

2-1-2009

Staggered sheaves on partial flag varieties

Pramod N. Achar
Louisiana State University

Daniel S. Sage
Louisiana State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/biosci_pubs

Recommended Citation

Achar, P., & Sage, D. (2009). Staggered sheaves on partial flag varieties. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 347 (3-4), 139-142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2008.12.015>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biological Sciences at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact ir@lsu.edu.

STAGGERED SHEAVES ON PARTIAL FLAG VARIETIES

PRAMOD N. ACHAR AND DANIEL S. SAGE

ABSTRACT. *Staggered t -structures* are a class of t -structures on derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves. In this note, we show that the derived category of coherent sheaves on a partial flag variety, equivariant for a Borel subgroup, admits an artinian staggered t -structure. As a consequence, we obtain a basis for its equivariant K -theory consisting of simple staggered sheaves.

Let X be a variety over an algebraically closed field, and let G be an algebraic group acting on X with finitely many orbits. Let $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)$ be the category of G -equivariant coherent sheaves on X , and let $\mathcal{D}^G(X)$ denote its bounded derived category. *Staggered sheaves*, introduced in [1], are the objects in the heart of a certain t -structure on $\mathcal{D}^G(X)$, generalizing the perverse coherent t -structure [2]. The definition of this t -structure depends on the following data: (1) an s -structure on X (see below); (2) a choice of a Serre–Grothendieck dualizing complex $\omega_X \in \mathcal{D}^G(X)$ [4]; and (3) a *perversity*, which is an integer-valued function on the set of G -orbits, subject to certain constraints. When the perversity is “strictly monotone and comonotone,” the category of staggered sheaves is particularly nice: every object has finite length, and every simple object arises by applying an intermediate-extension (“IC”) functor to an irreducible vector bundle on a G -orbit.

An s -structure on X is a collection of full subcategories $(\{\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)_{\leq n}\}, \{\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)_{\geq n}\})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, satisfying various conditions involving Hom- and Ext-groups, tensor products, and short exact sequences. The *staggered codimension* of the closure of an orbit $i_C : C \rightarrow X$, denoted $\text{scod } \overline{C}$, is defined to be $\text{codim } \overline{C} + n$, where n is the unique integer such that $i_C^! \omega_X \in \mathcal{D}^G(C)$ is a shift of an object in $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq n} \cap \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\geq n}$. By [1, Theorem 9.9], a sufficient condition for the existence of a strictly monotone and comonotone perversity is that staggered codimensions of neighboring orbits differ by at least 2. The goal of this note is to establish the existence of a well-behaved staggered category on partial flag varieties, by constructing an s -structure and computing staggered codimensions. As a consequence, we obtain a basis for the equivariant K -theory $K^B(G/P)$ consisting of simple staggered sheaves.

1. A GLUING THEOREM FOR s -STRUCTURES

If X happens to be a single G -orbit, s -structures on X can be described via the equivalence between $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(X)$ and the category of finite-dimensional representations of the isotropy group of X . In the general case, however, specifying an s -structure on X directly can be quite arduous. The following “gluing theorem” lets us specify an s -structure on X by specifying one on each G -orbit.

Theorem 1.1. *For each orbit $C \subset X$, let $\mathcal{I}_C \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ denote the ideal sheaf corresponding to the closed subscheme $i_C : \overline{C} \hookrightarrow X$. Suppose each orbit C is endowed with an s -structure, and that $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq -1}$. There is a unique s -structure on X whose restriction to each orbit is the given s -structure.*

Proof. This statement is nearly identical to [1, Theorem 10.2]. In that result, the requirement that $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq -1}$ is replaced by the following two assumptions:

- (F1) For each orbit C , $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq 0}$.
- (F2) Each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq w}$ admits an extension $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(\overline{C})$ whose restriction to any smaller orbit $C' \subset \overline{C}$ is in $\mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq w}$.

Condition (F1) is trivially implied by the stronger assumption that $i_C^* \mathcal{I}_C|_C \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq -1}$. It suffices, then, to show that (F2) is implied by it as well. Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C)_{\leq w}$, let $\mathcal{G} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(\overline{C})$ be some sheaf such that $\mathcal{G}|_C \simeq \mathcal{F}$. Let $C' \subset \overline{C} \setminus C$ be a maximal orbit (with respect to the closure partial order) such that $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}|_{C'} \notin \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq w}$. (If there is no such C' , then \mathcal{G} is the desired extension of \mathcal{F} , and there is nothing to prove.) Let $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}|_{C'} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq v}$. By assumption, we have $v > w$. Let $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C'}^{\otimes v-w}$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{C'}|_{X \setminus \overline{C}}$ is isomorphic to the structure sheaf of $X \setminus \overline{C}$,

The research of the first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0500873.

The research of the second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0606300.

we see that $\mathcal{G}'|_{\overline{C} \setminus C} \simeq \mathcal{G}|_{\overline{C} \setminus C}$. On the other hand, according to [1, Axiom (S6)] (which describes how tensor products behave with respect to s -structures), the fact that $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{I}_{C'}|_{C'} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq -1}$ implies that $i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}'|_{C'} \simeq i_{C'}^* \mathcal{G}|_{C'} \otimes (i_{C'}^* \mathcal{I}_{C'}|_{C'})^{\otimes v-w} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^G(C')_{\leq w}$. Thus, \mathcal{G}' is a new extension of \mathcal{F} such that the number of orbits in $\overline{C} \setminus C$ where (F2) fails is fewer than for \mathcal{G} . Since the total number of orbits is finite, this construction can be repeated until an extension \mathcal{F}_1 satisfying (F2) is obtained. \square

2. TORUS ACTIONS ON AFFINE SPACES

In this section, we consider coherent sheaves on an affine space. Let T be an algebraic torus over an algebraically closed field k , and let Λ be its weight lattice. Choose a set of weights $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$. Let T act linearly on $\mathbb{A}^n = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by having it act with weight λ_i on the line defined by the ideal $(x_j : j \neq i)$. Given $\mu \in \Lambda$, let $V(\mu)$ denote the one-dimensional T -representation of weight μ . If X is an affine space with a T -action, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(\mu)$ the twist of the structure sheaf of X by μ .

Suppose $m \leq n$, and identify \mathbb{A}^m with the closed subspace of \mathbb{A}^n defined by the ideal $(x_j : j > m)$. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ denote the corresponding ideal sheaf, and let $i : \mathbb{A}^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ be the inclusion map.

Proposition 2.1. *With the above notation, we have*

$$i^* \mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^m}(-\lambda_{m+1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^m}(-\lambda_n) \quad \text{and} \quad i^! \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^m}(\mu + \lambda_{m+1} + \dots + \lambda_n)[m-n].$$

Proof. Throughout, we will pass freely between coherent sheaves and modules, and between ideal sheaves and ideals. In the T -action on the ring $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, T acts on the one-dimensional space kx_i with weight $-\lambda_i$. We have $i^* \mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2 \simeq (x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n)/(x_i x_j : m+1 \leq i < j \leq n)$, so if we let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, we obtain $i^* \mathcal{I} \simeq x_{m+1} S \oplus \dots \oplus x_n S \simeq V(-\lambda_{m+1}) \otimes S \oplus \dots \oplus V(-\lambda_n) \otimes S$.

To calculate $i^! \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu)$, we may assume that $m = n-1$, as the general case then follows by induction. Recall that $i_* i^!(\cdot) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}, \cdot)$. To compute the latter functor, we employ the projective resolution $x_n R \hookrightarrow R$ for $i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}$. Now, $x_n R \simeq V(-\lambda_n) \otimes R$, so when we apply $\text{Hom}(\cdot, V(\mu) \otimes R)$ to this sequence, we obtain an injective map $V(\mu) \otimes R \rightarrow V(\mu + \lambda_n) \otimes R$ whose image is $V(\mu + \lambda_n) \otimes x_n R$. The cohomology of this complex vanishes except in degree 1, where we find $V(\mu + \lambda_n) \otimes R/x_n R$. Thus, $i_* i^! \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(\mu)) \simeq i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}}(\mu + \lambda_n)[-1]$, as desired. \square

3. s -STRUCTURES ON BRUHAT CELLS

Let G be a reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field, and let $T \subset B \subset P$ be a maximal torus, a Borel subgroup, and a parabolic subgroup, respectively, and let L be the Levi subgroup of P .

Let W be the Weyl group of G (with respect to T), and let Φ be its root system. Let Φ^+ be the set of positive roots corresponding to B . Let $W_L \subset W$ and $\Phi_L \subset \Phi$ be the Weyl group and root system of L , and let $\Phi_P = \Phi_L \cup \Phi^+$. For each $w \in W$, we fix once and for all a representative in G , also denoted w . Let X_w° denote the Bruhat cell BwP/P , let X_w denote its closure (a Schubert variety), and let $i_w : X_w \rightarrow G/P$ be the inclusion. Note that $X_w^\circ = X_v^\circ$ if and only if $wW_L = vW_L$.

Let Λ denote the weight lattice of T , and let $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum \Phi^+$. (For a set $\Psi \subset \Phi$, we write “ $\sum \Psi$ ” for $\sum_{\alpha \in \Psi} \alpha$.) For any $w \in W$, we define various subsets of Φ^+ and elements of Λ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^+) & \pi(w) &= \sum \Pi(w) & \Pi_L(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_L) & \pi_L(w) &= \sum \Pi_L(w) \\ \Theta(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^-) & \theta(w) &= \sum \Theta(w) & \Theta_L(w) &= \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L) & \theta_L(w) &= \sum \Theta_L(w) \end{aligned}$$

For any subset $\Psi \subset \Phi$, we define $\mathfrak{g}(\Psi) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Next, let $B_w = wBw^{-1}$, and let U_w denote the unipotent radical of B_w . Its Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_w is described by $\mathfrak{u}_w = \mathfrak{g}(w(\Phi^+))$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the Killing form. By rescaling if necessary, assume that $\langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Now, the category $\mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathfrak{Rep}(B_w \cap B)$ of representations of the isotropy group $B_w \cap B$. We define an s -structure on X_w° via this equivalence as follows:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)_{\leq n} &\simeq \{V \in \mathfrak{Rep}(B_w \cap B) \mid \langle \lambda, -2\rho \rangle \leq n \text{ for all weights } \lambda \text{ occurring in } V\} \\ \mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)_{\geq n} &\simeq \{V \in \mathfrak{Rep}(B_w \cap B) \mid \langle \lambda, -2\rho \rangle \geq n \text{ for all weights } \lambda \text{ occurring in } V\} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $v, w \in W$, there is a B_v -equivariant isomorphism $B_v wP/P \simeq \mathfrak{g}(v(\Theta_L(v^{-1}w)))$.*

Proof. We have $B_v wP/P = w \cdot B_{w^{-1}v} P/P \simeq w \cdot B_{w^{-1}v} / (B_{w^{-1}v} \cap P)$. Since $B_{w^{-1}v} \cap P$ contains the maximal torus T , the quotient $B_{w^{-1}v} / (B_{w^{-1}v} \cap P)$ can be identified with a quotient of $U_{w^{-1}v}$, and hence of $\mathfrak{u}_{w^{-1}v}$. Specifically, it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(w^{-1}v(\Phi^+) \setminus \Phi_P) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(w^{-1}v(\Phi^+) \cap (\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L))$, so

$$B_v wP/P \simeq w \cdot \mathfrak{g}(w^{-1}v(\Phi^+) \cap (\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L)) \simeq \mathfrak{g}(v(\Theta_L(v^{-1}w))). \quad \square$$

In the special case $v = ww_0$, where w_0 is the longest element of W , the set $v(\Theta_L(v^{-1}w))$ is given by

$$ww_0(\Theta_L(w_0)) = w(\Phi^-) \cap w(\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L) = w(\Phi^- \setminus \Phi_L) = -\Pi_L(w) \sqcup \Theta_L(w).$$

Let $Y_w = B_{ww_0}wP/P$. Applying Lemma 3.1 with $v = 1$ and with $v = ww_0$, we obtain

$$(2) \quad X_w^\circ \simeq \mathfrak{g}(\Theta_L(w)) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_w \simeq X_w^\circ \oplus \mathfrak{g}(-\Pi_L(w)).$$

Finally, let \mathcal{I}_w denote the ideal sheaf on G/P corresponding to X_w . Since Y_w is open, Proposition 2.1 tells us that $i_w^*\mathcal{I}_w|_{X_w^\circ} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_L(w)} \mathcal{O}_{X_w^\circ}(\alpha)$. Since $\langle \alpha, -2\rho \rangle < 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, we see that $i_w^*\mathcal{I}_w|_{X_w^\circ} \in \mathfrak{Coh}^B(X_w^\circ)_{\leq -1}$, and then Theorem 1.1 gives us an s -structure on G/P . Separately, Proposition 2.1 also tells us that $i_w^!\mathcal{O}_{G/P}[\text{codim } X_w]$ is in $\mathfrak{Coh}^B(G/P)_{\leq \langle \pi_L(w), 2\rho \rangle} \cap \mathfrak{Coh}^B(G/P)_{\geq \langle \pi_L(w), 2\rho \rangle}$. If w is the unique element of maximal length in its coset wW_L , then we have $\text{codim } X_w = |\Phi^+| - \ell(w)$ and $\pi_L(w) = \pi(w)$. (See [3, Chap. 2].) Combining these observations gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *There is a unique s -structure on G/P compatible with those on the various X_w° . If w is the unique element of maximal length in wW_L , then the staggered codimension of X_w , with respect to the dualizing complex $\mathcal{O}_{G/P}$, is given by $\text{scod } X_w = |\Phi^+| - \ell(w) + \langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle$. \square*

4. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 4.1. *With respect to the s -structure and dualizing complex of Theorem 3.2, $\mathcal{D}^B(G/P)$ admits an artinian staggered t -structure. In particular, the set of simple staggered sheaves $\{\mathcal{IC}(X_w, \mathcal{O}_{X_w^\circ}(\lambda))\}$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and w ranges over a set of coset representatives of W_L , forms a basis for $K^B(G/P)$.*

By the remarks in the introduction, this theorem follows from Proposition 4.6 below. Throughout this section, the notation “ $u \cdot v$ ” for the product of $u, v \in W$ will be used to indicate that $\ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$. Note that if s is a simple reflection corresponding to a simple root α , $\ell(sw) > \ell(w)$ if and only if $\alpha \in \Pi(w)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let s be a simple reflection, and let α be the corresponding simple root. If $\ell(sw) > \ell(w)$, then $\pi(sw) = s\pi(w) + \alpha$ and $\theta(sw) = s\theta(w) + \alpha$.*

Proof. Since $\Pi(s) = \Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$, it is easy to see that if $\alpha \in \Pi(w)$, then $\Pi(sw) = s(\Pi(w) \setminus \{\alpha\})$, and hence that $\pi(sw) = s(\pi(w) - \alpha) = s\pi(w) + \alpha$. The proof of the second formula is similar. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any $w \in W$, we have $\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\ell(w)$. If $w = 1$, $\theta(w) = 0$, and the statement is trivial. If $\ell(w) \geq 1$, write $w = s \cdot v$ with s a simple reflection. Let α be the corresponding simple root. We have $\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = \langle \pi(sv), \theta(sv) \rangle = \langle s\pi(v) + \alpha, s\theta(v) + \alpha \rangle$, and so

$$\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = \langle s\pi(v), s\theta(v) \rangle + \langle s\pi(v), \alpha \rangle + \langle s\theta(v), \alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle \pi(v), \theta(v) \rangle + \langle s(2\rho) + \alpha, \alpha \rangle.$$

Now, $\langle \pi(v), \theta(v) \rangle$ vanishes by assumption. Since s permutes $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and $2\rho - \alpha$ is the sum of all roots in $\Phi^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}$, we see that $s(2\rho - \alpha) = 2\rho - \alpha$. But $s(2\rho - \alpha) = s(2\rho) + \alpha$ as well, so we find that

$$\langle \pi(w), \theta(w) \rangle = \langle 2\rho - \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle s(2\rho - \alpha), \alpha \rangle = \langle 2\rho - \alpha, s\alpha \rangle = -\langle 2\rho - \alpha, \alpha \rangle.$$

Comparing the second and last terms above, we see that all these quantities vanish, as desired. \square

Proposition 4.4. *If $\alpha \in \Pi(w)$ is a simple root, then $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle \leq 0$.*

Proof. It is clear that it suffices to consider the case where W is irreducible. We proceed by induction on $\ell(w)$. When $w = 1$, $\theta(w) = 0$, so the statement holds trivially. Now, suppose $\ell(w) > 0$, and let t be a simple reflection such that $\ell(tw) < \ell(w)$. Let β be the simple root corresponding to t . We must consider four cases, depending on the form of tw .

Case 1. $w = t \cdot v$ with $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$. Then $\langle \alpha, \theta(tv) \rangle = \langle \alpha, t\theta(v) + \beta \rangle = \langle t\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, so $\langle \alpha, \theta(tv) \rangle = \langle \alpha - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$. We know that $\langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \leq 0$ and $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \leq 0$. The fact that $\ell(tv) > \ell(v)$ implies that $\beta \in \Pi(v)$, and $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$ by assumption, so $\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$ and $\langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$ by induction. The result follows.

In the remaining cases, we will have $\alpha \notin \Pi(tw)$. This implies that s and t do not commute. Let $N = \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle$. We then have $N \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, with $N = 3$ occurring only in type G_2 .

Case 2. $w = ts \cdot v$ with $\beta \in \Pi(v)$. We have $\langle \alpha, \theta(tsv) \rangle = \langle \alpha, t\theta(sv) + \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, ts\theta(v) + t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle st\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, t\alpha + \beta \rangle$. It is easy to check that $st\alpha = (N - 1)\alpha - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \beta$, and hence that $\langle st\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle = (N - 1)\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle$. Now, $\beta \in \Pi(v)$ by assumption, and $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$ since $\ell(sv) > \ell(v)$, so $\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$ and $\langle \beta, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$ by induction. Clearly, $N - 1 \geq 0$ and $\langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle < 0$, so $\langle st\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$. Next, we have $t\alpha + \beta = \alpha - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \beta + \beta$, so $\langle \alpha, t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle - \langle \beta^\vee, \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \frac{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{2} (2 - N + \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle)$.

Recall that $\langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle \in \{-1, -N\}$, so $(2 - N + \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle)$ is either $1 - N$ or $2 - 2N$. In either case, we see that $\langle \alpha, t\alpha + \beta \rangle \leq 0$. It follows that $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle \leq 0$.

In the last two cases, we assume that $\beta \notin \Pi(stw)$. This implies that $w = tst \cdot v$ for some v . We also have $sw = stst \cdot v$, so it must be that $N \geq 2$.

Case 3. $w = tst \cdot v$ and $N = 2$. In this case, $sw = stst \cdot v = tsts \cdot v$, so $\ell(sv) > \ell(v)$, and hence $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$. Calculations similar to those above yield that $\theta(tstv) = tst\theta(v) + ts\beta + t\alpha + \beta$, and that $\langle \alpha, ts\beta + t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - \frac{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{2} \langle \alpha^\vee, \beta \rangle = 0$. Thus, $\langle \alpha, \theta(tstv) \rangle = \langle \alpha, tst\theta(v) \rangle + \langle \alpha, ts\beta + t\alpha + \beta \rangle = \langle tst\alpha, \theta(v) \rangle$. Direct calculation shows that $tst\alpha = \alpha$ (regardless of whether α is a short root or a long root). Since $\alpha \in \Pi(v)$, $\langle \alpha, \theta(v) \rangle \leq 0$ by induction, so $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle \leq 0$ as well.

Case 4. $w = tst \cdot v$ and $N = 3$. Since we have assumed that W is irreducible, W must be of type G_2 . Since $sw = stst \cdot v$, we must have $v \in \{1, s, st\}$, since $ststst$ is the longest word in W . First suppose $v = st$. Since sw is the longest word, we have $\Pi(w) = \{\alpha\}$, and hence $\theta(w) = 2\rho - \alpha$, so Lemma 4.2 implies that $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle = 0$. If $v = s$, direct calculation gives $\theta(w) = 2\rho - \alpha - s\beta$, and then that $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle < 0$. Finally, if $v = 1$, we find that $\theta(w) = 2\rho - \alpha - s\beta - st\alpha$, and again $\langle \alpha, \theta(w) \rangle < 0$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let s be a simple reflection, corresponding to the simple root α . Let v, w be such that $\ell(vsw) = \ell(v) + 1 + \ell(w)$. Then $\langle \pi(vw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(vsw), 2\rho \rangle = (1 - \langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(v^{-1}) \rangle) \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle > 0$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\ell(v)$. First, suppose that $v = 1$. Note that $\theta(v^{-1}) = 0$. Since $2\rho = \pi(w) + \theta(w)$, Lemma 4.3 implies that $\langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle = \langle \pi(w), \pi(w) \rangle$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(sw), 2\rho \rangle &= \langle \pi(sw), \pi(sw) \rangle = \langle s\pi(w) + \alpha, s\pi(w) + \alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle s\pi(w), s\pi(w) \rangle + 2\langle s\pi(w), \alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle \pi(w), \pi(w) \rangle + 2\langle \pi(w), s\alpha \rangle + \langle 2\rho, \alpha \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle - 2\langle \pi(w), \alpha \rangle + \langle \pi(w) + \theta(w), \alpha \rangle = \langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(w) - \theta(w), \alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\pi(w) - \theta(w) = w(2\rho)$, whence it follows that $\langle \pi(w), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(sw), 2\rho \rangle = \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle$. Finally, the fact that $\ell(sw) > \ell(w)$ implies that $w^{-1}\alpha \in \Phi^+$, so $\langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle > 0$.

Now, suppose $\ell(v) \geq 1$, and write $v = t \cdot x$, where t is a simple reflection with simple root β . Using the special case of the proposition that is already established, we find

$$\langle \pi(xsw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(txsw), 2\rho \rangle = \langle w^{-1}sx^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \pi(xw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(txw), 2\rho \rangle = \langle w^{-1}x^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle.$$

Combining these with the fact that $sx^{-1}\beta = x^{-1}\beta - \langle \alpha^\vee, x^{-1}\beta \rangle \alpha$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(txw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(txsw), 2\rho \rangle &= (\langle \pi(xw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(xsw), 2\rho \rangle) + (\langle w^{-1}sx^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle - \langle w^{-1}x^{-1}\beta, 2\rho \rangle) \\ &= (1 - \langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(x^{-1}) \rangle) \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle - \langle \alpha^\vee, x^{-1}\beta \rangle \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle = (1 - \langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(x^{-1}) + x^{-1}\beta \rangle) \langle w^{-1}\alpha, 2\rho \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

An argument similar to that of Lemma 4.2 shows that $\theta(x^{-1}) + x^{-1}\beta = \theta(x^{-1}t) = \theta(v^{-1})$, so the desired formula is established. Since $\ell(vs) > \ell(v)$, we also have $\ell(sv^{-1}) > \ell(v^{-1})$, and then Proposition 4.4 tells us that $\langle \alpha^\vee, \theta(v^{-1}) \rangle \leq 0$. Thus, $\langle \pi(vw), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \pi(vsw), 2\rho \rangle > 0$. \square

The preceding proposition is a statement about a pair of adjacent elements with respect to the Bruhat order. It immediately implies that for any $v, w \in W$ with $v < w$ in the Bruhat order, $\langle \theta(v), 2\rho \rangle - \langle \theta(w), 2\rho \rangle > 0$. By Theorem 3.2, we deduce the following result, and thus establish Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 4.6. *If $X_v \subset \overline{X_w}$, then $\text{scod } X_v - \text{scod } X_w \geq 2$.* \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Achar, *Staggered t -structures on derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves*, arXiv:0709.1300.
- [2] R. Bezrukavnikov, *Perverse coherent sheaves (after Deligne)*, arXiv:math.AG/0005152.
- [3] R. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type: Conjugacy classes and complex characters*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1985.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803

E-mail address: pramod@math.lsu.edu

E-mail address: sage@math.lsu.edu