging in wrestling matches and other “tests and trials of strength and activity,” and that during these competitions he easily excelled his opponents. Moreover, since the accident they had seen him doing his “ordinary and usual amount of work” without any noticeable difficulty.\(^{44}\)

\(^{43}\) Taney County Times, 24 November 1887; Springfield Leader, 22 August 1888; Nathaniel Kinney vs. the City of Springfield, 1887, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 5902.

\(^{44}\) Nathaniel Kinney vs. City of Springfield, 1887, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 5902; Kalen and Morrow, “A Bald Knobber Sues Springfield,” WRVHQ 32, no. 3 (Spring, 1993): 13-14.
At least one former Bald Knobber also testified against Captain Kinney. After the first trial, City Attorney W.H. Johnson formally requested a new trial, supported with new affidavits from a number of witnesses including James R. Vanzandt. Vanzandt, a minister, Mexican and Civil War veteran, and former state representative, testified that after his accident Kinney performed his usual work around his home and farm just as before. Prior to the second trial, a member of the Hensley family also agreed to testify for the state against the captain. Unfortunately, the document that mentions the name, a motion for a continuance filed by the city’s attorneys in December, 1886, does not make it clear which Hensley wanted to testify. It may have been one of the Bald Knobbers, either Augustus C. (“Gus”) Hensley or his brother William P. Hensley, or perhaps someone else from the same family. Since both Vanzandt and the Hensley family were well known supporters of the Bald Knobber cause, the fact that one of them would testify against Kinney may indicate discontent with their controversial captain’s leadership.45

Kinney’s penchant for plunging into controversies ultimately got him involved in the situation that led to his death: a tawdry divorce scandal involving James S.B. Berry, a prosperous Forsyth merchant and Anti-Bald Knobber, his wife Ann E. Berry, and Kinney’s friend and fellow Bald Knobber George Taylor. In early 1888, Mrs. Berry filed a petition for a divorce from her husband, accusing him of serial infidelity and physical abuse going back many years. She also requested that the court seize part of his assets, which she valued at around $5,000, in order to provide for her financial support after the marriage ended.46 Mrs. Berry hired George Taylor as her lawyer to represent her in the case, and it appears that some sort of relationship developed between them, because he soon moved into a hotel that she managed in Forsyth. Despite his own

45 Nathaniel Kinney vs. City of Springfield, 1887, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 5902.
46 Ann E. Berry vs. J.S.B. Berry, 1888, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel # C 37195, Box 10, Folder 70.
numerous marital indiscretions, Berry accused his wife and Taylor of having an adulterous affair. At one point he even brandished a gun at the lawyer and threatened to shoot him. The incident resulted in formal charges being filed against Berry for threatening Taylor, and against Taylor for illegal cohabitation with Mrs. Berry. The feud between the two men came to a head again on August 3, when Berry and Taylor ended up trading pistol shots with each other near the Hilsabeck Hotel close to the town square in Forsyth.47

The feud between Berry and Taylor originally had nothing to do with the old Bald Knobber troubles. Nevertheless, because Berry had been an Anti-Bald Knobber, and Taylor a Bald Knobber, their supporters tended to fall out along familiar factional lines. Billy Miles, for example, had been “a leading witness against Mrs. Berry and Taylor in the adultery case,” and both Billy and James Miles for a time acted as Berry’s body guards lest one of Taylor’s friends try to kill him.48 For his part, Kinney warmly supported his friend Taylor, and testified on his behalf in the adultery case.49 When Circuit Judge W.D. Hubbard put the Berry Brothers Store into receivership, pending the outcome of the divorce settlement, Kinney volunteered to serve as the firm’s receiver. By assuming this responsibility he agreed to take charge of the store and make an inventory of its goods. He did so despite having heard reports that Berry and his business partner, a man named W.D. Webster, had publically threatened to kill whomever the court appointed as receiver. Just before Kinney took responsibility for the Berry’s store, his friend Charles Groom reportedly advised him not to do so. Groom argued that it might

47 Hartman and Ingenthon, 210-12; Vandeventer, 81; Taney County News, 5 August 1888.
48 Vandeventer, 81; Taney County Times, 30 August 1888.
49 Springfield Daily Republican, 24 August 1888.
needlessly expose him to retaliation from the Berry-Miles camp. Kinney unwisely decided to ignore his advice.  

On Monday morning, August 20, Kinney was in the store performing his duties as receiver of the company. At about ten o’clock that morning, Billy and James Miles walked into the store, ostensibly to get a drink from the water barrel there. The only other person in the building at the time was a bystander named William Beaman. Exactly what happened next is subject to interpretation, and many versions of that morning’s events appeared in the press over the next few days, but a few facts seem certain. At some point an argument developed between the two men, and Kinney ordered Miles to leave the premises, an order Miles refused. According to Miles, Kinney made a motion to go for his gun, and both men drew their pistols. Miles got off the first shot, which struck Kinney’s forearm and ricocheted through the muscle of the arm and into his body. Miles quickly fired off three more rounds in rapid succession. The bullets hit Kinney in the chest, stomach, and back, killing him instantly. He never had the opportunity to return fire. Also according to Miles, right before the shots were fired Kinney shouted at him “Billy Miles, you damned son of a bitch, I am going to kill you.” James Miles and William Beaman corroborated his version of the events.

Shortly before all of this took place, James Berry went to the office of James DeLong’s newspaper, the Taney County News, with a rifle in his hands. The office was located about sixty feet from Berry’s store on the same side of the square. Berry entered the building and took a seat behind the editor, who was talking with a customer about a newspaper subscription. When the
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50 Vandeventer, 81-82; Springfield Daily Republican, 22 August 1888; New York Times, 23 August 1888; Taney County Times, 30 August 1888.
51 Taney County Times, 22 August 1888, and 30 August 1888; Springfield Daily Herald, 22 August 1888; Springfield Leader, 22 August 1888; New York Times, 23 August 1888. Some accounts say Miles fired five or six shots, but the indictment makes it clear that he fired four shots, each producing “mortal wounds” on his body. See, State of Missouri vs. William Miles, Jr., 1889, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 2662.
shots rang out from the Berry Brothers Store, the men in the office jumped to their feet. According to some newspaper reports, Berry then ordered the editor to remain in his office, and that command prevented him from coming to his step-father’s aid. But James DeLong’s own deposition, taken as evidence for Berry’s murder trial, does not bear out this version of events. According to his testimony, when the shooting occurred, Berry simply followed the others onto the porch in front of his office. He kept his firearm at the ready, but did not try to stop anyone from leaving. Thus, it remains unclear exactly what Berry was doing on the day of the killing. Did he really go to the office on that day just by coincidence, or did he go there to keep watch on DeLong and make sure that he would not try to avenge Kinney’s death? In any event, the Taney County grand jury must have interpreted his actions suspiciously, for they later indicted him as an accessory to murder. 

After Miles shot Kinney he reloaded his pistol and went outside. After tossing the spent shell casings aside, he announced to the crowd gathering outside the store that he had killed Nat Kinney in self-defense. He kept his pistol until Sheriff McHaffie arrived, at which point he handed it to one of the bystanders and surrendered himself to the law. When he left the scene several people went into the store to view the body. Accounts of the disposition of the crime scene differed widely among those who saw it. Some witnesses reported seeing Kinney’s pistol lying on the floor next to him; while others said that the big man still had it clenched tightly in his hand. One of Kinney’s friends, who viewed the crime scene, later claimed that the pistol found near his body belonged not to him, but to his son. This witness stated that shortly before the shooting both this weapon and Kinney’s had been seen lying in the front of the store behind a

52 Springfield Daily Republican, 24 August 1888; Deposition of James A. Delong in State of Missouri vs. James S.B. Berry, 1889, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 2648.
53 State of Missouri vs. James S.B. Berry, 1889, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 22.
prescription case some distance from the body. The implication of this version of the story is that Miles shot Kinney in cold blood, and later placed the pistol near his body to make it seem like self-defense. In any event, a coroner’s jury soon assembled, which ruled the cause of death to be gunshot wounds produced by bullets from Billy Miles’ pistol.  

The sudden death of the Bald Knobber chieftain produced electrifying headlines, followed sometimes by inaccurate stories, in newspapers across the state and country. Rumors circulated in some papers that Kinney’s friends had already lynched Miles in retaliation for the killing.  

The day after the shooting, the St. Louis Post-Dispatch ran a story, based on a hastily written telegraph report from a correspondent in Springfield, stating that the murder took place in Ozark, Missouri and that both Miles and Kinney had gone there to attend a Republican political rally (both men were actually Democrats). The same report also erroneously referred to Nat Kinney as the leader of the vigilantes in Christian County. Meanwhile, the Springfield Republican ran an article luridly titled “Blood Will Flow,” which suggested that the Miles-Kinney shooting presaged “the beginning of another reign of terror and violence in the county.” A week later the Springfield Leader published an editorial by a Taney County resident strongly refuting this story, asserting that the county was still at peace and most people wanted the law to take its course.

These comforting assurances notwithstanding, the situation in Taney County did become extremely tense in the days following Kinney’s death. Shortly after surrendering to the authorities, Miles posted a $5,000 bond for his appearance in court and was released on his own

54 Taney County Times, 22 August 1888, and 30 August 1888; Springfield Leader, 22 August 1888; Springfield Daily Republican, 24 August 1888.
55 Taney County Times, 30 August 1888.
56 St. Louis Post-Dispatch, 21 August 1888. A version of this story was later republished in the New Orleans Times-Picayune, 22 August 1888.
57 Springfield Daily Republican, 23 August 1888.
58 Springfield Leader, 1 September 1888.
recognizance. Posting the bond allowed him to enjoy his freedom for the time being, but it also raised the possibility of fresh bloodshed between the Miles family and any of Kinney’s friends who might want revenge. A correspondent for a Springfield newspaper who visited the county a few days after the killing compared many of the residents he encountered to “walking arsenals” who travelled about heavily armed to ensure their safety. Billy Miles, the journalist reported, went about his business with a small group of seven or eight of his friends, heavily armed, acting as his body guards.\(^{59}\) With this tense state of affairs, many people probably awaited the next session of the circuit court with anxious anticipation, hoping that the two sides would keep the peace long enough for the law to deal with the situation.

The court’s next scheduled term began in October, so Billy Miles and James Berry did not have to wait long to learn their fate. On Tuesday, October 9, the grand jury handed down an indictment against Miles for murder in the first degree for the shooting of Nat Kinney. At the same time the grand jury also indicted Berry as an accessory to murder before the fact. The indictment specifically alleged that Berry had either persuaded or hired Miles to murder Kinney for him. At the request of both the state and the defense, the court then continued the cases until its next scheduled term. The sheriff then locked the prisoners in the county jail in Forsyth for safe keeping, but concerns about the safety of the jail led to their removal two days later to the new Christian County jail in Ozark. There they would remain until the circuit court reconvened in April, 1889.\(^{60}\)

Between the indictments of Berry and Miles for murder and the beginning of the new court term, Taney County held another election. On November 6, 1888, voters cast their ballots
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in state and federal elections, and also elected a new slate of county officials. The Republican Party again dominated at the polls, sending Republican and former Bald Knobber Alonzo Prather to Jefferson City as state representative, and delivering substantial majorities to the GOP candidates in the state senate and congressional races. Once more, a number of known Bald Knobbers also won races for various local offices. Galba Branson won the election for county sheriff, Madison Day won his bid for re-election as county coroner, and James DeLong prevailed in the race for county prosecutor. DeLong’s victory put him in the awkward position of potentially having to prosecute the men allegedly responsible for his stepfather’s death.

The election results reaffirmed the strong influence that the old Bald Knobber faction still had over county affairs, a fact which the accused murderers Miles and Berry surely understood. If they wanted any chance of acquittal, it would probably have to come from a trial held somewhere other than Taney County. Thus, at the beginning of the circuit court’s term in April, 1889, both defendants submitted requests for a change of venue to a neutral location, arguing that the minds of the local residents had become so prejudiced against them that they could not receive a fair trial in the county. Judge Hubbard must have found these arguments persuasive, for he granted the request and ordered the prisoners removed to the Greene County jail in Springfield to await trial there.

Once in Springfield, Billy Miles posted a bond for $8,000 to secure his release from jail. James Berry, however, could not find sufficient funds or co-signers to make bail, and so he
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61 1888 Election Returns for Senatorial Districts and U.S. Congressional Districts, Record Group 5, Box 16, Folders 1 and 2, MSA.
62 Missouri Secretary of State’s Office, Register of Civil Officers, Vol. 5: Reynolds - Wright Counties, 1865-1904, Record Group 5, Reel No. S83, pp. 322, MSA.
63 State of Missouri vs. William Miles, Jr., 1889, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 2662; State of Missouri vs. James S.B. Berry, 1889, MSA, Taney County Circuit Court Files, Reel No. C 37192, Box 1, Folder 22; Springfield Daily Republican, 6 April 1889.
remained behind bars. Miles had little property of his own to cover the bond, and would have remained in jail as well had not several of his friends and family members co-signed his bond. Interestingly enough, the list of Miles’ co-signers featured several known Anti-Bald Knobbers, including his father, William H. Miles, William E. Moore, John Moseley, and Robert Snapp. This provided more fodder for those of Kinney’s friends inclined to believe that his death had been the result of an Anti-Bald Knobber conspiracy.

After getting out on bail, Billy Miles returned to his family’s farm in Taney County. For the next few months he managed to live quietly, avoiding conflict with any of his Bald Knobber neighbors. Around the same time, a man named Ed Funk arrived in Taney County. Funk’s background and career prior to coming to the county are something of a mystery. Some newspaper accounts erroneously referred to Funk as a “deputy” (so far as I can tell, Branson never deputized him), but most described him as a private “detective” from Eureka Springs, Arkansas. Although the term detective has since lost many of its negative connotations, at that time it often implied that a man was a hired gun. Funk came to Taney County sometime in the spring of 1889, in the company of another private detective named James Dennis. The men came to the county to apprehend some dealers in “queer” money (i.e., counterfeit money), but they had no luck in making any arrests. In late June, they managed to convince the proprietor of a store located near the mouth of Bear Creek to help them catch two local thieves by allowing them to
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64 Springfield Daily Republican, 9 April 1889.
65 State of Missouri vs. William Miles, Jr., 1889, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 2662.
66 Springfield Leader, 9 July 1889; Taney County Times, 11 July 1889; Springfield Express, 12 July 1889.
67 In the late nineteenth century, individual detectives and detective agencies, such as the famed Pinkerton National Detective Agency, often hired themselves out to railroads, mining companies, and other industrial concerns to act as a kind of corporate police force. For example, private detectives played a key role in the infiltration and prosecution of the secretive Irish coal miner association in eastern Pennsylvania known as the Molly Maguires, and in suppressing labor unrest during the railroad strikes of 1877. For a discussion of the reputation of detectives and detective agencies in the late nineteenth century, and their role as hired guns for large corporations, see, William R. Hunt, Front Page Detective: William J. Burns and the Detective Profession, 1880-1930 (Bowling Green, OH: Bowling Green State University Popular Press, 1990), 95-110. See also, Frank Morn, The Eye that Never Sleeps: A History of the Pinkerton National Detective Agency (Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 1982), 68-109.
stage a robbery of his establishment. The sting operation did result in the apprehension of two thieves, a teenager named Albert Combs and another young man named Stansell, but it also cost Dennis his life when Combs shot and killed him while attempting to escape.\(^{68}\)

Although destined to play a small but significant role in the Bald Knobber story in Taney County, Ed Funk had no apparent connection with either the Bald Knobber or Anti-Bald Knobber factions. Thus, his motivation for getting involved remains murky. In their book on the Bald Knobbers, Mary Hartman and Elmo Ingenthron write that the Bald Knobbers “allegedly sent fifteen hundred dollars to Ed Funk at Eureka Springs,” in return for which he promised to kill Billy Miles. The money supposedly came from the cash settlement that Nat Kinney’s widow had just received from the city of Springfield. The authors offer no evidence for this theory, however, and at this point it remains a supposition.\(^{69}\)

On July 4, 1889, Ed Funk attended an Independence Day picnic at a campground near Kirbyville. Sheriff Galba Branson, and three of the Miles brothers, William, James, and Emanuel, also attended the festivities. The Miles brothers came to the party armed with pistols concealed on their persons, presumably to protect themselves from any Bald Knobbers who might be out for revenge. Around four or five o’clock in the afternoon the Miles brothers walked to a nearby spring to get a drink of water. Branson and Funk followed them, ostensibly to arrest them for carrying concealed weapons. Funk arrived at the spring shortly before the sheriff, and walked up to Billy Miles and drew his pistol. Leveling his weapon at the young man, Funk demanded to know if he carried a pistol. Billy replied that he did, and Funk ordered him to

\(^{68}\) *Springfield Leader*, 2 July 1889; *Springfield Express*, 12 July 1889.

\(^{69}\) Hartman and Ingenthron, 246.
surrender. According to an interview Miles later gave to the *Springfield Leader* while in jail, Funk also cursed him and told him he would “shoot my brains out if I moved a muscle.”

At this point, Miles could not draw his weapon because Funk had the drop on him, but Funk had unwisely ignored Miles’ brothers standing off some distance from him. When he saw Funk threaten his brother, James Miles drew his weapon and fired two shots into the detective’s body, one bullet piercing his heart. Around this time Sheriff Branson came running up to that place, and seeing his companion fall he drew his revolver and began firing at James Miles. He fired between three and six shots, one of which struck James in the groin, passing through his scrotum and into his thigh. Billy Miles quickly returned fire. He shot the sheriff once in his leg, and once through his head, killing him instantly.

As soon as the shooting stopped, Billy, James, and Emanuel Miles fled on foot eastward, away from the scene of the killing. They feared what might happen to them if they fell into the hands of any of Sheriff Branson’s Bald Knobber friends. Billy and Emanuel helped carry their badly wounded brother until they reached the home of one of their friends, who offered James shelter and sent for Dr. K.L Burdette to come and treat his wounds. Perhaps realizing that he could not run forever, James Miles sent word to Madison Day, who became the interim sheriff following the death of Galba Branson, and Constable L.T. Richardson of Forsyth, that he wanted to surrender. The two lawmen took him into custody on Saturday, July 6, just two days after the shooting. Meanwhile, Billy and Emanuel left their brother at the house and hid in the woods nearby. Emanuel Miles eventually went home, having less to fear than his brothers because he had taken no part in the shootings. Billy Miles fled north into Greene County, where he stopped at the home of James Barker a short distance from Springfield. From there he sent word to
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70 *Springfield Leader*, 9 July 1889; *Taney County Times*, 11 July 1889; *Springfield Express*, 12 July 1890. 71 Ibid.
Sheriff J.C. Dodson of Greene County that he wanted to surrender, which he did on Tuesday morning, July 9.\[^{72}\]

Apparently, Miles wanted to surrender to Sheriff Dodson rather than to the authorities in Taney County, because he did not wish to fall into the hands of Bald Knobbers in that county. In an interview with the Springfield Republican, Miles claimed that he fled to Springfield because he knew that “if I threw down my pistols and gave myself up in Taney County I would be killed.”\[^{73}\] He may have had good reason to feel that way. In an interview with the Springfield Express, Deputy Sheriff W.H. Manning of Taney County acknowledged that “having heard that Bill Miles was making for [Springfield] to surrender,” he led a small posse in pursuit, hoping to catch the fugitive before he had a chance to surrender. He said he and his men arrived in Springfield “too late by ten minutes” to intercept Miles before he was taken into custody. Manning did not say what his posse would have done had they caught Miles, but he did say that the people of his county did not want the Miles brothers released on bail because they “don’t want them loose to kill more good men under bond.”\[^{74}\]

In addition to the arrests of Billy and James Miles, authorities in Taney County also arrested two other men, Rufus Barker and J.W. Combs. These men were in the vicinity of the spring when the shootings occurred, and some people initially suspected them of having taken part in the killings. The vigilante element was especially suspicious of Barker because his Anti-Bald Knobber sympathies. Some even suspected him of taking part in a conspiracy with the

\[^{72}\] Ibid. The newspaper accounts cited here give the name of the man who sheltered James Miles as “Cal Adkinson,” “T.C. Adkinson,” or “Calvin Atkins.” It remains unclear which of these names is correct.
\[^{73}\] Springfield Weekly Republican, 18 July 1889.
\[^{74}\] Springfield Express, 12 July 1889.
Miles brothers to murder the sheriff and Funk. The preliminary examinations, however, uncovered no evidence to connect them to the murders, and they were released.\footnote{Springfield Daily Republican, 25 July 1889, and 26 July 1889.}

Before the Miles brothers could face charges for killing Branson and Funk, Billy Miles and James Berry still had to stand trial for killing Nat Kinney. Unfortunately for Prosecutor DeLong, who tried the cases, Judge Hubbard had already decided to change the venue of these trials to Springfield in Greene County. By 1889, both Kinney and the Bald Knobbers had become quite unpopular in Springfield. Their lack of popularity stemmed in part from the publicity surrounding the recent Edens-Greene killings in Christian County, and partly from Nat Kinney’s recent lawsuit, which had forced the city’s taxpayers to cough up the $1,500 awarded to his widow and family.\footnote{Springfield Leader, 22 August 1888.} The Springfield Daily Republican probably expressed the views of many residents when it termed Kinney’s death a “good riddance,” and commented that with his death Southwest Missouri had been “cleared of the root of the evil which has been its curse.”\footnote{Springfield Daily Republican, 22 August 1888.} Given the strength of public sentiment, a jury composed of Springfield men might have been expected to sympathize with the parties allegedly responsible for his death.

The first of the two men to stand trial was James Berry, whose case came before the circuit court in August, 1889. The main problem for the prosecution stemmed from the lack of strong evidence supporting their fundamental contention: that Berry had either persuaded or hired Billy Miles to kill Nathaniel Kinney. To be sure, some circumstantial evidence pointed in that direction. Prosecutor Delong testified about how Berry came into his office carrying a rifle just before the time of Kinney’s death, and remained near him until after the shooting occurred. The county’s probate judge, W.B. Burks, recalled having a conversation with Berry shortly before the shooting in which Berry told him, “‘I’ll die before he shall stay in that store,’ or words
to that effect.” But the prosecution produced no hard evidence proving that Berry had conspired to have Kinney killed, and the jury found him not guilty on August 30, 1889.\footnote{See, depositions of James A. DeLong and W.B. Burks in \textit{State of Missouri vs. James S.B. Berry}, 1889, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 2648.}

Prosecutor DeLong had better luck trying James Berry for his assault on George Taylor the previous summer. Berry had tried to shoot Taylor out of jealousy over the latter’s alleged affair with his wife. Because public prejudice against Berry in Taney County had not abated, the circuit court granted his request for another change of venue to Greene County. This time, however, Berry did not find the jurors in Springfield sympathetic to his case. On February 28, 1890, the jury found him guilty of felonious assault and sentenced him to five years in the state penitentiary.\footnote{\textit{Springfield Daily Republican}, 28 February 1890, and 29 February 1890.} Ultimately, even this small victory for the prosecution proved fleeting. On June 5, Berry and several other prisoners in the Greene County jail in Springfield overpowered one of the guards and escaped.\footnote{\textit{Springfield Express}, 6 June 1890.}

The trial of Billy Miles for the murder of Nat Kinney began on March 18, 1890. During the course of the trial the court recognized fifty-nine witnesses for the defense, along with forty-six for the state. Ultimately, however, it came down to whether the jurors would believe Billy Miles, who claimed he shot Kinney in self defense, or the prosecution, which insisted that he had killed Kinney in cold blood. On March 21, both sides concluded taking testimony from their witnesses. Judge Mordecai Oliver, who had recently replaced W.D. Hubbard as the trial judge for this case, set the following day for final arguments. Judge Oliver allotted four hours for each side to make its case. James H. Vaughan, H.E. Havens, and Benjamin B. Price each gave closing arguments for the state, while Almus Harrington, George Pepperdine, and O.H. Travers argued for the defense. James DeLong, who had led the prosecuting team, opted not to give a closing
statement. The jurors listened to the lawyers for about eight hours. Shortly before ten o’clock that evening, they retired to consider the evidence. It took them only half an hour, however, to return a verdict of “not guilty,” to the evident relief of the defendant. Miles received a hearty round of congratulations from his friends who had come to watch the trial. Nevertheless, he was soon returned to the jail to await trial for his part in the killing of Galba Branson and Ed Funk.81

Some former Bald Knobbers reacted sourly to the news of the acquittal. Alexander Kissee, editor of the Taney County Times, decried the verdict, which he attributed to the “considerable prejudice at Springfield and over Greene County against Captain Kinney (as well as against people that were Bald Knobbers of this county).”82 Kissee may have had a point. As noted above, over the previous few years the people of Springfield had developed a decidedly poor opinion of Nat Kinney in particular and the Bald Knobbers in general. Thus, the Springfield residents on the jury may have felt predisposed to believe Miles’ version of events over any other. Moreover, many of the instructions that Judge Oliver gave to the jury in Billy Miles’ murder trial seemed weighted in the defendant’s favor. The first instruction, for example, enjoined the jurors to remember that “self defense is a lawful right” enjoyed by every person, and further stated that if Billy Miles had reasonable grounds to believe that Kinney was about to shoot him, then he “had the right to act upon appearances as they presented themselves to his mind.” Subsequent instructions repeated the same basic idea in different words several times.83

If the verdicts in the Miles and Berry murder cases provided any indication, the prosecution could expect an equally difficult task in winning convictions against either William or James Miles for their role in the Fourth of July gunfight at Kirbyville the previous summer.

81 State of Missouri vs. William Miles, Jr., 1889, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 2662, GCA; Springfield Daily Republican, 19 March 1890, 22 March 1890, and 23 March 1890; Springfield Express, 28 March 1890.
82 Taney County Times, 27 March 1890.
83 State of Missouri vs. William Miles, Jr., 1889, GCA, Greene County Circuit Court, Case # 2662.
Once again the circuit court changed the venue of these trials, this time to Ozark in Christian County, the scene of the recent execution of three Bald Knobbers convicted of murder. On September 4, 1890, almost six months after Billy Miles’ acquittal in the Kinney murder case, he and his brother James stood trial again for the murder of Galba Branson. The judge had decided to hold a second trial for the murder of Ed Funk, if it became necessary. The actual trial lasted only one day. “The state,” reported Alexander Kissee’s Taney County Times, “made a much shorter case than was expected.” The prosecution argued that the Miles brothers had gunned down Funk and Branson in cold blood after the sheriff and his companion had tried to arrest them for carrying concealed weapons. The defense claimed that the Bald Knobbers had conspired “to kill the Miles boys … and that Detective Funk was the hired agent of the murderous plot.”\(^8^4\) Overall, the defense got the better end of the argument. One local newspaper concluded that “the state has made about the weakest case we ever saw in a trial for murder.” The prosecution’s own witnesses “clearly show a case of self-defense, and no doubt by the time our readers get this paper the verdict will be in, and for an acquittal.”\(^8^5\)

At around four o’clock that afternoon the jurors retired to deliberate. They ended up taking far longer to reach a verdict than anyone anticipated. Only after more than fourteen hours of deliberations, which lasted straight through the night and into the next morning, did the jurors finally reach a decision. It turned out that eleven of the twelve jurors initially favored acquittal, while one holdout wanted to convict the defendants of murder in the second degree. Only after hours of debate did the stubborn juror at last acquiesce to the majority’s opinion. Finally, at seven o’clock that morning the jury announced a verdict of “not guilty” on the charge of murder against Billy and James Miles. Prosecutor DeLong immediately dropped the remaining charge

\(^8^4\) Taney County Times, 5 September 1890.
\(^8^5\) Ozark Mail, 5 September 1890, quoted in Hartman and Ingenthron, 268.
against them for killing Ed Funk, seeing that there was no point in pursuing it, and the brothers walked out of the courthouse as free men.\textsuperscript{86}

The deaths of Nat Kinney, Galba Branson, and Ed Funk, along with the subsequent court trials and the acquittal of their killers, marked the end of the Bald Knobber era in Taney County. For the remainder of its history, the county witnessed only one other vigilante incident involving the lynching of John Wesley Bright in 1892. Bright was a volatile man who killed his wife in a fit of jealousy because he suspected her of infidelity. The authorities charged him with murder, and opened a preliminary hearing into the case on March 12, 1892. The hearing did not conclude that day, however, and so Bright was returned to the county jail for the night. That evening a mob of around fifteen or twenty men entered Forsyth and broke into the jail. One of the sheriff’s deputies, George L. Williams, attempted to intervene and was shot dead for his trouble. The mob then took Bright from the jail and hung him from the limb of an oak tree in a nearby cemetery.\textsuperscript{87}

The lynching of Bright and the murder of Deputy Williams caused a brief furor in the press, with some newspapers linking the incident to the old feud between the Bald Knobber and militia factions, and suggesting that it might reignite that conflict.\textsuperscript{88} In retrospect, however, the Bright lynching appears to have been a spontaneous act of mob violence, not an attempt to revive the old Bald Knobber organization. Roughly two months after the raid, Missouri Attorney General John M. Wood visited Forsyth to investigate the Bright lynching. Although he expressed skepticism about the ability of local authorities to handle the situation properly, he also concluded “[i]t is not a Bald Knobber movement, of that I am sure.”\textsuperscript{89} Eventually Sheriff John L.

\textsuperscript{86} Springfield Express, 12 September 1890; St. Louis Globe-Democrat, 6 September 1890.
\textsuperscript{87} Douglas Mahnkey, “Dark Day in Old Forsyth,” The Ozarks Mountaineer 31, no. 1 (February 1983): 42-44.
\textsuperscript{88} Kansas City Star, 16 March 1892, 18 March 1892; Springfield Leader, 14 March 1892.
\textsuperscript{89} Springfield Leader, 6 May 1892. In his article on the Bright lynching, Douglas Mahnkey agreed with Wood’s conclusion that the killing was not “a Bald Knobber lynching.” See, Mahnkey, “Dark Day in Old Forsyth,” 43.
Cook, himself a former Bald Knobber, managed to round up most of the men suspected of participating in the raid on the jail, including some former vigilantes like Madison Day, Rueben Isaacs, and D.F. McConkey. These men went to trial at a special term of the circuit in July, 1892. The prosecution’s case fell apart, however, when their key witness, George Friend, one of the men who had participated in the raid on the jail and then turned state’s evidence, reneged on his plea agreement and refused to testify. After that, the county prosecutor had to dismiss the cases against all of the defendants.90

The Bright lynching and the murder of Deputy Williams did not lead to a renewal of the feud between the Bald Knobber and Anti-Bald Knobber factions as some people had feared. That conflict remained buried with Nat Kinney, Galba Branson, and Ed Funk, though for a long time the memories of that turbulent period simmered in the minds of those who lived through it. Many years later, Anti-Bald Knobber John H. Haworth wrote about these killings with evident satisfaction: “we did get rid of three of the Bald-Nobbers (sic), Branson, Funk and Kinney. All of these cases came to trial … and in each case we came clear.” If they had only had the opportunity, Haworth added, “there would have probably have been ten or fifteen Bald Nobbers (sic) to our credit.”91

The shootings did not, however, put an end to the hegemony that the Bald Knobber-Republican faction enjoyed in local politics. Throughout the 1890’s and well into the next century, former Bald Knobbers regularly won elections for offices in local government. For example, the aforementioned John L. Cook won the election for sheriff in 1890, a position that he had held since winning a special election to replace the deceased Galba Branson the previous year. Benjamin Price held the offices of prosecuting attorney (1892-94), and collector of revenue

---

90 Mahnkey, “Dark Day in Old Forsyth,” 45-46.
91 John H. Haworth, “Taney County Bald Knobbers,” WRVHQ 9, no. 3 (Spring, 1986): 27.
(1896-1902). Other former Bald Knobbers, including James B. Rice, George Taylor, James Johnson, and John Dickenson, also held multiple elected positions over the following two decades.\(^{92}\) Moreover, the Republican Party, to which most Bald Knobbers belonged, continued to dominate local politics for well over a generation. Indeed, the most comprehensive history of the county states that the Democrats did not seriously contend for control of local government again until the election of 1928, on the eve of the New Deal era.\(^{93}\) Thus, for the Anti-Bald Knobbers the Miles-Kinney shooting and the Fourth of July gunfight at Kirbyville amounted to Pyrrhic victories: events that offered them the satisfaction of revenge, but could not change the reality of their defeat.


CONCLUSION

The Bald Knobber movement took shape at a critical juncture in the history of Southwest Missouri, as the region was transformed by forces beyond the control of its inhabitants. By the 1880’s, the vanguard of industrial capitalist expansion – railroads, mining and timber companies, businessmen, and investors – had made steady inroads into the Ozarks hinterland. They came to utilize the land and resources of the region for their benefit. Accompanying these changes was a new wave of settlers to the area, many from states in the North and Midwest, who slowly altered the demographic make-up of the region. The newcomers came from all walks of life. Some were simple farmers and homesteaders looking to take advantage of the large surplus of government land in the area. Others were shrewd businessmen like Cal Parrish, Alexander Kissee, and James K. Polk McHaffie; or lawyers and aspiring politicians like Charles Groom and Alonzo Prather. Still others were restless wanderers like Nathaniel Kinney, and Joe McGill, who had travelled across the United States, looking for a place to call home. The new men quickly set out to remake Ozark society in their own image by promoting immigration and investment in the region, and trying to create a new socioeconomic order centered around new roads, bridges, banks, railroads, responsible local government, and free market prosperity.

The presence of the newcomers, and the many social and economic changes that accompanied them, prompted sharp reactions from the older settlers they encountered upon arrival. The older community composed primarily of poor whites from upper South states like Tennessee, Virginia, and Kentucky, had established a way of life based on close-knit communities, subsistence agriculture, stock-raising, and hunting and fishing. Many felt threatened by their seemingly foreign neighbors and the changes to their way of life that the new socioeconomic order portended.
Often the perception of these differences became filtered through the prism of the Civil War, the salient event that had marked the lives of most of the adult men who lived through the chaotic Bald Knobber period. The Civil War helped create the necessary conditions for groups like the Bald Knobbers to exist by leaving a legacy of bitterness and mistrust between supporters of the Union and the Confederacy. In Taney County, for example, the vigilantes included in their ranks at least twelve Union veterans, including men like Nat Kinney, Augustus C. Hensley, Madison Day, Alexander Kissee, and George Washington (“Wash”) Middleton. Many of these men had spent the war years serving in regiments that did most of their fighting in the Ozarks, and witnessed the sort of vicious guerrilla warfare that typified the conflict in this region. Among their opponents, the Anti-Bald Knobbers, Confederate veterans predominated. Consequently, the two sides looked on each other with mutual suspicion that grew out of their shared experiences as combatants. Thus, when Wash Middleton called Samuel Snapp a “bushwhacker” just before gunning him down on a public street in Kirbyville, he demonstrated that he had transferred his old hostility for his wartime enemies to his new adversaries in the Anti-Bald Knobber faction.\(^1\) Likewise, when an Anti-Bald Knobber spokesman like Sampson Barker appealed to Governor John S. Marmaduke to intervene on their behalf citing their shared experiences in the Confederate military, he showed the emotional resonance that the old cause still elicited among those who had fought for it.\(^2\)

The hostility that the Civil War engendered also helped polarize the political culture of Taney County where the Bald Knobber organization originated. The county’s political history after the Civil War went through three distinct phases. In the first phase, from the end of the

\(^1\) Alonzo Prather to Adjutant General J.C. Jamison, Kirbyville, MO, June 11, 1886, Missouri State Archives, *Adjutant General’s Papers*, Box 88, File 7. Hereafter, Missouri State Archives shall be abbreviated as MSA.

Civil War through the early 1870’s, the Republican Party dominated local politics largely because the state government had disfranchised most Democratic voters because of their previous support for the Confederacy. After intra-party discord within the state’s Republican Party paved the way for the end of disfranchisement in 1872, Democrats in Taney County recaptured control of the county government. A Democratic courthouse ring composed of a small cadre of elected officials managed to maintain power for roughly a decade. Finally, a coalition of Republicans and Bald Knobbers successfully drove the courthouse ring from power in the middle 1880’s by blaming them for running up the county’s debt and allowing the local criminal class to operate unchecked. Nat Kinney, the chieftain of the vigilantes, indicated the intensity of feeling on both sides when he described the battle for control as “a war between civilization and barbarism.”

Finally, the Civil War led to a surge of lawlessness, both in Southwest Missouri and the state at large, and an increased cultural acceptance of violence and vigilantism as a means of solving problems and deterring crime. Historians have described the post-civil war period as a “reign of terror” in which former soldiers and guerrillas left the battlefields and faded into Missouri’s countryside only to re-emerge later as criminals and outlaws. These included some of the most celebrated outlaws of the age, such as the members of the infamous James-Younger gang, whose apprenticeship in the outlaw trade came during their service in the war. The increase in crime swelled Missouri’s prison population from 286 to 2,041 between 1860 and 1880.

---

3 Springfield Weekly Republican, 16 May 1889.
6 U.S. Census Office, A Compendium of the Ninth Census (June 1, 1870) Compiled Pursuant to a Concurrent Resolution of Congress and under the Direction of the Secretary of the Interior (Washington, D.C.: 260
Many Missourians responded to this situation by turning to vigilante justice to deal with crime in their communities. Thus, nearly half of the documented lynchings in the state’s history (112 out of 229) occurred within a twenty-year time span following the Civil War. Likewise, during this period many vigilante groups organized in various parts of the state, such as the Anti-Horse Thief Association in Clarke County in Northeast Missouri, the Marmiton League in Vernon County, and the Honest Men’s League in Greene County. Groups like these set a precedent for vigilantism which the Bald Knobbers would follow in the 1880’s.

Concern over crime led directly to the founding of the first Bald Knobber organization in Taney County. There the precipitating factors were the murders of James Everett and Amos Ring in 1883 and 1884, and the attempted murders of Mr. and Mrs. John T. Dickenson in 1885, three events that galvanized public sentiment in favor of vigilante justice. In 1885, a diverse coalition of middle and upper class men came together to form a vigilance committee in Forsyth. They felt compelled to do so, not only by the prevalence of crime in their neighborhoods, but also by the perception that their elected officials did little or nothing to help prosecute or punish the criminals in their midst. As Bald Knobber Joe McGill later observed, criminals regularly trampled the law and taunted the county’s lawmen, telling them that their “authority reaches only to the bluffs,” and when they were once in the hills and brush, they defied the law – nothing

---


and nobody were safe.”¹⁹ The failure of civil authorities to convict criminals accused of serious crimes only reinforced this perception, as when local roughneck Al Layton, cousin of County Clerk Thomas A. Layton, was acquitted of the murder of James Everett.¹⁰

The Bald Knobbers’ disenchantment with the performance of their local government extended to fiscal and economic matters as well. The vigilantes focused much of their criticism on the appalling condition of county finances. Over roughly a decade prior to the founding of the Bald Knobbers, Taney County accumulated tens of thousands of dollars in debt, reaching the once astounding figure of $42,000 in late 1883.¹¹ Since the Democratic courthouse ring controlled the local government for most of this period, an emerging coalition of Republicans and Bald Knobbers happily blamed the fiscal situation on their political enemies. Nathaniel Kinney charged that when he arrived “the county was $42,000 in debt, and had not even a plank to show for it. The money had simply been stolen.”¹²

Behind the political rhetoric about crime and debt lay a genuine concern among the Taney County Bald Knobbers for the economic progress of their community. Since many of the vigilantes were prosperous businessmen and professionals, they felt they had an economic stake in the future of the county. They demonstrated this commitment by coming to Taney County in the first place, then by investing their money in the local economy, and by participating in organizations like the Taney County Immigration Society, created to promote immigration to the area by advertising its resources and the advantages of settling there.¹³ But if the county remained mired in debt and plagued by crime, how many people would want to move there, or

---
¹⁹ E.J. and L.S. Hoenshel, Stories of the Pioneers: Incidents, Adventures and Reminiscences as Told by Some of the Old Settlers of Taney County, Missouri (Point Lookout, MO: School of the Ozarks Press, 1915), 54.
¹⁰ Springfield Express, 23 October 1884; New York Sun, 23 December 1888
¹¹ Missouri General Assembly, Report of the State Auditor to the Thirty-Third General Assembly of the State of Missouri, for the Two Fiscal Years Beginning January 1, 1883, and Ending December 31, 1884 (Jefferson City: Tribune Printing Company, State Printers, 1885), 197, LMC.
¹² Springfield Weekly Republican, 16 May 1889.
¹³ Taney County News, 16 June 1887.
invest their money there? From the vigilantes’ perspective, therefore, the failure of the local
government to resolve these problems to their satisfaction directly threatened the future they had
hoped to build for themselves and their families. It hardly comes as a surprise, then, that they
sought a solution through extra-legal measures. In a speech to his followers, Nat Kinney put the
issue as follows, “What will become of our sons and daughters? Our lives, our property, and our
liberty are at stake. I appeal to you, as citizens of Taney County, to say what we shall do. Shall
we organize ourselves into a vigilant committee and see that when crimes are committed the
laws are enforced, or shall we sit down and fold our arms and quietly submit?”¹⁴

Within a year of their founding in Taney County, new chapters of the Bald Knobber
organization spread north to adjacent Christian and Douglas counties. The members in these
neighboring areas, however, did not follow the same pattern of vigilante activity that their
counterparts to the south had practiced. The original vigilance committee had focused on
protecting, in Nat Kinney’s words, lives, property, and liberty. The Bald Knobbers in Christian
and Douglas counties, by contrast, were more concerned with enforcing morality than with
protecting life, liberty, and property. In Taney County, the first recorded vigilante acts included
the attempted lynching of Newton Herrell, a murderer, and the successful lynching of the Taylor
brothers, two outlaws and attempted murderers. In Christian County, however, one of the earliest
acts attributed to the Bald Knobbers was the raid on a saloon in Chadwick belonging to John
Rhodes and Russell McCauley. During this raid they wrecked the place and destroyed the
proprietors’ stock of beer and whiskey.¹⁵

The Chadwick raid demonstrated the Bald Knobbers’ commitment to regulating the
morals and private lives of their neighbors. So too did many of the whippings that the vigilantes

¹⁴ New York Sun, 23 December 1888; Vance Randolph, The Bald Knobbers: The Story of the Lawless
Night-Riders Who Ruled Southern Missouri in the 80’s (Girard, KS: Haldeman-Julius Publications, 1944), 8-9.
inflicted on their neighbors. These included the two floggings administered to Greene Walker, a local resident who had become notorious for his polygamous lifestyle, and the two lashings of E.P. Helms, a homesteader who stood accused of such offenses as keeping “lewd women” in his home. These men drew the Bald Knobbers’ ire because they allegedly violated the accepted sexual mores of their community, though the vigilantes meted out similar punishment to people guilty of other offenses as well. For example, they applied the hickory switch to Horace Johnson for failing to support his family, and they flogged others like Clayton Whiteacre for disorderly public behavior including shooting off a firearm in public and throwing rocks at people passing by on a public road.

In addition to enforcing morality, the northern Bald Knobbers also aimed to protect the livelihoods of their members. In the 1880’s, many of the vigilantes depended on making railroad ties to supplement their modest incomes earned from farming. With the completion of a railroad spur line to Chadwick, the dense forests of Christian County and the surrounding area became an important source of railroad ties for the St. Louis-San Francisco Railroad. At twenty cents a tie and eight to ten ties per day, a man could make a significant sum of money for himself and his family hewing ties. Not surprisingly, the tie hackers (as the workers were called) felt keen to protect their earnings. So when a local timber company that purchased ties in the area refused to pay a price for the ties that their workers found equitable, a group of Bald Knobbers visited the company’s office in Chadwick at night to intimidate the owner into raising the prices. Another time they threatened the manager of the company’s commissary in Sparta, warning that if he

16 Kansas City Star, 24 August, 1887.
17 Springfield Daily Herald, 28 September 1887.
culled too many ties belonging to vigilantes “the Bald Knobbers will call on you.”\textsuperscript{20} Although these threats did not result in any direct action against the company, they showed how serious the vigilantes took any threat to their way of life.

For the same reason, many Bald Knobbers resisted the arrival of new homesteaders who competed with them for the land and resources needed to make a living. Between 1887 and 1888, roughly three dozen vigilantes from Christian and Douglas counties faced charges in federal court for intimidating homesteaders and driving them off their land. As discussed in chapter four, their reasons for expelling individual homesteaders are not always clear, but in general it appears that the vigilantes targeted homesteaders who stole other people’s timber, or cut off access to timber that the Bald Knobbers wanted.

Because of their violent persecution of homesteaders and their interference in the private lives of their neighbors, the Bald Knobbers in Christian and Douglas counties became the subject of frequent controversy, as well as the target of local and federal law enforcement. In the end, their intolerance of dissent and criticism proved their undoing. That intolerance led to the murders of Charles Greene and William Edens, the latter having provoked the vigilantes by speaking against them in public.\textsuperscript{21} After the murders, a legal crackdown ensued that led to the arrest and conviction of scores of Bald Knobbers on various charges, including the conviction and death sentence of four Bald Knobber leaders for their role in the Edens-Greene killings. One of the four condemned men escaped from jail and evaded recapture, while the other three were executed in Ozark, Missouri, on May 10, 1889.\textsuperscript{22} Their execution brought the Bald Knob

\textsuperscript{20} Lucille Morris Upton, \textit{Bald Knobbers} (Caldwell, ID: Caxton Printers, 1939), 110-12.
\textsuperscript{22} \textit{Springfield Leader}, 1 January 1889; \textit{Springfield Express}, 10 May 1889; Kansas City \textit{Star}, 10 May 1889.
movement in the two northern counties to a bloody conclusion, as the vigilante organization thereafter ceased to exist.

The vigilance committee in Taney County came to a different end, though one no less bloody than its northern counterpart. There a new ruling faction composed of vigilantes and their Republican political allies had taken firm control of local government in the middle 1880’s. Following Adjutant General James C. Jamison’s visit to the county in April, 1886, the Bald Knobbers officially disbanded their organization.\(^{23}\) Although some of their enemies insisted that vigilante activity continued, it seems that most of the Bald Knobbers kept their promise to remain inactive. That did not, however, prevent them from using their new found political power to persecute the supporters of the old militia faction that had opposed them. From the 1880’s onward, former Anti-Bald Knobbers increasingly found themselves in court to face a variety of criminal charges ranging from the serious to the frivolous. These included tax evasion, embezzlement, and misconduct in office, violating game and fishing laws, and so on.

Such hostile treatment only further embittered the anti-vigilantes, who longed for any opportunity to strike back at their old enemies. One such opportunity came on August 20, 1889, when the Anti-Bald Knobber William (“Billy”) Miles gunned down the Bald Knobber chieftain Nathaniel Kinney in a general store in Forsyth.\(^{24}\) The following year Billy Miles and his brother James shot and killed Sheriff Galba Branson, a Bald Knobber, and a detective named Ed Funk at an Independence Day picnic near Kirbyville.\(^{25}\) These two killings allowed the anti-vigilantes to savor a measure of revenge against their old nemeses, but did nothing to disturb Bald Knobber and Republican hegemony in Taney County politics. Former Bald Knobbers continued to hold

\(^{23}\) Springfield Daily Herald, 14 April 1886, and 17 April 1886; New York Times, 11 December 1886.


\(^{25}\) Springfield Leader, 9 July 1889; Springfield Express, 12 July 1889; Kansas City Star, 9 July 1889.
various public offices well into the twentieth century, which indicated that they were the ultimate victors in the struggle over the county’s future.

In this study I have shown how three small rural counties in Southwest Missouri responded to the great social and economic changes facing their region in the late nineteenth century. The story of the Bald Knobbers illustrates why people living in communities like these often resorted to vigilantism to cope with problems that seemed beyond ordinary legal or political means of redress. In so doing, it makes a useful contribution, not only to the history of Missouri and the Ozarks, but also to the history of American vigilantism in general. In this dissertation, I have argued the necessity of viewing the Bald Knobbers as two separate and essentially different vigilante groups, one based in Taney County and the other in Christian and Douglas counties. These groups shared a name but had very different motives, goals, and membership. When looked at in this way, the Bald Knobbers are especially valuable because they represent the multifaceted nature of vigilantism itself.

Richard Maxwell Brown described the prototypical vigilante group as socially conservative, and committed to preserving respect for the law, property rights, and the three-tiered social hierarchy that he identified as the basic structure of American society in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. This social hierarchy included the upper and middle levels, from which vigilante groups drew most of their leaders and ordinary members, and a lower level composed of marginal or alienated people who often became the targets of vigilante action.\(^{26}\) The classic example that Brown used to describe this type of organization was the South Carolina Regulators of 1767-69, one of the first vigilance committees in American history, and

the one which he argued set the basic pattern that most subsequent vigilantes would follow.\textsuperscript{27} Other vigilante groups that followed this pattern include the two San Francisco Committees of Vigilance formed in 1851 and 1856, and the vigilantes of southwestern Montana’s Ruby River district in the 1860’s. Each of these organizations formed in the wake of a mass migration prompted by a major mineral discovery: the California gold rush of 1849 in the case of San Francisco, and the Alder Gulch gold rush of 1863 in the case of Montana. Both groups drew members from the respectable elements of society, and portrayed themselves as alliances designed to protect honest men from the thieves and outlaws in their midst.\textsuperscript{28}

For the most part, the Taney County Bald Knobbers conformed to Brown’s basic model of vigilantism. Most of their leadership and rank-and-file members came from upper or middle class backgrounds. They included in their ranks many lawyers, merchants, businessmen, and several political officeholders. Many of their victims, like the outlaws Frank and Tubal Taylor or local ruffians like Andrew Coggburn, were the very sort of socially marginal people that Brown expected vigilantes to target. Although none of the Bald Knobbers would likely have articulated their goals in terms of preserving a social hierarchy or class structure, they clearly did have their own economic interests in mind. These included establishing an honest and thrifty local government and making the county safe for immigration, new businesses, and investment.

The Christian County Bald Knobbers, however, diverged sharply from the pattern of vigilantism followed by their Taney County counterparts. Their members were overwhelmingly poor farmers of modest social standing and little connection to political power. Far from

\textsuperscript{27}Ibid., 98-102; See also, Richard Maxwell Brown, \textit{The South Carolina Regulators} (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1963), 135-42.

promoting new investment and immigration into Southwest Missouri, many of their activities
could be seen as retarding the socioeconomic progress of that area. Thus, in discussing the Bald
Knobbers’ anti-homesteader activities with a correspondent, U.S. Commissioner McLain Jones
wrote that the vigilantes did “a great deal of harm” to the region by “keeping back emigration,
etc.” For this reason, he recommended aggressive prosecutions of those who violated
homesteader rights.²⁹

The emphasis that the Christian County and Douglas County Bald Knobbers placed on
moral regulation also set them apart from the original vigilance committee in Taney County. In
this respect, the closest parallel to the Bald Knob movement in these two counties was the
widespread “white cap” movement that began in Indiana and spread across the country in the
1880’s and 1890’s. The phenomenon of white capping, so named because many of its
practitioners wore white hoods reminiscent of the Ku Klux Klan, varied greatly from place to
place. In its most prevalent form, however, it was “a movement of violent moral regulation by
local masked bands,” aimed at punishing people who transgressed the moral standards of their
community.³⁰ Some notable examples of this kind of white capping include the first white cap
organization founded in southern Indiana in the 1880’s, and similar groups created in eastern
Tennessee and Oklahoma’s Indian territory around the same time.³¹

The distinctions made here between the two forms of Bald Knobberism that existed in
Taney County and Christian and Douglas counties are indicative of the multifaceted nature of
vigilantism itself. In some places, local elites used vigilante methods to advance their own

---

²⁹ Springfield Daily Herald, 16 September 1887.
³⁰ Brown, 150.
³¹ Madeleine M. Noble, “The White Caps of Harrison and Crawford County, IN: A Study in the Violent
Enforcement of Morality” (Ph.D. Dissertation: University of Michigan, 1973); E.W. Crozier, The White-Caps: A
History of the Organization in Sevier County (Knoxville, TN: Bean, Warters & Gaut, Printers and Binders, 1899);
Robert E. Cunningham, Trial by Mob (Stillwater, OK: Redland Press, 1957).
interests, or to suppress other people who opposed those interests. In other places, non-elite actors used vigilantism to empower themselves to rectify wrongs they perceived in their society. In some cases, vigilantes used their power primarily to protect private property, prevent violent crime, and purge their communities of criminal elements. In other cases, they focused on enforcing morality and social mores in the face of social and economic trends that threatened their values. In each case, vigilantism constituted an improvised solution to meet the needs of a particular situation. In Southwest Missouri in the 1880’s, that solution was the Bald Knobber movement, which became an enduring symbol of the Ozark region from whence it sprang.
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APPENDIX A: NAMES OF BALD KNOBBERS BY COUNTY

Taney County:

1. Barger, Sam
2. Boothe, J. Columbus (“Lum”)
3. Branson, Galba E.
4. Branson, Reuben S.
5. Brazeal, George W.
6. Brooks, John W.
7. Brown, John J.
8. Claflin, Elverton C.
9. Compton, Thomas F.
10. Connor, William G.
11. Cook, John Lafayette
12. Day, Madison
13. Delong, James A.
14. Dickerson, John T.
15. Everett, Emmett R.
16. Everett, Barton Yell
17. Fickle, Patterson F.
18. Groom, Charles H.
19. Haggard, John
20. Hensley, August C. (“Gus”)
21. Hensley, William P.
22. Johnson, James C.
23. Isaacs, Reuben
24. Kinney, Nathaniel N. (“Nat”)
25. Kissee, Alexander C.
26. Kissee, Arter
27. McGill, Joe
28. McHaffie, James K. Polk
29. McConkey, D.F.
30. Middleton, George Washington (“Wash”)
31. Nagle, Louis
32. Parnell, James
33. Parrish, Joseph Calvin (“Cal”)
34. Phillips, Thomas W.
35. Pollard, W.H.
36. Prather, Alonzo S.
37. Price, Benjamin B.
38. Price, T.W.
39. Rice, James B.
40. Stokely, Clayton
41. Vanzandt, “Jack”
42. Vanzandt, James R.

Christian County:

1. Abbott, Ed (or “Et”)
2. Abbott, William
3. Adams, Andrew
4. Anderson, Hiram
5. Applegate, Gilbert
6. Applegate, William
7. Applegate, Henry
8. Bond, John William
9. Carr, James W.
10. Caudle, Newton
11. Caudle, William
12. Connor, N.B.
13. Dalton, Thomas A. (“Tandy”)
14. Daugherty, Thomas Benton
15. Davis, Lewis
16. Davis, Peter
17. Elkins, Euclid
18. Forgey, Epsom
19. Fowler, Marion
20. Gann, James M. (“Bud”)
21. Gardner, James M.
22. Garrison, Isaac
23. Gomann, Ike
24. Graves, Charles
25. Hale, Reuben L.
26. Harland, John
27. Hedgepeth, Cal
28. Hiles, Andrew Jackson (“Jack”)
29. Hiles, John
30. Hobbs, Dowe
31. Humble, Martin T.
32. Humble, Michael M.
33. Hyde, Joseph
34. Inman, Joe
35. James, John
36. Johns, William
37. Johnson, James
38. Jones, Amos
39. Jones, Thomas K.
40. Kennedy, Ed
41. Kissee, Sylvanus
42. Linscott, Ed
43. Smith, William
44. Mapes, John
45. Mapes, William
46. Mathews, John
47. Mathews, James
48. Mathews, Thomas
49. Mathews, Wiley
50. McGinnis, Robert
51. McGuire, James R.
52. Meyers, Newton
53. Meadows, John
54. Morrisett, James
55. Nash, John
56. Nash, James M. (“Matt”)
57. Nash, Thomas
58. Newton, William
59. Newton, Joseph
60. Newton, Samuel
61. Nix, Robert
62. Preston, George
63. Preston, James
64. Preston, Sam, Jr.
65. Preston, Sam, Sr.
66. Propst, Jacob
67. Ray, William J. (“Bud”)
68. Roberts, Patterson
70. Robertson, Jesse
71. Shelton, William H.
72. Shipman, John H.
73. Shipman, Rueben
74. Simmons, Pastor C.O.
75. Smith, William
76. St. John, Richard
77. Stanley, William
78. Stone, John E.
79. Stottle, Thomas Jefferson (“Jeff”)
80. Todd, Walter
81. Vandeventer, Dr. Daniel
82. Walker, Dave
83. Walker, Charles Gatson (“Gat”)
84. Walker, William
85. White, James T.
86. Wilson, John
87. Yeary, Fremont

**Douglas County:**

1. Casad, Joel
2. Denney, Calvin
3. Denney, Charles
4. Denney, Robert E.
5. Garrison, Jackson
6. Handcock, Benjamin (“Berry”)
7. Johns, E.G.
8. Lakey, Simon
9. Lewis, William
10. Pruitt, Uriah
11. Sanders, George L.
12. Silvey, George W. (“Wash”)
13. Silvey, Jackson, Jr.
14. Silvey, Jackson, Sr.
15. Silvey, William A.
16. Smith, William
17. Teal, Jonathan R.
18. Walker, Joseph
19. Wright, John
20. Wright, William F.
APPENDIX B: NAMES OF ANTI-BALD KNOBBERS IN TANEY COUNTY

1. Barker, Sampson
2. Barker, Rufus
3. Berry, James S.B.
4. Buck, William
5. Burdette, Dr. K.L.
6. Coggburn, Robert
7. Dennison, Parson Henry
8. Everett, Henry C.
9. Haworth, John H.
10. Haworth, Rev. Jordan M.
11. Johnson, B.F.
12. Jones, William H.
13. Layton, Thomas A.
14. Lunceford, William H.
15. Miles, Jim – MO, 1872
16. Miles, William H.
17. Miles, William M.
18. Moore, William E.
19. Moseley, John
20. Reynolds, John J.
21. Snapp, Matt
22. Snapp, Robert
23. Snapp, Samuel H.
24. Snapp, Lafayette
25. Spellings, T.C.
26. Stanley, Enos
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