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<tr>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>arginine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBU</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPEA</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et2O</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmoc</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>High performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiniPEG</td>
<td>9-Fluorenlymethoxycarbonyl-8-Amino-3,6-Dioxaoctanoic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYAOP</td>
<td>7-azabenzotriazolyoxytris(pyrrolidino) phosphonium hexafluorophosphate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA</td>
<td>trifluoroacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALDI</td>
<td>Matrix assisted laser desorption ionization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μM</td>
<td>micromolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mM</td>
<td>millimolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol</td>
<td>millimole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>sodium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>acetonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pip</td>
<td>piperidine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PyAOP  (7-azabenzotriazol-1-yloxy)tripyrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate
TIPS  triisopropylsilane
TMS  tetramethylsilane
ααAAs Cαα-disubstituted amino acids
AD  Alzheimer’s disease
Aβ  β-amyloid peptide
Abs  absorbance
AFM  Atomic force microscopy
APP  Amyloid precursor protein
Bop-Cl  Bis(2-oxo-3-oxslydinyl)phoshinic chloride
Cα  alpha carbon
CD  Circular dichroism
Dbg  Dibenylglycine
DCC  Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide
Dibg  Diisobutylglycine
Dpg  Dipropylglycine
TEM  Transmission electron microscope
ESI  Electronspray ionization
HATU  (2-(7-Aza-1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate
HOAt  1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole
HPLC  High-performance liquid chromatography
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThT</td>
<td>Thioflavin T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAB</td>
<td>tetrabutylammonium bromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>beta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABSTRACT

Neuronal cytotoxicity observed in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is linked to the aggregation of β-amyloid peptide (Aβ) into toxic forms. Increasing evidence points to oligomeric species as the neurotoxic species compared to fibrils; disruption or inhibition of Aβ self-assembly into oligomeric or fibrillar forms remains a viable therapeutic strategy to reduce Aβ neurotoxicity. Amyloid aggregation mitigating peptides (AAMPs) were designed based on the Aβ “hydrophobic core” Aβ_{17-20}, with C^{α,α}-disubstituted amino acids (ααAAs) added into this core as disrupting agents. The number, distribution, and side chain functionality of ααAAs incorporated into the mitigator sequence was found influences the resultant aggregate morphology as indicated by ex-situ experiments using AFM and TEM. For instance, AAMP-5 incorporating the sterically hindered diisobutyl side chain to its core sequence disrupted fibril formation. However, AAMP-6 with a dipropyl side chain incorporated into its core sequence only altered fibril morphology, forming shorter and larger sized fibrils compared to those of Aβ_{1-40}. Interestingly, ααAA-AAMPs also disassembled preformed fibrils to produce either amorphous aggregates or protofibrillar structures, suggesting the existence of equilibrium between fibrils and prefibrillar structures. Also, AAMPs aged alone did slowly aggregate to form spherical structures, which is inconsistent with circular dichroism spectra showing an unchanged random coil structure.

Several potent mitigators of Aβ fibrillization were derived from N- or C- terminus modification of KLVFF with various polar groups. The number of ααAAs and polar groups were reduced without affecting the overall disruptive properties of the original mitigator.
GOALS, RESEARCH SYNOPSIS, AND BACKGROUND

1.1 Research Goals and Aims

The predominant hypothesis for the causative agents for neuronal toxicity and cell death observed in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) are oligomeric assemblies.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^8\) One therapeutic approach is to target changes in the Aβ assembly process into toxic forms. The goal of this research involves the design and synthesis of amyloid aggregation mitigating peptides (AAMPs) that incorporate ααAAs. The use of ααAAs is of particular interest because they have been shown to induce extended conformations\(^9\) required for model peptides to interact and disrupt the Aβ assembly process.

The specific aim of this research was to investigate the role of specific changes for one or two ααAAs on the Aβ assembly and disassembly process as compared to the original designs. The role of polar residues was also investigated with the view of reducing the overall charge of the peptide. The goals were to identify which structural elements of the AAMPs are essential for disruption of Aβ fibrillization process or fibril dissolution.

1.2 Research Synopsis

Model peptides derived only from natural L-α-amino acids often yield an unordered or unstable secondary structure, which limits their use in potential drug candidates, biological probes, and functionalized-device molecules.\(^10\), \(^11\) Thus, to increase their stability one of the ways is to replace the α-hydrogen of L-α-amino acid with an alkyl substituent, which results in ααAAs. ααAAs are known to induce extended peptide conformations, which are ideal for design peptides that will interact with Aβ and disrupt its assembly process. The first aim was to
improve the yields of $\alpha\alpha$AAs through modification of the existing routes or development of new synthetic routes. This will enable us to carry out a large-scale synthesis of $\alpha\alpha$AAs because large quantities are required for synthesis of peptide mitigators. Coupling of Fmoc-$\alpha\alpha$AA-OH onto N-terminal resin and their N-terminal acylation were found to be difficult. Thus, various coupling conditions and methods were evaluated after which a library of $\alpha\alpha$AA-containing AAMPs was synthesized.

Mitigators containing $\alpha\alpha$AAs was previously shown to disrupt $\alpha\beta$ fibrillilization forming nonfibrillic assemblies that were stable after 4.5 months. In Chapter 3 we show that the number and position of $\alpha\alpha$AAs in the recognition element of the original mitigator AAMP-1 (AMY-1)$^{12}$ is important in determining the effectiveness of the interaction of our AAMPs with $\alpha\beta$. Also, we further examine the aggregate inhibition role of each $\alpha\alpha$AA in AAMP-1 by examination of synthetically derived AAMP-1 analogs having $\alpha\alpha$AAs replaced by their natural amino acid analog (Leu for Dibg, etc). Furthermore, a major finding is that AAMPs that disrupted fibril formation also led to disassembly of preformed (existing) $\alpha\beta_{1–40}$ fibrils.

Also our two AAMPs (previously denoted as AMY-1 and AMY-2 and having a hydrophilic Lys tail on the C- or N-termini, respectively) mitigated $\alpha\beta_{1–40}$ fibril formation in a way such that ill-defined $\alpha\beta_{1–40}$ aggregates of different size were produced. Thus, in chapter 4 we investigate the effect of modifications of the KLVFF hydrophobic core of $\alpha\beta$ by replacing N- and C- terminal groups with various polar moieties. Several of these terminal modifications were found to disrupt the formation of amyloid fibrils, and in some cases induced disassembly of pre-formed fibrils. Significantly, mitigators that incorporate MiniPEG polar groups were found to be effective against $\alpha\beta_{1–40}$ fibrilligonesis. In addition, we further disclose that the number of
polar residues (six) and αAAs (three) in the original mitigator can be reduced without dramatically changing the ability to disrupt Aβ₁-₄₀ fibrillization in vitro.

1.3 Background

1.3.1 Protein Folding and the Evolution of Diseases

Protein folding is crucial for sustaining cellular functions.¹³, ¹⁴ Amino acid sequence, mutations and environmental conditions such as temperature, oxidative agents and pH determine whether proteins misfold. When proteins fail to fold, they are degraded by proteasome yielding unfolded nonfunctional proteins (non-native protein). The unfolded proteins are thermodynamically unstable and tend to aggregate to regain stability. The protein aggregates formed are deposited either in the body system or on organs leading to amyloidosis diseases (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1. Examples of protein misfolding diseases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Precursor protein</th>
<th>Deposition location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
<td>β-Amyloid, Tau</td>
<td>Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
<td>α-synuclein</td>
<td>Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington’s disease</td>
<td>Huntington</td>
<td>Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (mad cow)</td>
<td>Prion protein</td>
<td>Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis</td>
<td>Superoxide dismutase</td>
<td>Brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Amylin, IAPP</td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis</td>
<td>Lipoprotein</td>
<td>Arteries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell anemia</td>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>Erythrocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal amyloidosis</td>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>Crystalinm</td>
<td>Eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senile systemic amyloidosis</td>
<td>Transthyretin</td>
<td>Microvasculature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection-localized amyloidosis</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Skin, muscles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deposits localized in the regions of the brain, including the central nervous system, have been linked to a number of neurogenerative diseases that cause dementia. Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Prion diseases, Huntington’s disease, and Parkinson’s disease,\textsuperscript{14-17} are all linked to a particular protein (Table 1). The most prevalent and most studied neurodegenerative disease is AD.

1.3.2 Alzheimer’s Disease

1.3.2.1 Historical Background

Alzheimer’s disease is the most prevalent cause of dementia in elderly humans worldwide. The disease is named after the German neurologist, Alois Alzheimer (1864–1915)\textsuperscript{18-20} who studied a 51-year-old woman who had developed memory, language and behavioral problems from 1901-1906. Upon her death, he examined her brain during autopsy, and noted unusual thick bundles of nerve fibers and the destruction of nerve cells. He concluded that the changes that occurred in her brain indicated a new disease.\textsuperscript{21} It was not until 1910 when the disease was named after the German neurologist. The symptoms Alois Alzheimer described are still being diagnosed in most patients with the disease today.\textsuperscript{22}

1.3.2.2 Alzheimer Disease Prevalence

Alzheimer’s disease is a permanent, progressive neurodegenerative disease that gradually decimates memory and cognitive functions. It is the most common cause of dementia, accounting for more than half of all such cases, and the sixth leading cause of death in the United States. This year approximately 5.3 million people in the US have AD disease, out of which 5.1 million people are over 65 years in age.\textsuperscript{23} New cases of the disease are expected to double by the year 2050. The health care costs associated with the disease have tripled to more than $148 billion per year, stretching Medicare and Medicaid programs.\textsuperscript{23} Worldwide, AD affects more
than 24 million people, with 4.6 million new cases each year. The major risk factor for AD is age, with the disease prevalence increasing from 1 in 10 people for those over age of 65 to almost half of those over 85.\textsuperscript{24}

1.3.2.3 Etiology of AD Disease; \(\beta\)-Amyloid Hypothesis

Amyloid deposits which consist of neurofibrillar tangles and senile plaques characterize the development of AD in the brain.\textsuperscript{25} A Large body of evidence associates the density of senile plaques with the severity of dementia.\textsuperscript{25, 26} Also, there is significant evidence that shows involvement of Tau protein, the major component of neurofibrillar tangles in etiology of AD. The principal component of these plaques is \(\beta\)-amyloid peptide (\(A\beta\)), which is derived from proteolytic cleavage of the transmembrane protein, amyloid precursor protein (APP), by \(\beta\)-and \(\gamma\)-secretases. Apart from playing a central role as a precursor to \(A\beta\), APP have a number of additional functions such as autocrine and paracrine functions in growth regulation, neurite growth stimulation, stem cell regulation, and promotion of cell adhesion. Mounting evidence implies that perturbation of some of these functions may play a part in the etiology of AD.\textsuperscript{27-30} Cleavage of APP can occur at three different sites by \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), or \(\gamma\) secretases as shown in Figure 1.1. The larger extracellular segment of APP peptide is cleaved first by \(\beta\)- or \(\alpha\)-secretase, producing membrane bound \(\beta\)- or \(\alpha\)-C-terminal fragments. Subsequent cleavage of the \(\beta\)- and \(\alpha\)-C-terminal fragments within the membrane by \(\gamma\)-secretase releases A\(\beta\) and p3 peptides respectively into the extracellular domain. Two isoforms of A\(\beta\) are often produced depending on \(\gamma\)-secretase cleavage site; A\(\beta_{1-40}\) (between 40 and 41) and A\(\beta_{1-42}\) (between 42 and 43). The other by-product of \(\gamma\)-cleavage is the cytoplasmic polypeptide, AICD that so far has not been investigated for any role in the etiology of AD.
It is widely accepted that Aβ plays a central role in the etiology of AD, although the mechanism of neurotoxicity has not been fully established.\textsuperscript{13, 20, 31-33} Several human, transgenic animal, and in vitro studies have supported the central role of Aβ in etiology of AD. For instance, synthetic Aβ has been shown to be toxic to neurons both in vivo\textsuperscript{34} and in vitro experiments.\textsuperscript{35-38} Also, there was an increase in tau hyperphosphorylation when transgenic mice were injected with synthetic Aβ, leading to formation of tangles.\textsuperscript{39, 40} Monomeric Aβ is nontoxic until it aggregates to form an array of oligomeric and fibrillar structures. Therefore identifying and characterizing the toxic Aβ species is crucial so that compounds that target them can be developed.

\textbf{Figure 1.1.} Cleavage of APP molecule by aspartyl proteases

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1}
\caption{Cleavage of APP molecule by aspartyl proteases}
\end{figure}
1.3.2.4 Aβ Aggregation

The peptide Aβ is a normal, soluble component of human plasma and cerebrospinal fluid. It is toxic only after it undergoes aggregation and/or conformation change to higher ordered species such as oligomers or fibrils. The most common isoforms of Aβ are Aβ40 and Aβ42, which differ only by the length of the C terminus. The concentration of secreted Aβ42 is about 10% that of Aβ40, and yet the predominant component of senile plaques is Aβ42. Biochemical studies have shown that Aβ42 aggregates and forms fibrils more quickly than Aβ40. Monomers, dimers, trimers, and tetramers, in rapid equilibrium are the major early aggregation products of Aβ40. In contrast, Aβ42 preferentially form pentamer and hexamer units (paranuclei) that assemble further to form beaded superstructures, similar to early protofibrils. This could explain why assemblies formed by Aβ42 are more toxic as compared to those formed by Aβ40 during early stages of oligomerization. Also, over expression of the two isoforms in transgenic mice demonstrated that Aβ42 is involved in amyloid pathology.

In vitro, synthetic Aβ has been shown to aggregate via various intermediate species including soluble oligomers, protofibrils, and insoluble fibrils. This process depends on a number of aggregation conditions, such as acidic pH, metal ions, osmolytes, and interaction with lipid membranes. The mechanism of Aβ aggregation into the toxic species has been shown to proceed via two distinct pathways. In the presence of preformed fibrils or plaques, Aβ aggregates by consecutive association onto the ends of existing fibrils. However, in the absence of seeding, Aβ monomers aggregate into fibrils by a two step-polymerization process; nucleation and elongation, in which nucleation is the rate determining step. The nucleation phase is a result of an energy barrier that must be overcome in order for Aβ monomers
to fold into nuclei. Once the nuclei have been generated, rapid elongation of fibrils occurs in the linear phase.

**Figure 1.2.** The nucleation process of fibrillogenesis.

Computer simulation experiments have also shown that monomeric Aβ peptides undergo a two-step process that is reliant on the degree of hydrophobicity. In the first step, due to strong interactions between hydrophobic residues monomers coalesce quickly into disordered oligomers. The disordered assemblies reorganize (conformational change) slowly through formation of interchain hydrogen bonds into ordered oligomers rich in β-sheets. Because of conformational change, the hydrophobic residues buried inside the oligomers are exposed to interaction with cellular components such as membranes. This could explain the toxic nature of oligomers. When hydrophobicity is inadequate to drive coalescence to disordered oligomers, peptides form ordered oligomers directly as a result interchain of hydrogen bonds. Temperature and concentration are other factors that affect formation of disordered assemblies.
The morphology of amyloid fibrils formed by various amyloidal proteins such as Aβ, α-synuclei, amylin, and β-microglobulin has been studied using atomic force microscopy (AFM). A hierarchical assembly model (HAM) has been proposed to describe the assembly process of amyloid proteins into fibrils. The HAM model predicts that a monomeric amyloid protein aggregates via a nucleated polymerization mechanism into protofilaments, which elongate by addition of monomers at the ends of protofilaments. Two or three protofilaments can associate to form protofibrils that intertwine to form fibrils. In general, the HAM model predicts that fibrils and protofibrils will exhibit clumping, periodicity, variations in height, and branching.

1.3.2.5 Neurotoxicity of Aβ

The original “amyloid cascade” hypothesis linked the deposition of fibrillar Aβ in the brain to neuronal cell death. However, some key observations including poor correlation between deposition of plaques and severity of dementia contradicts this hypothesis. Therefore, the amyloid cascade hypothesis was amended to include soluble oligomeric assemblies rather than fibrils as the main neurotoxic species. This was because a number of studies have shown a stronger correlation between soluble oligomers and severity of AD than fibrils. In addition, transgenic mice APP models exhibited memory and synaptic dysfunction long before plaques (fibrils) were detected.

The solubility and small sizes of oligomeric species is believed to be a crucial factor as to why oligomers are more toxic than fibrils. In addition, the higher surface area to volume ratio exhibited by oligomers as compared to fibrils ensures maximum interaction with neurons, hence increased neurotoxicity. The interaction between oligomers and neurons has been shown to occur by either insertion of oligomers between membrane layers to generate cytotoxic pores, or binding of oligomers specifically to neuron surfaces as ligands.
Oligomeric assemblies consist of curvilinear structures (protofibrils) that normally appear as beaded strings and globular particles with heights ranging from 2-5 nm. Protofibrils were identified several years ago as intermediates that form during the Aβ assembly process into fibrils, and protofibrils have been shown to kill neurons. More recently, globular oligomers were renamed amyloid–β-derived diffusible ligands (ADDLs) to emphasize their globular nature but also to differentiate from the general “oligomers” that include nontoxic assemblies. The ADDLs were initially discovered from aging Aβ1-42 in the presence of clusterin when no fibrils were formed and yet a dramatic increase in toxicity was observed. Only nanomolar concentrations of Aβ1-42 are needed to make stable ADDLs that have units ranging from trimers to 24-mers. The hypothesis that ADDLs plays a crucial role in AD is gaining momentum. This is specifically because ADDLs bind neuronal receptors inducing synaptic dysfunction rather than the neuronal death caused by fibrils and plaques. Other general consequences caused by Aβ aggregates include alteration of the physiological properties of membranes, membrane-mediated triggering of neuronal cell death, lipid peroxidation, and calcium-permeable membrane ion-channels. Although increasing evidence points to oligomeric species as the more toxic species, a general strategy to prevent AD may be to interrupt the assembly of Aβ into these toxic forms.

1.3.2.6 Strategies for Designing Molecules That Target Aβ

Considerable research effort has focused on discovery of inhibitor compounds that block the toxicity of Aβ, by targeting a specific step involved in Aβ aggregation. Given the hypothesis that aggregation intermediates are responsible for Aβ toxicity, such compounds could theoretically prevent all aggregation, or alternatively cause further association of toxic oligomers into larger nontoxic aggregates.
Several approaches are under development against production of Aβ and related aggregates. These include inhibition of proteases involved in cleavage of Aβ from APP, antibody therapy through passive immunizations using anti-Aβ antibodies, non-antibody based natural mechanism, small molecules, and peptides. Peptide-based Aβ interacting molecules are the focus of this dissertation. Interest in peptides has intensified because they are generally more potent, and show higher specificity and fewer toxicology problems than smaller molecules. Peptide-based drugs approved by the FDA include natural peptides, such as insulin, vancomycin, oxytocin, and cyclosporine and synthetically produced ones, such as Fuzeon (enfuvirtide) and Integrilin (eptifibatide). The development of new non-oral drug delivery methods such as subcutaneous and intranasal implants has increased the interest in peptide-based drugs.

One approach is to exploit the self-recognition features of Aβ to generate compounds that can interact specifically with Aβ. Tjenberg et al. identified residues 16-20 (KLVFF) to be essential for fibril formation. Pentapeptide KLVFF was also found to be the minimum sequence required to bind Aβ40/42. Several groups have developed amyloid aggregation mitigating peptides (AAMPs) based on the KLVFF motif that alter aggregation kinetics or aggregate morphologies of Aβ. The common design of these AAMPs is to use the KLVFF core as a recognition element, since the initial assembly of Aβ into oligomeric assemblies is controlled by hydrophobic interactions through side chains. Several modifications of the KLVFF motif reported include amino acid substitutions with regular amino acids, α-carbon modification of some amino acid residues, KLVFF terminal modification using polar groups, peptide backbone atoms modification with ester, isostructural double bond, N-methylation, peptide cyclization with cystines, and insertion of D-amino acids. The design strategy
employed both α-carbon and C- or N-terminal modifications to yield AAMPs that we show to be potent mitigators of Aβ fibrillization.12

1.3.2.7 Structures of Aβ Assemblies

![Structural model of the protofilament in Aβ fibril derived from Tycko et al.](image)

**Figure 1.3.** Structural model of the protofilament in Aβ_{1-140} fibril derived from Tycko et al. *Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics* 2006, 39, (1), 1-55.

Recent experiments have identified different types of Aβ oligomers that include SDS stable dimers and trimers, protofibrils, annular assemblies, amylospheroids, Aβ-derived diffusible ligands, and Aβ*56, all of which have different sizes and morphologies.40 This suggests that several oligomeric species may be involved in the Aβ fibrilization process and neurotoxicity. The structural characterization of oligomers has not been reported because of their noncrystalline nature. Unlike oligomers, fibrils have a well-defined structure. Tycko
through solid-state NMR studies has shown that Aβ fibrils favor C2 symmetry.108, 109 The symmetry is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions between the two parallel sheets adopted by single Aβ molecules and between two separate Aβ molecules. A salt bridge between Asp23 and Lys28 also contributes to the stability of the fibril structure.110 Fibrils formed by longer forms of Aβ (Aβ1-40 and Aβ10-35) were more likely to consist of parallel β-sheets as compared to antiparallel β-sheets for fibrils formed by shorter peptide segments, Aβ16-22, Aβ11-25, and Aβ34-42.110

1.4 Conclusion

Alzheimer’s disease AD is a neurodegenerative disease that affects nearly 5.3 million people in the US and new cases of the disease are expected to double by the year 2050. There is still no cure for the disease, but drugs in the market act only to slow down progression of the disease. Current approaches to the development of possible therapeutic involve targeting of secretases responsible for secretion of Aβ as well as the toxic structures formed during Aβ assembly into fibrils. Oligomeric and protofibrillar assemblies have been shown to be more toxic to neurons than fibrils. Unlike fibrils, no structural data has been reported for oligomeric structures. Structural determination of oligomers is urgently needed in order to find ways of stabilizing or disrupting their formation. Peptide-based drugs offer great potential because of their specificity and limited side effects as compared to small molecules, especially with the development of non-oral methods of drug delivery. The lethality of AD and the excessive financial and social cost associated with it require that all possible leads be pursued.

1.5 References


CHAPTER 2
SYNTHESIS OF ALPHA, ALPHA-DISUBSTITUTED AMINO ACIDS (ααAAS) AND THEN INCORPORATION INTO SHORT PEPTIDES

2.1 Introduction

Peptide-protein or protein-protein interactions play an important role in many cellular processes, hence what is an increasingly popular source for the design of inhibitors. One approach involves designing short model inhibitor peptides that will interact with specific residues in the target molecule.\(^1\) Such model peptides allow elucidation of the roles of the target molecules in cellular function as potential targets for development of new therapeutic agents. Tjernberg et al. identified residues KLVFF as critical for self recognition and fibril formation.\(^1\) Effective mitigators of Aβ assembly are reported to incorporate this region in their core sequence. For a mitigator to interact with Aβ, it has to adopt an extended conformation similar to Aβ. C\(^{\alpha,\alpha}\)-disubstituted glycine residues (ααAAs) have been shown to promote helical or extended conformation depending on substituent’s at the α-carbon. Thus, short peptides incorporating one or more ααAAs adopt an extended conformation with two faces where one face containing ααAAs blocks the necessary hydrogen bonds required for β-sheet extension and the other face is available for interaction with Aβ. In addition, ααAAs increase the stability of ααAAs-containing peptides from proteolytic degradation, enhancing their potential as therapeutic agents. This dissertation will focus mainly on synthesis of the ααAAs dibenzylglycine (Dbg), dipropylglycine (Dpg) and diisobutylglycine (Dibg) and their incorporation into model peptides as possible mitigators of Aβ assembly. We hypothesize that these ααAAs that have larger side chains than methyl groups when incorporated into a model peptide will induce extended peptide conformations. Thus, peptides containing ααAAs would have strong affinity for β-sheet assemblies and block one punative face from hydrogen bonding with another β-sheet moiety.
The inhibitor peptides consist of hydrophobic core of Aβ16-20 where ααAAs are substituted into hydrophobic core of Aβ at key positions.

2.2 Experimental Section

2.2.1. Materials and Instruments

2.2.1.1 Materials

Fmoc-protected amino acids and Fmoc-PAL-PEG-PS support (initial loading 0.16-0.22 mmol/g) were purchased from Applied Biosystems (Foster, CA), Novabiochem (Darmstadt, Germany), or Peptide international (Louisville, KE). N-[(dimethylamino)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazolo[4, 5-b]pyridino-1-ylmethylene]-N-methylmethanaminium hexafluorophosphate N-oxide (HATU), 7-azabenzotriazol-1-yloxy-tris-(pyrrolidino) phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyAOP), N-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt), 1-Hydroxy-7-Azabenzotriazole (HOAt), O-Benzotriazole-N,N,N’,N’-tetramethyl-uronium-hexafluoro-phosphate (HBTU), and O-(Benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N’,N’-tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate were obtained from Applied Biosystems (Foster CA) or GL Biochem Ltd (Shanghai, China). N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC), bis(2-oxo-3-oxazolidinyl)phosphonic chloride (BOP-Cl), triisopropylsilane (TIPS), trifluoroacetic acis (TFA), benzyl bromide, ethyl nitroacetate, Diisopropylethylamine (redistilled), liquefied phenol and solvents (drum) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI). Diisopropylethylamine (DIEA), piperidine (4-methylpiperidine) and collidine were obtained from Fisher Scientific. TLC plates and silica gel were purchased from sorbent technologies.

2.2.1.2 Instruments

- GC/MS: Varian Saturn 2200 GC/MS
• Mass Spectrometry: Bruker ProFLEX III MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer and Agilent 6210 time-of-flight MS

• Microwave: MARS System with XP-1500 PlusT vessels, the ESP-1500 Plus and RTP-300

Table 2.1 microwave-coupling conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Power (watts)</th>
<th>Coupling time</th>
<th>Hold temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ααAAs</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetrical anhydride</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural amino acid</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Peptide Synthesizer: MilliGen 9050 PepSynthesizer

• NMR: Bruker DPX-250 and Bruker AV-400

• Amino Acid Analysis: Dionex AAA-Direct instrumentation

• Circular dichroism (CD): Aviv Circular Dicroism Spectrometer Model 62DS

• HPLC: Waters
  
  Software:       Empower
  Controller:     Waters 600E (multisolvent delivery system)
  Pump:           Waters 625
  Detector:       Waters 486 UV detector set at 220 nm
  Columns:        Waters Delta-Pak C₄ (15µm, 100 Å), 8×100 mm for analytical HPLC
                  Waters Delta-Pak C₄ (15µm, 100 Å), 25×10 mm for preparative HPLC
  Buffer A:       H₂O, 0.1 % TFA (v/v)
  Buffer B:       ACN, 0.1 % TFA (v/v)
Table 2.2. Standard method for analytical HPLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Buffer A (%)</th>
<th>Buffer B (%)</th>
<th>Flow (ml/min)</th>
<th>UV (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>220 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3 Standard method for preparative HPLC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Buffer A (%)</th>
<th>Buffer B (%)</th>
<th>Flow (ml/min)</th>
<th>UV (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>220 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Synthesis of ααAAs

Facile synthetic routes for ααAAs are required to obtain enough amino acid for solid phase peptide synthesis of designed inhibitor peptides. Syntheses followed previously published procedures with some slight modifications. Fmoc reactions were carried out following Bolin procedure.²

2.2.2.1 Synthesis of Nα– Protected Dipropylglycine(Dpg)

2.2.2.1.1 5, 5-Dipropylhydantoin (1a)

Previously synthesized following bucherer-Bergs protocol for aldehydes or ketones.³ ⁴ A solution of 4-heptanone (20 g, 175.2 mmol), potassium cyanide (24 g, 367.6 mmol), and ammonium carbonate (37.2 g, 385.2 mmol) in methanol (100 mL) and water (100 mL) was heated at 50 ºC in a capped vessel for 36 h. The precipitated solid was filtered and washed with a +small portion of water and dried in air to yield the hydantoinhydantoin 1a as an off-white powder (25.0 g, 80% yield). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃) δ: 10.55 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 1.69 -1.57 (m, 4H), 1.43 -1.38 (m, 2H), 1.22 -1.19 (m, 2H), 0.88 - 0.85 (t, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 178.89, 157.71, 76.07, 66.49, 17.11, 14.77.
2.2.2.1.2 2, 2-Dipropylglycine (2a)

The hydantoin 1a (18 g, 97.8 mmol) was suspended in 5 N NaOH (272 mL, 1.36 mol), and refluxed for 40 h. The mixture was then cooled and acidified to pH 6.5 with concentrated HCL. The precipitate that formed was then filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and further washed with a small portion of acetone to remove the unreacted hydantoin. Both the filtrate residue and the solid that precipitated out upon acidification were extracted with warm ethanol several times. Dipropylglycine (Dpg) was obtained as a white solid upon removal of ethanol in vacuo. The free amino acid 2a was purified using Dowex 50X8-400 ion exchange resin, packed tightly in a büchner funnel connected to suction pressure. The resin was activated by rinsing with 2N HCl and then with water until the eluent was neutral. Dipropylglycine was dissolved in 2 N HCl, added to the activated resin, and rinsed with water (3 L) to remove all inorganic salts that were formed during acidification. The amino acid bound to the resin was washed off the resin with 2 N NH₄OH (2 L) and solvent removed in vacuo to yield 2a (15.6 g, 75%). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₀H₂₁NO₂: C, 60.35; H, 10.76; N, 8.80; Found: C, 60.14; N, 10.73; N, 8.73. ¹HNMR (250 MHz, CD₃SOCD₃) δ: 7.34(s, 2H), 1.51-1.10 (m, 8H), 0.87-0.78 (t, 6H).

2.2.2.1.3 9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl-2, 2-dipropylglycine (3a)

Trimethylsilylchloride (7.27 mL, 57.26 mmol) was added in one portion to a suspension of 2a (4.52 g, 28.63 mmol) in 45 mL of anhydrous CH₂CL₂, and refluxed for 8 h. The mixture was cooled in an ice bath then, N, N-diisopropylethylamine (9.85 mL, 57.26 mmol) and Fmoc chloride (6.73 g, 26.02 mmol) were added. The solution was stirred at 0°C for 30 min before the reaction was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred further for 24 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated in vacuo to provide an oil that was dissolved in DI water (60 mL), acidified to pH 2 with 12 N HCl, and then extracted with ethyl acetate (3×60 mL). The
combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO\textsubscript{4} and concentrated in \textit{vacuo} to provide a yellow solid which was triturated in hexanes to afford a white solid product \textbf{3a} (8.51 g, 86%).  \textsuperscript{1}HNMR (250 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}SOCD\textsubscript{3}) $\delta$: 10.68 (s, 1H), 7.91-7.88 (d, 2H), 7.71 - 7.69 (d, 2H), 7.44-7.29 (m, 4H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 4.28 - 4.21 (m, 3H), 1.76 - 1.74 (m, 4H), 1.20 - 1.10 (m, 2H), 0.86 - 0.82 (m, 6H). Anal. Calcd. for C\textsubscript{25}H\textsubscript{31}NO\textsubscript{4}: C, 74.42; H, 7.13; N, 3.67. Found: C, 72.57; H, 7.30; N, 3.80.

\textbf{2.2.2.2 Synthesis of N$^\alpha$-Protected Dibenzylglycine}

Dibenzylglycine was synthesized following previously reported protocol from ethyl nitroacetate.\textsuperscript{3}

\textbf{2.2.2.2.1 Ethyl 2-benzyl-2-nitro-3-phenylpropanoate (1b)}

To a solution of ethyl nitroacetate (1.0 g, 7.51 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) was added DIEA (2.0 g, 15.4 mmol) and Bu\textsubscript{4}N$^{+}$Br$^{-}$ (0.24 g, 0.75 mmol). To this clear yellow solution, benzyl bromide (2.6 g, 15.4 mmol) was added and the reaction spontaneously warmed to 60 °C over 5 min. After 20 min., DIEA•HBr precipitated out of solution. After 1 h, DIEA•HBr was filtered, washed with ether (100 mL), and the combined filtrate washed with H\textsubscript{2}O (5 x 50 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}) and ether removed under \textit{vacuo} to provide a yellow oil, which was of sufficient purity to be used in further reactions or could be purified by silica gel chromatography using pentane : ether (90:10) to provide a white solid \textbf{1b}, yield 0.55 g (55%).

\textbf{2.2.2.2.2 Ethyl 2, 2-dibenzylglycine ester (2b)}

To a solution of nitro ester \textbf{1b} (0.51 g, 1.80 mmol) in glacial AcOH (1mL) and absolute ethanol (10 mL), a catalytic amount of Raney Nickey 50 % (w/v) was added. The resulting mixture was reduced over hydrogen gas; pressure (50 psi) for 24 h. Raney Nickel was filtered over Celite cake and washed with 30 mL of ethanol. Ethanol was removed in \textit{vacuo} and the
crude product dissolved in 30 mL diethyl ether, washed with 10 mL (3X) saturated sodium carbonate, and then with 10 mL (3X) brine. The resulting organic fraction was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate for 45 min and ether removed under vacuo to give the crude product (0.32 g) which was purified via silica gel column chromatography using ethyl acetate: hexane (70:30).

**2.2.2.2.3 2, 2-Dibenzylglycine (3b)**

A suspension of dibenzylglycine ester 2b (0.90 mg, 0.35 mmol) in 2 M KOH (50 mL) and ethanol (25 mL) was refluxed under argon for 24 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated to 20 mL in vacuo and acidified to pH 6.5 using 12 N HCl. The white precipitate formed was filtered, washed with cold water (5 mL), and air dried to provide the free amino acid which was purified via ion exchange chromatography using cationic exchange Dowex 50X8-400 resin to afford 3b (430 mg, 1.68 mmol). ¹H NMR (250MHz, CD₃OD) δ: 7.26 - 7.3 (10H), 3.45 (d, 2H) 2.87 (d, 2H), 1.27 (s, 2H), Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₇NO₂: C, 75.27; H, 6.71; N, 5.48. Found: C, 75.12; H, 6.66; N, 5.59.

**2.2.2.2.4 Nαααα-(9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl)-2, 2-dibenzylglycine (4b)**

To a suspension of 3b (430 mg, 1.68 mmol) in dry DCM (6.45 mL) was added Trimethylsilyl chloride (108.64 mg, 3.36 mmol) and refluxed under argon for 8 h. The mixture was cooled to 0°C in an ice bath and DIEA (129.25 mg, 1.68 mmol) and Fmoc-Cl (390 mg, 1.51 mmol) were added. The reaction was allowed to warm to 25 °C and stirred for 30 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated in vacuo to provide a yellow solid, which was dissolved in water (30 mL), acidified to pH 2.0 with 12 N HCl, and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 35 mL). The combined ethyl acetate fractions were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo to provide a light yellow solid. The crude product was triturated in hexanes to afford a white
solid 4b in 75% yield. $^1$HNMR (250MHZ, CDCl$_3$) $\sigma$: 7.82-7.12 (m, 18H), 5.51 (s, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 4.30 (t, J = 7.0Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 13.6Hz, 2H), 3.25 (d, J = 13.6Hz, 2H).

$^{13}$CNMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\sigma$: 177.49, 155.03, 144.21, 141.74, 136.17, 130.13, 128.86, 128.17, 127.53, 125.60, 124.48, 120.42, 67.08, 66.94, 47.67, and 42.17. Anal. Calcd. for C$_{31}$H$_{27}$NO$_4$: C, 77.96; H, 5.70; N, 2.93. Found: C, 78.06; H, 5.63; N 2.47.

2.2.2.3 Synthesis of N$^\alpha$-Protected Diisobutylglycine

Diisobutylglycine was synthesized following previously reported protocol$^5$

2.2.2.3.1 Ethyl 2, 2 – Bis (2-methylallyl)-2-nitroacetate (1c).

To a solution of ethyl nitro acetate (10.0 g, 75.1 mmol) in dry THF (110 mL) were added 2-methylallyl acetate (18.0 g, 157.1 mmol) and Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ (4.5 g, 3.9 mmol). After 15 min, DIEA (20.1 g, 157.1 mmol) was added, and the reaction stirred under argon for 8 h at 50 °C. The resulting solution was filtered over Celite while washing with THF (2 x120 mL). The filtrate was concentrated, dissolved in 75 mL of EtOAc, and washed with 10% K$_2$CO$_3$ (50 mL). To the organic layer was added 6 g of PS-PPh$_3$ (1.3 mmol/g) Argonaut resin and the mixture was shaken for 25 min. The resin was filtered and the filtrate purified via column chromatography using hexane : EtOAc (80:20) to provide a light yellow oil 1c (7.65 g, yield 97%). $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) $\sigma$: 4.94 - 4.92 (m, 2H), 4.78 - 4.77 (m, 2H), 4.26 - 4.18 (q, 2H), 3.0 -2.99 (m, 4H), 1.69 (m, 6H), 1.29 - 1.23 (t, 3H).

2.2.2.3.2 Ethyl 2, 2-Diisobutylglycine Ester (2c).

To a solution of 1c (17.64 g, 72.9 mmol) in absolute ethanol (30 mL) were added glacial acetic acid (4 mL) and a 50 % (w/w) slurry of Raney nickel in water (6.0 g). The reaction was hydrogenated over H$_2$ gas (50 psi) for 24 h. The resulting solution was filtered carefully over Celite while washing with EtOH (2 x 50 mL). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude
dissolved in diethyl ether (80 mL), washed with saturated sodium carbonate (60 mL), and brine (60 ml). The resulting organic fraction was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and reduced under \textit{vacuo} to afford 2c (14.2g, 90% yield). $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 4.08 - 4.0 (q, 2H), 1.67 -1.59 (m, 6H), 1.40 -1.35 (m, 2H), 1.21-1.16 (t, 3H), 0.85 - 0.82 (m, 6H), 0.73 - 0.71 (m, 6H).

\textbf{2.2.2.3.3 2, 2-Diisobutylglycine(3c).}

A suspension of 2c (14.2 g, 65.7 mmol) in 3 M KOH (200 mL) and ethanol (100 mL) was refluxed under N$_2$ for 24 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated to 100 mL and acidified to pH 6.5 with 12 N HCl. The solvent was evaporated and the residue re-dissolved in a minimum amount of water (~50 mL). The mixture was added to an activated Dowex 50X8-400 ion-exchange resin (600 g) washed with 2 L of water and then eluted with methanol (300 mL) and 2 N NH$_4$OH (2 L). The collected 2 N NH$_4$OH fractions were evaporated in \textit{vacuo} to give the free amino acid 3c (9.5g, yield 83%) as a white powder. mp 224 - 227 °C; $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 7.3 (s, 2H), 1.76-1.70 (m, 2H), 1.62-1.43 (m, 4H), 0.86-0.83 (m, 12 H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ: 172.2, 62.7, 45.9, 25.0, 23.3, 23.0; Anal. Calcd. for C$_{10}$H$_{21}$NO$_2$: C 64.13; H, 11.30; N, 7.48. Found; C, 64.39; H, 11.84; N 7.60.

\textbf{2.2.2.3.4 Synthesis of N$^\alpha$-Protected Dibg. N-(9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl)-2, 2-diisobutylglycine(4c)}

Trimethylsilylchloride (8.8 g, 81.0 mmol) was added to a suspension of the amino acid 3c (7.4 g, 39.6 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (80 mL) and refluxed under N$_2$ for 8 h. The mixture was cooled to 0°C, and DIEA (10.5 g, 81.0 mmol) and Fmoc-Cl (10.0 g, 38.6 mmol) were added. The reaction was allowed to warm to 25 °C and stirred for 20 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated in vacuo to provide a yellow solid that was then dissolved in EtOAc (80 mL). To this mixture, water was added (40 mL), and the solution acidified to pH 2.0 with 2 N HCl. The
separated organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo to provide a light yellow solid. The crude product was triturated in hexanes to afford a white solid 4c (13.7 g, 88% yield): mp 132-133 °C; \(^1\)H NMR (250MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) \(\delta\): 7.90-7.28 (m, 8H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 4.33 (d, \(J\) 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.20 (t, \(J\) 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.03-1.97 (m, 2H), 1.63-1.48 (m, 4H), 0.80-0.76 (m, 12 H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO\(d_6\)) \(\delta\):175.7, 153.4, 143.7, 140.7, 127.6, 127.0, 125.0, 120.1, 64.9, 61.5, 46.7, 43.6, 23.8, 23.7, 23.1. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{25}\)H\(_{31}\)NO\(_4\): C, 73.32; H, 7.63; N, 3.42. Found: C, 73.50; H, 7.76; N 3.52.

2.2.3 Peptide Synthesis.

2.2.3.1 General

All peptides were synthesized by solid phase peptide synthesis (SPSS) on PAL-PEG-PS resin (0.16-0.22 mmol/g) or Rink amide resin (0.52 mmol/g) either manually or on automated pioneer peptide synthesizer.

2.2.3.2 Attachment of the First Residue on the Resin.

The resin is swollen in DMF or DCM for 20 min and drained before use. The first amino acid residue attached to the resin linker is always coupled twice to increase the overall peptide yield and prevent formation of C-terminally related truncated peptides.

2.2.3.3 Removal of the Fmoc Protective Group

A solution of 5 % piperidine and 2 % DBU in DMF (4 ml/g resin) is added to resin. The mixture is shaken for 2 min at room temperature and drained. This is repeated for 5 and 10 min before the resin is washed with DMF (5×1 mL) and DCE (5×1mL).

2.2.3.4 Amino Acid Coupling

Amino acid (4 equiv) and HBTU/HOBt (4 equiv each) is dissolved in 0.5 M DIEA and added to the unprotected resin (1 equiv) for 1 hr while shaking. The coupling mixture is drained and resin
washed with DMF (5×1 mL), and DCM (5×1 mL). For difficult couplings especially ααAAs, the stronger activating agents such as PyAOP/HOAt or HATU/HOAt were used and couplings carried out using DCE: DMF (2:1) as solvent and at elevated temperature (50°C). Small quantities of resin are tested for un-reacted amine with bromophenol blue test. If the test is positive, the coupling reaction is repeated

2.2.3.5 N-acylation of ααAAs Using Symmetrical Anhydrides.

10.0 equiv of amino acid (relative to resin) is dissolved in dry DCM under argon (drops of DMF may be needed for complete dissolution) and cooled to 0 °C. 5.0 equiv of DIC (relative to resin) are slowly added. The mixture is stirred for 30 min before DCM is evaporated and residue dissolved in a minimum amount of DCE: DMF (9:1). The mixture is added to the resin for 8 hr at 50°C while shaking. Resin is filtered, washed with (5×1 mL), (5×1 mL) and small quantities of resin are tested for un-reacted amine with bromophenol blue test. If the test is positive, the coupling reaction is repeated.

2.2.3.6 Capping of Unreacted Sites

Resin is capped specifically after difficult couplings using 0.2 M acetic acid and 0.28 M DIEA (24 mL) for 2 hr to terminate deletion sequences arising from unreacted sites. Capping solution is removed and resin washed with DMF (10×1mL).

2.2.3.7 Peptide Cleavage

Resins were treated with standard cleavage solution (trifluoroacetic acid: triisopropylsilane: water: phenol (8.8:0.2:0.5:0.5) at room temperature for 2 h to cleave the peptide from the solid support. After filtration, the filtrate is diluted in cold ethyl ether and allowed to co-precipitate overnight. The precipitate was centrifuged at 40,000 rpm, washed with
cold ethyl ether, and centrifuged for ten minutes (3x). The supernatant was filtered and the resulting pellet allowed to dry overnight yielding the crude product.

### 2.2.3.8 Fmoc Analysis

Coupling yields for each step were determined by detection of Fmoc-piperidine conjugate using a UV spectrophotometer at 300 nm and the absorbance reading was used to calculate the yield based on the new resin substitution as compared to the original. Also bromophenol blue (10% in acetonitrile) was used to monitor the presence of free amine; blue color, positive test while yellow color is a negative test.

### 2.2.3.9 Circular Dichroism Measurements

All measurements were carried out using an Aviv Circular Dichroism Spectrometer Model 62DS with Igor plotting software. The CD spectra are averages of three scans made at 1.00 nm intervals acquired from 250 nm to 190 nm (UV Abs range) recorded at 25°C.

### 2.3 Results and Discussion

#### 2.3.1 Synthesis of ααAAs

The ααAAs, Dibg, Dbg, and Dpg are achiral analogues of the natural amino acids leucine, phenylalanine, and alanine respectively (Scheme 1). The most common method of synthesizing ααAAs is via Bucherer-Bergs or Strecker syntheses, yet only Dpg was successfully synthesized using this method (Scheme 2). The only modification to this procedure was the longer reaction time, and improved work up procedures during the Fmoc reaction of 2a which resulted in dramatic increase in yield of 3a (68%) to 86%. The other amino acids, Dbg, and Dibg failed because they form sterically hindered hydantoins, which are extremely difficult to hydrolyze to free amino acids even under extremely harsh conditions.
This prompted development of other alternative synthetic routes to ααAAs such as glycine anion and cation equivalents. Nitroacetate esters have long been recognized as potentially useful synthetic intermediates for the preparation of amino acids as well as other biologically significant compounds.

Fu et al, devised a strategy that uses commercially available ethyl nitro acetate, tertiary base, and a catalyst to synthesize the dialkylated nitro esters such as ethyl 2, 2-dibenzylglycine ester 1b (Scheme 2). The nitro ester was then reduced to the free amine 2b using hydrogen gas in
presence of Raney nickel, followed by hydrolysis of the ester using KOH/EtOH to afford the free amino acid 3b. The N-terminal of 3b was protected with Fmoc protecting group to yield 4b, which was suitable for SPPS.

Scheme 3

The overall yields were consistent with those reported by Fu (Scheme 3). The only modification to this method was the workup procedure for extracting 4b from the reaction mixture. We found that some of the product was washed off during ether washings. To solve this, the crude product was directly dissolved in water, acidified to pH 2 (if necessary), and extracted with ethyl acetate to yield Fmoc protected dibenzyl glycine 4b in an improved yield of 75 %. Most of the intermediate synthetic steps were high yielding except the initial dialkylation of ethyl nitroacetate. The low yields dropped further to 30 % when the reaction was scaled up (30 %). The reaction conditions, change of base, and order of addition were optimized in an effort to improve the yields, and results are presented in Table 2.4. The overall strategy is to have a
A synthetic route that is simple, reproducible, and has the ability to be scaled up in order to synthesize enough amino acid for peptide synthesis.

**Table 2.4. Alkylation of ethyl nitroacetate.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ester</th>
<th>Bromide</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Scale of Ester (mmoles)</th>
<th>Order of addition</th>
<th>%Yield</th>
<th>Temp° C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂NCH₂CO₂Et</td>
<td>PhCH₂Br</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>Base first</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Room temp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂NCH₂CO₂E</td>
<td>PhCH₂Br</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>Base first</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂NCH₂CO₂E</td>
<td>PhCH₂Br</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>Base last</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Room temp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂NCH₂CO₂E</td>
<td>PhCH₂Br</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>Base first</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂NCH₂CO₂Et</td>
<td>PhCH₂Br</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>Base first</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Room temp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂NCH₂CO₂Et</td>
<td>PhCH₂Br</td>
<td>t-BuO-K+</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>Base first</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>Room temp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The yields were slightly improved when the reaction was carried out at 0° C as compared to room temperature (Table 2.4). Alkylation of ethyl nitroacetate is an exothermic reaction, and explains why higher yields are observed at 0° C as compared to room temperature. Changing the order of addition between the base and benzyl bromide showed no significant effect on the yield of dialkylated product. Further investigations of the extraction procedure, reveals that some of the product was detected in solvent waste during rotary evaporation, bringing into question the stability of 1b. This is supported by the improved yields obtained when benzyl bromides having electron withdrawing groups were used. Microwave irradiation technology has been introduced to organic synthesis, and in particular has been successfully employed to hydrolyze sterically hindered hydantoins. Thus Dbg, which previously failed because of hydantoin hydrolysis problems, can now be synthesized via Bucherer-Bergs or Strecker syntheses, the most common method of synthesizing ααAAs.

Synthesis of Dibg was accomplished via Palladium mediated diallylation of ethyl nitro acetate to obtain the dialkylated nitro ester 1c in 97% yield (Scheme 4). The other synthetic steps were the same as for Dbg (scheme 3). This synthetic route is facile and efficient.
as depicted by the high yields in all the reaction steps. The only modification to this procedure was the amount of palladium catalyst used, which was reduced to 1% without affecting the yield of 1c. This also helps to reduce the amount of polystyrene bound triphenyl phosphine needed to scavenge the palladium catalyst. This leads to a more facile separation in addition to cost reduction.

Scheme 4

2.3.2 Peptides Synthesis

Incorporation of ααAAs is a recognized way of inducing secondary structure in peptides. Peptides without ααAAs were easily synthesized using HBTU or TBTU/HOBt coupling chemistry in good yields and purity at room temperature. Peptides incorporating ααAAs were coupled using various coupling chemistries. All natural amino acids before any ααAAs were coupled using HBTU or TBTU/HOBt, but those incorporated after ααAAs were generally difficult to couple, hence coupled using PyAOP/HOAt activating agent. The less sterically hindered Dpg has been incorporated into peptides via conventional coupling methods in good
yields. Coupling of Dpg were accomplished using PyAOP/HOAt chemistry at 50°C and were re-coupled. Incorporation of the more sterically hindered ααAAs such as Dbg and Dibg into peptides was more problematic. Fu et al\(^9\) reported optimal coupling techniques necessary for the incorporation of sterically hindered Dbg and Dibg into peptide sequences. Coupling of Dbg was achieved using PyAOP/HOAt chemistry while Dibg was coupled via HATU/HOAt chemistry at elevated temperature and were re-coupled.

The real difficulty mainly stems from the inefficient acylation of the ααAAs N-termini. Fu also reported that acylation of the N-terminus of these ααAAs is possible via the symmetrical anhydride method in the absence of a base.\(^12\) However, we continue to have a lower coupling yields for acylation of N-terminus of ααAAs especially Dbg. Design of peptides incorporating ααAAs, places valine after Dbg, which has an isopropyl side chain that we suspect is responsible for the lower yields. Lysine was easily coupled to Dibg via symmetrical anhydrides. Lysine has n-side chain as compared to sterically hindered valine.

The low coupling could be because of poor yields of valine (30%) as compared to lysine (60%) symmetrical anhydrides. Coupling yields for each coupling step were determined by Fmoc analysis using a UV spectrometer at 300 nm. Also, bromophenol blue was used to monitor any unreacted sites. Blue color is a positive test for presence of free amine and yellow is negative test. All the reaction conditions, equivalents, solvents, ratios, and bases for coupling of each amino acid are listed in Table 2.5. The peptide was cleaved from the resin using trifluoroacetic acid, precipitated out using cold ether, dried, and analyzed using analytical HPLC and MALDI-MS or ESI. The various AAMPs were synthesized following a general synthetic route (Figure 2.1). Peptides without ααAAs were generally synthesized in good yields and purity as analyzed by analytical HPLC.
Figure 2.1. General synthetic route for the synthesis of AAMPs

- **Deprotection of α-amino protecting group**
- **Peptide**
  - X - Temporary protecting group
  - Y - Permanent protecting group
  - A - Carboxyl activating group

**Conversion of monomer to final product**

**Repeat**
Table 2.5. General reagents and conditions for coupling of various amino acids to a peptide sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Resin equiv.</th>
<th>Amino acid equiv.</th>
<th>Activator</th>
<th>Activator equiv.</th>
<th>base</th>
<th>Base equiv.</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Temp °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural amino acids</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HBTU/HOBt</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dpg, Dbg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PyAOP/CHOAt</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dbg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PyAOP/CHOAt</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>DCE:DMF (2:1)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibg</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HATU/ HOAt</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>DCE:DMF (2:1)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acylation of ααAAs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>DCC, DIC, BOP-Cl</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>No base</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DCE:DMF (9:1)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peptide AAMP-19 is presented as an example to show clean synthesis for peptides without ααAAs incorporated in their sequence. The chromatogram for AAMP-19 (Figure 2.2) shows a single peak with \( R_t = 26.5 \) min, and was confirmed by ESI-MS as the expected mass ion peak (837.1 mass units). Purification and mass spectrometry data for various AAMPs are presented in Table 2.6.

Synthesis of peptides incorporating ααAAs amino acids were more challenging. For example, our first attempt to synthesize AAMP-2 failed as no peaks from analytical HPLC crude (Figure 2.3A) matched the calculated mass ion peak of 1652 mass units for AAMP-2. The major peak at \( R_t = 32.67 \) corresponded to the peptide (DbgPheDpgK6) with Val, Leu, and Lys deleted. This shows the difficulty of acylating the C-terminus of ααAAs. One major reason for the failed synthesis was the low concentration of the coupling reagents (0.08M). The peptide was re-synthesized using 0.3M concentrations of coupling reagents. Analytical crude HPLC spectrum (Figure 2.3B) for the re-synthesized peptide showed a peak at \( R_t = 36.5 \) which corresponded with the expected molecular ion peak of 1652 mass units.
Figure 2.2. HPLC chromatograms of AAMP-19 [A] Analytical HPLC for pure peptide; [B] Analytical HPLC for peptide synthesized using microwave irradiation; [C] Analytical HPLC for peptide synthesized using conventional heating method. HPLC conditions for A: 20-40% B in 30 min, 10-70% B in 80 min for B and 10-70% B in 60 min.

However, the major peak at Rt = 43.5 matched the molecular ion peak for deletion peptide detected earlier. Further improvements in coupling conditions such as change of coupling solvents, coupling reagents, were unsuccessful. The real problem with the coupling arises from poor acylation of the sterically hindered ααAAs, and especially with valine. Couplings yields showed were slightly increased when valine was replaced with less sterically hindered alanine as measured by UV Fmoc analysis. To improve the yields microwave irradiation shown recently to exponentially increase rate of reactions resulting in higher yields in a short time was employed for difficult couplings.
Microwave technology has been used in organic chemistry since early 1980 for various chemical reactions that require heating.\(^{13-17}\) The first application of microwave technology to peptide synthesis was reported in 1992.\(^{18}\) Microwave irradiation takes advantage of certain solvents to convert electromagnetic radiation into heat. Growing peptide chains during conventional synthesis have a propensity to aggregate. However, during microwave irradiation, the peptide chains are in constant random motion breaking up any aggregation. Microwave heating is increasingly used in peptide synthesis because coupling yields are significantly increased in a shorter time. Microwave heating was applied to coupling of sterically hindered amino acids and its acylation on the N-terminus. We found increased yields for Dbg coupling and Val using microwave irradiation, and various coupling methods were investigated to optimize efficient synthesis of AAMPs. Microwave irradiation technology was used to synthesize AAMPs with \(\alpha\alpha\)AAs incorporated into their sequences. Synthesis of AAMP-8 (KLVDbgFAK\(_{8}\)) with Dbg involved coupling the first 8 natural amino acids at room temperature.
Table 2.6. MALDI-MS results of inhibitor peptides analogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>AAMPs</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Exact mass</th>
<th>Observed mass (M+H)^+</th>
<th>t_R (min)</th>
<th>% Peptide content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AAMP-0</td>
<td>KLVFFK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1419.98</td>
<td>1420.99</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-1</td>
<td>KDibgVDbgFDpgK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1707.21</td>
<td>1708.21</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-2</td>
<td>KLVDbgFDpgK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1651.14</td>
<td>1652.15</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-3</td>
<td>KDibgVFFDpgK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1617.16</td>
<td>1618.16</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-4</td>
<td>KDibgVDbgFAK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1637.13</td>
<td>1638.17</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-5</td>
<td>KDibgVFFAK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1547.08</td>
<td>1548.09</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-6</td>
<td>KLVAFDpgK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1561.10</td>
<td>1562.10</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AAMP-7</td>
<td>KLVFDpgK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1414.03</td>
<td>1415.05</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AAMP-8</td>
<td>KLDpgFFAK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1533.06</td>
<td>1535.07</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAMP-9</td>
<td>KLVFDpgK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1414.03</td>
<td>1415.05</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AAMP-10</td>
<td>DibgVFFAK\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>1418.99</td>
<td>1419.99</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AAMP-11</td>
<td>RGKLVFFGR</td>
<td>1077.66</td>
<td>1078.67</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>AAMP-12</td>
<td>KGKLVFFGK</td>
<td>1021.63</td>
<td>1022.65</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AAMP-13</td>
<td>EGKLVFFGE</td>
<td>1023.54</td>
<td>1024.54</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AAMP-14</td>
<td>mPEGGKLVFFGmPEG</td>
<td>1055.56</td>
<td>1056.61</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AAMP-15</td>
<td>RRRGKLVFFGRRR</td>
<td>1702.06</td>
<td>1703.07</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>AAMP-16</td>
<td>KLVFFGR</td>
<td>864.53</td>
<td>865.54</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>AAMP-17</td>
<td>KLVFFGmPEG</td>
<td>853.51</td>
<td>854.52</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>AAMP-18</td>
<td>KLVFFGK</td>
<td>836.53</td>
<td>837.54</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>AAMP-19</td>
<td>RGKLVFF</td>
<td>864.53</td>
<td>865.54</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>AAMP-20</td>
<td>KLVFFDpgGK</td>
<td>977.64</td>
<td>978.65</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>AAMP-21</td>
<td>KGKDibgVFFGK</td>
<td>1077.71</td>
<td>1079.1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>AAMP-22</td>
<td>KDibgVFFDpgGKKK</td>
<td>1289.88</td>
<td>1290.90</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>AAMP-23</td>
<td>KKKGKLVFFDpgGKKK</td>
<td>1676.12</td>
<td>1677.14</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>AAMP-24</td>
<td>KDibgVFFAGKKK</td>
<td>1120.80</td>
<td>1121.76</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>AAMP-25</td>
<td>KLVFFDpgGKKK</td>
<td>1233.82</td>
<td>1234.84</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>A\beta16-22m</td>
<td>KL(Me)VF(Me)FA(Me)E</td>
<td>895.84</td>
<td>896.85</td>
<td>22.88</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The other amino acids were coupled using microwave heating. Conventional synthesis of the AAMP-8 was carried out to compare the two methods. Analysis of their analytical spectrums (Figure 2.4) shows an increase in the peptide peak when microwave heating (Figure 2.4B) was used ($R_t = 34.5$) as compared to the conventional heating (Figure 2.4C) method ($R_t = 36.5$).

**Figure 2.4** HPLC chromatograms of AAMP-7. [A] Analytical HPLC for pure peptide; [B] Analytical HPLC for peptide synthesized using microwave irradiation; [C] Analytical HPLC for peptide synthesized using conventional heating method. HPLC conditions for A: 20-40% B in 30 min, 10-70% B in 60 min for B and C.

Coupling of the carboxyl group of $\alpha\alpha$AAs Dbg to a growing peptide chain and acylation of the N-terminal $\alpha\alpha$AAs was previously shown to be difficult in both solution and solid phase peptide synthesis. Various coupling methods were investigated for coupling of Dbg and Val
during synthesis of AAMP-7 by determining the yields using UV analysis at 300 nm of Fmoc-deprotection. The first eight amino acids were coupled via automated peptide synthesis and coupling of Dbg and Val, which follows, was then studied using the various coupling methods (Table 2.7).

**Table 2.7 Coupling of Dbg and then Val onto Phe-Phe-Ala-(Lys)$_6$ (AAMP-7)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Coupling agent</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>temp</th>
<th>yield</th>
<th>Equiv.</th>
<th>Conc. (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dbg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>collidine</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HATU/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PyAOP/HOAt</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>BOP-Cl</td>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DiC</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>BOP-Cl</td>
<td>DMF:DCE</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Higher yields for Dbg and Val coupling were obtained in a short time when microwave irradiation was used as compared to conventional heating. Coupling of Fmoc-Dbg-OH onto the N-terminus of the Phe on the resin using PyAOP/HOAt was more effective than HATU/HOAt (entry 4 and 5). The strength of the base used affected the coupling yields of Dbg where higher yields were realized when DIEA (entry 2) was used as compared to collidine (entry 3). There was no significant change in yields with longer coupling time (entry 1 and 2), temperature (entry 1 and 4, and solvent mixture (entry 1 and 4). Higher Dbg coupling yields at room temperature were observed with increased concentration of coupling agents/amino acid (entry 8 vs 9) or
equivalents of amino acid (entry 7 vs 8). Acylation of Dbg N-terminus was previously coupled with higher yields using Fmoc-Val symmetric anhydride as compared to PyAOP or HATU coupling methods. Higher yields were observed for Val coupled earlier (second) in the peptide sequence as compared to the lower yields we report for Val coupled later in the synthesis (tenth). Yields for Val were increased when microwave irradiation (entry 10-13) was used as compared to conventional heating (entry 14-16). Fmoc-Val-OH symmetrical anhydrides obtained from DCC (entry 10, 11) or DIC (13) used to acylate Dbg N-terminus gave higher yields as compared to BOP-Cl (entry 12). Similarly, higher yields for coupling Val using Fmoc-Val symmetrical anhydrides were observed when microwave irradiation was used as compared to conventional heating.

![HPLC chromatograms of AAMP-21](image)

**Figure 2.5.** HPLC chromatograms of AAMP-21. [A] Analytical HPLC for pure peptide; [B] Analytical HPLC for peptide synthesized using microwave irradiation; [C] Analytical HPLC for peptide synthesized using conventional heating method. HPLC conditions for A: 20-40% B in 30 min, 10-50% B in 60 min for B and 10-70% B in 60 min for C.
Another example to show the success of microwave technology was synthesis of AAMP-21 (KDibgVFFGK) containing Dibg. The HPLC spectrum from peptides synthesized by conventional synthesis showed two closely related peaks (Figure 2.5C). The peak with Rt = 30.5 matched the expected mass ion peak. The other taller peak Rt = 33.8 corresponded to deletion of Lys, consistent with the problem in acylating the N-terminus of ααAAs. However, using microwave irradiation method, the deletion peptide observed in conventional heating disappeared (Figure 2.5B) and a peak (37.8 min) that corresponded to the expected molecular ion increased.

2.3.3 Conformational Studies of Migators

Circular dichroism studies of sample of peptides were carried out to determine their aggregation behavior. The effect of the various AAMPs on Aβ assembly into β-sheet structures will be discussed later in chapters 3 and 4. The CD spectra for all AAMPs showed a characteristic random coil structure as shown by a large negative band near 200 nm and small positive band near 220 nm. There was no transition from random coil to β-sheet observed for all the AAMPs synthesized with varying concentrations. This suggests that the propensity for the AAMPs to aggregate is minimal, and that they do not misfiled or unfold at higher concentrations through a multistep folding process. There was no significant difference observed in the CD signal of mitigators containing ααAAs (Figures 2.6, 2.7 and 2.11) as compared to those without (Figures 2.8-2.10). Also, mitigators with different terminal modifications (C-, N- and C-, and N-) displayed similar CD signals (Figures 2.8-2.10).
Figure 2.6 Concentration studies of AAMP-5. CD spectra taken in 50 mM PBS (150mm NaCl); pH 7.4. Molar ellipticity- $[\theta]$ units- degcm$^2$dmol$^{-1}$.

Figure 2.7 Concentration studies of AAMP-6. CD spectra taken in 50 mM PBS (150mm NaCl); pH 7.4. Molar ellipticity- $[\theta]$ units- degcm$^2$dmol$^{-1}$. 
Figure 2.8 Concentration studies of AAMP-11. CD spectra taken in 50 mM PBS (150mm NaCl); pH 7.4. Molar ellipticity- [θ] units- degcm$^2$dmol$^{-1}$.

Figure 2.9 Concentration studies of AAMP-16. CD spectra taken in 50 mM PBS (150mm NaCl); pH 7.4. Molar ellipticity- [θ] units- degcm$^2$dmol$^{-1}$.

Figure 2.10 Concentration studies of AAMP-19. CD spectra taken in 50 mM PBS (150mm NaCl); pH 7.4. Molar ellipticity- [θ] units- degcm$^2$dmol$^{-1}$.
Figure 2.11 Concentration studies of AAMP-20. CD spectra taken in 50 mM PBS (150 mM NaCl); pH 7.4. Molar ellipticity - \([\theta]\) units - degcm\(^2\)dmol\(^{-1}\).

2.4 Conclusion

The various synthetic methods for \(\alpha\alpha\)AAs were improved by modifying specific steps. We found that ethyl 2-benzyl-2-nitro-3-phenylpropanoate (1b) was unstable to rotary evaporation, and the compound was detected in the waste. The focus of future work should be on the possibility of using microwave irradiation to hydrolyze the sterically hindered hydantoin. Solid phase peptide synthesis of AAMPs without \(\alpha\alpha\)AAs was achieved with high purity. Microwave technology was successfully used to synthesize AAMPs with \(\alpha\alpha\)AAs in higher yields than conventional methods. The CD spectral analysis shows that all AAMPs displayed a characteristic random coil structure that was stable to concentration changes. This is important for use as mitigators of the A\(\beta\) assembly process.

2.5 References


CHAPTER 3

STRUCTURE-ACTIVITY RELATIONSHIPS IN PEPTIDE MODULATORS OF AMYLOID β-PROTEIN AGGREGATION

3.1 Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease is a progressive, neurodegenerative disorder characterized by extracellular plaque deposits and neurofibrillary tangles. The plaque deposits are composed primarily of the 39-42 amino acids, natively unfolded amyloid β-peptide (Aβ) that is derived from proteolytic cleavage of the extracellular segment of the transmembrane amyloid precursor protein (APP).1-3 The normal physiological concentration of Aβ in the brain is less than 10 nM. However, the critical concentration for Aβ to aggregate in vitro into amylogenic forms is 1-10 µM.4, 5 Several hypotheses as to how Aβ aggregates in the brain below the critical concentration include concentration of Aβ to several orders of magnitude by membranes or organelles, lowering of critical concentration by acidic pH or metal ions, and covalent adducts between Aβ and oxidative metabolites allowing Aβ to aggregate at the nanomolar concentrations.5-7

Conversion of monomeric Aβ peptide into the aggregated products (oligomers, protofibrils and fibrils) in the brain is believed to be the vital event in AD pathology.8, 9 Fibrils were previously thought to be the species responsible for neuronal toxicity and cell death (amyloid cascade). However, growing evidence suggests that much smaller soluble oligomeric species present in the brain correlates better with severity of AD than plaques (fibrils).2, 10-16 Therefore assembly of Aβ into either oligomeric or fibrillic assemblies remains a rational target to reduce Aβ neurotoxicity. In addition, fibril dissolution agents could be possible targets for reducing plaque loads in the brain.

Several approaches aimed at reducing Aβ and its related aggregates in the brain are under development. This include inhibition of proteases involved in the cleavage of Aβ from the
amyloid precursor protein (APP), antibody therapy through passive immunizations using anti-Aβ antibodies, non-antibody based natural mechanism, small molecules, and peptides. Interest in peptide-based aggregation mitigators has intensified because peptides are generally more potent, show higher specificity, and fewer toxicology problems than smaller organic molecules. A number of peptide-based AAMPs that alter Aβ aggregation kinetics or aggregate morphologies have been developed. All of these peptide based mitigators exploit the self-interacting Aβ central hydrophobic core (Aβ16–20) as a recognition element since the assembly of Aβ oligomers is controlled by initial interaction of hydrophobic side-chains.

Several modifications on the hydrophobic core (Aβ16–20) aimed at designing AAMPs that enhance the disruption of fibril formation and/or induce fibril disassembly have been reported. Murphy and Kessling added an oligolysine tail to the Aβ16-20 hydrophobic core that enhanced Aβ aggregation rates resulting in nontoxic fibrillar species. More recently, RG/-RG polar groups added to N- and C- terminal proved to be effective inhibitors of fibril formation and protected SH-SY5Y from Aβ toxicity. Amide backbone modifications of Aβ16-20 core with N-methyl amino acids, ester linkages, and isostructural E-olefin bond disrupted fibril formation and induced disassembly of preformed fibrils. Also, Soto and coworkers designed the peptide LPFFD containing a single proline residue in the Aβ16-20 core which disrupt fibril formation, disassemble preformed fibrils, and increased cell viability. Although these AAMPs altered the Aβ fibrillization pathway by inducing different Aβ aggregate morphologies, the processes often required unusually high inhibitor/Aβ molar ratios.

Our design of AAMPs is also based on the Aβ16-20 hydrophobic core where some natural amino acids were replaced by the modified analogues (ααAAs). The α-carbon of the natural amino acid was modified by introducing a second similar side chain to form ααAAs. When
ααAAs are incorporated in short peptides, they are known to induce stable extended conformations which are ideal for interacting with Aβ through hydrogen bonding as well as by side-chain interactions. ααAAs are placed at alternating positions (i, i+2, i+4) which positions them on the same hydrogen bonding face of the extended peptide, sterically blocking it from further hydrogen bonding hence disrupting fibril propagation. Also, ααAAs with larger side chains impose restrictions of peptides that contain them. Thus, peptides incorporating ααAAs could be better disrupters of β-sheets than proline-containing mitigators.³⁶ Peptide mitigators containing ααAAs with methyl side chains have long been known to disrupt β-sheets.³⁷ Thus, we hypothesize than mitigators incorporating ααAAs with side chains larger than methyl groups should be effective disrupters of β-sheets (disassemble preformed fibrils).

We previously communicated that peptides containing ααAAs altered Aβ assembly pathway at stoichiometric and sub-stoichiometric concentrations resulting in non-fibrillic, non-toxic assemblies.³⁸ The two mitigators (AMY-1 and AMY-2 with hydrophilic Lys tail on C-and N-terminal respectively) mitigated Aβ₁-₄₀ fibril formation producing particles with different sizes. Herein, we show that the number and position of ααAAs in the recognition element (Aβ central hydrophobic core) of the original mitigator AAMP-1³⁸ is important in determining the effectiveness of the interaction of the AAMPs with Aβ. Also, we further examine the role of each ααAAs in AAMP-1 through the synthesis of AAMP-1 analogs where ααAAs were replaced by their natural amino acid analog (Leu for Dibg, etc). This Aβ₁-₄₀-AAMP interaction nucleates the size and morphology differences of the nanoparticles formed as determined by AFM and TEM. We also show that AAMPs alone do slowly aggregate forming exclusively spherical particles. This might provide insight into the mechanism by which they disrupt Aβ₁-₄₀
fibril formation. A major finding was that mitigators, which disrupted fibril formation, also disassembled preformed fibrils.

3.2 Materials and Methods

3.2.1 Peptide Synthesis

Samples of AAMPs were prepared from 9H-fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) amino acids using solid phase peptide synthesis (SPSS) on PAL-PEG-PS resin or Rink amide ChemMatrix resin. Couplings, Fmoc removal, and resin cleavage were carried out using previously described methods. The crude peptides were purified by reversed phase HPLC using a 10% to 70% B linear gradient over 60 min [Waters C4 100 Å column using Solvent A (water and 0.1% TFA) and solvent B (acetonitrile and 0.1% TFA)]. The purity of the peptides were checked by analytical HPLC, and the masses confirmed by ESI-MS. The percent peptide content was established by amino acid analysis. This was discussed in Chapter 2.

3.2.2 Peptide Monomerization

Lyophilized Aβ1-40 (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA.) was pretreated to form monomeric solutions following our previously published protocol. Briefly, Aβ1-40 was dissolved in neat trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at 1 mg/mL and sonicated for 10-20 min. Removal of TFA using a centrivac yielded a dark yellow oil, which was re-dissolved in 1 mL of hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) at 1 mg/mL and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Removal of HFIP yielded a white powder, which was re-dissolved in HFIP and split into 0.25 mg fractions based on the assumption that the mass of Aβ1-40 was 50% at this point in the preparation. The fractions were incubated for 1 h upon which HFIP was removed, and the resulting white powder was lyophilized overnight. The lyophilized white powder was dissolved in 2 mM NaOH and PBS (100 mM, 300 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) at 1:1 and centrifuged for 20 min at 13,000 g, which was
then ready for the aggregation assay. The supernatant was subjected to amino acid analysis to determine the net peptide content.

3.2.3 Thioflavin T Aggregation Assays

Monomerized Aβ<sub>1-40</sub> was aged alone and in the presence of AAMPs at 37 °C while shaking in PBS buffer (50 mM, 150 mM NaCl pH 7.4). At various time points, 10 µl of sample, 10 µL of 100µM ThT stock solution in water, and 180 µL of PBS were mixed in a low binding 96 well plate with clear bottom (Corning or Falcon). A ThT fluorescence emission spectrum was acquired at 480 nm (excitation at 440 nm) using a fluorescence plate reader (BMG, LABTECH).

3.2.4 Circular Dichroism

Monomeric samples of Aβ<sub>1-40</sub> were aged alone and with equimolar AAMP at 37 °C for several days while shaking. The CD spectrum was recorded at room temperature on an Aviv CD spectrometer.

3.2.5 Atomic Force Microscopy

A sample aliquot of 10 µL was diluted two-fold and adsorbed onto the surface of freshly cleaved mica (0001) for 5-10 min (Ruby muscovite mica, S&J Trading Co., NY). The remaining excess liquid was absorbed onto a filter paper or lab tissue. Salts and excess unbound peptide were removed by rinsing the surface three times with 40 µL of deionized water. An Agilent 5500 atomic force microscope (AFM) equipped with Pico Scan v5.3.3 software was used for surface characterizations (Agilent Technologies AFM, Inc. Chandler, AZ). Cantilevers (NSL-20) from Nanoworld Holdings AG (Schaffhausen, Switzerland) were used for imaging samples by tapping mode in air. The cantilever was driven to oscillate at 185± 10 kHz for ambient AFM characterizations.
3.2.6 Transmission Electron Microscopy

Samples for TEM analysis were prepared by placing sample droplet onto a carbon support Cu coated grid (EMS 400-CU) for 1-2 min. Excess sample was absorbed into a filter paper, placed onto a droplet of water, and then stained using 2% uranyl acetate droplet. The grid was cleared of excess uranyl acetate, labeled, and then stored in a Petri dish. Images were recorded using a JEOL 100 CX TEM, 80 kV accelerating voltage.

3.3 Results and Discussion

3.3.1 Design of Amyloid Aggregation Mitigating Peptide

The central hydrophobic region of Aβ (16-20) is responsible for self-association and aggregation leading to the formation of mature fibrils.\(^\text{25}\) When incorporated into a peptide, ααAAs are known to induce extended peptide conformations, which are ideal for interaction with Aβ.\(^\text{43, 44}\)

We hypothesize that peptides containing alternating natural amino acids and ααAAs in a β-strand (extended) conformation would have one hydrogen bonding “face” blocked due to the steric hindrance associated with ααAAs, and the other face would remain accessible for additional β-strand hydrogen bonds. This design strategy (Figure 1) does not prevent Aβ oligomerization but disrupts additional peptides from adding to one face of the growing β-sheet, thus changing the Aβ fibrilization pathway. Previously, we have shown that no fibrils were observed from AAMP-1/Aβ\(_{1-40}\) mixture after 4.5 months of incubation at room temperature.\(^\text{38}\)

In this study, we seek to elucidate the relationship between size and morphology of the resulting assemblies with the side chain functionality, as well as the positioning and distribution of ααAAs in the recognition element (KLVFFA) of AAMPs. A library of AAMP-1 analogs was synthesized and evaluated for ability to disrupt Aβ\(_{1-40}\) fibril formation (Figure 3.1). Mitigator
AAMP-0 (KLVFFK₆) previously described by Murphy and coworkers was used as control peptide due to the similarity in design strategy to our AAMPS but with no ααAAs.²⁸, ²⁹

Figure 3.1. Design of mitigators with ααAAs as disrupters of Aβ assembly.

When bound to amyloid fibrils Thioflavin T dye (ThT) undergoes a red shift of its absorbance maximum from 342 to 442 nm, resulting in a characteristic enhanced fluorescence signal at 482 nm. Binding of the ThT dye to Aβ fibrils is complete within 1 min and does not interfere with Aβ aggregation. Thus, ThT fluorescence is commonly used to monitor the presence of Aβ fibrils and their rates of formation. It has also been shown that ThT can bind to certain amorphous aggregates to produce an increased fluorescence signal.⁴⁵-⁴⁷ Therefore, ThT fluorescence cannot be used solely to monitor Aβ formation or disruption. Other complementary methods such as circular dichroism (CD), AFM, and TEM, which reveals the conformation, size, length, and morphology of aggregates, are needed to probe mitigation of Aβ₁₋₄₀ fibril formation by designed inhibitors. We shall emphasize high-resolution AFM data because of its unique capability to probe early aggregation products (oligomers) and three-dimensional
characterization of Aβ assembly products relative to other microscopy techniques such as TEM.

Aggregation of Aβ_{1-40} proceeds via a nucleation-dependent polymerization mechanism where a nucleus is first formed before growth by Aβ_{1-40} monomer addition. This is a rate-limiting step, and the presence of seeds greatly accelerates aggregation, possibly bypassing the nucleation step. Thus it is critical that Aβ_{1-40} is pretreated to ensure monomeric starting solutions, using a previously published protocol.\textsuperscript{53}

3.3.2 Assembly of Aβ_{1-40} and with the Various AAMPs

3.3.2.1 Effect of AAMPs on Aβ_{1-40} ThT Fluorescence

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure32.png}
\caption{Disruption of fibril formation by designed AAMPs. Time dependent ThT fluorescence of Aβ_{1-40} assembly in the presence or absence of the various AAMPs. Fluorescence (ThT) was set arbitrarily to 100 percent relative to Aβ_{1-40}. * denotes mitigators aged alone.}
\end{figure}
Monomeric $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ was aged alone and in the presence of equimolar AAMPs (40 µM of $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ or $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ /AAMPs) in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer, shaking for 10 min during each h at 37 °C. The time course of ThT fluorescence for $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ aged alone or with equimolar mixture of AAMPs is presented as a percent of the fluorescence of $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ alone (Figure 2). Mitigators aged alone or in the presence of equimolar $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ display time dependent increases in ThT fluorescence, consistent with increased aggregation although with variable time rates (Figure 2). Results from ThT fluorescence show minimal fluorescence from mitigators aged alone compared to $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ or AAMP/ $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$. These results are indicative of very low aggregation to ThT active species. In some cases, quenching of fluorescent signal was observed after 24 h aging of mitigators alone.

A significant observation was the decrease in total ThT fluorescent signal after 3 months of incubation in most of the AAMP/$\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ mixtures. We can speculate that the decreased fluorescence that is observed results from continuous aggregation of these mixtures that leads to the formation of larger assemblies, hence precipitating out of solution. In addition, the decrease also can result from mitigator molecules binding and displacing ThT molecules from mature fibrils/aggregates. The control peptide (AAMP-0) described by Murphy and coworkers to enhance $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ self-assembly forming a network of fibrils was compared to our designed mitigators to probe the importance of $\alpha\alpha$AAs. A mixture of $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$/AAMP-0 shows an increase in fluorescence of 120% relative to that of $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ alone after 3 days of incubation, and an 80% reduction in fluorescence for prolonged aging times. This is consistent with previous reports describing enhanced $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ fibrillogenesis.

A significant reduction in $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ ThT fluorescence is observed when $\text{A}\beta_{1-40}$ is aged with an equimolar mixture of $\alpha\alpha$AA-AAMPs. Mitigators AAMP-1, AAMP-2, AAMP-3, AAMP-4, AAMP-5, AAMP-7, and AAMP-8 exhibit a reduction of ThT fluorescence between 50 and 80%
relative to that of Aβ_{1-40} alone, even after 3 months of aging. The only mitigator incorporating ααAA that displayed a reduced ThT fluorescence of 20% relative to Aβ_{1-40} was the dipropylglycine (Dpg)-containing AAMP-6, which remained unchanged for even longer incubation times (3 months). Comparison of the sequence of AAMP-6 with other AAMPs that showed reduction in ThT fluorescence between 50-80% relative to the control reveals the importance of steric effects and position of ααAAs relative to the recognition element. Therefore, fibrilic assemblies were observed in AAMP-6, which incorporates the less bulky ααAAs (Dpg) at the C-terminal end of KLVFF recognition core, hence ineffective at blocking one hydrogen bonding face from addition of extended peptides.

3.3.2.2 Effect of ααAA-AAMPs on the Secondary Structure of Aβ_{1-40} Using Circular Dichroism (CD)

To examine the effect of ααAA-AAMPs on Aβ_{1-40} assemblies, far-UV circular dichroism (CD) was employed. The CD spectra of unstructured monomeric AAMPs and Aβ_{1-40} showed a strong minimum and maximum at around 200 nm and 220 nm respectively, which is consistent with a random coil conformation. After a week of aging AAMPs alone at 37 °C with shaking, the random coil conformation observed with monomeric AAMPs remained unchanged with a minimum and maximum around 200 and 217 nm respectively, however with weaker intensities (Table 3.1).

Assembly of Aβ_{1-40} reveals that the time course of forming β-sheet conformation is consistent with previously reported β-sheet rich assemblies. The structure of Aβ_{1-40} alone changed from random coil (monomeric) to β-sheet (Figure 3.3) after 7 days of incubation (maxima at 198 nm and 214 nm). In contrast, an equimolar mixture AAMPs and Aβ_{1-40} leads to an unusual CD signature with characteristics of both random coil and β-sheets (Figure 4.3),
which is consistent with our previous results of AAMP-1/ Aβ1-40 mixture. The Maxima and minima of CD signals for Aβ1-40 aged alone and with the various mitigators aged are presented in Table 3.1.

**Table 3.1. Wavelength and corresponding minimum and maximum ellipticities of CD analysis of AAMPs alone, Aβ1-40 alone and for AAMP/ Aβ1-40 mixtures.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>AAMPs</th>
<th>With Aβ (1:1)</th>
<th>3 days aging</th>
<th>7 days aging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>λ (nm)</td>
<td>Min/Max [θ] x 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aβ1-40</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>-4.89</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-0</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>-31.34</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-2</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>-20.88</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-3</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>-50.18</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-4</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>-18.43</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-6</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>-50.18</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-7</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>-25.34</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values in parenthesis are for AAMPs aged alone after 1 week.

**Figure 3.3** Example of CD signature obtained for Aβ1-40 alone, AAMP alone, and Aβ1-40/AAMP mixture after 1 week aging.
3.3.2.3 Size and Morphology of the Various Structures as Determined Using AFM

AAMPs aged alone sparsely aggregate forming spherical beads (Figure 3.4) exclusively with mean height range of 4-10 nm (Table 3.2)

Table 3.2. Mean heights of spherical aggregates observed after 3 days and 1-week aging the various AAMPs alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Mitigators</th>
<th>Type/size of nanostructures formed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aggregate type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AAMP-0</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AAMP-1</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>AAMP-2</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AAMP-3</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AAMP-4</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AAMP-5</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>AAMP-6</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>AAMP-7</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>AAMP-8</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The absence of fibril formation is consistent with CD results, which show little change in the random coil conformation with maxima around 220 nm and minima at 217 nm after 1 week of incubation. Also, the minimal ThT fluorescence observed with AAMPs aged alone relative to Aβ_{1-40}, suggests that the nanostructures formed are not β-sheet rich. One possible drawback for the observed spherical beads is that they could act as seeds for Aβ_{1-40} aggregation, increasing the rate at which fibrils are formed. However, fibrils were not observed suggesting that particles produced by AAMPs alone do act as seeds for Aβ fibril formation. We speculate that the hydrophobic forces are stronger than hydrogen bonding forces resulting in the observed morphology of aggregates formed. The small-sized spherical aggregates (height ~ 1.6 nm) formed when AAMP-1 was aged alone for 3 days may explain the toxicity to the cells we have reported before. The various nanostructures formed from aging the various AAMPs alone are presented in Figure 3.4.

AFM was used to characterize Aβ1-40 or Aβ1-40/AAMP sample aliquots taken at the same time intervals as those used for ThT fluorescence assay. Images obtained from AFM topography of aliquots taken at different aging times for Aβ1-40, and in presence of AAMP-0, and AAMP-1 are shown in Figure 3.5. Fibrils were the predominant structures observed after 1 week of aging Aβ1-40 alone (Figure 3.5A). These fibrils had a mean height of 4.2±2.3 nm which is smaller than the reported mean height of about 7-10 nm for mature fibrils.\textsuperscript{47, 56} The mean height is obtained by taking multiple cursor measurements of the thickness of the fibrils throughout several representative images and is presented in Table 3.3.
Table 3.3. Comparison for nanostructures observed by AFM for Aβ1-40 alone and with the various mitigators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>mitigator</th>
<th>type/size of nanostructures formed</th>
<th>3 days</th>
<th>7 days</th>
<th>90 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aggregate type</td>
<td>mean height (nm)</td>
<td>aggregate type</td>
<td>mean height (nm)</td>
<td>aggregate type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aβ1-40 proto/fibril</td>
<td>3.44±2.1</td>
<td>fibrils</td>
<td>4.2±2.3</td>
<td>fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-0 protofibrils</td>
<td>8.6±5.7</td>
<td>fibrils</td>
<td>9.1±3.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-1 spherical</td>
<td>10.7±5.9</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>9.6±7.5</td>
<td>linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-2 spherical</td>
<td>5.8±3.6</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>8.0±4.4</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-3 spherical</td>
<td>1.6±1.4</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>1.7±1.4</td>
<td>linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-4 spherical</td>
<td>10.2±4.1</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>18.1±8.5</td>
<td>spherical rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-5 spherical</td>
<td>3.8±2.8</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>5.0±3.5</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AAMP-6 protofibril/linear</td>
<td>8.0±5.5</td>
<td>rod like fibrils</td>
<td>6.7±2.9</td>
<td>rod like fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AAMP-7 spherical</td>
<td>8.6±4.3</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>12.7±6.8</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAMP-8 spherical</td>
<td>6.2±2.8</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>9.8±5.2</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution of heights is presented as a histogram for each AFM view. The individual plots shown for the surface topography of the various samples are representative views of the morphologies observed for multiple areas of the samples. The presence of smaller sized fibrils is an indication that protofibrils and some immature fibrils predominate at the early stage, which is supported by height distribution analysis showing fibrils with diameters 2-4 nm accounting for ≥ 60% of total fibrils (Figure 3.5B). In contrast, bundles of fibrils (Figure 3.5C) were observed after 3 months of incubation as the predominant surface arrangements. The mean height of the fibrils in the clumps was 11.1±6.5 nm and more than 70% of these fibrils had heights ranging from 4 to 12 nm (Figure 3.5D) indicating mature fibrils (7-10 nm) had formed.

The assembly of Aβ1-40 into fibrils is consistent with the proposed hierarchical assembly model (HAM model), which predicts that protofilaments predominate at earlier incubation stages while protofibrils and fibrils are detected later. The HAM model also predicts that protofibrils
and fibrils will exhibit periodicity, variations in height, branching, and clumping at various stages of fibrillization. The unique arrangement of fibrils on the surface observed after 3 months is consistent with increased β-sheet character of the fibrils.\textsuperscript{57, 58}

The significance of ααAAs in disrupting fibril formation was evaluated by aging equimolar mixtures of Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} with AAMP-0 (no ααAAs) and AAMP-1 (with ααAAs). For instance, after 3 days of aging AAMP-0/Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} mixture, protofibrils (Figure 3.5E) with mean height of 8.6±5.7 nm were the main structures observed. A dense network of fibrils (Figure 3.5G) with mean height of 9.1±3.1 nm was observed after 1 week of aging. The height analysis shows a time-dependent increase in fibril heights (Figure 3.5F and 3.5H). In contrast, mixtures of protofibrils and spherical aggregates (Figure 3I) with a mean height of 9.6±7.5 nm were observed with AAMP-1 after 1 week of incubation, and rod-like structures (Figure 3.5K) were formed after 3 months with a slight increase in mean height as displayed in Figures 3.5J and 3.5I.

The peptide AAMP-0 (no ααAAs) was used as a control sample to evaluate the effects of ααAAs. This peptide (AAMP-0) was shown previously to enhance the rate of aggregation of Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} to form a dense network of fibrils.\textsuperscript{28} The enhanced Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} aggregation rates may also lead to thicker fibrils as compared to Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} fibrils alone. The structural design for AAMP-0 and our AAMPs are similar except for ααAAs incorporated in the latter (For example Dbg for Phe etc). The peptide mitigator AAMP-1 was previously communicated to block Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} fibrillization even after 4.5 months of incubation at room temperature.\textsuperscript{38} The different aggregate morphology observed from Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} aggregation mitigated by AAMP-0 (fibrils) as compared to ααAA-containing AAMP-1 (mixture of spherical aggregates and rod-like structures) reinforces the contrast in using ααAA-containing AAMPs to disrupt fibril formation. The results for AAMP-1 with further characterization of the sizes and morphologies of nanostructures formed at different
stages of aggregation using high resolution AFM are presented in Figure 3.5I and 3.5K. Although, AAMP-1 was effective at altering Aβ1-40 assembly, for optimal use in vivo and improved systemic bioavailability the molecular weight of AAMP-1 should be reduced as much as possible. The AAMP-1 analogs were screened for their ability to disrupt Aβ1-40 assembly and were used as a control.

**Figure 3.5.** Aggregation of Aβ1-40 with and without AAMPs. [A] Fibrils formed by Aβ1-40 alone after 1 week of incubation; [B] Height analysis for A; [C] Fibril bundles formed by Aβ1-40 alone after 3 months of incubation; [D] Height analysis for C; [E] Protocfibrils formed after 3 days Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-0; [F] Height distribution analysis for E; [G] Fibril network formed after 1 week Aβ1-40 aggregation mitigation by AAMP-0; [H] Height distribution histogram for G; [I] Mixture of spherical and linear aggregates formed after 1 week Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-1; [J] Height analysis for I; [K] Spherical and protocfibrils observed after 3 months of Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-1; [L] Height distribution histogram for K
When AAMP-1 analogs with two ααAAs were aged with equimolar Aβ₁-₄₀, assemblies with different heights and morphologies were formed based on the side chain functionality of the ααAAs used. Spherical aggregates (Figure 3.6A) with mean heights of 8.0 ± 4.4 nm and 60% of the particles with height range of 4-8 nm (Figure 3.6B) were observed after 1 week of aging Aβ₁₋₄₀/AAMP-2 mixture. The spherical morphology of the aggregates did not change after 3 months incubation, but were observed to coalesce forming in some cases aggregate strings (Figure 3.6C). The only significant change observed was the slight increase in mean heights (8.5 ± 3.7 nm) as shown by height distribution analysis (Figure 3.6D). Smaller sized spherical aggregates (Figure 3.6E) with mean height 1.7 ± 1.4 nm were formed from coincubation of Aβ₁₋₄₀ in the presence of equimolar AAMP-3 as compared to AAMP-2. The same morphology was observed after 3 months incubation (Figure 3.6G), but with a larger mean height (3.7 ± 2.4 nm) as shown by AFM cursor analysis (Figure 3.6F and 3.6H). Mixtures of spherical aggregates and protofibrils/fibrils (visible in the background) with mean height of 18.1 ± 8.5 nm (Figure 3.6I) formed after 1 week of Aβ₁₋₄₀ mitigation by AAMP-4 as compared to mostly spherical particles observed after 3 days of incubation. After 3 months of incubation, the mean height of the spherical aggregates (Figure 3.6K) more than doubled (43.2 ± 25 nm) with maximum height spanning to 1 µm as shown in Figures 3.6J and 3.6L.

The disruption of fibril formation by AAMPs with two ααAAs is an important finding in that these AAMPs portrayed the same or better disruptive properties as the original AAMP-1 peptide with three ααAAs. The more interesting aspect of their disruption is the relation between size and morphology of the particles formed and the side chain functionality of incorporated ααAAs. Larger particles with similar morphology were formed from Aβ₁₋₄₀ aggregation mitigation by AAMP-2 and AAMP-4 as compared to AAMP-3. The difference in
observed heights could be attributed to the side chain functionality of the ααAAs incorporated in AAMP-2 (Dbg and Dpg), AAMP-3 (Dibg and Dpg), and AAMP-4 (Dbg and Dibg). Surprisingly, Dbg (aromatic)-containing AAMPs (AAMP-2 and AAMP-4) formed larger particles as compared to AAMP-3 without Dbg. Thus incorporation of the additional aromatic side-chain in Dbg containing AAMPs may play a role in determining the size and morphology of the resultant nanoparticles because aromatic residues are believed to supply energy, order, and directionality\textsuperscript{59, 60} to the Aβ assembly process during fibril formation through aromatic-side chain stacking interactions.

Hydrophobic or steric effects from ααAAs may also lead to the size and morphology of structures formed. For instance, larger spherical aggregates with a few protofibrils/fibrils were formed with AAMP-4 as compared to mainly spherical aggregates for AAMP-2. The different sizes and morphology of particles formed can thus be related to the combination of the ααAAs used in each AAMP. They both have aromatic Dbg and a different second ααAAs (Dpg and Dibg for AAMP-2 and AAMP-4 respectively). Thus, steric or hydrophobicity differences between Dpg (n-side chains) and Dibg (branched side chains) has influenced the size and morphology of the assemblies formed.

Positioning of ααAAs relative to each other in the AAMP sequence could influence the size and morphology of assemblies formed. One of the ways to induce extended peptide conformations required for the interaction with Aβ in short model peptides is to use ααAAs with larger side-chain groups. For instance, smaller particles were formed by Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} mitigation by AAMP-3 as compared to either AAMP-2 or AAMP-4. The two ααAAs are in an i, i+2 orientation in AAMP-2 and AAMP-4 as compared to an i, i+4 arrangement in AAMP-3. Other examples of i, i+2 design mitigators that were designed by replacement of amide backbone
include n-methyl groups, ester linkages, and isostructural E-olefin all of which were shown to mitigate fibril formation. Thus placement of the disrupting elements on the same hydrogen bonding face is crucial for the mitigators to disrupt fibril formation than their arrangement. In addition, based on our findings with αAAs, the number of other disrupting elements reported such as N-methylated derivatives and ester linkages could be reduced without affecting their disruptive properties.

Figure 3.6. Disruption of Aβ_{1-40} fibril formation by AAMPs with two αAAs; [A] Globular aggregates formed after 7 days aging Aβ_{1-40} in presence of AAMP-2; [B] Corresponding height distribution analysis; [C] After 3 months aging; [D] Corresponding height distribution; [E] Spherical aggregates formed after 1 week aging Aβ_{1-40}/AAMP-3 mixture; [F] Height analysis for E; [G] Spherical aggregates after 3 months aging; [H] Corresponding height analysis; [I] Mixture of spherical aggregates and protofibrils formed after 7 days aging Aβ_{1-40} in presence of AAMP-4; [J] Corresponding height distribution analysis for I; [K] After 3 months aging; [L] Matching height distribution histogram.
The role of AAMPs with only one ααAAs on mitigation of Aβ1-40 aggregation was examined by aging Aβ1-40 in the presence of equimolar amounts of AAMP-5 (Dibg), AAMP-6 (Dbg), and AAMP-7 (Dpg). Globular particles were observed to form with AAMP-5 (Figure 3.7A) which were stable (no fibrils) after 3 months of aging (Figure 3.7C). Height analysis shows that the percentage of the particles with heights ranging from 4-6 nm increased from ~30% for 1 week of incubation to over 50% after 3 months of aging (Figure 3.7B and 3.7D). Co-incubation of Aβ1-40/AAMP-6 mixture produced protofibrillar structures and rod-like fibrils (Figure 3.7E) after 1 week. The protofibrils (Figure 3.7G) observed after 3 months of incubation had increased in mean height as displayed by height analysis showing a 2-fold increase in the percentage of particles with heights of 4-6 nm as compared to after 1 week (Figures 3.7F and 3.7H). Aging of Aβ1-40 with equimolar amounts of AAMP-7 resulted in mainly spherical particles after 3 days. However, a mixture of spherical aggregates and fibrils/protofibrils (Figure 3.7I) in the background were detected after 1-week of incubation with over 50% of the particles with heights ranging from 1-10 nm (Figure 3.7J). After 3 months of aging, the morphology of the particles (Figure 3.7K) did not change, but their sizes increased as shown by the increase in the percentage of particles with larger heights (Figure 3.7L). Spherical aggregates (Figure 3.7M) with mean heights of 9.8 nm were formed from Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-8 after aging for 1 week. Surprisingly, after 3 months, spherical aggregates (Figure 3.7O) observed earlier have grown in size to more than a micron in some cases as shown by height analysis (Figure 3.7N and 3.7P).

Results from Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMPs with one ααAAs further confirms that side chain functionality of incorporated ααAAs influences the size and morphology of the resulting nanoparticles. For example, the different morphology of the particles observed in AAMP-5 as compared to AAMP-6 is because of the steric difference between the ααAAs incorporated.
Spherical aggregates formed with AAMP-5, which incorporate the more sterically, hindered Dibg (isobutyl side chains) as compared to rod-like fibrils in AAMP-6, which contains the less sterically hindered Dpg (n-propyl side chains). In addition, the size and morphology of fibrils formed by AAMP-6 were different from those of Aβ1-40 alone. Fibrils formed by AAMP-6 had larger mean heights (6.7 ±2.9 nm) and smaller lengths (≤ 1 micron in length) as compared to mature Aβ1-40 fibrils, which were smaller in mean height (4.2±2.3nm) and several microns in length. The short fibril lengths suggest lateral interactions of protofilaments rather than the intertwining commonly identified during amyloid fibril formation, which can also be because of a slower growth rate of protofilaments by elongation and dissociation of monomers. The branching and polymorphic nature of the fibril lengths observed may have been caused by the interactions between protofibrils of unequal lengths.\textsuperscript{58}

Positioning of the ααAAs relative to KLVFF core sequence also influences the morphology of the resulting nanoparticles. The core sequence KLVFF has been shown to be critical for the inhibitor peptide to interact with Aβ. Thus, mitigators with ααAAs placed outside this core sequence should have less influence on the interaction with Aβ as compared to mitigators with ααAAs incorporated into this region. An example is the different morphology of particles formed from Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-8 (nonfibrillic assemblies) with Dpg incorporated in the KLVFF core (KLDpgFFAK\textsubscript{6}) as compared to AAMP-6 (rod-like fibrils) with Dpg outside the KLVFF core (KLVFFDpgK\textsubscript{6}). We speculate that the AAMPs with ααAAs incorporated into the binding motif resulted in increased side-chain to side-chain hydrophobic interactions with the homologous core of Aβ. Thus, blocking one face from interchain hydrogen bonding disrupts the Aβ aggregation pathway.
Figure 3.7 Topographic AFM images showing disruption of Aβ_{1-40} fibril formation by AAMPs with one ααAAs [A] Views of nonfibrillic assemblies formed after 1 week Aβ aggregation mitigation by AAMP-5; [B] Corresponding height distribution; [C] After 3 months; [D] Height analysis for C; [E] Progressive AFM Views of rod-like fibrils detected after 1 week of aging Aβ_{1-40}/AAMP-6 mixture; [F] Corresponding height distribution; [G] After 90 days; [H] Height distribution analysis for G; [I] Views of spherical and protofibrils/fibrils (background) formed by Aβ_{1-40}/AAMP-7 mixture after 1 week aging; [J] Corresponding height analysis; [K] After 90 days; [L] Height analysis for K; [M] Views of spherical particles formed by Aβ_{1-40} aggregation mitigation by AAMP-8; [N] Corresponding height distribution; [O] After 3 months; [P] Height analysis for O.
Interestingly, Aβ₁₋₄₀ mitigation by AAMP-7 with aromatic Dbg yielded spherical aggregates after 3 days of aging. However, after 1 week of incubation a mixture of spherical particles and protofibrils/fibrils in the background with very different morphology relative to Aβ fibrils were formed. This was an unexpected result since it was predicted to disrupt formation of fibrillar structures similar to AAMP-5, which incorporates Dibg. A plausible explanation for the different morphology of particles formed by the two mitigators is the aromatic nature of Dbg versus Dibg. This could be due to the enhanced aromatic-stacking interactions asserted by the extra aromatic residue. In summary, after 3 days of incubation, AAMP-4 and AAMP-7 disrupted fibril formation. However, after 1 week of incubation, a mixture of spherical particles and protofibrils/fibrils were observed. This indicates that higher ratios of AAMP to Aβ₁₋₄₀ are needed to prevent fibril formation.

3.3.2.4 Morphology of Structures Formed from Aβ₁₋₄₀ Aggregation Mitigation by the Various AAMPs as Observed by TEM

Negatively stained samples of Aβ₁₋₄₀ alone or mixed with equimolar AAMPs prepared at the same time as those of AFM and ThT were examined using TEM to confirm the various morphologies observed with AFM. The morphology of structures (Figure 3.8) formed by Aβ₁₋₄₀ alone and with the various AAMPs after 1-week aging was consistent with AFM observations. For instance, Aβ₁₋₄₀ sample showed a typical appearance of amyloid fibrils, shown as a dense network of fibrils extending several microns in length. In contrast, Aβ₁₋₄₀/AAMP mixtures reveal disruption of Aβ₁₋₄₀ fibrillization yielding particles with different morphologies.
Figure 3.8 Morphologies of various particles observed after 1-week aging Aβ1-40 in presence of the various AAMPs. [A] Fibrils formed by Aβ1-40 alone; [B] Fibrils formed by Aβ1-40 alone different view; [C] Fibrils formed by Aβ1-40 alone different view; [D] Fibrils formed from Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-0; [E] Spherical particles formed from aging Aβ1-40 in presence of AAMP-1; [F] Spherical particles were observed from aging Aβ1-40/AAMP-2 mixture; [G] Spherical particles formed from Aβ1-40 aggregation mitigation by AAMP-3; [H] Mixture of spherical aggregates and short fibrils were observed from incubating Aβ1-40 in presence of AAMP-4; [I] Spherical particles formed from Aβ1-40 aggregation mitigation by AAMP-5 [J] Fibrils observed from Aβ1-40 aggregation mitigation by AAMP-6 [K] Mixture of spherical and short fibrils formed from Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-7; [L] Spherical particles were observed from aging Aβ1-40/AAMP-8 mixture
3.3.3 Aβ1-40 Fibril Disassembly.

Several laboratories have reported that a dynamic equilibrium exists between Aβ1-40 monomers/dimers and fibrils.63, 64 Thus, a viable strategy to mimic possible dissolution of plaque deposits commonly present in the brains of patients with AD is to screen for compounds that can bind to preformed fibrils, shifting the equilibrium towards prefibrillar species. Soto63 and Meredith31, 32 have shown that peptides, which incorporate proline (β-sheet breaker) and N-methylated amino acids, have the ability to disassemble mature Aβ1-40 fibrils.31, 32, 36 The design of N-methylated peptides and our ααAA-containing AAMPs are similar in that both were designed to block one hydrogen-binding face thus preventing β-sheet stacking and extension. Therefore, we hypothesize that ααAA-containing AAMPs should also disassemble preformed fibrils because of the more bulky side chains, as compared to the methyl group in N-methylated peptides.

3.3.3.1 Thioflavin T Fluorescence.

![Thioflavin T Fluorescence](image)

**Figure 3.9.** Disassembly of Aβ1-40 preformed fibrils. ThT fluorescence of Aβ1-40 fibril disassembly by the various AAMPs after 24 h incubation at 37 °C while shaking.
To assess the ability of AAMPs to disassemble preformed fibrils, \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) was aged for 6 days at 37 °C while shaking. The mature fibrils that formed were mixed with the various AAMPs at 1:1 molar ratio (40 µM final concentration), and incubated while shaking for 24 h. The extent of disassembly was measured by ThT fluorescence. Results of ThT fluorescence for the disassembly of preformed fibrils by the various AAMPs after 24 h incubation are presented in Figure 3.9 as %ThT fluorescence relative to that of \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) fibrils.

The general reduction in ThT fluorescence for \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) fibril/AAMP mixtures relative to that of \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) alone indicates fibril disassembly occurred. For instance, AAMP-1, AAMP-2, AAMP-3, and AAMP-4 reduced \( \beta \) ThT fluorescence by nearly 80%. This is comparable to the disassembly of \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) fibrils reported for \( \beta \)-sheet breaker peptide (LPFFD),\(^{36}\) RGTFEGKF peptide,\(^ {64}\) and N-methylated peptides,\(^ {31, 32, 61}\) although this was at lower AAMP ratios. Coincidentally, these same mitigators disrupted \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) fibril formation by a similar degree.

The other AAMPs (AAMP-0 and Dpg-containing AAMP-6) reduced \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) ThT fluorescence by ~20%. The same mitigators only altered fibril morphology when aged with monomeric \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \). It is possible that ThT Fluorescence could give false positive result for fibril disassembly, because reduction in ThT fluorescence can also result from inhibitor molecules displacing ThT molecules bound to the preformed fibrils.

### 3.3.3.2 Size and Morphology of Structures Formed from Disassembly of Preformed Fibrils by Various AAMPs as Determined Using AFM

Results for disassembly of preformed fibrils by AAMPs are summarized in Table 3.3. Topographic AFM image of \( \text{A}\beta_{1-40} \) taken after six days aging showed a dense network of fibrils (Figure 3.10A) with a mean height of 6.2 nm. The height analysis (Figure 3.10B) reveals the
maturity of the fibrils formed, with more than 60% of the fibrils having heights between 4-8 nm, consistent with the height of mature fibrils (7-10 nm).

Table 3.3. Effect of various AAMPs on Aβ1-40 disassembly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>Mitigators</th>
<th>ααAAs</th>
<th>Aggregate type after 24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dominant structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aβ1-40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-1</td>
<td>Dibg, Dbg, Dpg</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-2</td>
<td>Dbg, Dpg</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-3</td>
<td>Dibg, Dpg</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-4</td>
<td>Dbg, Dibg</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-5</td>
<td>Dibg</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AAMP-6</td>
<td>Dpg</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AAMP-7</td>
<td>Dbg</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAMP-8</td>
<td>Dpg</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Topographic AFM images of Aβ1-40 fibrils disassembled by AAMP-0 (no ααAAs) exhibit reduced surface coverage of fibrils and a few spherical particles (Figure 3.10C) consistent with the 20% reduction in ThT fluorescence observed relative to that of Aβ1-40. Also, this is consistent with previous reports that AAMP-0 does not induce fibril disassembly. The height distribution shows a distribution similar to that of Aβ1-40 fibrils (Figure 3.10D). In contrast, ααAA-containing AAMPs induced disassembly of preformed fibrils to form nonfibrillar assemblies and isolated protofibrils/fibrils.
Figure 3.10. Disassembly of Aβ1-40 preformed fibrils. [A] Aβ1-40 fibrils; [B] Corresponding histogram; [C] Fibrils/protofibrils formed as a result of fibril disassembly by AAMP-0; [D] Height analysis for C; [E] Spherical aggregates induced by AAMP-1 fibril disassembly; [F] Height histogram analysis for E; [G] Spherical particles formed by AAMP-2 fibril disassembly; [H] Height analysis for G; [I] Spherical aggregates from disassembly by AAMP-3; [J] Corresponding height analysis; [K] Spherical assemblies formed from fibril disassembly by AAMP-4; [L] Height histogram for K

Nonfibrillic assemblies and a few isolated fibrillar structures were the main structures observed from Aβ40 fibril disassembly by AAMP-1 (Figure 3.10E), with three ααAAs. The same result (nonfibrillic assemblies) was also observed with AAMP-2 (Figure 3.10G), AAMP-3
(Figure 3.10I), and AAMP-4 (Figure 3.10K), incorporating two ααAAs as compared to AAMP-1. In addition to observing nonfibrillic assemblies, isolated protofibrils (beaded morphology) and fibrils (smooth) were detected in these mixtures. The height distribution reveals that most of the particles formed were less than 10 nm in height (Figure 3.10D, 3.10F, 3.10H, 3.10J, and 3.10L). Coincidentally, these same AAMPs showed up to 80% reduction in ThT fluorescence. Thus, ααAAs play a role in disassembly of preformed fibrils as seen from fibrils being the predominant species formed with AAMP-0 (no ααAAs) as compared to nonfibrillic assemblies formed with ααAA-containing AAMPs (for example AAMP-1, AAMP-2 etc). This is consistent with the ThT fluorescence observations. Significant also, was the increased heights of isolated fibrils formed by disassembly of preformed fibrils by ααAA-containing AAMPs as compared to either Aβ1-40 fibrils or AAMP-0 (Table 3.3). This may suggest that ααAAMPs binds to fibrils or cause the fibrils to coalesce resulting in increased heights.

Disassembly by AAMPs with one ααAA resulted in mixtures of spherical particles and protofibrils/fibrils. For instance, spherical particles and isolated fibrils/protofibrils were formed from Aβ1-40 fibril disassembly by AAMP-5 (Figure 3.11A), AAMP-7 (Figure 3.11E) and AAMP-8 (Figure 3.11G). In contrast, disassembly of performed fibrils by AAMP-6 formed mainly fibrillar structures (Figure 3.11C) that exhibited a beaded morphology and a periodicity characteristic of protofibrils.

The spherical particles observed from disassembly by AAMP-7 and AAMP-8 is larger assemblies as compared to AAMP-5, as shown the height analysis (Figure 8B, 8F, and 8H). Surprisingly, similar trends were observed for the assembly of Aβ1-40 in the presence of these AAMPs. Thus, aromaticity (AAMP-7) and steric effects (AAMP-8) play a part in the disassembly process. Isolated protofibrils/fibrils observed along with spherical particles for
AAMP-7 and AAMP-8, were shorter in length and in some with beaded morphology as compared to Aβ₁-₄₀ fibrils. Also, the protofibrils/fibrils formed by AAMP-6 were shorter and with beaded morphology but with similar height distribution (Figure 3.11D) and mean height (Table 3.3) as compared to Aβ₁-₄₀ fibrils. This suggests that these AAMPs induced partial disassembly or fibril breakage of preformed fibrils forming protofibrils (beaded morphology) and short fibrils with mean heights matching those of Aβ₁-₄₀ fibrils (Table 3.3). The partial disassembly of Aβ₁-₄₀ preformed fibrils and disruption of fibril formation by AAMP-6 shows that positioning of ααAAs relative to KLVFF influences both disassembly and assembly processes.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 3.11.** Disassembly of Aβ₁-₄₀ preformed fibrils [A] Spherical aggregates induced by AAMP-5 fibril disassembly; [B] Height histogram analysis for A; [C] Fibrils/protofibrils formed as a result of fibril disassembly by AAMP-6; [D] Height analysis for C; [E] Spherical aggregates from disassembly by AAMP-7; [F] Corresponding height analysis; [G] Spherical assemblies formed from fibril disassembly by AAMP-8; [H] Height histogram for G.
Figure 3.12 Disassembly of Aβ_{1-40} preformed fibrils. [A] Aβ_{1-40} preformed fibrils; [B] Aβ_{1-40} preformed fibrils zoom in view; [C] Fibrils disassembly by AAMP-0; [D] Mixtures of spherical aggregates and isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-1; [E] Isolated short fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-2; [F] Mixtures of spherical aggregates and isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-3; [G] View of only spherical aggregates formed from disassembly by AAMP-3; [H] Isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-4; [I] Mixture of spherical aggregates and fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-5; [J] Fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-6; [K] Isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-7; [L] Spherical particles formed by AAMP-8.
The observed disassembly of preformed fibrils into either oligomeric, or prefibrillar particles (precursors of fibril formation) indicates a shift in equilibrium. It has been shown that fibrils elongate by monomer addition to the fibril ends. Therefore, disassembly of fibrils suggests that AAMP possibly binds at the fibril end which shifts the thermodynamic equilibrium back towards oligomeric (AAMP-5, AAMP-7 and AAMP-8) and protofibrillar (AAMP-6) assemblies. Even in cases where fibrils/protofibrils were observed, the surface coverage was profoundly reduced as compared with the dense network of Aβ_{1-40} fibrils, suggesting some amount of disassembly occurred.

3.3.3.3 Morphology of Structures Formed from Disassembly of Preformed Fibrils by Various AAMPs as Determined Using TEM.

Negatively stained samples of Aβ_{1-40} pre-formed fibrils or those mixed with various AAMPs prepared at the same time as those of AFM and ThT were examined using TEM to confirm the various morphologies observed with AFM. The morphology of Aβ_{1-40} pre-formed fibrils and structures resulting from disassembly by the various AAMPs observed after 24 hr aging (Figure 3.12) were consistent with AFM observations. For instance, Aβ_{1-40} sample showed a typical appearance of amyloid fibrils, shown as a dense network of fibrils extending several microns in length. In contrast, Aβ_{1-40}/AAMP mixtures reveal disassembly of Aβ_{1-40} fibrils yielding particles with different morphologies.

Our study validates the use of ααAAs as disrupting elements when incorporated into the KLVFF motif for designing amyloid aggregation mitigating peptides, as indicated by the absence of fibrillic assemblies in comparison to the control peptide (AAMP-0). We showed that the side chain interactions associated with the various ααAAs are important in disrupting fibril formation. A major finding was that the mitigators incorporating one ααAA were as effective in
disrupting Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} fibril formation as the ones with two or three ααAAs. Thus, these findings support our efforts to reduce the overall molecular weight of the peptide without affecting its efficacy for optimal in vivo use and improved systemic bioavailability.

Interestingly, we found that the mitigators, which disrupted fibril formation, also disassembled fibrils. This suggests the existence of an equilibrium between Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} monomers/dimers and fibrils. Molecular dynamic simulations have been employed to study the mechanism of how these mitigators interact and disassemble fibrils.\textsuperscript{63, 65, 66} Recently, Yassmine et al showed through simulation the disassembly of Aβ\textsubscript{16-22} protofibril by N-methylated inhibitors.\textsuperscript{67} They reported that N-methylated inhibitors interact with the protofibril by both lateral and longitudinal association, thereby disrupting the β-sheet extension and its lateral association into layers. More importantly, they showed that the inhibitor peptides intercalate and possibly sequester the Aβ peptides, which we believe to be the mode of action for our designed ααAA-AAMPs in disrupting Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} fibrillogenesis. This is also true based on Tycko’s structural model,\textsuperscript{68} where we hypothesize that the interaction of ααAA-AAMPs with Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} at the region 17-20 disrupts the “bend” segment between the two β-strands, affecting β-sheet extension and packing during fibril formation.

The formation of oligomeric assemblies, as shown in computer simulations and experimental data, proceeds via two steps. The initial step is coalescence driven by the rapid formation of nonspecific hydrophobic interactions. This is followed by a conformational conversion to ordered β-sheet structures because of the slow formation of highly directional, interchain hydrogen bonds. Competition between hydrophobic interactions and hydrogen bonding is important for determining the morphology of aggregates that formed. Aging conditions can affect hydrogen bonding interactions to yield both fibrillar and non-fibrillar
assemblies with different morphologies but with the same β-sheet content. Based on our design strategy, we hypothesized that AAMPs bind Aβ1-40 and block one face of aggregation, which affects the formation of hydrogen bonding interchains and takes Aβ1-40 fibrillogenesis off the normal aggregation pathway. This favors hydrophobic interactions over hydrogen bonding and results in nonfibrillic assemblies.

One possible application of our findings is that ααAAs can be used to control neuronal toxicity from Aβ species. Neurotoxicity is believed to be a result of aberrant interactions between cellular components such as membranes, protasomes and/or molecular chaperons, and solvent-exposed hydrophobic surfaces of oligomeric assemblies. The size of aggregates affects these interactions, with the highest toxicity resulting from aggregates with a high surface area to volume ratio. This explains why fibrils and, to some extent, large oligomers are not very toxic compared to small oligomeric assemblies. We showed that position, distribution, and side chain functionality of ααAAs incorporated in the binding core affects the size of the resulting assemblies. Thus, we can use ααAAs to influence the desired size of aggregates formed, in hopes of controlling neurotoxicity.69-71 Future cytotoxic experiments will be carried out to validate this hypothesis.

3.4 Conclusion

Experiments consistently demonstrate that mixtures with more than 50% Aβ aggregation mostly likely contain fibrillic assemblies. This is an important observation because there are some reports that thioflavin-T binding yields ambiguous results. By comparing our ααAAs-containing mitigators with the control peptide (no ααAAs), we showed the effectiveness of ααAAs as disrupting elements. Furthermore, we also showed that mitigators with one ααAAs
were as effective as those with two or three, depending on their position. This is important because it helps us achieve our goal of reducing the molecular weight of the initial mitigator AAMP-1 but still maintain/enhance its mitigating properties.

We have demonstrated that AAMP-1, AAMP-2, AAMP-3, AAMP-4, AAMP-5, AAMP-7 and AAMP-8, which disrupted fibril formation, also disassembled fibrils forming nonfibrillic assemblies. We also showed that AAMP-0 and AAMP-5 not only mitigated Aβ<sub>1-40</sub> fibril formation yielding fibrils with a different morphology, but also disassembled preformed fibrils, forming fibrillar assemblies with similar morphologies to those of protofibrils. This is proof that there exists an equilibrium between Aβ<sub>1-40</sub> monomers/dimers and fibrils.

Mitigators incubated alone slowly aggregated to yield spherical aggregates which may explain the unexpected toxicity observed with AAMP-1. However, CD spectra showed that after 7 days of aging the random coil conformation seen for monomers was unchanged. This suggests that peptides can oligomerize without changes to β-sheet conformation, a property of nearly all polypeptides. If the AAMPS alone aggregated, they are expected to act as seeds and enhance abeta aggregation into fibrils. However, AFM and TEM results suggest a different mechanism. We have shown earlier that AAMP-1 disrupt Aβ<sub>1-40</sub> aggregation by acting as co-surfactants. This has been supported by molecular dynamic simulations using N-methylated amino acids to intercalate and sesquester Aβ<sub>1-40</sub> peptide. There are reports that relate the size of nanoparticles formed with the maximum toxicity exhibited by particles with high surface area to volume ratios. Number, side chain functionality, and distribution of ααAAs nucleates the size and morphology of Aβ/AAMP products. This is a major finding because ααAAs may be employed as a means to control the size of resulting nanoparticles and possibly neurotoxicity.
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CHAPTER 4

EFFECTS OF TERMINAL MODIFICATIONS OF PEPTIDES DERIVED FROM Aβ CENTRAL HYDROPHOBIC CORE ON Aβ1-40-PEPTIDE AGGREGATE SIZE AND MORPHOLOGY

4.1 Introduction

A standing hypothesis associated with Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) is that aggregation of monomeric β-amyloid (Aβ) fibrils into neurotoxic misfolded forms is the key event associated with the disease pathogenesis.\(^{1-3}\) Recently, extensive investigation of the aggregation pathway reveals that oligomeric assemblies and not mature fibrils are responsible for Aβ neurotoxicity.\(^{4-8}\) Several approaches have been designed to target the Aβ assembly process in an effort to reduce toxic species in the brain. Peptide-based approaches in particular, are increasingly becoming a vital constituent of new therapeutics because of their high specificity and low toxicity as compared to small organic molecules.\(^{9}\)

Several groups have developed amyloid aggregation mitigating peptides (AAMPs) based on designed changes to the Aβ16-20 central hydrophobic core, KLVFF fragment, which Tjenberg\(^{10, 11}\) showed to be critical for Aβ self-assembly and self-recognition. To the KLVFF core, a “disrupter” group is added that solubilizes either inhibitor-Aβ aggregates or blocks further addition of β-sheets through hydrogen bonding. Disrupters that have been added to peptides can be classified as N- or C- terminal modifications,\(^{12}\) conformationally constrained amino acids,\(^{13}\) peptide backbone modifications,\(^{14}\) or the use of D-amino acids.\(^{15}\)

An example for N- or C- terminal modifications was the addition of oligolysine chains to the C-terminus of the KLVFF motif to design peptide KLVFFKKKKKK that was shown to enhance the rate of Aβ1-40 aggregation into fibrils and thereby prevent toxicity against PC12 cells.\(^{12, 16}\) Also, the rate of Aβ assembly into fibrils was shown to depend on the number of lysine
groups present in the mitigator. Several modifications of the peptide backbone for the KLVFF binding motif have been reported. These include replacement of amide hydrogen with methyl groups, and replacement of the amide bond with either an ester bond or isostructural E-olefin. These modifications were designed to block proliferation of hydrogen bonding between β-sheets that is necessary for Aβ fibrillization. Peptides derived from these modifications were found to disrupt Aβ fibril formation and to disassemble pre-formed fibrils. For instance, N-methylated peptide Aβ16-22m and esterificated Aβ16-20e disrupted fibril formation and caused the disassembly of pre-formed fibrils. Also, it was demonstrated that mutations of the Phe19-Phe20 amide bond of Aβ1-40 with an E-olefin bond exclusively produced spherical aggregates and fibrillization was not detected despite incubation periods of up to 6 weeks.

Conformationally constrained peptides have been shown to be effective at disrupting Aβ fibril formation through unfavorable steric interactions. For instance, a β-sheet breaker peptide, iAβ5 containing one proline residue was shown to disrupt fibril formation, disassemble pre-formed fibrils and protect against neurotoxicity. Our approach for this report involves replacing the hydrogen atom of the α-carbon of natural amino acid residues in the KLVFF motif with an alkyl substituent. Thus, the conformational freedom of the peptide is restricted and it is forced to adopt an extended conformation that is ideal for interaction with Aβ1-40. Peptides in an extended conformation form two faces where one face is available for interaction with Aβ, while the other is sterically blocked, limiting β-sheet extensions required for amyloid fibril propagation.

Apart from blocking hydrogen bonding between β-sheets, incorporation of ααAAs within the KLVFF motif also increases the overall hydrophobicity of the mitigator peptide and in turn strengthens the hydrophobic interactions with Aβ1-40 target. Polar groups are normally
added to the highly hydrophobic $\alpha\alpha$AAs-containing KLVFF core to increase the overall solubility of the peptide. Also, modification of the $\alpha$-carbon helps to decrease susceptibility of the mitigator to proteolytic degradation, which will ensure effective delivery of the inhibitor to the target organ during in vivo studies. In general, our design for mitigators combines the advantages of both $C$-terminal and $\alpha$-carbon modification.

We have previously developed a mitigator peptide (AMY-1) with $C$-terminal oligolysine chain (KDbgVDbgFDpgK$_6$) and a peptide (AMY-2) with an $N$-terminal oligolysine chain (K$_7$KDbgVDbgFDpg). Both mitigator peptides AMY-1 and AMY-2 disrupted fibril formation and formed spherical aggregates of different heights.$^{22}$ For optimal use in vivo and improved systemic bioavailability, the charge and molecular weight of the mitigator should be greatly reduced. Incorporation of one or two $\alpha\alpha$AAs in the KLVFF binding motif was previously shown to be as effective at disrupting A$\beta_{1-40}$ fibrillization as compared to the original peptide (AAMP-1) with three $\alpha\alpha$AAs. Herein, effects of forming A$\beta$ fibrils in the presence of (define acronym) AAMPs incorporating different polar solubilizing groups ($C$- or $N$-terminal) are studied, in an effort to evaluate the effectiveness of reducing the overall charge of the mitigator. Also, the effects of $\alpha\alpha$AA-containing AAMPs with fewer polar groups as compared to the original mitigator AAMP-1 with six Lys are presented.

Peptide analogs modified with $\alpha$-amino isobutyric acid (also called $\alpha$-methyl alanine) have been shown to be more effective at inducing disassembly of A$\beta$ fibrils than either L-proline or D-amino acids in short model peptides.$^{23, 24}$ The restrictions imposed by $\alpha$-methyl alanine or $C^-\alpha$-tetra substituted-amino acid on conformational freedom are more severe than those of proline. Thus, mitigators containing bulky substituent’s ($\alpha\alpha$AAs) could be more effective at inducing $\beta$-sheet disassembly than peptides that incorporate proline. Simulation experiments
have shown N-methylated peptide Aβ16-22m interacts with Aβ_{16-22} blocking β-sheet extension, preventing lateral association into layers and more importantly blocking inhibitor intercalation for sequestering Aβ peptides. Our ααAs are bulkier that either N-methylated or α-methyl alanine model peptide derivatives. Thus, we hypothesize that ααA-AAMPs could be more effective at both disrupting fibril formation as well as inducing disassembly of pre-formed fibrils.

4.2 Materials and Methods

All the materials and methodologies used were described in Chapters 2 and 3.

4.3 Results and Discussion

4.3.1 Design of Peptides for Mitigating Aβ Aggregation

Initial work by Tjenberg identified the Aβ central hydrophobic core KLVFF as the key scaffold for designing disrupters of Aβ_{1-40} fibrillation.\textsuperscript{10, 11} Disrupting elements have been strategically placed at various positions within the Aβ hydrophobic core that either solubilizes AAMP-Aβ aggregate (Lys or Arg) or blocks one hydrogen bonding face inhibiting β-sheet extension or propagation as with N-Me amino acid or ester bonds. Thus, polar groups such as Arg, Lys, Glu, and PEG chains were added to increase the solubility of peptides. Certain mitigators incorporating these polar groups have been shown to be effective at disrupting fibril formation.\textsuperscript{16}

Surface Plasmon resonance (SPR) was employed by Murphy and coworkers\textsuperscript{25} to study the binding of variants related to KLVFF on immobilized Aβ_{10-35} fibrils.\textsuperscript{11} Variants with positively charged residues (KLVFFK\textsubscript{n}) added to the C-terminus had higher affinities for immobilized Aβ_{10-35} fibrils than the KLVFF control, while negatively charged residues (KLVFFE\textsubscript{n}) also placed on the C-terminus had a significantly lower binding affinity. In contrast, N-terminal addition (K\textsubscript{n}KLVFF) of positively charged residues resulted in lower affinity.
Binding affinity also is affected by additions of polar groups that do not precede the KLVFF region in the parent Aβ sequence. Therefore, amino acids (for example Gly) that are conformationally unrestricted can be used as a “spacer” between the KLVFF motif and solubilizing residues. Using Gly as a spacer between the mitigator KLVFF core and Arg residues (solubilizing agents) on both the C- and N- terminus, Brian et al designed the peptide RGKLVFFGR. This peptide was shown to be an effective disrupter of Aβ₁₋₄₀ fibril formation and prevent neurotoxicity towards human neuroblastoma SHSY5Y cells.

Table 4.1. Modified AAMPs used to probe the assembly and dissolution of Aβ fibrils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>AAMP</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AAMP-11</td>
<td>RGKLVFFGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-12</td>
<td>KGKLVFFGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-13</td>
<td>EGKLVFFGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-14</td>
<td>(MiniPEG)GKLVFFG(MiniPEG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-15</td>
<td>RRRGKLVFFGRRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-16</td>
<td>KLVFFGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-17</td>
<td>KLVFFG(MiniPEG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AAMP-18</td>
<td>KLVFFGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AAMP-19</td>
<td>RGKLVFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAMP-20</td>
<td>KLVFFDpgGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AAMP-21</td>
<td>KGKDibgVFFGK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AAMP-22</td>
<td>KDibgVFFDpgGKKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>AAMP-23</td>
<td>KKKGKLVFDpgGKKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AAMP-24</td>
<td>KDibgVFFGKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AAMP-25</td>
<td>KLVFFDpgGKKK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Aβ₁₋₂₂m</td>
<td>K(Me)LV(Me)FF(Me)AE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Red color denotes the various polar groups. Blue color denotes ααAAs.
As part of our continuing work to design more effective ααAA-containing AAMPs, we employed similar strategies for placing spacers between the AAMP core KLVFF and the solubilizing groups. We have previously shown that AAMP-1 disrupted Aβ fibril formation. In vivo studies of AAMP-1 on APP transgenic mice displayed elevated toxicity at higher concentrations. The toxicity observed could be related to the six cationic lysine’s which also affect the delivery of drugs across the hydrophobic brain barrier during in vivo studies. Thus, positively and negatively charged polar amino acids as well as neutral polar groups such as MiniPEG was evaluated for effects on the resulting morphology of seed particles and protofibrils. Our goal was to reduce the overall charge of the original peptide (AAMP-1) without sacrificing the mitigator ability to disrupt fibril formation and induce disassembly of pre-formed fibrils.

Peptide mitigator AAMP-11 previously described by Brian and coworkers was used as a control peptide. First, variants of AAMP-11 were investigated where Arg was replaced with Lys (AAMP-12), Glu (AAMP-13), and MiniPEG (AAMP-14) to evaluate effects of polar groups on Aβ₁₋₄₀ aggregation (Table 1. entries 1-4). Mitigator AAMP-15 allowed us to probe the effect of increasing the number of Arg in the chain to three on both the C-and N-terminus on Aβ fibrillogenesis (entry 5). Peptide mitigators (entries 6-8) were designed to evaluate the effect of placing polar groups only on the C-terminus. The effect of placing polar groups on the N-terminus was evaluated using AAMP-19 with Arg added only to the N-terminus (entry 9). We have previously shown that three ααAAs incorporated in a mitigator sequence was efficient in disrupting the process of Aβ fibrillization. Thus, mitigators (entries 10-15) were designed to probe the effect of the reducing both the number of ααAAs as well polar groups for Aβ fibrillization. We hypothesize that addition of a spacer between the ααAA containing
KLVFF mitigator core and solubilizing residues, would improve the interaction between the AAMP and the Aβ full sequence length. The N-methylated peptide, Aβ16-22m (entry 16) previously described to disrupt fibril formation and disassemble pre-formed fibrils was used as a control peptide because it is similarly designed to block one hydrogen face from β-sheet extension and packing.

4.3.2 Effects of AAMPs on Aβ_{1-40} ThT Fluorescence

Thioflavin T (ThT) undergoes a characteristic spectral change upon binding to fibrillar species, with maximum emission shifting from 445 to 482 nm.\textsuperscript{28-30} The intensity of ThT fluorescence is directly proportional to amyloid fibril formation. However, it has also been shown that fluorescence can result from ThT dye binding to amorphous aggregates.\textsuperscript{19,31} Despite this, ThT fluorescence is still widely used to monitor the process of Aβ fibrillization. Prior to investigating the effects of AAMPs on Aβ_{1-40} aggregation, the individual peptides were first tested alone to evaluate tendencies to self-aggregate. The individual AAMP solutions were aged in 80 µM in PBS (pH 7.4) for 1 week at 37 ° with periodic agitation. Under these conditions, all of the AAMPs exhibited < 10 % reduced fluorescence (Figure 4.1) relative to that of Aβ_{1-40}, which indicates minimal aggregation into amyloid species.

When Aβ_{1-40} was aged with the various AAMPs at 1:1 molar ratios (40 µM final concentration) with periodic agitation, a reduction of Aβ_{1-40} ThT fluorescence was observed (Figure 4.2). This suggest a disruption of the assembly process for solutions of Aβ_{1-40} alone, to form either nonfibrillic or fibrillar assemblies with different sizes, lengths and morphologies relative to those of Aβ_{1-40} alone. The AAMPs with N- and C-termini modified using various polar groups showed a reduction in ThT fluorescence between 40-70% relative to that of Aβ_{1-40} after 1-week incubation. In particular, AAMP-11 with polar Arg was the only AAMP with less
than 50% reduced fluorescence. The similarity in the percentage of reduced ThT fluorescence indicates that comparable amounts of amyloid were formed. When using ThT alone one, could falsely argue that AAMP-11 is a better disrupter of Aβ fibril formation than the other AAMPs incorporating a polar group on both termini.

Figure 4.1. Time dependent ThT fluorescence assay of Aβ alone and AAMPs alone.
Figure 4.2. Time dependent ThT fluorescence assay of Aβ/AAMPs.

The addition of a polar Arg group to only the C-terminus in AAMP-11 was found to exhibit 80% reduced ThT fluorescence. Other AAMPs in the series incorporating MiniPEG (AAMP-17), Lys (AAMP-18) exhibited a 60% reduction of ThT fluorescence after 1 week of incubation. This indicates that the morphology or size of aggregates formed by AAMP-16 is different from those formed by either AAMP-17 or AAMP-18. Interestingly, mitigators with polar residues placed on the C-terminus had an increased reduction in ThT fluorescence as compared to the corresponding mitigators with polar groups added to both C- and N-termini. The AAMP-19 with Arg on the N-terminus showed a reduced fluorescence of 40% as compared to 80% when Arg (AAMP-16) was placed on the C-terminus.
As part of continuing work for optimizing charge and size of AAMP-20, AAMP-21, AAMP-24 and AAMP-25 exhibited reduced fluorescence by less than 40% implying that they might have comparable amounts of amyloid or similar morphology. However, a potent mitigator AAMP-22 with two ααAAs and three lysine’s exhibited 80% reduced ThT fluorescence relative to that of Aβ1-40. Based on ThT results only AAMP-11, AAMP-16, AAMP-22 and AAMP-23 exhibited a higher reduction in ThT fluorescence relative to Aβ than N-methylated peptide, mAβ16-22 previously reported to disrupt fibril formation and to disassemble pre-formed fibrils.14

As previously described by Austen and coworkers26 AAMP-11 was found to inhibit oligomer formation and prevent Aβ1-40 toxicity towards neuroblastoma SH-5Y5Y cells. They reported that an equimolar mixture of Aβ1-40/AAMP-11 aged for 12 days under quiescent conditions showed reduced fluorescence of over 80% relative to that of Aβ1-40.26 For the same peptide after 7 days aging, however we report a lower ThT fluorescence of 63% with a condition of periodic agitation, as compared to the previously reported result of over 80% reduction. Agitation is normally employed to enhance Aβ aggregation so that it can be analyzed on a laboratory time scale, although fibrils formed are less stable and more toxic.32, 33

4.3.3 Effect of Various AAMPs on Aβ1-40 CD Spectra

The effect of ααAA-AAMPs on Aβ1-40 assembly into β-sheet structure, was examined using far-UV circular dichroism (CD). The CD spectra of monomeric AAMPs and Aβ1-40 had a strong minimum near 200 nm and maxima near 220 nm, consistent with an unstructured conformation commonly referred to as random coil conformation. After 1-week of aging at 37 C while shaking, all the mitigators exhibited minima in their CD spectra around 200 nm, consistent with unchanged random coil conformation. However, the assembly of Aβ1-40 alone after 1-week incubation exhibited a CD spectra with minima around 217 nm (Figure 4.3), consistent with
formation of β-sheet rich assemblies.\textsuperscript{22, 34} In contrast, when $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$ was aged in the presence of equimolar AAMPs, an unusual CD signature with characteristics of random coil and beta sheet was observed, consistent with our previous results for an AAMP-1/ $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$ mixture.\textsuperscript{22} This suggests that our AAMPs delay or disrupt $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$ aggregation into β-sheet rich assemblies.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.3.png}
\caption{An example of a CD spectra for AAMP-14 alone, $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$ alone and $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$/AAMP-14 equimolar mixture.}
\end{figure}

\textbf{4.3.4 Assembly of $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$ Alone and with the Various AAMPs Analyzed Using AFM}

Samples of AAMPs alone, $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$ alone, and mixtures of $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$/AAMPs mixture, which were prepared for the same time intervals as those of the ThT fluorescence assay were examined using TEM and AFM. First, samples from mitigator aged alone were examined to determine the morphology of the aggregates responsible for the observed ThT fluorescence. This is important because $\mathrm{A\beta}_{1-40}$ has been shown to aggregate via a nucleation dependent polymerization process.\textsuperscript{35, 36} Thus, aggregates formed by AAMPs could act as seeds, rapidly increasing the rate of $\mathrm{A\beta}$ assembly into fibrils. Also, AAMP monomer could be added instead to a growing protofibril in place of $\mathrm{A\beta}$ monomer capping the $\mathrm{A\beta}$ fibrillization or altering the normal aggregation pathway leading to formation of fibrils with different morphology. Peptide
mitigators were aged in the absence of Aβ₁₋₄₀ PBS (50 mM at 37°C with periodic agitation) to investigate their self-aggregation. Topographic images of various samples of AAMPs aged for 1-week displayed sparse distribution of aggregates on the surface (Figure 4.4) with mean heights ranging from 2-8 nm (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2: Size and morphologies of assemblies obtained from topographic AFM measurements of products observed from aging AAMPs alone at 37°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>AAMPs</th>
<th>Type and size of resulting assemblies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aggregate type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AAMP-11</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-12</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-13</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-14</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-15</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-16</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-17</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AAMP-18</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AAMP-19</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAMP-20</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AAMP-21</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AAMP-22</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>AAMP-23</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AAMP-24</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AAMP-25</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Aβ₁₋₂²</td>
<td>spherical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean heights of aggregates observed after 1 week of aging shows an increase in height consistent with aggregation. When the mean heights of aggregates formed by the various AAMPs were compared, no direct correlation was detected between the mean heights of aggregates formed and terminal modifications of the peptides. A significant observation was larger aggregates formed by AAMPs with longer polar groups such as AAMP-14 and AAMP-18 as compared to AAMP-11 or AAMP-12.
Spherical aggregates with mean height range between 3-5 nm were observed for all the mitigators incorporating ααAAs into its sequence after 1 week of incubation. Surprisingly, smaller aggregates were observed from topographic images of AAMPs incorporating ααAAs compared to the more hydrophobic N-methylated control peptide. This suggests that polar groups present in ααAA-AAMPs play a role in aggregation. When the AAMPs solutions were aged under quiescent conditions, aggregates were hardly seen indicating that these mitigators are stable at room temperature.

4.3.4.1 Effect of N- and C-Terminal Modifications of AAMPs on Aβ₁₋₄₀ Fibrillization

The Aβ₁₋₄₀ sample aged alone showed a dense network of amyloid fibrils averaging 5.4 nm in height and extending several microns in length after 3 days aging (Figure 4.6A). A predominantly dense network of fibrils averaging 6.9 nm in height and spanning several microns in length was observed after 1 week of aging (Figure 4.6C). The fibrils that formed are consistent with the Aβ₁₋₄₀ aggregation process and fits the proposed hierarchical assembly model (HAM model).³⁶,³⁷ The spherical particles observed within a sea of fibrils after 3 days aging are consistent with results expected with periodic or continuous sample agitation.³⁸ The height analysis (Figures 4.6B and 4.6D) shows that mature fibrils with diameter ≥7 nm were predominant after 1 week of aging, which coincides with the results observed with maximum ThT fluorescence.

When Aβ₁₋₄₀ was aged with the equimolar ratio of AAMPs incorporating differently charged polar groups to both C- and N-termini, the normal Aβ₁₋₄₀ aggregation process was disrupted to form either fibrils with different morphology (appearance, length) or spherical particles. A network of protofibrils and fibrils (Figure 4.6E) were the major species observed after 3 days of aging Aβ₁₋₄₀ with Arg-containing AAMP-11.
Table 4.3. Size and morphology of Aβ₁₋₄₀/AAMP variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>AAMPs</th>
<th>Type and size of resulting assemblies</th>
<th>3 days</th>
<th>1 week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aggregate type</td>
<td>mean height (nm)</td>
<td>aggregate type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aβ₁₋₄₀</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
<td>4.5±1.9</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-11</td>
<td>Protofibrils/fibrils</td>
<td>4.3±2.0</td>
<td>Protofibrils/fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-12</td>
<td>Protofibrils/amorphous</td>
<td>5.3±3.1</td>
<td>Rod shaped fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-13</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
<td>5.5±3.0</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-14</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
<td>27.8±13.4</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-15</td>
<td>Protofibril/linear</td>
<td>4.3±1.9</td>
<td>Protofibril/rod like fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-16</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>20.9±10.1</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AAMP-17</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
<td>8.2±3.7</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AAMP-18</td>
<td>Protofibrils/amorphous</td>
<td>5.5±2.5</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAMP-19</td>
<td>Amorphous/protofibrils</td>
<td>5.9±3.3</td>
<td>Linear/fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AAMP-20</td>
<td>Protofibrils</td>
<td>7.3±3.1</td>
<td>Amorphous/linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AAMP-21</td>
<td>Protofibrils</td>
<td>4.8±3.0</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>AAMP-22</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>5.4±2.3</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AAMP-23</td>
<td>Amorphous/linear</td>
<td>4.4±2.2</td>
<td>Linear/amorphous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AAMP-24</td>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>8.7±3.6</td>
<td>Amorphous, linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AAMP-25</td>
<td>Amorphous, protofibrils</td>
<td>5.5±3.4</td>
<td>Fibrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Aβ₁₋₄₀</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
<td>10.4±4.5</td>
<td>Amorphous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The morphology of the AAMP-11 structures (Figure 4.6G) observed after 1 week of aging for the most part did not change, except for an increase was observed for the mean heights as shown by the histogram analysis (Figures 4.6F and 4.6H). Similarly, fibrils/protofibrils (Figure 4.6I) were observed after 3 days of aging Aβ₁₋₄₀ with equimolar AAMP-12 containing another positively charged group (Lys). After 1 week incubation of AAMP-12 (Figure 4.6K, there was increase in both the mean height (Figures 4.6J and 4.6L) and surface coverage of fibrils. Also, when Aβ₁₋₄₀ was aged with AAMP-13 containing a negatively charged Glu residue, protofibrils and fibrils (Figure 4.6M) were observed after 3 days. Dense networks of beaded fibrils or protofibrils (Figure 4.6O) were detected after 1-week incubation with AAMP-13 with height distribution (Figures 4.6N and 4.6P) showing increase in particles size. Interestingly,
spherical particles (Figure 4.6Q) were the major structures observed after 3 days aging \(A\beta_{1-40}\) with AAMP-14 incorporating a neutral MiniPEG group. No fibrillar species (Figure 4.6S) were observed after 1-week incubation, only spherical particle clumps were observed resulting in a height as shown by the height histograms (Figures 4.6R and 4.6T).

Fibrils were observed to form from aging mixtures of \(A\beta_{1-40}\) with AAMP-11 (Figure 4.6E), which is in disagreement with published results where fibrils were not detected.\(^{26}\) The difference in morphology of the particles that formed could be attributed to differences in the aging conditions that were employed. Sample agitation is widely used to expedite amyloid fibril formation. This implies that under quiescent conditions, AAMP-11 disrupts fibril formation; however, fibril morphology is altered when aggregation rates are increased by agitation. A mixture of short fibrils with lengths spanning few microns and linear structures with protofibrillar morphology were observed with AAMP-12 incorporating positively charged Lys. Both AAMP-11 and AAMP-12 alter \(A\beta_{1-40}\) fibrillization pathway forming fibrils that are short in length, more branched, and with lower mean height as compared to those of \(A\beta_{1-40}\) fibrils. Surprisingly, this is consistent with SPR studies that showed mitigators with Lys and Arg polar residues had identical binding affinities for immobilized \(A\beta_{10-35}\).

However, a dense network of fibrils (Figure 4.6O) with a beaded morphology was observed when \(A\beta_{1-40}\) was aged with AAMP-13 containing negatively charged Glu, after 1-week incubation. The dense network of beaded fibrils observed in AAMP-13 as compared to AAMP-12 and AAMP-11 is also consistent with lower binding affinity for \(A\beta\) from mitigators with negatively charged polar groups as observed from SPR studies.\(^{25}\)
Figure 4.6. Mitigation of Aβ1-40 aggregation by AAMPs with polar groups added to both the C- and N-terminus, as viewed by AFM topographs. [A] Fibrils formed after 3 days incubation by Aβ1-40 alone; [B] Height analysis for A; [C] Fibrils present after 1 week; [D] Height analysis for C; [E] Fibrils formed after mitigation for 3 days by AAMP-11; [F] Height analysis for E; [G] Fibrils formed after 1 week; [H] Height distribution histogram for G; [I] Mixture of spherical and protofibril structures after 3 days mitigation by AAMP-12; [J] Height analysis for I; [K] Spherical and short sized fibrils observed after 1 week; [L] Height histogram for K; [M] Protofibrils present after 3 days with AAMP-13; [N] Height analysis for M; [O] Fibrils formed after 1 week; [P] Height distribution analysis for O; [Q] Spherical structures present after mitigation for 3 days by AAMP-14; [R] Height distribution for Q; [S] Spherical structures observed after 1 week; [T] Height analysis for S.
The lower binding affinities observed from mitigators with negatively charged polar groups was postulated to be from possible Coulombic interactions between the positively charged polar groups in the mitigator and negatively charged residues in Aβ (E22 and D23).\textsuperscript{11} In contrast, spherical particles with diameters up to nearly 0.2 microns were observed from aging Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} in the presence of AAMP-14 (Figure 4.6Q) with MiniPEG (neutral) group. The MiniPeg group is a glycol chain and increases the overall hydrophobicity of the peptide. Thus, in this case increased hydrophobicity is more of a factor as compared to Coulombic interaction (AAMP-12, AAMP-11) because Aβ assembly is initially controlled by hydrophobic interactions.

\textbf{4.3.4.2 Effect of C-Terminal Modifications of AAMPs on Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} Fibrillization}

When three Arg residues were added on each terminus as in AAMP-15, fibrils (Figure 4.7A) with lengths up to 1-2 microns were observed in AFM topographs. The fibrils (Figure 4.7C) observed after 1-week incubation had increased height as shown by cursor analysis (Figures 4.7B and 4.7D). Surprisingly, AAMPs with polar groups added to only the C-terminus produced particles with a different morphology as compared to those with polar groups added to both termini. For instance, spherical particles (Figure 4.7E) were the major species observed from aging Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} with AAMP-16 incorporating Arg on the C-terminus. The height of these particles (Figure 4.7G) increased up to 100 nm after 1-week incubation, shown by height distributions (Figures 4.7F and 4.7H). Mixtures of protofibrils and spherical particles (Figure 4.7I) were observed after 3 days aging Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} in the presence of AAMP-17 containing the neutral MiniPEG group. After 1 week of aging, spherical and linear particles (Figure 4.7K) with increased heights (Figures 4.7J and 4.7L) were observed from samples imaged after 1 week.
Figure 4.7 Disruption of Aβ₁-₄₀ fibril formation by AAMPs with polar groups added to the C- or N-terminus, characterized by tapping mode AFM. [A] Views of short fibrils after 3 days aging Aβ₁-₄₀ in the presence of AAMP-15; [B] Corresponding height distribution for A; [C] After 1 week; [D] Height analysis for C; [E] Spherical structures detected after 1 week of aging an Aβ₁-₄₀ /AAMP-16 mixture; [F] Corresponding height distribution; [G] After 1 week; [H] Height distribution analysis for G; [I] Views of spherical and protofibrils formed by Aβ₁-₄₀ /AAMP-17 mixture after 3 days aging; [J] Corresponding height analysis; [K] After 1 week; [L] Height analysis for K; [M] Views of spherical particles and protofibrils formed by Aβ₁-₄₀ aggregation mitigation by AAMP-18 after 3 days aging; [N] Corresponding height distribution; [O] Fibrils detected after 1 week; [P] Height analysis for O. [Q] Spherical aggregates and protofibrils observed after 3 days Aβ₁-₄₀ mitigation by AAMP-19; [R] Height distribution histogram for Q; [S] Fibrils were present after 1 week aging; [T] Height analysis for S.
Also, when Aβ\(_{1-40}\) was aged with AAMP-18 containing another positively charged group (Lys), spherical particles, and protofibrils (Figure 4.7M) were detected for samples imaged after 3 days. Predominantly, fibrils (Figure O) were observed after 1-week incubation of AAMP-18 exhibiting an increased height distribution as compared to spherical/protofibrils observed after 3 days of aging (Figure 4.7N and 4.7P). When Aβ\(_{1-40}\) was aged in the presence of AAMP-19 with polar groups added to the N-terminus, a mixture of spherical and fibrillar structures (Figure 4.7Q) were observed for AFM images acquired of samples after 3 days aging. Predominantly, short fibrils spanning few microns (Figure 4.7S) and even distribution (Figures 4.7R and 4.7T) from those of A\(\beta\) were observed from samples taken after 1 week aging.

Contrary to results observed for AAMP-14, increasing the overall hydrophilicity of the peptide by addition of more positively charged Arg for AAMP-15 did not necessarily lead to increased disruptive properties. This suggests the importance of the overall hydrophobicity of the peptide, which has been shown to be involved in the initial process of A\(\beta\) assembly. Modification of the C-terminal of AAMPs with positively charged groups as in AAMP-16 and AAMP-17 led to disruption of fibrils yielding either non-fibrillar structures or fibrils with different morphologies (fibril length, fibril diameter, and fibril entanglement) as compared to structure of A\(\beta\) alone. Analogs of KLVF with C-terminal modification with Arg or Lys have been shown to have significantly larger binding affinities for A\(\beta\).\(^{25}\) Thus, the slight changes observed for the morphology of the particles could be attributed to the differences in the side chain functionality of the polar groups, where Arg has a more stable positive charge from delocalization as compared to Lys.
Figure 4.8. Disruption of Aβ1-40 fibril formation by ααAAs-containing AAMPs; [A] Protofibrils and spherical structures observed after 3 days aging Aβ1-40 in the presence of AAMP-20; [B] Height distribution for A; [C] Spherical structures and fibrils (background) observed after 1 week; [D] Corresponding height analysis for C; [E] Views of fibrils with some spherical structures detected after 1 week of aging Aβ1-40/AAMP-21 mixture; [F] Corresponding height distribution [G] After 1 week; [H] Height distribution analysis for G; [I] Spherical structures observed for Aβ1-40/AAMP-22 mixture after 3 days aging; [J] Corresponding height analysis; [K]; spherical and linear aggregates after 1 week; [L] Height analysis for K.

When the positively charged residue is replaced with a neutral group (MiniPEG), similar disruption was observed, and could be attributed to enhancing hydrophobic-hydrophobic interactions between Aβ and AAMP-17. Mitigators with their N- or C-terminus modified with
the same positively charged polar amino acid residue altered Aβ fibrillization forming particles with different morphology. For instance, fibrils were predominantly formed in AAMP-19 as compared to spherical particles in AAMP-16 with N- and C-terminal modified with Arg respectively. This is also consistent with binding studies that showed AAMPs with Lys y added to the N-terminus had 3-fold lower binding affinities as compared to AAMPs with Lys added to C-terminus.

4.3.4.3 Effect of N- or C-Terminal Modifications of AAMPs on Aβ_{1-40} Fibrillization

Analogs that incorporate ααAAs were also tested to investigate the effects of ααAAs on morphology of Aβ -AAMP aggregate. Spherical aggregates and isolated protofibrils (Figure 4.8A) were observed with Dpg-containing AAMP-20 after 3 days of aging. Spherical aggregates (Figure 4.8C) had grown to ~100 nm in diameter after 1 week of incubation as discerned from height distribution analysis (Figures 4.8B and 4.8D). Mixtures of spherical aggregates and fibrils/protofibrils (Figures 4.8E and 4.8G) were observed from aging Dibg-containing AAMP-21 after 3 and 7 days incubation. The height distribution of the particles increased over the same time (Figures 4.8F and 4.8H).

When Aβ_{1-40} was aged in the presence of AAMP-22 incorporating two ααAAs, spherical particles (Figure 4.8I) were observed after 3 days while spherical and linear particles (Figure 4.8K) observed after 1 week aging. The diameters and distribution the particles increased at longer aging times as shown by height analysis (Figures 4.8J and 4.8L). AAMP-20 and AAMP-21 are similar in design with AAMP-18 and AAMP-12 except for ααAA incorporated in their core. Mixtures of spherical aggregates and fibrils were observed with both AAMP-20 and AAMP-21 with diameters of spherical spanning up to a 0.1 micron as compared to primarily fibrils, although with different morphology as those of Aβ_{1-40}.
Figure 4.9. Effects of ααAAs-containing AAMPs on Aβ1-40 fibril formation; [A] Protofibrils and spherical structures were observed after 3 days aging Aβ1-40 in the presence of AAMP-23; [B] Height distribution for A; [C] Spherical structures and linear structures observed after 1 week; [D] Corresponding height analysis; [E] Spherical structures observed after 1 week of aging Aβ1-40/AAMP-24 mixture; [F] Height distribution analysis for E; [G] Rod-like fibrils and spherical aggregates observed after 1 week; [H] Corresponding histogram analysis; [I] Spherical and protofibrillar structures observed after aging Aβ1-40/AAMP-25 for 3 days; [J] Corresponding height analysis; [K]; After 1 week [L] Height analysis for K.

These are good examples that show the effects of incorporating ααAAs in a mitigator sequence. When the number of Lys were increased to three and another ααAAs introduced as in AAMP-22, compared to AAMP-20 with only one Lys and ααAA, no fibrillar structures were observed. This is consisted with increased solubility of Aβ-AAMP aggregate and steric factors.
crucial in our mitigator design. This is also consistent with 80% reduced fluorescence observed relative to that of Aβ1-40.

Spherical and linear structures (Figures 4.9A and 4.9C) were observed in AFM topographs of samples after three days and 1 week of incubating Aβ1-40 in the presence of AAMP-23 incorporating Dpg and three lysine residues added to both termini. Spherical structures (Figure 4.9E) were observed after 3 days of aging a mixture of Aβ1-40 and AAMP-24. After 1 week of incubation, spherical aggregates and protofibrils or linear structures (Figure 4.9G) were the predominant structures. On the other hand, after 3 days incubation of an Aβ1-40/AAMP-25 mixture, spherical aggregates and protofibrils (Figure 4.9I) were observed. After 1 week of aging, fibrils (Figure 4.9K) were more abundant. For all the AAMPs, particles that formed were observed to increase in height after longer aging, as shown by height distributions (Figures 4.9B, 4.9D, 4.9F, 4.9H, 4.9J, and 4.9L).

Addition of three lysine residues to both termini as in AAMP-23, disrupted fibril assembly to generate linear structures. Addition of three lysines to both ends probably increased the solubility of Aβ-AAMP aggregates, resulting in disruption of fibrillogenesis. The different morphologies of the particles observed with AAMP-24 as compared to AAMP-25 can be attributed to the steric difference between the ααAAs. Mixtures of spherical particles and protofibrils were formed with AAMP-24, which containing the Dibg (isobutyl side chains) as compared to fibrils in AAMP-25, which contains Dpg (n-propyl side chains). This highlights the important role of polar groups and steric hindrance to the mechanisms for disruption of Aβ fibrillization.
Figure 4.10 EM micrograph of particles observed after 1-week aging $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ in presence of the various AAMPs. [A] Fibrils formed by $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ alone; [B] Fibrils formed by $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ alone different view; [C] Zoom in view of $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ fibrils observed in B [D] Fibrils formed from $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ mitigation by AAMP-11; [E] isolated fibrils formed from aging $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ in presence of AAMP-12; [F] isolated fibrils were observed from aging $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$/AAMP-13 mixture; [G] mainly spherical particles and isolated protofibrils observed from aging $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ in presence of AAMP-14; [H] isolated fibrillar structures observed from incubating $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ in presence of AAMP-15; [I] Spherical particles formed from $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ aggregation mitigation by AAMP-16 [J] No fibrillar particles observed from $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ aggregation mitigation by AAMP-17 [K] Fibrillar structures formed from $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$ mitigation by AAMP-18; [L] Fibrils observed from aging $\text{A}\beta_1$-$40$/AAMP-19 mixture.
4.3.5 Morphology of Structures Formed from Aβ1-40 Aggregation Mitigation by the Various AAMPs as Observed by TEM

**Figure 4.11** EM micrograph of particles observed after 1-week aging Aβ1-40 in presence of the various AAMPs. [A] Isolated fibrils observed from aging Aβ1-40/AAMP-20 mixture; [B] non-fibrillar structures formed from aging Aβ1-40 in presence of AAMP-21; [C]; non-fibrillar structures formed from aging Aβ1-40 in presence of AAMP-22 [D] Isolated prefibrillar particles formed from Aβ1-40 mitigation by AAMP-23; [E] Mixture of spherical aggregates and isolated fibrils were formed from aging Aβ1-40 in presence of AAMP-24; [F] network of fibrils observed from aging Aβ1-40/AAMP-25 mixture.

Negatively stained samples of Aβ1-40 alone or mixed with equimolar AAMPs prepared at the same time as those of AFM and ThT were examined using TEM to confirm the various morphologies observed with AFM. The morphology of structures (Figure 3.8) formed by Aβ1-40 alone and with the various AAMPs after 1-week aging was consistent with AFM observations. For instance, Aβ1-40 sample showed a typical appearance of amyloid fibrils, shown as a dense network of fibrils extending several microns in length. In contrast, Aβ1-40/AAMP mixtures reveal disruption of Aβ1-40 fibrillization yielding particles with different morphologies.
4.3.6 Aβ₁₋₄₀ Fibril Disassembly

It has been shown that the presence of fibrils in the brain nucleates further deposition of plaques in AD. It was previously stated that a dynamic equilibrium exists between monomers/dimers and fibrils. This makes agents that induce fibril disassembly an attractive source for development of new therapeutics that will reduce or eliminate plaques in the brain. Previously, β-sheet breaker and N-methylated peptides have been shown to disassemble pre-formed fibrils. Herein, we designed protocols to evaluate the effect of AAMPs on Aβ fibril disassembly. Mature fibrils, were formed by incubating Aβ₁₋₄₀ for 1 week at 37°C in PBS (pH 7.4) while agitating. Equimolar mixtures of fibrils of AAMP/Aβ₁₋₄₀ and Aβ₁₋₄₀ alone were aged for 24 h. The extent to which AAMPs induced fibril disassembly was monitored using ThT fluorescence, as well as images acquired with AFM and TEM. Results of ThT fluorescence are shown in Figure 4.12 as percentage relative to that of Aβ₁₋₄₀.

![Figure 4.12](image)

**Figure 4.12.** Fibril disassembly by various AAMPs as monitored by ThT fluorescence, presented as percent relative to that of Aβ₁₋₄₀.
The various AAMPs exhibit reduced fluorescence of more than 50% relative to that of Aβ_{1-40}. The N-methylated control peptide Aβ_{16-22m}, which has been shown to disassemble fibrils, displayed 40% reduced fluorescence. Based on ThT fluorescence only, the various AAMPs tested exhibited reduced fluorescence comparable to the N-methylated control peptide. After 24 h, there is no significant difference in ThT fluorescence observed for AAMPs incorporating polar groups on the N- and C-terminus (AAMP-11-15), C-terminus (AAMP-16-18), N-terminus (AAMP-19) or ααAA-containing AAMPs (AAMP-20-25). It should also be noted that the reduced fluorescence exhibited by the various AAMPs does not necessarily indicate the absence of fibrils. This is because inhibitor or disrupter molecules can bind fibrils displacing bound ThT molecule resulting in reduced fluorescence.

4.3.6.1 Size and Morphology of Structures Formed from Aβ_{1-40} Disassembly by AAMPs

Table 4.4. Effect of various AAMPs on Aβ disassembly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>AAMPs</th>
<th>size and morphology of resulting assemblies</th>
<th>24 hr Size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aβ_{1-40}</td>
<td>fibrils</td>
<td>7.9±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAMP-11</td>
<td>spherical/protofibrils</td>
<td>6.5±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AAMP-12</td>
<td>spherical/fibrils</td>
<td>6.3±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAMP-13</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>15.8±10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAMP-14</td>
<td>protofibrils/amorphous</td>
<td>19.8±11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AAMP-15</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>7.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAMP-16</td>
<td>fibrils/spherical</td>
<td>7.5±4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>AAMP-17</td>
<td>spherical/protofibrils</td>
<td>7.2±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>AAMP-18</td>
<td>protofibrils/fibrils</td>
<td>11.7±6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AAMP-19</td>
<td>fibrils</td>
<td>5.3±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AAMP-20</td>
<td>protofibrils/fibrils</td>
<td>9.6±4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AAMP-21</td>
<td>spherical/protofibrils</td>
<td>7.2±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>AAMP-22</td>
<td>spherical/linear</td>
<td>11.2±6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>AAMP-23</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>13.4±8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AAMP-24</td>
<td>spherical/protofibrils</td>
<td>8.4±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AAMP-25</td>
<td>fibrils</td>
<td>8.0±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Aβ_{16-22m}</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>35.6±20.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.13. Disassembly of pre-formed fibrils of Aβ\textsubscript{1-40}. [A] Control sample of Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} fibrils. [B] Corresponding height histogram. [C] Fibrils and spherical structures after disassembly by AAMP-11; [D] Histogram analysis for C; [E] Partial disassembly by AAMP-12; [F] Height histogram analysis for E; [G] Spherical particles formed by AAMP-13 fibril disassembly; [H] Height analysis for G; [I] Spherical aggregates and fibrils (background) formed from disassembly by AAMP-14; [J] Corresponding height analysis; [K] Isolated fibrils present from disassembly by AAMP-15; [L] Height histogram for K; [M] Mixture of short and long fibrils observed from disassembly by AAMP-16; [N] Corresponding height analysis; [O] Fibrils showing beaded sections for Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} fibril disassembly by AAMP-17; [P] Corresponding height histogram; [Q] Fibrils showing nucleation units for mature fibrils after disassembly by AAMP-18; [R] Corresponding height analysis; [S] Partial pre-formed fibril disassembly observed with AAMP-19; [T] Height histogram for O.
Parallel AFM experiments were conducted for samples prepared at the same time as those for ThT fluorescence. A dense network of fibrils with mean height of 7.9 nm was observed from topographic AFM image of Aβ1-40 sample after 1 week of aging (Figure 4.13A). Similar observations were found with TEM micrographs, which displayed fibrils spanning several microns in length. Pre-formed fibrils were mixed with the various AAMPs at 1:1 molar ratio to evaluate their potential for disassembly. The mean heights and morphologies of the various structures formed from topographic AFM data are presented in Table 4.4. Fibrils and protofibrils were the major structures observed with disassembly of pre-formed fibrils by AAMP-11 (Figure 4.13C), AAMP-12 (Figure 4.13E), and AAMP-15 (Figure 4.13K) which all have polar groups added to both the C- and N-terminus.

Spherical aggregates were the main structures observed after disassembly of pre-formed fibrils by AAMP-13 (Figure 4.13G) and AAMP-14 (Figure 4.13I), which incorporate Glu and PEG chains respectively into the C- and N-terminus. Predominantly, intact fibrils and isolated spherical particles were the major products observed for disassembly of pre-formed fibrils by AAMP-16 (Figure 4.13M), AAMP-17 (Figure 4.13O), and AAMP-18 (Figure 4.13Q) with different polar groups added only to the C-terminus. Partially disassembled fibrils were observed for AAMP-19 (Figure 4.13S) with polar groups added to N-terminus. Figures 4.13B, 4.13D, 4.13F, 4.13H, 4.13J, 4.13L, 4.13N, 4.13P, 4.13R and 4.13T are the corresponding height distributions from analysis of multiple cursor profiles.

The surface coverage and morphology (distribution, appearance, length, etc.) of fibrillar structures formed from disassembly by these mitigators indicates partial disassembly took place. For instance, fibrillar structures viewed with disassembly by AAMP-15, AAMP-17, and AAMP-18, showed nucleation units along the fibril length.
Figure 4.14. Disassembly of Aβ₁-₄₀ pre-formed fibrils imaged using tapping mode AFM. [A] Fibril disassembly by AAMP-20; [B] Corresponding height histogram. [C] Spherical structures were observed with disassembly by AAMP-21; [D] Height analysis for C; [E] Spherical structures with disassembly by AAMP-22; [F] Corresponding height histogram; [G] Fibrils with beaded morphology observed from disassembly by AAMP-23 [H] Height analysis for G; [I] Spherical aggregates and isolated protofibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-24; [J] Height analysis for I; [K] Fibrils and some spherical species observed from fibril disassembly by AAMP-25; [L] Corresponding height analysis.
This is an indication of immature fibrils or protofibrillar structures, which are precursors of mature fibril formation. In addition, there is evidence of fibril breakage as shown by the presence of fibrils with lengths less than a micron (AAMP-16) as compared to those of pre-formed Aβ1-40 fibrils. Spherical particles with diameters up to 0.1 micron were formed in the presence of AAMP-14. This underscores the importance of overall hydrophobicity and the length of polar group in the mechanism of disassembly for pre-formed fibrils.

4.3.6.2 Size and Morphology of Structures Formed from Fibril Disassembly by ααAA-AAMPs

When ααAA-containing AAMPs were mixed with pre-formed fibrils, the steric nature of ααAAs played a role in the disassembly process. For instance, fibrils/protofibrils (Figure 4.14A) were the major species with disassembly by Dpg-containing AAMP-20 as compared to mainly spherical particles (Figure 4.14C) observed with Dibg containing AAMP-21. Similarly, spherical particles (Figure 4.14I) and fibrils (Figure 4.14K) were observed for Dibg-containing AAMP-24 and Dpg-containing AAMP-25. When another ααAAs was introduced to AAMP-24 (Dpg) or AAMP-25 (Dibg) for AAMP-22, spherical particles (Figure 4.14E) with mean height of 11.2 nm were the major structures of disassembly. Addition of three Lys to both termini in Dpg-containing AAMP-23 (Figure 4.14G) evidenced no fibrils in AFM images, suggesting that adding polar groups contributes to the disassembly of pre-formed fibrils. Figures 4.14B, 4.14D, 4.14F, 4.14H, 4.14J and 4.14L, provide corresponding analysis of the height distribution of particles from AFM cursor measurements.

The distribution of ααAAs in the KLVFF motif is a factor in the disassembly of pre-formed fibrils. For instance, fibrillar structures were the major species formed by AAMPs incorporating ααAAS outside the KLVFF region as compared to spherical structures observed in AAMP-20 or AAMP-25 with ααAAs placed in the KLVFF core. Increasing the hydrophobicity
or steric hindrance by introducing another ααAA as in AAMP-22, induces disassembly of pre-formed fibrils to form mainly spherical particles. Although not conclusive, greater surface coverage of disassembled fibrils was observed with AAMP-20 than in the case of AAMP-25 with one and three C-terminal lysines, respectively. Also, AAMP-23 with three lysines were added to both termini and ααAAs incorporated outside the KLVFF domain, was not expected to disassemble fibrils; however intact fibrils were not detected, suggesting that added polar groups also contributes to the disassembly of pre-formed fibrils. This shows that disassembly of pre-formed fibrils is synergistic combination of the influences of ααAAs as well the number of polar groups added.

4.3.6.3 Morphology of Structures Formed from Aβ1-40 Disassembly by the Various AAMPs as Observed by TEM

Negatively stained samples of Aβ1-40 pre-formed fibrils or those mixed with various AAMPs prepared at the same time as those of AFM and ThT were examined using TEM to confirm the various morphologies observed with AFM. The morphology of Aβ1-40 pre-formed fibrils and structures resulting from disassembly by the various AAMPs observed after 24 hr aging (Figure 3.12) were consistent with AFM observations. For instance, Aβ1-40 sample showed a typical appearance of amyloid fibrils, shown as a dense network of fibrils extending several microns in length. In contrast, Aβ1-40/AAMP mixtures reveal disassembly of Aβ1-40 fibrils yielding particles with different morphologies.
Figure 4.15 Disassembly of Aβ1-40 preformed fibrils. [A] Aβ1-40 preformed fibrils; [B]; Aβ1-40 preformed fibrils different view; [C] Fibrils disassembly by AAMP-11; [D] isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-12; [E] Fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-13; [F] isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-14; [G] Non fibrillar structures observed from disassembly by AAMP-15; [H] Fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-16; [I] Non fibrillar structures formed from disassembly by AAMP-17.
Figure 4.16 Disassembly of Aβ₁₋₄₀ preformed fibrils. [A] Fibrils observed from disassembly by AAMP-18; [B] Fibrillar structures observed from disassembly by AAMP-19; [C] Fibrils disassembly by AAMP-20; [D] Isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-21; [E] Spherical aggregates formed from disassembly by AAMP-22; [F] Isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-23; [G] Isolated fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-24; [H] Fibrils formed from disassembly by AAMP-25; [I] Fibrillar structures from disassembly by Aβ₁₆₋₂₂m;
4.4 Conclusions

This study demonstrates that terminal modifications of the mitigator core sequence (KLVFF) with different polar groups altered Aβ fibrillization yielding particles with different morphologies and sizes. They also disrupt pre-formed fibrils to produce prefibrillar assemblies, suggesting that an equilibrium exists between fibrils and prefibrillar aggregates. Different results were obtained with modification of only the C-terminus as compared to changes for both N- and C- termini. In the process, a mitigator AAMP-16 with the C-terminal modified with Arg was found to potent disrupter of Aβ1-40 fibril assembly, forming spherical aggregates. This is consistent with the previously reported use of Arg to solubilize inhibitors of Aβ1-42 and α-synuclein fibrillogenesis, and protects differentiated SHSY-5Y neuroblastoma cells against Aβ toxicity. Also, AAMPs with a MiniPEG group were found to disrupt Aβ1-40 fibril formation. This is important because it can be used as a replacement for charged polar groups to improve systemic bioavailability of a drug during in vivo studies, and hence reduce toxicity as previously shown for Lys-containing AAMP-1. Smaller-sized spherical particles were observed for disruption by AAMP-17 as compared to AAMP-14, with C-terminus or C- and N-terminus modified with MiniPEG, respectively. This indicates that the N-terminal modification enhances aggregation to form larger assemblies. We have previously reported similar results where large sizes of particles formed with N-terminal modification of ααAA-KLVFF compared to C-terminal modification using six oligolysine chains. The particle size difference is closely associated with disruption of the hydrophobic C-terminal group which has more impact on aggregation than the hydrophilic N-terminal.

The different morphologies of particles formed with AAMP-19 (fibrils) and AAMP-16 (spherical aggregates) are attributable of the N-terminal and C-terminal, respectively. Through
SPR binding studies, Murphy and coworkers have shown that AAMPs with positively charged polar groups placed on the N-terminus have a 3-fold lower affinity for immobilized Aβ_{10-35} than the ones placed on the C-terminus. From SPR and our AFM studies, it can be concluded that mitigators with a higher affinity for the target Aβ_{1-40} are better disrupters of Aβ fibrillization. Higher binding affinities for AAMPs with polar groups added to the C-terminus as compared to N-terminus are due to Coulombic interactions between positively charged polar groups in the AAMP (Lys, Arg) and negatively charged residues (E22 and D23) in Aβ. Coulombic interactions can also occur for AAMPs with polar groups added to the N-terminus, but the AAMP has to align in an antiparallel manner to align the two appositively charged groups in Aβ and AAMP. Even with antiparallel orientation, SPR studies have demonstrated lower binding affinities as compared to the parallel orientation.

The AAMPs incorporating ααAAs were better disrupters of Aβ fibrillization as compared to AAMPs without ααAAs. For instance, linear structures and fibrils were formed from incubation of Aβ_{1-40} in the presence of ααAA-containing AAMP-20 and AAMP-18 respectively. This is because incorporating ααAAs in the AAMP core forces the peptide to adopt an extended conformation that is ideal for interacting with Aβ_{1-40} through side chain-side chain hydrophobic interactions. Also, incorporation of ααAAs into the mitigator core increases its hydrophobic character and in essence, impacts Aβ_{1-40} assembly since the initial aggregation is controlled by hydrophobic interactions. Mitigator AAMP-22 incorporating two ααAAs in the KLVFF motif and containing three lysines on the C-terminus was found to have similar disruptive properties as compared to AAMP-3 (Chapter 3) with six lysines. This shows that the number of lysines can be reduced without affecting solubility and disruptive properties of the mitigator.
Topographic AFM data of the disassembly of pre-formed fibrils show agreement with data from ThT fluorescence. The lower percent ThT fluorescence exhibited by AAMP/ Aβ1-40 mixtures is consistent with the observations of lower surface coverage of fibrils. Peptides, which have their termini modified with Lys, Arg, Glu and glycol chains have been shown to be effective at disrupting fibril formation as well as to protect against neuronal toxicity.\textsuperscript{14, 18, 26, 46} Fibrils/protofibrils were observed in AAMPs without αAAs as with AFM and TEM data. Mitigators, AAMP-21, AAMP-22, AAMP-23 induced disassembly of pre-formed fibrils to a similar degree to N-methylated peptide, Aβ16-22m which was previously shown to disassemble pre-formed fibrils.\textsuperscript{14} The N-methylated, Aβ16-22m as disassembled pre-formed fibrils, yielded large spherical aggregates with mean heights of 35.6 nm. In contrast, smaller aggregates were observed with αAA-AAMPs. The difference in the height of assemblies that formed can only be attributable to the added polar groups. Thus, polar groups play a role in determining the size of assemblies formed. We hypothesize that substitution of hydrogen atom on α-carbon of certain amino acids in the critical KLVFF region with an alkyl substituent yields a more hydrophobic peptide. Initial assembly of Aβ oligomers is controlled by the hydrophobic side-chains. Thus, increasing the hydrophobicity of this region should also enhance interactions between Aβ and the mitigator, leading to both disruption of Aβ assembly into fibrils as well as the disassembly of pre-formed fibrils.

Also, because of terminal modifications with different polar groups, potent mitigators of Aβ\textsubscript{1-40} fibrillization were found, where the most interesting are the ones with neutral MiniPEG polar groups. Previous designs mostly used positively charged amino acids such as Lys or Arg, which makes peptide delivery through the brain barrier difficult. Thus, this study serves as a foundation for future design of more effective peptides with increased systemic bioavailability.
and for optimal use in vivo. In addition, there is increasing evidence that an equilibrium exists between fibrils and monomers. Mitigator KLVFFK$_6$ was previously shown by Murphy and coworkers to alter the Aβ$_{1-40}$ fibrillization process, forming fibrils with different morphologies that were protective against Aβ cytotoxicity. The non-toxic nature of these fibrils is believed to be because they are stable to the equilibrium change unlike Aβ fibrils which are in constant equilibrium with the more toxic oligomeric assemblies. Thus, future cytotoxicity experiments on mitigators that form fibrils with different morphology as those of Aβ$_{1-40}$ fibrils will further provide a basis for design of mitigators that result in fibrils that are stable and protect against neurotoxicity. Also, we designed mitigators that induced partial disassembly of preformed fibrils. The mechanism of disassembly is believed to occur by mitigator monomers binding to the end of fibrils and shifting equilibrium towards prefibrillar assemblies. Thus, this study can guide future designs of dissolution agents. Lastly, it is possible now to introduce unnatural amino acids into a given protein selectively in a cell; therefore, ααAAAs would be valuable for studying the structural elements responsible for Aβ toxicity in animal models of AD.
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CHAPTER 5
SUMMARY

5.1 Discussion

This dissertation focused on design and synthesis of short model peptides that incorporate \( \alpha \alpha \)AAs in the core sequence. \( \alpha \alpha \)AAs are known to induce extended peptide conformation (two hydrogen bonding face) in a model peptide such that one face is blocked by \( \alpha \alpha \)AAs and the other is available for interaction with A\( \beta \) via side-chain, side-chain hydrophobic interactions.\(^1,2\) Thus, a series of \( \alpha \alpha \)AA-containing AAMPs were designed.

Chapter 2 of this dissertation describes the synthesis of \( \alpha \alpha \)AAs and incorporation into a short model peptides. Dipropylglycine (Dpg) and Diisobutylglycine (Dibg) were easily synthesized following Fu protocols.\(^3-5\) Procedural adjustments especially in the reaction workup resulted in increased yields. Dpg was also synthesized in high yields via palladium catalyzed alkylation reaction. Dibenzylglycine (Dbg) was difficult to synthesize in large amounts such that it was enough for peptide synthesis. The was because the dialkylated ester was unstable to rotary evaporation and distilled out with the solvent into the waste flask. With the increasing success of microwave irradiation to hydrolyze sterically hindered hydatoin,\(^6,7\) I hypothesize that Dbg hydantoin too can be successfully hydrolyzed using microwave irradiation such that it can easily be synthesize in high yields using Bucherer-Bergs method,\(^8\) the most common way of synthesizing \( \alpha \alpha \)AAs. Acylation of N-terminus of \( \alpha \alpha \)AAs during peptide synthesis was found to be difficult as shown by the very low coupling yields. Coupling yields were also improved using microwave irradiation and a library of AAMPs designed was successfully synthesized.

Chapter 3 describes solution analysis of the various AAMPs for their ability to disrupt properties against A\( \beta \) fibrillization. AAMP-1, which has three \( \alpha \alpha \)AAs incorporated in the core
sequence, was shown previously to disrupt Aβ fibril formation yielding non-fibrilllic assemblies that were still stable after 4.5 months. In this Chapter, the role of individual ααAAs was investigated for their effects on the resulting Aβ-AAMP aggregate size and morphology. The number and position of ααAAs relative to the KLVFF core was shown to be crucial for the AAMP to disrupt Aβ fibrillization. Also, for the first time ααAA-containing AAMPs were found to induce fibril disassembly. These ααAA-AAMPs disassemble fibrils by binding to Aβ fibril and because of their bulky side chains, it hinders both β-sheet extension and lateral association into layers.10

Chapter 4 describes terminal modifications of AAMPs derived from Aβ central hydrophobic core with differently charged polar residues. Terminal modifications of peptides derived from Aβ central hydrophobic core have been shown previously to affect the Aβ-AAMP binding affinity or aggregate size and morphology. For instance Murphy and Coworkers showed that polar groups added to C-terminus have more affinity for immobilized Aβ10-35 than polar groups added to the N-terminus.11 Also, Fu et al showed that AMY-2 with six lysines added to N-terminus aggregated faster forming large assemblies as compared to AMY-1 with six lysine’s added to C-terminus.12, 13 Thus, in this chapter terminal modifications using polar residues with different charged led to several potent mitigators of Aβ assembly, majority of which incorporate polar groups to the C-terminus. More importantly, AAMPs incorporating miniPEG polar groups were found to be effective against Aβ fibrillization. It is imperative to design mitigators that can easily be delivered through the brain barrier to their targets. Thus, future design of mitigators should include uncharged polar groups such as miniPEG. Also, the number of both Lys and ααAAs was reduced without changing the ability of the AAMP to
disrupt fibril formation. This is important because our original goal of reducing the charge and
size of the AAMP was achieved.\textsuperscript{12,13}

Currently collaborative work with Dr. Keller at LSU Pennington is ongoing to test the assemblies formed from A$\beta$ mitigation by these AAMPs on primary neurons.
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