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RNAi-mediated antiviral immunity in Caenorhabditis elegans requires Dicer-related helicase 1 (DRH-1), which encodes the helicase and C-terminal domains homologous to the mammalian retinoic acid inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like helicase (RLH) family of cytosolic immune receptors. Here we show that the antiviral function of DRH-1 requires the RIG-I homologous domains as well as its worm-specific N-terminal domain. We also demonstrate that the helicase and C-terminal domains encoded by either worm DRH-2 or human RIG-1 can functionally replace the corresponding domains of DRH-1 to mediate antiviral RNAi in C. elegans. Notably, substitutions in a three-residue motif of the C-terminal regulatory domain of RIG-I that physically interacts with viral double-stranded RNA abolish the antiviral activity of C-terminal regulatory domains of both RIG-I and DRH-1 in C. elegans. Genetic analysis revealed an essential role for both DRH-1 and DRH-3 in C. elegans antiviral RNAi targeting a natural viral pathogen. However, Northern blot and small RNA deep sequencing analyses indicate that DRH-1 acts to enhance production of viral primary siRNAs, whereas DRH-3 regulates antiviral RNAi by participating in the biogenesis of secondary siRNAs after Dicer-dependent production of primary siRNAs. We propose that DRH-1 facilitates the acquisition of viral double-stranded RNA by the worm dicing complex for the subsequent processing into primary siRNAs. The strong parallel for the antiviral function of RLHs in worms and mammals suggests that detection of viral double-stranded RNA may activate completely unrelated effector mechanisms or, alternatively, that the mammalian RLHs have a conserved activity to stimulate production of viral siRNAs for antiviral immunity by an RNAi effector mechanism.

Significance

Retinoic acid inducible gene I–like RNA helicases (RLHs) act as virus sensors in mammalian antiviral immunity. RLHs also play an essential role in antiviral RNAi in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans through a currently unknown mechanism. Here, we show that the retinoic acid inducible gene I domains involved in virus sensing functionally replace the corresponding domain of Dicer-related helicase 1 (DRH-1), one of the worm RLHs, suggesting that DRH-1 contributes to antiviral RNAi by acting as a virus sensor. Consistent with this observation, virus-derived primary siRNAs were significantly reduced in drh-1 mutants. We further show that DRH-3, another worm RLH that shares domain structure with DRH-1, is required for the biogenesis of virus-derived secondary, but not primary, siRNAs, suggesting that DRH-1 and DRH-3 contribute to antiviral RNAi through distinct mechanisms.
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antiviral function of \textit{drh-1} in whole animals, we examined the antiviral activity of the predicted domains in DRH-1 and determined whether \textit{C. elegans} antiviral RNAi could be mediated by any of the conserved domains of human RIG-I protein. Our results indicate that \textit{C. elegans} antiviral RNAi requires an essential activity of DRH-1 to detect viral dsRNA in a manner analogous to virus dsRNA sensing by RIG-I in mammals.

Results

**DRH-1 Is Required for Nematode Defense Against Infection by a Natural Virus Pathogen.** A antiviral role of DRH-1 was first identified through the characterization of antiviral RNAi induced by replication of FHV, which does not naturally infect \textit{C. elegans} (15). A recent report described identification of a natural \textit{C. elegans} virus, Orsay virus, which is closely related to FHV and has been shown to accumulate to significantly enhanced levels in RNAi-defective worm mutants such as \textit{nde-1} and \textit{nde-4} (16). We found that Orsay virus infection was also markedly enhanced in worm mutants carrying either of the two \textit{drh-1} loss-of-function alleles (Fig. 1A). This finding indicates that DRH-1 is also required for natural antiviral defense in \textit{C. elegans}.

To verify the antiviral RNAi function of DRH-1, we carried out a transgene complementation assay in \textit{drh-1} mutant animals, as illustrated in Fig. S1A. In this assay, plasmid Psur-5::DRH-1 that directed DRH-1 expression under the constitutively active \textit{sur-5} promoter was injected into \textit{drh-1} mutant animals that carried the chromosomally integrated transgene FRI1gfp controlled by a heat-inducible promoter (15). Heat treatment of \textit{drh-1};FRI1gfp worms is expected to induce replication of the FHV-based RNA replicon and expression of the replicon-encoded green fluorescence protein (GFP) from a subgenomic mRNA synthesized by the FHV replicase. Because FRI1gfp does not encode the RNAi suppressor protein B2 (15), green fluorescence would be undetectable if antiviral RNAi were restored in \textit{drh-1} mutant animals by ectopic expression of DRH-1. We found that green fluorescence indeed became undetectable in \textit{drh-1};FRI1gfp worms after gonad microinjection with Psur-5::DRH-1 (compare animals carrying Psur-5::DRH-1, marked by the red fluorescence expressed from the coinjected mCherry reporter plasmid, with animals showing no red fluorescence in heads; Fig. S1B). This finding illustrated that ectopic expression of DRH-1 restored antiviral RNAi in the \textit{drh-1} mutants.

To further confirm this result, FRI1gfp worms carrying a stably integrated Psur-5::DRH-1 transgene were generated and used for the heat induction of FRI1gfp or for Orsay virus infection. Northern blotting analysis showed that FRI1gfp replication and Orsay virus infection were both suppressed in \textit{drh-1} mutants containing the Psur-5::DRH-1 transgene (Fig. 1C and D). These results together show that DRH-1 plays an essential role in the worm antiviral RNAi induced by either FHv replication or Orsay virus infection.

**Both the NTD and CTD of DRH-1 Are Indispensable for Antiviral RNAi.** The DRH-1 function rescue assay established earlier made it possible for us to map the domain requirement in the antiviral function of DRH-1. In addition to the DEAD-box RNA helicase domain, DRH-1 contains a worm-specific NTD and a conserved C-terminal regulatory domain originally identified in RIG-I (35). We generated four domain deletion mutants of DRH-1 (Fig. 1B), named DRH-1NTD, DRH-1DHC, DRH-1NDH, and DRH-1NDHp, and examined their antiviral activity using the DRH-1 function rescue assay. We found that ectopic expression of none of these four DRH-1 mutants restored antiviral RNAi in \textit{drh-1};FRI1gfp worms (Fig. S1B), indicating that both of the terminal domains of DRH-1 are essential for its antiviral function. As a further confirmation, we generated chromosomal integrants carrying nuclear transgenes corresponding to each of these DRH-1 deletion mutants and assayed for both FRI1gfp replication and Orsay virus infection in the selected animal lines. Northern blot analysis (Fig. 1 C and D) showed that neither FRI1gfp replication nor Orsay virus infection was suppressed in integrants carrying any of the DRH-1 domain deletion mutants in contrast to the control integrants carrying the wild-type DRH-1 transgene. Western blotting suggested stable expression of both DRH-1NTD and DRH-1NDH examined in transgenic worms by C-terminal tagging with an HA epitope (Fig. S1 C and D). These results together indicate that in addition to the central helicase domains, both NTD and CTD of DRH-1 are indispensable for antiviral RNAi.

**The Predicted Domains of DRH-2 Were Functional in a Fusion Protein with the NTD of DRH-1.** The predicted DRH-2 protein is highly homologous to DRH-1 (Fig. S2) but lacks the worm-specific NTD plus one of the three motifs in the DEAD-box helicase domain. We determined whether use of the predicted protein domains of DRH-2 to replace the corresponding domains of DRH-1 produced a chimeric protein capable of mediating antiviral
RNAi in drh-1 mutant background. We found that ectopic expression of such a chimeric protein, D1D2 (Fig. 2A), was associated with loss of GFP expression in drh-1;FR1gfp worms (Fig. S3A), indicating rescue of DRH-1 function in antiviral RNAi by D1D2 driven by the constitutive sur-5 promoter. We next generated stable animal lines expressing D1D2 driven by either sur-5 or the heat-inducible promoter in drh-1;FR1gfp background. Northern blot analysis showed that expression of D1D2 driven by the sur-5 promoter suppressed the replication of both FR1gfp and Orsay virus in the drh-1 mutant background (Fig. 2B and C). Notably, expression of D1D2 using the heat-inducible promoter conferred stronger antiviral RNAi targeting FR1gfp replicon (Fig. 2B). However, transgenic expression of DRH-2, the region of DRH-1 equivalent to DRH-2 (DRH-1DHC*), or the N-terminal region of DRH-1 absent in DRH-2 (DRH-1NDT*), was insufficient to rescue DRH-1 function (Fig. 2A–C and Fig. S3A). Epitope-tagging and Western blotting assay suggested stable expression of DRH-1NDT*, DRH-1DHC*, and DRH-1 in transgenic worms (Fig. S3B). Thus, these findings indicate that when expressed as a fusion protein with the NTD of DRH-1, the predicted helicase and CTD domains of DRH-2 mediate antiviral RNAi as effectively as the corresponding domains of DRH-1.

The Helicase and CTD Domains of RIG-I Were Functional in a Fusion Protein with the NTD of DRH-1. An essential role for both the NTD and CTD of DRH-1 in the antiviral defense is analogous to the domain requirement of mammalian RIG-I (36). DRH-1 shares significant sequence homology in the RNA helicase and CTD domains with RIG-1 (Fig. S4), although by comparison, DRH-1 and RIG-1 are more distantly related than DRH-1 and DRH-2. We found that transgenic expression of human RIG-I or a truncation mutant removing the N-terminal CARD domains (RIG-IDHC) in drh-1;FR1gfp worms did not suppress replication of FR1gfp (Fig. 3A and Fig. S5A). Because the worm-specific NTD of DRH-1 was indispensable in antiviral RNAi, we next determined the antiviral activity of a DRH-1/RIG-I chimeric protein termed D1RIG-I, in which the N-terminal CARD domains of human RIG-I were replaced with the NTD of DRH-1 (Fig. 3A). As shown in Fig. S4A, ectopic expression of D1RIG-I, but not D1RIG-IDND, effectively restored antiviral RNAi in drh-1;FR1gfp worms, indicating that the helicase domain and CTD of RIG-I confer biological function similar to what the corresponding DRH-1 domains do. Similarly, antiviral RNAi was also restored by another DRH-1/RIG-I chimeric protein, D1RIG-ID1C, in which only the central helicase domain of DRH-1 was replaced by the corresponding domain of RIG-I (Fig. 3A and Fig. S5A). This finding showed that antiviral RNAi was mediated by the helicase domain of RIG-I in the context of the NTD and CTD of DRH-1.

As described earlier, we further generated stable animal lines carrying each of these DRH-1/RIG-I chimeric constructs and assayed for both FR1gfp replication and Orsay virus infection in the selected animal lines. Consistent with earlier observations, replication of both FR1gfp and Orsay virus was significantly inhibited in stable transgenic animals constitutively expressing D1RIG-I, and heat-inducible expression of D1RIG-ID1C restored antiviral RNAi to an extent comparable with that restored by D1RIG-I (Fig. 3B–D). As observed earlier for D1D2, the heat-inducible promoter directed a more efficient rescue on DRH-1 function in antiviral FR1gfp silencing than the constitutive promoter (Fig. 3B). These results together indicate that either of the RNA helicase and CTD domains of RIG-I is competent to functionally replace the homologous domain of DRH-1 in antiviral RNAi in C. elegans.

Previous structural studies showed that a KWK motif in the CTD of RIG-I mediates physical interaction between RIG-I and dsRNA, although it is unknown whether the interaction is functionally important (26, 27, 37). Because the KWK motif is conserved in DRH-1 CTD (Fig. SSB, Upper), we next determined whether this motif is critical for antiviral RNAi in C. elegans. To this end, we introduced alanine substitutions into the KWK motif in the CTD domains of both DRH-1 and D1RIG-I and subjected the resulting mutant constructs, DRH-1AAA and D1RIG-IAAA, to DRH-1 function rescue assay. As shown in Fig. SSB (Lower) and Fig. SSC, expression of either mutant was unable to restore DRH-1 function in antiviral RNAi against FR1gfp. These findings strongly suggest that antiviral RNAi mediated by DRH-1 involves an essential activity of DRH-1 to detect viral dsRNA in a manner analogous to virus dsRNA sensing by RIG-I in mammals.

**DRH-3 Regulates Antiviral RNAi by a Mechanism Distinct from DRH-1.** DRH-3 shares a similar domain structure with DRH-1 but contains more divergent sequences compared with DRH-1 and DRH-2 (Fig. S6). Recent studies have shown that DRH-3 is required for germline development and RNAi by participating in the biogenesis of 22G RNAs (25, 38, 39), suggesting a possible role for DRH-3 in antiviral RNAi. We investigated FHV replication and Orsay virus infection in worms containing the ne4253 allele of drh-3. A single amino acid change occurred in the helicase C domain of DRH-3 encoded by the ne4253 allele, and as a result, worms containing this allele are defective in dsRNA-induced RNAi in the somatic tissues (25). We found that drh-3 mutant worms were highly susceptible to Orsay virus infection (Fig. 4A, Upper). Northern blot analysis detected a similarly high-level replication of Orsay virus in drh-3, drh-1, and JU1580 mutant worms. Moreover, we found that FR1gfp also replicated to higher levels in drh-3 mutant worms compared with wild-type N2 worms (Fig. 4A, Lower). These findings indicate that DRH-3 indeed plays a role in worm antiviral RNAi.
The RNA helicase and CTD domains of RIG-I functionally replace the corresponding domains of DRH-1 in antiviral RNAi. (A) Schematic structure of RIG-I and its domain variants. (B) Accumulation of FR1gfp transcripts detected by Northern blot in dh-1 mutants carrying the integrated transgenes corresponding to wild-type DRH-1 or RIG-I domain variants, as indicated. HI denotes DRH-1 function rescue using a heat-inducible promoter for candidate gene expression. (C) Accumulation of Orsay virus RNA1 detected by Northern blot in dh-1 mutants carrying the integrated transgenes corresponding to wild-type DRH-1 and D1RIG-I. (D) Accumulation of FR1gfp transscripts detected by Northern blot in dh-1 mutants carrying the integrated transgenes corresponding to wild-type DRH-1, D1RIG-I, and D1RIG-ID1C.

To define a role for DRH-3 in antiviral RNAi, we compared the accumulation of FR1gfp-derived viral siRNAs in dh-1 and drh-3 mutants, using control mutants known to be defective in the biogenesis of primary siRNAs (rde-4) or secondary siRNAs (rde-1 and rrf-1) (21, 40, 41). Consistent with previous deep sequencing results (40, 42), several species of viral siRNAs were detected in the rde-1 mutants, with a major band detected at the position corresponding to 23 nt (Fig. 4B). Viral siRNAs also accumulated to readily detectable levels in both drh-3 and rrf-1 mutants, with a dominant 23-nt band similar to that in rde-1 mutants (Fig. 4B and Fig. S7), indicating robust production of viral primary siRNAs in drh-3, rde-1, and rrf-1 mutants. In comparison, viral siRNAs including the 23-nt species reproducibly accumulated to much lower levels in both drh-1 and rde-4 mutants than in rde-1 and drh-3 mutants, even though the FR1gfp replica was detected in comparable levels in rde-1, dh-1, and drh-3 mutants (Fig. 4A and B and Fig. S7).

Our findings suggested a major defect of dh-1 mutant animals in the biogenesis of primary viral siRNAs as in rde-4 mutant animals. In contrast, loss of antiviral RNAi in dh-3 mutant animals was not associated with defective biogenesis of viral primary siRNAs, suggesting that DRH-3 may regulate the biogenesis of viral secondary siRNAs, as demonstrated for its role in exogenous RNAi (25). To test this idea, we compared the viral siRNA profile in drh-3 and rrf-1 mutant animals through deep sequencing, using a cloning protocol capturing both primary and secondary siRNAs. As found in studies on exogenous RNAI (21, 40, 41), rrf-1 mutant animals produced a typical population of primary siRNAs, as the sequenced viral siRNAs were predominantly 23 nt in length, contained similar reads number for positive and negative strands, and were not enriched for 22-nt siRNAs with 5′-terminal guanine nt (Fig. 4C, Left). We found that the profile of viral siRNAs sequenced from dh-3 mutant animals (Fig. 4C, Right) was highly similar to that from rrf-1 mutant animals and lacked a population of 22G RNAs. These observations together suggest a role for DRH-3 in the biogenesis of viral secondary siRNAs.

**DRH-1 Is Dispensable for RNAi Targeting Cellular Transcripts.** In contrast to antiviral RNAi, DRH-1 is largely dispensable in RNAi induced artificially to target cellular transcripts of exogenous genes such as skn-1, dpy-13, and unc-22 (15) or transgene (Fig. S8). Our recent study has detected RNAi of cellular mRNAs targeted by virus-derived siRNAs in C. elegans (43). Because low levels of FR1gfp-derived viral siRNAs remained detectable in dh-1 mutants (Fig. 4B), we next determined whether these viral siRNAs were active in RNAi targeting cellular transcripts in the absence of DRH-1. To test this hypothesis, we used a transgenic worm strain carrying a Psur-5::GFP transgene and a previously described replicon transgene, FR1fp, which does not express GFP because of deletion of the 5′-half of the GFP coding sequence but triggers potent silencing of the homologous cellular GFP transcripts (43) (Fig. S9A). As expected, FR1fp replication triggered potent gfp silencing, manifested as a reduction in the intensity of green fluorescence in the wild-type N2 worms but not in RNAi-defective mutants corresponding to rde-1 or rde-4 (Fig. S9B). Consistently, FR1fp-derived siRNAs accumulated at lower levels in dh-1 mutants than in rde-1 mutants (Fig. S4A). We also detected gfp silencing in dh-1 mutants, albeit to a lesser extent (Fig. S9B). Northern blot analysis showed that the gfp transcripts were markedly reduced in wild-type N2 worms and drh-1 mutants, but not in dh-1 double mutants with rde-1 or rde-4 (Fig. 5B). In contrast, both RNAs 1 and 3 from replicon FR1fp accumulated to high levels in all of the examined dh-1, rde-1, and rde-4 single and double mutants (Fig. 5B). These findings showed that dh-1 was
essential for silencing a replicating viral replicon, but not a homologous cellular miRNA targeted by the same pool of siRNAs in the same animals. In an independent set of experiments, we compared the susceptibility of the replicating FR1fp and cellular GFP mRNA to feeding RNAi and found that cellular transcripts remained highly susceptible, unlike the viral replicon (Fig. S10). Therefore, we propose that unlike drh-3, which is required for both exogenous RNAi and antiviral RNAi, participation of an additional drh-1 pathway is necessary to inhibit virus infection.

Discussion

It is intriguing that, as an essential component of the nematode antiviral RNAi, DRH-1 encodes the helicase domain and CTD homologous to mammalian RLHs, as RLHs initiate the IFN-dependent antiviral immunity that is absent in C. elegans (15). In this study, we developed an assay to dissect the domain requirement of DRH-1 in antiviral RNAi against both the FHV replicon and Orsay virus by transgenic expression of the wild-type and mutant forms of DRH-1 in animals carrying a loss-of-function allele of drh-1. Our results show that the antiviral activity of DRH-1 requires its helicase domain and CTD, as well as the worm-specific NTD. We also demonstrate that the homologous helicase and CTD domains encoded by either the worm DRH-2 or human RIG-1 can functionally replace the corresponding domains of DRH-1 to mediate antiviral RNAi in C. elegans. Strikingly, three amino acid substitutions in the KWK motif predicted to prevent the physical interaction of RIG-I with viral dsRNA abolished the antiviral activity of the CTDs of both RIG-1 and DRH-1 in C. elegans. These findings strongly suggest that antiviral RNAi in C. elegans requires an activity of DRH-1 to detect viral dsRNA known to be essential for the virus sensing by RIG-I.

Available data illustrate an identical genetic requirement for antiviral RNAi triggered by either the replication of FHV or the infection of Orsay virus (12–16). This is probably because induction of nematode antiviral RNAi requires the recognition and processing (into siRNAs) of dsRNA produced during RNA virus replication, and Orsay virus is closely related to FHV (16). Consistently, we found in this study that DRH-1 identified in a feeding RNAi screen on the basis of the FHV replicon-induced antiviral RNAi is also necessary for the nematode defense against Orsay virus infection. Moreover, we show that antiviral RNAi induced by both the FHV replicon and Orsay virus requires DRH-3. We note that DRH-3 is known to participate in exogenous and endogenous RNAi of C. elegans (25, 38) in contrast to DRH-1, which is largely dispensable for RNAi targeting cellular transcripts, whether or not the silencing siRNAs are processed from a replicating viral RNA or an exogenous long dsRNA. Using an improved protocol for Northern detection of small RNAs (43), we found that the 23-nt primary siRNAs targeting the FHV replicon reproducibly accumulated to higher levels in drh-3 mutants than in drh-1 mutants, although both mutants supported similar replication levels of the replicon. Deep sequencing further indicated that the abundant viral siRNAs produced in drh-3 mutants did not include a population of secondary siRNAs. These observations together indicate that DRH-3 regulates RNAi targeting both cellular transcripts and viruses by participating in the biogenesis of secondary siRNAs after Dicer-dependent production of primary siRNA biogenesis, as proposed previously for its role in endogenous RNAi (25). In contrast, DRH-1 may act to specifically enhance production of viral primary siRNAs by facilitating the recruitment of the heterodimer DCR-1/RDE-4 to the viral dsRNA bound by DRH-1 for subsequent processing into primary siRNAs by DCR-1. Our model is consistent with a previous study that detected physical interaction of RDE-4 with DRH-1, but not with DRH-3 (18), and would also explain why the function of DRH-1 is essential only for RNAi against virus infection.

Viral RNA replication occurs in discrete subcellular compartments such as the spherules on the outer membrane of mitochondria shown for FHV (44). Virus dsRNA replicative intermediates are also found in complexes with viral replicase and host cofactor proteins. These physical barriers may prevent the DCR-1/RDE-4 complex from gaining access to viral dsRNA. DRH-1-mediated detection of the unique viral dsRNA for the production of primary siRNAs may be particularly important to ensure effective RNAi against the replicating viral RNAs in C. elegans, which encodes only one Dicer, unlike multi-Dicer plant and insect hosts that possess distinct siRNA and miRNA precursors with dedicated Dicer proteins (4). However, production of primary siRNAs in the absence of DRH-1 may still be sufficient for RNAi to target the nonreplicating cellular transcripts.

Rapid progress has been made in understanding the IFN-regulated effector mechanism of the mammalian antiviral immunity initiated by RIG-I, MDA5, and LGP2 in the cytosol (45). Our study provides an interesting parallel for a three-member family of RLHs to regulate antiviral defense in C. elegans, using RNAi as the effector mechanism. Analogous to the role of the N-terminal CARDs of RIG-I in the downstream immune signaling (45), the interaction of DRH-1 with RDE-4 may involve the worm-specific NTD of DRH-1, which is critical for the use of RNAi as the effector mechanism. Therefore, detection of viral dsRNA by related RLHs in nematodes and mammals activates unrelated effector mechanisms, illustrating functional diversification of RLHs during evolution via acquisition of specific N-terminal signaling domains. An alternative model is that the mammalian RLHs have a conserved activity to recruit DCR-1 for the production of viral siRNAs to activate antiviral immunity by an RNAi effector mechanism.

Materials and Methods

Genetics. The Bristol isolate of C. elegans, N2, was used as the reference strain in this study. Other N2-derived mutants used in this study include rde-1 (ne300), rde-4(ne337), drh-1 (tm1329 and ok3495), and drh-3 (ne4253). The genotypes of rde-1 and rde-4 worms were confirmed using skn-1 feeding RNAi combined with genomic DNA sequencing. The genotype for drh-1 allele tm1329 was identified using PCR, as described previously (15). The genotypes of drh-3 mutants were confirmed through genomic DNA sequencing. All worm strains were maintained on NGM (nematode growth medium) plates seeded with OP50 bacteria unless otherwise indicated. All transgenes were delivered into various genetic backgrounds through standard genetic crosses.

Plasmid Constructs and Transgenic Worms. All plasmids constructed for constitutive expression of the target genes were based on the LR50 vector (46), all of the constructs featuring inducible expression used the pPD49.83 vector (kind gift from A. Fire, Stanford University, Stanford, California).

Fig. 5. DRH-1 is not required for the silencing of cellular transcripts mediated by viral siRNAs. (A) Accumulation of FR1fp-derived siRNAs detected by Northern blot in wild-type N2 worms and genetic mutants defective in rde-1, rde-4, and drh-1, as indicated. (B) Northern blot detection of GFP silencing triggered by FR1fp replication in different genetic backgrounds, as indicated, 48 h after heat induction. The GFP transcripts were detected using oligo probes that would not hybridize to the FP region of FR1fp.
Infectious Filtrate Preparation and Orsay Virus Inoculation. Orsay virus was maintained using the JU1580 isolate at room temperature following a protocol described previously (16). To prepare Orsay virus inoculum, infected JU1580 worms were washed off from slightly starved 10-cm plates using M9 buffer, 5 mL per plate. The virus-containing liquid was then filtered through a 0.22-μm filter unit (Millipore) and used to resuspend pelleted OP50 *Escherichia coli* for NGM plate seeding.

**Protein and RNA Gel Blot Analysis.** Total proteins were extracted from worms of mixed stages and were resolved on 8% (wt/vol) SDS/PAGE gel. After being transferred to Hybond-P PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare Life Science), the samples of mixed stages and were resolved on 8% (wt/vol) SDS/PAGE gel. After being transferred to Hybond-P PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare Life Science), the protein was blotted to Hybond-P PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare Life Science), and the same set of samples of equal amount was subjected to protein gel blot analysis using anti-β-actin antibody.

Total RNA extraction, small RNA enrichment, detection of viral and cellular transcripts, and small RNAs were carried out following protocols described previously (43, 46). DNA oligos with sequence ATTGCCGTACTGAACGATCTCA 

**Small RNA Sequencing.** RNA extracts containing enriched small RNAs were subjected to RNA sequencing. Small RNA sequencing was carried out in the Sequencing Facility at the University of Pennsylvania following the manufacturer’s instructions. Small RNA sequences were analyzed with in-house pipelines, as described previously (47).

**Imaging Microscopy.** GFP and mCherry fluorescence images were recorded using a Nikon p7000 digital camera mounted on a Nikon SMZ1500 microscope.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center for some of the worm strains used in this study; Dr. Erik Jorgensen for the Pyro-cDNA; Dr. Zhijian Chen for the human RIG-I cDNA Clone; and Dr. Félix, Dr. Miska, and Dr. Wang for the Orsay virus and the C. elegans isolate JU1580. We also thank Dr. Yanghong Han and Jinfeng Lu for their help with the small RNA library construction and sequencing. This work was supported by the Research Competitiveness Subprogram, Louisiana Board of Regents, and the National Institutes of Health (1R03AI092159-01A1).