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ABSTRACT

The foci of this dissertation are the synthesis of analogs for chemical biology studies, synthetic chemistry method development (with an emphasis on photochemistry), and the application of these methods toward total synthesis. Chapter 1 outlines the synthesis of an array of pheromone analogs that are derivatives of the dideoxy sugar ascarylose. Referred to as ascarosides, such compounds have recently been shown to influence important biological processes in the model organism Caenorhabditis elegans. C. elegans secretes ascarosides into its environment, where the compounds then act as signaling molecules by providing information on factors like population density.

Chapter 2 describes the biological impact of naturally occurring and synthetic ascarosides in C. elegans developmental and reproductive assays. Chemosensation of ascarosides results in downregulation of important signaling pathways, which are conserved in higher organisms, and our studies provide data on the effects of these processes. We hypothesize that knowledge gained from these studies can be extrapolated to provide insight for similar processes in higher organisms such as humans.

In Chapter 3, a photochemical approach to total synthesis of the natural product delavayine is discussed. Alkaloids from the same family have shown biological activity via binding to human opioid receptors, which are responsible for producing analgesic and antidepressant effects. The photo-S_N1 reaction, a process which produces a triplet phenyl cation intermediate, was utilized as a central reaction for initiation of a cascade cyclization event en route to delavayine.

Chapter 4 details the development of a strategy for remote C-H functionalization using redox catalysis. The Tz' directing group was used to effect 1,6- and 1,7-hydrogen
atom transfers from unactivated aliphatics. The resulting radicals were then oxidized by redox (or photoredox) catalysis to form a carbocation that was ultimately trapped by water or methanol. After loss of a proton, successfully hydroxylated or methoxylated products were isolated in moderate yields. This newly developed method was then applied to a concise total synthesis of the powerful antidepressant drug fluoxetine (Prozac®), beginning from a more inexpensive and simple starting material than current industrial methods.
CHAPTER 1: CHEMICAL GENETIC STUDIES ON CHEMOSENSATION IN THE MODEL ORGANISM CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS, PART I: SYNTHESIS OF ASCAROSIDES

1.1 Introduction

Chemical biology, the utilization of chemical principals to examine biological processes, has been referred to as “the ultimate team sport.”¹ This interdisciplinary field has emerged as a high-impact arena for all those with an interest in molecular biology. In 2005 and 2006 respectively, the journals entitled *Nature Chemical Biology* and *ACS Chemical Biology* were created in order to accommodate the bulk of new research in the area. By recognizing the potential of this field, scientists are developing the means to answer questions like, “How do small molecules play a role in complex biological processes?”, “What can studying such relationships do to improve our overall understanding of chemistry and biology?”, and ultimately, “Can we benefit by applying this knowledge to make advances in vital areas such as medicine?” Taking the first steps to resolving such questions has been the driving force for the research presented herein.

The common nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Figure 1.1) has been widely used to study biological processes in animals for nearly half a century, and has facilitated the discovery of many important phenomena including RNA interference and programmed cell death.²,³ Recently, it has been found that a series of small molecule pheromones regulates a variety of biological processes in *C. elegans*. Each of these molecules consists of the dideoxy sugar ascarylose linked to a fatty acid-like moiety of varying chain length, degree of unsaturation, and terminal functionality. Gathering information about these structural features and how they confer activity will be of the utmost importance in understanding the roles of these molecules in *C. elegans*. We furthermore anticipate that small molecule
studies will give insight into various biological processes in *C. elegans*, many of which are of importance in higher organisms.

![Image](image.jpg)

Figure 1.1 *Caenorhabditis elegans* hermaphrodite

### 1.2 *C. elegans Background*

One of the most important choices facing scientists when exploring the realm of chemical biology is the selection of a model organism to study. In the early 1960s, Sydney Brenner sought to find a model organism that met certain criteria for studies of higher level biological functions such as development. The organism needed to be easy to cultivate and manipulate in large numbers, have a short generation time, be easily studied under a microscope, and be complex enough to contain differentiated organs and a central nervous system. Bacteria, though heavily used for molecular biology, did not meet the criterion of complexity. Brenner also stated that the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* was too complex for his intentions, and its nervous system was not yet well-studied. Through much searching, Brenner eventually identified the small nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *C. elegans* can be easily cultivated in a laboratory and has a short generation time (2-3 days) and lifespan (2-3 weeks). An adult hermaphrodite (Figure 1.1) is approximately 1 mm in length, contains exactly 959 somatic cells, and has a transparent body which can
be observed via light microscopy. In the 50 years since Brenner’s seminal studies, the entire *C. elegans* genome has been sequenced, its cell lineage and nervous system have been completely reconstructed, and its use of RNA interference in the expression of genes has been revealed. These discoveries have firmly established the worm’s practicality as a model organism.

It has now been determined that *C. elegans* senses chemical cues, e.g. pheromones, via sensory organs located in its head. When the correct pheromones are present, and when their antagonists are absent, it is believed that this process results in down regulation of pathways such as TGF-β and IGF-1. Homologous pathways to TGF-β and IGF-1 are known to influence growth, metabolism, development, longevity, and stress-resistance in higher organisms. In 2005, the first structural elucidation and synthesis of a *C. elegans* pheromone took place. This initially-discovered pheromone is one of no fewer than twelve additional compounds, some published as recently as 2012. Scheme 1.1 shows some key pheromones. Several of these additional compounds are potent inducers of dauer formation, and others have activity as sexual attractants (Chapter 2). It has also been shown that these molecules work synergistically by binding to multiple receptors.

Scheme 1.1 Important *C. elegans* pheromone components
1.3 *C. elegans* Ascaroside Pheromones

To date, each pheromone molecule is a derivative of ascarylose, a dideoxy sugar which comprises the backbone of the structure (shown in red, Figure 1.2). Every ascaroside also has a fatty-acid like moiety attached by a glycosidic linkage to C1 of the sugar. Ascarosides can vary by many structural features, as shown in Figure 1.2, and the names reflect these variations. Structures can vary by having ω or ω−1 (R = H or Me, respectively) oxygenation of the side chain, of which ω−1 is more common and therefore left out of the name. Side chains may also contain α,β-unsaturation, which is denoted with a Δ in the name of the compound. The terminus of the side chain regularly varies and may include a carboxyc acid (common, not named), methyl ketone (MK), or para-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) group attached by amide linkage. Some ascarosides have been found to contain a head group at C4 of the sugar. The indole carboxyl group (IC) shown in Figure 1.2 is the most common head group other than the free hydroxyl. The systematic naming of ascarosides follows these differences in structure and is therefore given as (head group-)asc-(ω)(Δ)C(# of carbons in side chain)-(terminal group).

![Figure 1.2 General structure of ascarosides](image)

The key structural features of the ascaroside family addressed by these initial analog studies are 1) presence of IC head group, 2) side chain length, 3) degree of
unsaturation, 4) functionality at the terminus and 5) ω vs ω−1 oxygenation of the side chain. Study of activity conferred by specific structural features has produced an SAR for *C. elegans* pheromones dauer-inducing ability and given insight into receptor function. Naturally occurring ascarosides were also synthesized and used for study of sperm motility in *C. elegans*. See Chapter 2 for results of both the analog and sperm motility studies.

1.4 Results and Discussion

Analogs of the *C. elegans* dauer-inducing pheromones have been synthesized in 4-5 steps from dibenzoyl ascaroylose, which was previously synthesized according to a known procedure. Ascaroside head group, side chain length, degree of unsaturation, and functionality at the terminus have been varied systematically to produce a series of similar compounds. By screening molecules with only slight structural differences from the natural products and from each other, we aimed to determine which structural features confer activity. Scheme 1.2 shows all targeted ascarosides, with boxes around targets synthesized by this researcher (a total of 12).

The first series of ascarosides produced has structures similar to naturally occurring pheromones asc-C7 and asc-ΔC9 (also synthesized in this study), shown in Scheme 1.2. The first series of targeted compounds range in chain length from four to nine carbons and
maintain the carboxylic acid terminal functionality. Both the saturated and \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated derivatives have been synthesized in 4-5 steps from dibenzoyl ascarylose. Shown in Scheme 1.3, enantioenriched, terminal alkene-bearing alcohols (e.g. the depicted \((R)-(\cdots)\)-4-Penten-2-ol \((n=1)\) were successfully attached to dibenzoyl ascarylose via \(\text{BF}_3\)-promoted glycosylation\(^{10}\) to produce intermediate compounds such as 1.1. Neighboring group participation from the adjacent benzoyl protecting group resulted in selective formation of the desired \(\alpha\) anomer in moderate yields.

![Scheme 1.3 Glycosylation](image)

Olefin cross metathesis with Grubbs II catalyst and methyl acrylate in refluxing \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) was used to install a methyl ester terminal functionality. Intermediate series 1.2, shown in Scheme 1.4, was readily produced in good yields from this reliable reaction. To provide saturated analogs 1.3, some of each analog 1.2 was hydrogenated with palladium on activated carbon in \(\text{EtOAc}\). Scheme 1.4 depicts this high yielding reaction, which produced intermediates 1.3 in good yields. The methyl esters and benzoyl protecting groups of both intermediate series 1.2 and series 1.3 were hydrolyzed with 1M LiOH in \(\text{t-BuOH}\) to produce the final ascaroside analogs \texttt{asc-\(\Delta\)C(n+5)} and \texttt{asc-C(n+5)} in moderate to good yields.\(^{13}\)

The next set of analogs includes the indole carboxyl (IC) moiety of natural ascaroside \texttt{IC-asc-C5}, shown in Scheme 1.1. By creating analogs of \texttt{IC-asc-C5} that also contain a side chain of known activity, we intend to ascertain the significance of the indole
functionality. Therefore, two IC containing analogs (IC-asc-ΔC9 & IC-asc-C6-MK, with side chains from asc-ΔC9 & asc-C6-MK respectively) have been synthesized in 5 steps each from dibenzoyl ascarylose.

Scheme 1.4 Completion of first ascaroside series

For the synthesis of IC-asc-C6-MK (Scheme 1.5), the previously described glycosylation method was used, this time with (2R,5R)-2,5-hexanediol to produce alcohol intermediate 1.4. PCC oxidation of 1.4 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ gave ketone 1.5 in outstanding yield at which point the benzoyl protecting groups were removed via 1M LiOH hydrolysis in t-BuOH to produce naturally occurring ascaroside asc-C6-MK. At this stage, the indole carboxyl head group was to be attached at the equatorial free hydroxyl group. Unfortunately, the axial hydroxyl proved to be more reactive, (likely due to gauche interaction at the equatorial position) which prevented us from achieving monoacylation at

```latex
\textbf{Scheme 1.4 Completion of first ascaroside series}

\textbf{Scheme 1.5 Synthesis of IC-asc-C6-MK}
```
the desired position. Instead, diacylation of asc-C6-MK with freshly prepared indole-3-carbonyl chloride in dry CH2Cl2 afforded 1.6 in high yield.\textsuperscript{14} In the last step of the synthesis, a difficult monohydrolysis of the C2 indole carboxyl group in intermediate 1.6 was accomplished to give IC-asc-C6-MK, the target analog. Aliquots of the reaction in progress were subjected to $^1$H NMR where it was observed that an upfield chemical shift of the glycosidic proton at C2 correlated to hydrolysis. After testing several sets of conditions, the slightly more reactive axial indole moiety was cleaved in low yield with 1M LiOH in $i$-PrOH at 45°C and purified via HPLC. The isomer containing the indole carboxyl group solely at the axial position was never observed.

![Scheme 1.5 Synthesis of IC-asc-C6-MK](image)

Synthesis of IC-asc-ΔC9 (Scheme 1.6) commenced with BF$_3$-promoted glycosylation with dibenzoyl ascarylose and (R)-(−)-7-Octan-2-ol to yield 1.1 at which point the benzoyl groups were hydrolyzed to obtain terminal alkene 1.7. Diacylation with
indole-3-carbonyl chloride yielded 1.8, and methyl ester 1.9 was produced in excellent yield using Grubbs II catalyst for olefin cross metathesis with methyl acrylate. Finally, monohydrolysis of the C2 indole carboxyl group (and simultaneous methyl ester cleavage) in intermediate 1.9 was accomplished to give target compound IC-asc-ΔC9. It is interesting to note that, while testing conditions for the monohydrolysis of the indole group at C2 during the synthesis of IC-asc-C6-MK (Scheme 1.5), it was found that t-BuOH was not a suitable solvent. The reaction did not proceed at room temperature and progressed too rapidly at elevated temperature in t-BuOH, and therefore i-PrOH was used as an acceptable alternative. However, for the quite similar transformation of 1.1 to IC-asc-ΔC9 the same was not true. In fact, a much improved yield was obtained by using t-BuOH with 1M LiOH at 50°C. Again, none of the isomer containing the indole carboxyl solely at the axial position was ever observed.

Scheme 1.6 Synthesis of IC-asc-ΔC9
To further understand the importance of the IC moiety, it was desirable to synthesize analogs with a very similar side chain to IC-asc-C5 (Scheme 1.1), but which lacked the IC. One such analog had been previously synthesized (Scheme 1.4, asc-C6). This analog was one side-chain carbon longer (6 carbons total) than IC-asc-C5, and therefore additional analogs with 4 and 5 carbon side chains were synthesized. Scheme 1.7 shows the synthetic pathway beginning with previously accessed intermediates 1.1 undergoing ozonolysis in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at -78°C. Upon workup with PPh$_3$, the desired aldehyde was obtained (unpurified, confirmed by $^1$H NMR) and subjected to Pinnick oxidation conditions to afford carboxylic acid series 1.10. Hydrolysis under the customary set of conditions successfully generated target analogs asc-C4 and asc-C5.

Scheme 1.7 Synthesis of asc-C4 and asc-C5

In some instances, enantiomerically enriched alcohols for glycosylation were not commercially available. Thus, the 7 and 8 carbon chain alcohols, (R)-hept-6-en-2-ol and (R)-oct-7-en-2-ol respectively, were synthesized via organocuprate opening of commercially available (R)-(+-)-propylene oxide as shown in Scheme 1.8. These reactions consistently produced the desired alcohols is high yield.
1.5 Conclusions

Target ascarosides were successfully synthesized in 4-5 steps from dibenzoyl ascarylose. Both the equivalents of alcohol for glycosylation and equivalents of methyl acrylate for cross metathesis proved to be important and required significant optimization. In most cases, hydrolysis of benzoyl esters proved to be much higher yielding if t-butanol was used as solvent rather than methanol. By far the most challenging synthetic step involved placement of the indole carboxyl moiety in two of the analogs. It was necessary to diacylate both compounds due to the higher reactivity of the unwanted axial position. Then, removal of the indole group from the axial position proved to be extremely sensitive. Even though the two target analogs were very similar in structure, the same set of conditions did not work for both. Instead, careful optimization was required for each analog, including monitoring of the reaction by NMR.

Though many steps were challenging and required careful planning and optimization, all were finally accomplished. Most of the target analogs were quite polar and required HPLC purification. Also, during synthesis of analogs, it was discovered that analog target **IC-asc-ΔC9** was a naturally occurring ascaroside.

1.6 Experimental

Representative procedure for glycosylation:

A suspension of 1.0228 g (2.8720 mmol) dibenzoylascarylose, 0.54 mL (4.5 mmol) alcohol and 325.8 mg 4Å molecular sieves in 32 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was cooled to 0°C. To this suspension
was added 1.54 mL (12.2 mmol) BF$_3$OEt$_2$ at once. The resulting suspension was stirred at 0°C for 7 h. 30 mL saturated NaHCO$_3$ solution was then added. Upon cessation of effervescence, the aqueous layer was separated from the organic layer and then extracted with 3 x 20 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The resulting organic extracts were dried over MgSO$_4$ and filtered to obtain 1.5492 mg of oil. Column chromatography (35 g silica gel, gradient run from 50% hexanes in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to 30% hexanes in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded 1.1364 mg (90%) of a colorless syrup.

Synthesis of 1.1, n=1 (glycosylation):

Began with 234.6 mg dibenzoyl ascarlose, column chromatography (14.5 g silica gel, 30% hexanes in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) yielded 193.8 mg (69%) of a colorless oil. [α]$_D^{25}$ = -9.34, c 3.18 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{25}$H$_{28}$O$_6$Na 447.1778, found 447.1788; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.12 (d, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.63 – 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.42 (m, 4H), 5.89 (ddt, $J$ = 14.0, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 – 5.08 (m, 4H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.22 – 4.05 (m, 1H), 3.98 – 3.86 (m, 1H), 2.48 – 2.36 (m, 2H), 2.36 – 2.27 (m, 1H), 2.21 (ddd, $J$ = 13.9, 11.1, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (d, $J$ = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (d, $J$ = 6.0 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 165.68, 165.56, 134.81, 133.15, 133.08, 129.94, 129.77, 129.52, 128.35, 117.13, 93.89, 72.35, 71.09, 70.57, 66.82, 41.53, 29.60, 18.84, 17.73.

Synthesis of 1.1, n=2 (glycosylation):
Began with 750.0 mg dibenzoyl ascarylose, column chromatography (55 g silica gel, 30% hexanes in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) yielded 684.1 mg (74%) of a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = 90.0$, $c$ 1.01 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$); HRMS ($m/z$): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{30}$O$_6$Na 461.1935, found 461.1931; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.12 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.59 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.52 – 7.42 (m, 4H), 5.88 (ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.2, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.25 – 5.13 (m, 2H), 5.09 (dd, $J = 17.1$, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.13 (dq, $J = 12.3$, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (h, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.42 (ddd, $J = 13.4$, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.31 – 2.13 (m, 3H), 1.83 – 1.69 (m, 1H), 1.68 – 1.58 (m, 1H), 1.29 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 3H), 1.21 (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 165.81, 165.69, 138.41, 133.25, 133.19, 130.01, 129.87, 129.63, 128.45, 114.77, 93.74, 72.01, 71.26, 70.66, 67.03, 36.37, 29.98, 29.75, 19.11, 17.89.

Synthesis of 1.1, n=3 (glycosylation):

Began with 320.5 mg dibenzoyl ascarylose, column chromatography (30.5 g silica gel, gradient run from 5% ethyl acetate in hexanes to 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) yielded 213.2 mg (52%) of a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -55.7$, $c$ 0.60 (MeOH); HRMS ($m/z$): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{27}$H$_{32}$O$_6$Na 475.2091, found 475.2083; $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.18 – 8.08 (m, 2H), 8.08 – 7.98 (m, 2H), 7.66 – 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.53 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 5.86 (ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.2, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 – 5.11 (m, 2H), 5.11 – 4.91 (m, 3H), 4.19 – 4.04 (m, 1H), 3.87 (dq, $J = 13.2$, 6.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (dt, $J = 13.0$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.30 – 2.00 (m, 3H),
1.74 – 1.39 (m, 4H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 165.78, 165.65, 138.68, 133.21, 133.15, 130.01, 129.86, 129.84, 129.60, 128.42, 114.58, 93.76, 72.43, 71.24, 70.65, 66.97, 36.54, 33.60, 29.72, 24.95, 19.14, 17.86.

Synthesis of 1.1, n=4 (glycosylation):

Began with 486.1 mg dibenzoyl ascarlose, column chromatography (20 g silica gel, 30% hexanes in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) yielded 440.0 mg (69%) of a colorless oil. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = 89.4, c 0.085\) (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]\(^+\) calcd. for C\(_{28}\)H\(_{34}\)O\(_6\)Na 489.2248, found 489.2250; \(^1\text{H}\) NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.19 – 8.09 (m, 2H), 8.09 – 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.61 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.37 (m, 4H), 5.85 (ddt, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.29 – 5.12 (m, 2H), 5.10 – 4.93 (m, 3H), 4.15 (dq, J = 12.3, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (h, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dt, J = 13.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (ddd, J = 13.8, 11.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.70 – 1.41 (m, 6H), 1.31 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR (63 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 165.57, 165.45, 138.64, 133.06, 133.01, 129.89, 129.77, 129.71, 129.50, 129.45, 128.28, 114.34, 93.62, 72.35, 71.13, 70.53, 66.83, 36.85, 33.65, 29.60, 28.65, 25.10, 19.06, 17.76.

Synthesis of 1.4 (glycosylation):

Began with 400.0 mg dibenzoyl ascarlose, column chromatography (50 g silica gel, gradient run from 20% EtOAc in hexanes to 100% EtOAc) yielded 297.5 mg (58%) of a
colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = 3.1, c 1.46 \text{ (CH}_2\text{Cl}_2); \text{HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]}^+ \text{ calcd. for C}_{26}\text{H}_{32}\text{O}_7\text{Na} 479.2040, \text{found 479.2046; } ^1\text{H NMR (250 MHz, MeOD)} \delta 8.08 \text{ (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 8.00 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.56 – 7.40 (m, 4H), 5.21 – 5.03 (m, 2H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.16 (dq, J = 12.5, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.93 – 3.70 (m, 2H), 2.39 (dt, J = 13.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (ddd, J = 13.9, 11.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.90 – 1.39 (m, 4H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 6H); } ^{13}\text{C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 165.75, 165.62, 133.21, 133.14, 129.89, 129.80, 129.75, 129.56, 128.39, 93.65, 72.49, 71.15, 70.56, 67.81, 67.02, 35.05, 33.01, 29.65, 23.57, 19.04, 17.81.$

Representative procedure for cross metathesis:

To a solution of 40.0 mg (0.0734 mmol) terminal alkene and 33 µL (0.37 mmol) methyl acrylate in 4.0 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was added 3.7 mg (0.0044 mmol) Grubbs 2$^{\text{nd}}$ generation ruthenium catalyst at once. The resulting solution was allowed to stir at reflux for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to 67.6 mg of brown-red oil. Column chromatography (4 g silica gel, gradient run from pure CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to 15% ethyl acetate in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded 42.2 mg (0.0700 mmol) (95%) of a clear oil.

Synthesis of 1.2, n=1 (cross metathesis):

Began with 177.2 mg 1.1, n=1, column chromatography (20.5 g silica gel, gradient run from 2% Et$_2$O in hexanes to 10% Et$_2$O in hexanes) yielded 173.6 mg (86%) of a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = 75.9, c 1.27 \text{ (CH}_2\text{Cl}_2); \text{HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]}^+ \text{ calcd. for C}_{27}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_8\text{Na} 505.1833, \text{found 505.1838; } ^1\text{H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl}_3) \delta 8.11 \text{ (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 8.04}$
(d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.66 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.50 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 7.14 – 6.94 (m, 1H), 5.96 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 – 5.10 (m, 2H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.13 – 3.94 (m, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.57 – 2.34 (m, 3H), 2.17 (t, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 1.32 – 1.19 (m, 6H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.64, 165.73, 165.59, 145.42, 133.25, 133.15, 129.90, 129.81, 129.72, 129.61, 128.41, 128.39, 123.29, 93.89, 71.47, 70.95, 70.37, 67.06, 51.43, 39.74, 29.58, 19.19, 17.70.

Synthesis of 1.2, n=2 (cross metathesis):

Began with 670.0 mg 1.1, n=2, column chromatography (107 g silica gel, gradient run from 2% Et2O in hexanes to 20% Et2O in hexanes) yielded 630.5 mg (83%) of a colorless oil. [α]D25 = 86.4, c 1.03 (CH2Cl2); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]+ calcd. for C28H32O8Na 519.1989, found 519.1990; 1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.11 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.53 – 7.40 (m, 4H), 7.15 – 6.95 (m, 1H), 5.91 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.27 – 5.09 (m, 2H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.06 (dq, J = 12.8, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (h, J = 11.8, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.51 – 2.29 (m, 3H), 2.19 (ddd, J = 13.9, 10.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.90 – 1.61 (m, 2H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 167.01, 165.80, 165.68, 148.95, 133.29, 133.22, 129.95, 129.87, 129.81, 129.65, 128.47, 121.28, 93.73, 71.73, 71.14, 70.56, 67.20, 51.47, 35.36, 29.71, 28.40, 19.07, 17.87.

Synthesis of 1.2, n=3 (cross metathesis):
Began with 202.0 mg 1.1, n=3, column chromatography (32 g silica gel, gradient run from 5% EtOAc in hexanes to 15% EtOAc in hexanes) yielded 170.8 mg (75%) of a colorless oil. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = -1.3, c 2.22 \text{ (MeOH)}; \) HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]+ calcd. for C_{29}H_{34}O_8Na 533.2146, found 533.2143; \(^1\)H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.15–8.08 (m, 2H), 8.08–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.66–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.46 (td, \(J = 7.4, 6.8, 2.0 \text{ Hz, 4H}), 7.02 (dt, \(J = 15.7, 6.9 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 5.88 (d, \(J = 15.7 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 5.25–5.10 \) (m, 2H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.16–4.01 (m, 1H), 3.93–3.79 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.42 (dt, \(J = 13.5, 4.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 2.35–2.10 \) (m, 3H), 1.77–1.49 (m, 4H), 1.28 (d, \(J = 6.2 \text{ Hz, 3H}), 1.20 (d, \(J = 6.0 \text{ Hz, 3H}); \) \(^{13}\)C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 167.04, 165.74, 165.63, 149.08, 133.21, 133.14, 129.92, 129.81, 129.59, 128.41, 121.16, 93.69, 72.20, 71.15, 70.56, 67.05, 51.39, 36.49, 32.05, 29.69, 24.12, 19.08, 17.86.

Synthesis of 1.2, n=4 (cross metathesis):

Began with 513.6 mg 1.1, n=4, column chromatography (50 g silica gel, isocratic run of 100% CH2Cl2) yielded 534.4 mg (93%) of a colorless oil. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = -7.0, c 7.58 \text{ (CH2Cl2)}; \) HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]+ calcd. for C_{30}H_{36}O_8Na 547.2302, found 547.2317; \(^1\)H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.16–8.09 (m, 2H), 8.09–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.48 (m, 4H), 7.01 (dt, \(J= 15.7, 7.0 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 5.91–5.81 \) (m, 1H), 5.25–5.14 \(\text{m, 2H), 4.96 sx, 1H, 4.17–4.05 (m, 1H), 3.85 (t,J= 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.44 (dt, J= 13.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.15 (m, 3H), 1.60–1.47 (m, 6H), 1.29 (d,J= 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.21 (d,J= 6.0 Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 167.17, 165.87, 165.77, 149.43, 133.36, 133.31, 130.10, 129.97, 129.73,
128.57, 121.18, 93.91, 72.63, 71.35, 70.76, 67.15, 51.49, 36.97, 32.28, 29.84, 28.09, 25.38, 19.28, 18.01.

Synthesis of 1.9 (cross metathesis):

Began with 40.0 mg 1.8, column chromatography (5 g silica gel, CH₂Cl₂, then a gradient from 5% EtOAc in CH₂Cl₂ to 15% EtOAc in CH₂Cl₂) yielded 42.2 mg (95%) of a white solid. [α]D²⁵ = 53.0, c 0.245 (CH₂Cl₂); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]+ calcd. for C₃₄H₃₈N₂O₈Na 625.2520, found 625.2544; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.39 (s, 1H), 9.35 (s, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.17 – 8.12 (m, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.43 – 7.36 (m, 2H), 7.30 – 7.19 (m, 4H), 7.03 (dt, J = 15.6, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (ddd, J = 14.4, 9.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.25 – 5.21 (m, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 4.17 (dq, J = 12.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.91 – 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.56 – 2.49 (m, 1H), 2.32 – 2.24 (m, 3H), 1.72 – 1.63 (m, 1H), 1.59 – 1.49 (m, 4H), 1.49 – 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.33, 164.65, 164.33, 149.63, 136.22, 136.18, 131.86, 131.77, 125.79, 125.70, 123.17, 123.03, 122.10, 122.05, 121.26, 121.11, 120.91, 111.82, 111.69, 108.10, 107.88, 94.10, 72.25, 70.01, 69.63, 67.35, 51.44, 36.87, 32.21, 30.12, 27.95, 25.36, 19.15, 18.01.
Representative procedure for hydrogenation:

A suspension of 376.7 mg (0.7377 mmol) unsaturated ester and 44.9 mg 10 % palladium on activated carbon in 41 mL EtOAc was vacuum purged and back filled with H₂ from a balloon. The resulting suspension was allowed to stir at 23°C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite® and concentrated to obtain 375.4 mg (99%) of colorless oil that required no further purification.

Synthesis of 1.3, n=1 (hydrogenation):

Began with 90.4 mg 1.2, n=1, column chromatography (2.5 g silica gel, CH₂Cl₂) yielded 66.1 mg (73%) of a colorless oil. HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd. for C₂₇H₃₂O₈Na 507.1989, found 507.1990; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 8.06 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.66 – 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.53 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 5.29 – 5.10 (m, 2H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.21 – 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.88 (h, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.51 – 2.30 (m, 3H), 2.21 (ddd, J = 13.9, 11.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.95 – 1.47 (m, 4H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.89, 165.73, 165.62, 133.20, 133.13, 129.94, 129.81, 129.59, 128.39, 93.72, 72.12, 71.17, 70.56, 67.05, 51.48, 36.41, 33.90, 29.68, 21.14, 19.01, 17.82.

Synthesis of 1.3, n=2 (hydrogenation):
Began with 324.0 mg 1.2, n=2, (no purification) yielded 318.4 mg (98%) of a colorless oil. 
$[\alpha]_D^{25} = -36.8, \ c \ 1.01 \ (\text{MeOH})$; HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{28}$H$_{34}$O$_8$Na 521.2146, found 521.2148 $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.11 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.59 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.53 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 5.27 – 5.09 (m, 2H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.10 (dq, $J = 12.1$, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.90 – 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.48 – 2.30 (m, 3H), 2.19 (ddd, $J = 13.7$, 11.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.69 (dq, $J = 13.6$, 7.3, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.61 – 1.37 (m, 4H), 1.28 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 173.82, 165.58, 165.49, 133.08, 133.02, 129.87, 129.75, 129.69, 129.47, 128.29, 93.65, 72.26, 71.10, 70.51, 66.89, 51.30, 36.61, 33.87, 29.58, 25.11, 24.78, 18.98, 17.73.

Synthesis of 1.3, n=3 (hydrogenation):

Began with 83.1 mg 1.2, n=3, (no purification necessary) yielded 81.3 mg (98%) of a colorless oil.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -1.1, \ c \ 0.355 \ (\text{MeOH})$; HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{29}$H$_{36}$O$_8$Na 535.2302, found 535.2305; $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.14 – 8.07 (m, 2H), 8.07 – 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.60 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.50 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 5.25 – 5.10 (m, 2H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.18 – 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.91 – 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 2.42 (dt, $J = 13.6$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.20 (ddd, $J = 13.7$, 11.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.77 – 1.57 (m, 3H), 1.57 – 1.32 (m, 5H), 1.28 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 173.97, 165.61, 165.51, 133.09, 133.03, 129.87, 129.75, 129.71, 129.48, 128.30, 93.64, 72.39, 71.13, 70.53, 66.87, 51.31, 36.80, 33.87, 29.60, 28.98, 25.28, 24.78, 19.02, 17.76.
Synthesis of **1.3**, n=4 (hydrogenation):

Began with 100.0 mg **1.2**, n=4, column chromatography (2.5 g silica gel, CH₂Cl₂) yielded 88.5 mg (88%) of a colorless oil. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = 35.5, c 0.80\) (CH₂Cl₂); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd. for C₃₀H₃₈O₈Na 549.2459, found 549.2475; \(^1\)H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.11 (d, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 2H), 8.04 (d, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 2H), 7.65 – 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.51 – 7.38 (m, 4H), 5.24 – 5.06 (m, 2H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.11 (dq, \(J = 12.5, 6.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.84 (q, \(J = 5.9\) Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 2.42 (dt, \(J = 13.4, 4.0\) Hz, 1H), 2.32 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 2H), 2.27 – 2.11 (m, 1H), 1.74 – 1.32 (m, 10H), 1.28 (d, \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, \(J = 6.0\) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 174.17, 165.75, 165.63, 133.19, 133.13, 129.99, 129.84, 129.82, 129.57, 128.40, 93.76, 72.58, 71.23, 70.65, 66.93, 51.42, 37.04, 34.04, 29.70, 29.22, 29.10, 25.53, 24.89, 19.12, 17.84.

Representative procedure for hydrolysis:

To a suspension of 141.3 mg (0.2756 mmol) methyl ester in 20 mL tBuOH was added 20 mL 1M LiOH at once. The resulting solution was allowed to stir at 23°C for 24 h. 10 mL 20% iPrOH in CH₂Cl₂ was added to the reaction mixture, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with an additional 5x 10 mL 20% iPrOH in CH₂Cl₂. Solid NaCl was then added to the aqueous layer until saturation was obtained, and was further extracted with 3 x 10 mL 20% iPrOH in CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and filtered. Evaporation of solvent afforded 117.2 mg of an oil. Column
chromatography (47 g silica gel, gradient run from 5% iPrOH in CH₂Cl₂ to 20% iPrOH in CH₂Cl₂) afforded 78.9 mg (94%) of a colorless oil.

Synthesis of asc-ΔC₆ (hydrolysis):

Began with 17.6 mg 1.2, n=1, HPLC purification yielded 5.5 mg (58%) of a colorless oil. 

[α]D²⁵ = -59.5, c 0.82 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M-H] calcd. for C₁₂H₁₉O₆ 259.1187, found 259.1181

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 6.82 (dt, J = 15.0, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.87 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (s, 1H), 3.90 (h, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 1H), 3.68 – 3.56 (m, 1H), 3.47 (td, J = 10.7, 9.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.48 – 2.31 (m, 2H), 1.93 (dt, J = 13.1, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (ddd, J = 13.3, 11.4, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 170.79, 146.60, 125.71, 98.08, 72.42, 71.44, 69.91, 68.39, 40.81, 35.92, 19.51, 18.15.

Synthesis of asc-C₆ (hydrolysis):

Began with 35.0 mg 1.3, n=1, HPLC purification yielded 13.3 mg (70%) of a colorless oil. 

[α]D²⁵ = -74.9, c 0.81 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M-H] calcd. for C₁₂H₂₁O₆ 261.1344, found 261.1342;

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 4.64 (s, 1H), 3.79 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (td, J = 3.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (dq, J = 9.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (ddd, J = 11.1, 9.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.34 – 2.25 (m, 2H), 1.94 (dt, J = 13.1, 3.7 Hz, 2H), 1.82 – 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.70 – 1.45 (m,
2H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 174.92, 94.54, 69.23, 68.29, 67.00, 65.42, 34.81, 33.00, 32.23, 19.58, 16.29, 15.14.

Synthesis of asc-$\Delta$C7 (hydrolysis):

Began with 258.1 mg 1.2, n=2, column chromatography (32 g silica gel, gradient run from 5% iPrOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to from 50% iPrOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, then 10% 1:1:1 H$_2$O:CH$_3$CN:MeOH in ethyl acetate) yielded 83.3 mg (58%) of a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -77.0$, c 2.39 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{13}$H$_{22}$O$_6$Na 297.1309, found 297.1302; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 6.97 (dt, J = 15.5, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (s, 1H), 3.86 – 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.72 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.66 – 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.51 (td, J = 10.1, 9.3, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.44 – 2.25 (m, 2H), 1.95 (dt, J = 12.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.76 (ddd, J = 17.2, 8.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.73 – 1.59 (m, 2H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.15 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_3$OD) δ 170.42, 150.66, 123.06, 97.49, 71.72, 71.41, 70.02, 68.44, 36.86, 36.08, 29.51, 19.29, 18.24.

Synthesis of asc-C7 (hydrolysis):

Began with 279.5 mg 1.3, n=2, column chromatography (32 g silica gel, gradient run from 2% iPrOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to 50% iPrOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) yielded 80.0 mg (52%) of a colorless oil.
\[ \alpha \]_D^{25} = -90.9, c 1.06 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]^+ calcd. for C_{13}H_{24}O_6Na 299.1465, found 299.1472; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) \delta 4.63 (s, 1H), 3.82 – 3.73 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 1H), 3.67 – 3.56 (m, 1H), 3.56 – 3.45 (m, 1H), 2.29 (t, \( J = 7.4 \) Hz, 2H), 1.94 (dt, \( J = 13.0, 3.8 \) Hz, 1H), 1.75 (ddd, \( J = 13.4, 11.3, 3.1 \) Hz, 1H), 1.68 – 1.35 (m, 6H), 1.21 (d, \( J = 6.1 \) Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, \( J = 6.1 \) Hz, 3H); ^13C NMR (101 MHz, CD_3OD) \delta 177.88, 97.63, 72.44, 71.30, 70.08, 68.48, 38.17, 36.08, 35.15, 26.52, 26.21, 19.45, 18.22.

Synthesis of asc-ΔC8 (hydrolysis):

Began with 63.5 mg 1.2, n=3, HPLC purification yielded 26.7 mg (75%) of a colorless oil. 
\[ \alpha \]_D^{25} = -60.2, c 0.165 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]^+ calcd. for C_{14}H_{24}O_6Na 311.1465, found 311.1469; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) \delta 6.94 (dt, \( J = 14.7, 6.9 \) Hz, 1H), 5.81 (d, \( J = 15.6 \) Hz, 1H), 4.64 (s, 1H), 3.79 (q, \( J = 5.8 \) Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 1H), 3.66 – 3.56 (m, 1H), 3.51 (td, \( J = 10.2, 4.2 \) Hz, 1H), 2.30 – 2.18 (m, 2H), 1.94 (dt, \( J = 13.2, 3.8 \) Hz, 1H), 1.81 – 1.69 (m, 1H), 1.68 – 1.43 (m, 4H), 1.21 (d, \( J = 6.1 \) Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, \( J = 6.0 \) Hz, 3H); ^13C NMR (101 MHz, CD_3OD) \delta 170.27, 150.91, 122.94, 97.65, 72.39, 71.36, 70.05, 68.45, 37.94, 36.07, 33.15, 25.57, 19.46, 18.26.

Synthesis of asc-C8 (hydrolysis):
Began with 68.0 mg 1.3, n=3, HPLC purification yielded 25.2 mg (65%) of a colorless oil. 

[α]D²⁵ = -81.5, c 0.955 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd. for C₁₄H₂₀O₆Na 313.1622, found 313.1627; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 4.63 (s, 1H), 3.81 – 3.66 (m, 2H), 3.66 – 3.44 (m, 2H), 2.28 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.94 (dt, J = 13.0, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (ddd, J = 13.3, 11.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 1.68 – 1.31 (m, 8H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H);
¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 177.83, 97.61, 72.53, 71.27, 70.07, 68.47, 38.35, 36.07, 35.13, 30.33, 26.70, 26.18, 19.47, 18.23.

Synthesis of asc-ΔC₉ (hydrolysis):

Began with 98.5 mg 1.2, n=4, HPLC purification yielded 40.9 mg (72%) of a colorless oil. 

[α]D²⁵ = -61.0, c 0.53 (MeOH); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd. for C₁₅H₂₆O₆Na 325.1622, found 325.1633; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 6.93 (dt, J = 14.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (s, 1H), 3.84 – 3.73 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 1H), 3.61 (dq, J = 11.3, 5.7, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (td, J = 10.2, 9.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.94 (dt, J = 12.9, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.81 – 1.69 (m, 1H), 1.62 – 1.37 (m, 6H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 170.55, 150.86, 123.04, 97.69, 72.54, 71.35, 70.10, 68.56, 68.47, 38.21, 36.09, 33.21, 29.31, 26.57, 19.49, 18.26.

Synthesis of asc-C₉ (hydrolysis):
Began with 70.0 mg $\mathbf{1.3, n=4}$, column chromatography (30 g silica gel, gradient run from 50% ethyl acetate in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ to 100% ethyl acetate, then from 2% 1:1:1 $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CH}_3\text{CN}:\text{MeOH}$ in ethyl acetate to 10% 1:1:1 $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{CH}_3\text{CN}:\text{MeOH}$ in ethyl acetate) yielded 20.5 mg (51%) of a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -58.4$, $c$ 0.23 (MeOH); HRMS ($m/z$): $[\text{M+Na}]^+$ calcd. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_6\text{Na}$ 327.1778, found 327.1778; $^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$) δ 4.63 (s, 1H), 3.81 – 3.73 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 1H), 3.62 (dq, $J = 11.7, 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.50 (td, $J = 10.4, 9.6, 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.27 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.94 (dt, $J = 12.8, 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.81 – 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.65 – 1.29 (m, 10H), 1.20 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$) δ 178.19, 97.70, 72.67, 71.32, 70.11, 68.49, 38.47, 36.09, 35.38, 30.53, 30.36, 26.87, 26.34, 19.50, 18.24.

Synthesis of $\text{asc-C4}$ (hydrolysis):

Began with 35.7 mg $\mathbf{1.10, n=1}$, HPLC purification yielded 8.0 mg (42%) of a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -98.8$, $c$ 0.25 ($\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$); HRMS ($m/z$): $[\text{M+Na}]^+$ calcd. for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_6\text{Na}$ 257.0996, found 257.1006; $^1\text{H}$ NMR (250 MHz, $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$) δ 4.65 (s, 1H), 4.24 (h, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.76 – 3.55 (m, 2H), 3.48 (td, $J = 10.4, 9.7, 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.60 – 2.33 (m, 2H), 1.92 (dt, $J = 13.1, 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 1.74 (ddd, $J = 13.2, 11.2, 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.21 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$) δ 175.88, 97.54, 71.28, 70.02, 70.00, 68.47, 43.97, 35.99, 19.35, 18.16.
Synthesis of asc-C5 (hydrolysis):

Began with 20.6 mg 1.10, n=2, HPLC purification yielded 8.8 mg (79%) of a colorless oil.

\([\alpha]_D^{25} = -62.2, c \ 0.51 \text{ (MeOH)}; \) HRMS \((m/z)\): \([M+Na]^+\) calcd. for C_{11}H_{20}O_6Na 271.1152, found 271.1150; \(^1\)H NMR (250 MHz, CD_{3}OD) \(\delta\) 4.64 (s, 1H), 3.93-3.75 (m, 1H), 3.71 (q, \(J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}\)), 3.68-3.41 (m, 2H), 2.41 (t, \(J = 7.4, 2H\)), 1.95 (dt, \(J = 13.1, 3.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H\)), 1.87-1.67 (m, 3H), 1.21 (d, \(J = 2.5 \text{ Hz}, 3H\)), 1.14 (d, \(J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 3H\)).

Synthesis of 1.7 (hydrolysis):

Began with 20.6 mg 1.1, n=4, HPLC purification yielded 10.7 mg (69%) of a colorless oil.

\([\alpha]_D^{25} = 76.8, c \ 0.09 \text{ (MeOH)}; \) HRMS \((m/z)\): \([M+Na]^+\) calcd. for C_{14}H_{26}O_4Na 281.1723, found 281.1732; \(^1\)H NMR (250 MHz, CD_{3}OD) \(\delta\) 5.81 (ddt, \(J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H\)), 5.06 – 4.88 (m, 2H), 4.63 (s, 1H), 3.81 – 3.67 (m, 2H), 3.67 – 3.44 (m, 2H), 2.06 (q, \(J = 5.9, 5.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H\)), 1.94 (dt, \(J = 13.0, 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H\)), 1.75 (ddd, \(J = 13.3, 10.9, 3.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H\)), 1.59 – 1.33 (m, 6H), 1.20 (d, \(J = 6.0 \text{ Hz}, 3H\)), 1.11 (d, \(J = 6.1 \text{ Hz}, 3H\)); \(^{13}\)C NMR (63 MHz, CD_{3}OD) \(\delta\) 139.94, 114.87, 97.57, 72.47, 71.15, 69.95, 68.30, 38.21, 35.94, 34.83, 30.02, 26.35, 19.37, 18.10.
Synthesis of asc-C6-MK (hydrolysis):

Began with 210.0 mg 1, HPLC purification yielded 88.9 mg (78%) of a colorless oil. $\text{[}\alpha\text{]}_D^{25} = -138.5$, c 0.895 (MeOH); HRMS ($m/z$): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_5\text{Na}$ 269.1359, found 269.1355; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) $\delta$ 4.63 (s, 1H), 3.78 (ddd, $J$ = 8.0, 6.3, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (td, $J$ = 3.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.59 – 3.47 (m, 2H), 2.61 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.94 (dt, $J$ = 12.9, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.81 – 1.66 (m, 3H), 1.21 (d, $J$ = 5.6 Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, $J$ = 6.1 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_3$OD) $\delta$ 211.77, 97.39, 71.39, 71.40, 69.94, 68.38, 40.58, 36.04, 32.20, 30.02, 19.22, 18.20.

Representative procedure for ozonolysis and Pinnick oxidation:

To a solution of 220.0 mg (0.512 mmol) terminal alkene in 80 mL dichloromethane was added a stream of O$_3$ at -78°C. After 4 min the solution turned a bright blue color, at which time the flow of O$_3$ was ceased. O$_2$ was then allowed to bubble through the mixture for an additional 4 minutes until the blue color had completely disappeared, and 518.7 mg Janda Jel™ polymer-supported PPh$_3$ (~1.555 mmol phosphine) was then added. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to 23°C and stir for 16h under an atmosphere of nitrogen. 50 mL H$_2$O was then added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was then extracted with 2 x 50 mL dichloromethane, and the organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ and filtered. Evaporation of volatiles afforded 240.0 mg of an oil (desired aldehyde confirmed with $^1$H NMR) that was redissolved in 10 mL $t$BuOH and 2.5 mL dimethyl sulfoxide. To this mixture was added dropwise a solution of 440.2
mg (4.867 mmol) 80% NaClO₂ and 599.4 mg (3.842 mmol) NaH₂PO₄·H₂O in 4.5 mL H₂O over the course of 2 min. The resulting solution was stirred at 23°C for 90 min after which the volatiles were evaporated in vacuo. The crude mixture was then suspended in 20 mL H₂O, and the pH was adjusted to 3 via the dropwise addition of 1N HCl. 25 mL EtOAc was then added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was then extracted with 3 x 25 mL EtOAc and the organic layers were combined, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. Evaporation of volatiles afforded 390 mg of an oil. Column chromatography of the oil afforded 190 mg of a residue that was suspended in 30 mL hexanes and filtered in order to remove contaminant dimethyl sulfone. Evaporation afforded 85.8 mg (38%, 2 steps) of a colorless oil.

Synthesis of 1.10, n=1 (ozonolysis and Pinnick oxidation):

Began with 220.0 mg 1.1, n=1, column chromatography (35 g silica gel, gradient run from 100% CH₂Cl₂ to 25% EtOAc in CH₂Cl₂) followed by filtration and evaporation afforded 85.8 mg (38%, 2 steps) of a colorless oil. [α]D²⁵ = 12.5, c 0.815 (CH₂Cl₂); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]⁺ calcd. for C₂₄H₂₆O₈Na 465.1520, found 465.1535; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.61 (s, br, 1H), 8.11 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 8.04 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.63 – 7.53 (m, 2H), 7.49 – 7.41 (m, 4H), 5.17 (td, J = 10.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.35 (h, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (dq, J = 12.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, J = 15.5, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J = 15.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.39 (dt, J = 13.4, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (ddd, J = 13.9, 11.3, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.25,
165.70, 165.60, 133.25, 133.15, 129.88, 129.80, 129.67, 129.57, 128.40, 128.38, 93.48, 70.90, 68.88, 67.09, 42.10, 29.52, 18.96, 17.63.

Synthesis of 1.10, n=2 (ozonolysis and Pinnick oxidation):

Began with 300.0 mg 1.1, n=2, column chromatography (10 g silica gel, gradient run from 100% CH₂Cl₂ to 10% EtOAc in CH₂Cl₂) followed by filtration and evaporation afforded 51.2 mg (16%, 2 steps) of a colorless oil. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = -8.6, c 1.105 \text{ (CH}_2\text{Cl}_2); \text{ HRMS (m/z):} [M+Na]^+ \text{ calcd. for C}_{25}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_8\text{Na 479.1676, found 479.1670;} \]
\(1^1\text{H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl}_3\) \(\delta 8.17-7.99 \text{ (m, 4H), 7.66-7.52 \text{ (m, 2H), 7.52-7.38 \text{ (m, 4H), 5.24-5.09 \text{ (m, 2H), 4.96 \text{ (s, 1H), 4.19-4.01 \text{ (m, 1H), 3.93 \text{ (h, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.55 \text{ (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (dt, J = 13.6, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (ddd, J = 13.9, 11.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 1.22 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H);} \text{¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl}_3\) \(\delta 179.10, 165.90, 165.80, 133.40, 133.31, 130.01, 129.97, 129.87, 129.77, 128.57, 128.55, 93.60, 71.25, 71.20, 70.59, 67.32, 31.87, 30.30, 29.79, 18.94, 17.92.\)

Synthesis of 1.5 (PCC oxidation):

To a suspension of 400.0 mg (1.856 mmol) pyridinium chlorochromate and 340.0 mg 4Å molecular sieves in 12 mL CH₂Cl₂ at 0°C was added 220.0 mg (0.4819 mmol) 1.4 at once. The resulting suspension was allowed to stir at 0°C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was
concentrated to 250 mg of dark red oil. Column chromatography (8 g silica gel, 5% diethyl ether in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded 210.0 mg (96%) of a colorless oil. [$\alpha$]$_D^{25}$ = 16.8, c 0.495 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$); HRMS ($m$/z): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{26}$H$_{30}$O$_7$Na 477.1884, found 477.1905; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.09 (d, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.58 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.47 – 7.40 (m, 4H), 5.21 – 5.10 (m, 2H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.06 (dq, $J$ = 9.7, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (h, $J$ = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (dt, $J$ = 13.3, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.21 – 2.13 (m, 4H), 1.85 (q, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (d, $J$ = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, $J$ = 6.1 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 208.11, 165.55, 165.44, 133.10, 133.04, 129.76, 129.66, 129.61, 129.43, 128.28, 93.40, 71.29, 70.92, 70.39, 66.96, 39.46, 30.67, 29.75, 29.51, 18.72, 17.71.

Representative procedure for diacylation:

To a solution of 43.5 mg (0.1766 mmol) diol and 250 μL (1.413 mmol) N,N-Diisopropylethylamine in 12.5 mL THF at 0 °C was added a solution of 190.3 mg (1.060 mmol) indole-3-carbonyl chloride in 1.5 mL THF dropwise over 3 minutes. The resulting solution was allowed to warm to 23 °C and stir for 6 h. During this time close monitoring of the reaction by TLC showed formation and disappearance of an intermediate, likely the monoacylated product at the axial position, followed by appearance of the desired product. 15 mL of H$_2$O was then added at once and the mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 30 minutes. 15 mL EtOAc was then added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with an additional 3 x 15 mL EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated to a light orange oil. HPLC purification afforded 83.6 mg (89%) of a white solid.
Synthesis of 1.6 (diacylation):

Began with 43.5 mg asc-C6-MK, HPLC purification afforded 83.6 mg (89%) of a white solid. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = 37.0, c 1.44\) (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]\(^+\) calcd. for C\(_{30}\)H\(_{32}\)N\(_2\)O\(_7\)Na 555.2102, found 555.2126; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 9.54 (s, 1H), 9.51 (s, 1H), 8.24 (d, \(J = 7.9\) Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, \(J = 3.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, \(J = 3.1\) Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.15 (m, 4H), 5.32 (td, \(J = 10.4, 4.5\) Hz, 1H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.13 (dq, \(J = 12.2, 6.0\) Hz, 1H), 3.91 (h, \(J = 6.0\) Hz, 1H), 2.63 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 2H), 2.51 (dt, \(J = 13.3, 3.7\) Hz, 1H), 2.29–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.89 (q, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 1.34 (d, \(J = 6.3\) Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, \(J = 6.1\) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 209.09, 164.73, 164.39, 136.26, 136.23, 131.96, 131.82, 125.76, 125.67, 123.16, 123.04, 122.10, 122.05, 121.17, 121.06, 111.87, 111.77, 107.96, 107.72, 93.98, 71.30, 69.94, 69.62, 67.51, 39.67, 30.84, 30.11, 29.90, 18.82, 17.98.

Synthesis of 1.8 (diacylation):
Began with 43.8 mg $1.7$, HPLC purification yielded 40.0 mg (43%) of a colorless oil. 
$[\alpha]_D^{25} = 43.0$, $c$ 0.40 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$); HRMS ($m/z$): [M+Na]$^+$ calcd. for C$_{32}$H$_{36}$N$_2$O$_6$Na 567.2466, found 567.2474; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 9.19 (s, 1H), 9.16 (s, 1H), 8.25 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.91 – 7.88 (m, 1H), 7.88 – 7.83 (m, 1H), 7.41 – 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.28 – 7.16 (m, 4H), 5.85 (ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.2, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (td, $J = 10.6$, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (s, 1H), 5.06 – 4.93 (m, 3H), 4.20 (dq, $J = 10.8$, 5.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (h, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.51 (dt, $J = 13.6$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.34 – 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.15 – 2.07 (m, 2H), 1.58 – 1.40 (m, 6H), 1.35 (d, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 164.65, 164.35, 139.01, 136.29, 136.27, 131.85, 131.57, 126.02, 125.83, 123.44, 123.28, 122.34, 122.32, 121.60, 121.48, 114.59, 111.82, 111.71, 108.71, 108.40, 94.34, 72.54, 70.24, 69.84, 67.46, 37.19, 33.97, 30.33, 28.98, 25.49, 19.39, 18.17.

**Synthesis of IC-asc-C6-MK:**

![Chemical Structure](image)

To a solution of 10.2 mg (0.0193 mmol) $1.6$ in 3.0 mL $i$-PrOH at 23 °C was added 3.0 mL 1M LiOH at once. The resulting mixture was heated to 45 °C and allowed to stir for 6.5 h. The reaction was then permitted to cool to 23 °C at which time 5 mL 20% $i$-PrOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with an additional 2 x 5 mL 20% $i$-propanol in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Solid NaCl was then added to the aqueous layer until saturation was obtained, and it was further extracted with 2 x 5 mL 20% $i$PrOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated
to yield 15.4 mg of an oil. HPLC purification afforded 2.6 mg (35%) of a colorless oil. 

\[\alpha\]_D^{25} = -12.8, c 0.13 (CH₂Cl₂); HRMS (m/z): [M+Na]^+ calcd. for C₂₁H₂₇NO₆Na 412.1731, found 412.1735; ^1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.18 – 8.12 (m, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.46 – 7.40 (m, 1H), 7.33 – 7.28 (m, 2H), 5.18 – 5.06 (m, 1H), 4.77 (s, 1H), 4.08 – 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.92 – 3.84 (m, 2H), 2.62 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.34 – 2.17 (m, 4H), 2.13 – 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.93 – 1.79 (m, 2H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.19 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); ^13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.52, 162.30, 136.09, 131.27, 125.75, 123.37, 122.21, 121.49, 111.58, 108.83, 96.22, 70.98, 69.38, 68.79, 68.01, 39.75, 32.31, 30.94, 29.99, 18.96, 17.76.

Synthesis of IC-asc-ΔC9:

To a solution of 10.2 mg (0.0169 mmol) 1.9 in 2.5 mL t-BuOH at 23 °C was added 2.5 mL 1M LiOH at once. The resulting mixture was heated to 50 °C and allowed to stir for 11 h. The reaction was then permitted to cool to 23 °C at which time the pH was adjusted to 3 via dropwise addition of 1M HCl. 5 mL 20% i-PrOH in CH₂Cl₂ was then added and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with an additional 2 x 5 mL 20% i-propanol in CH₂Cl₂. Solid NaCl was then added to the aqueous layer until saturation was obtained, and it was further extracted with 2 x 5 mL 20% iPrOH in CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated to yield 17.3 mg of an oil. HPLC purification afforded 4.9 mg (65%) of a colorless oil. HRMS (m/z): [M-H]^− calcd. for C₃₀H₃₂N₂O₇Na 444.2028, found 444.2031. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol-d4)
δ 8.03 – 7.99 (m, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.46 – 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.23 – 7.14 (m, 2H), 6.84 (dt, J = 15.4, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (td, J = 10.5, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (s, 1H), 4.04 (dd, J = 9.6, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.89 – 3.81 (m, 1H), 3.79 (q, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.29 – 2.17 (m, 3H), 2.00 (ddd, J = 13.4, 11.2, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.70 – 1.58 (m, 1H), 1.58 – 1.42 (m, 5H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.16 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H).

Representative procedure for epoxide opening:

To a suspension of 82.4 mg (3.39 mmol) of magnesium turnings in 0.44 mL dry THF was added 0.52 mL (3.4 mmol) bromoalkene in 2.9 mL dry THF dropwise over the course of 4 minutes. The resulting suspension was brought to reflux and stirred for 12 h until all magnesium had been consumed. The resulting cloudy, pale-yellow mixture was allowed to cool to 25°C. To a separate flask was added 34.2 mg (0.238 mmol) CuBr in 5 mL dry THF. This suspension was cooled to -78°C. To this chilled suspension was added the previously synthesized Grignard reagent dropwise over 3 minutes via syringe. This resulting suspension was allowed to stir for 10 minutes, upon which it turned dark gray with black solids. To this suspension was added 0.17 mL (2.4 mmol) (R)-(+)−propylene oxide at once, upon which it turned from dark gray to black. The suspension was allowed to warm to 25°C and was stirred for 3 h. To the black suspension was added 4 mL sat. NH₄Cl at once. The aqueous layer was separated from the organic layer and then extracted with 3 x 10 mL THF. The resulting organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered to obtain 509.3 mg of yellow oil after rotary evaporation.

Synthesis of (R)-hept-6-en-2-ol (epoxide opening):
Began with 156.8 mg (R)-(+-)propylene oxide, (no purification necessary) yielded 175.4 mg (57%) of a light yellow liquid. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = 38.3, c 0.73 \text{ (CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)\); \(^1\)H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 5.81 \text{ (ddt, } J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 5.09 − 4.88 \text{ (m, 2H), 3.79 (h, } J = 6.0 \text{ Hz, 1H), 2.16 − 1.95 \text{ (m, 2H), 1.95 (s, 1H), 1.60 − 1.31 \text{ (m, 4H), 1.18 (d, } J = 6.2 \text{ Hz, 3H); }\)\(^{13}\)C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 138.63, 114.50, 67.87, 38.65, 33.62, 24.97, 23.42.\)

Synthesis of \((R)\)-oct-7-en-2-ol (epoxide opening):

Began with 596.1 mg (R)-(+-)propylene oxide, (no purification necessary) yielded 322.5 mg (88%) of a light yellow liquid. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = 7.1, c 0.59 \text{ (CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)\); \(^1\)H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 5.77 \text{ (ddt, } J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 5.04 − 4.84 \text{ (m, 2H), 3.75 (q, } J = 5.9 \text{ Hz, 1H), 2.01 (h, } J = 5.7 \text{ Hz, 3H), 1.47 − 1.22 \text{ (m, 5H), 1.14 (d, } J = 6.2 \text{ Hz, 3H); }\)\(^{13}\)C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 138.70, 114.18, 67.79, 38.98, 33.57, 28.74, 25.09, 23.26.\)
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CHAPTER 2: CHEMICAL GENETIC STUDIES ON CHEMOSENSATION IN THE MODEL ORGANISM CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS, PART II: IMPACT OF ASCAROSIDES ON DAUER FORMATION AND SPERM MOTILITY

2.1 Introduction

As previously mentioned in Chapter 1, *C. elegans* has been used as a model organism since the 1960s. Brenner developed and popularized its use as a model organism for biological research, and in 2002 he was awarded the Nobel Prize in Physiology and Medicine for his efforts.\(^1\) *C. elegans* has now been used by molecular biologists to study development, aging, stress resistance, metabolism, neurobiology, cancer, pathways of signal transduction, anthelmintics, and genetics.\(^2\) Now, the wealth of knowledge gained from the past 50 years of research is allowing a new field to emerge. Chemists have become interested in chemical genetics and chemical biology studies in *C. elegans* to explore how small molecules affect larger biological processes. This relatively new area of research helped to inspire the work presented herein.

Specifically, our interests concern how small molecule pheromones affect important signaling pathways in *C. elegans*. Both dauer formation and reduced sperm motility are shown to be inducible by treatment with ascaroside pheromones. Target ascaroside natural products and analogs were successfully synthesized in 4-5 steps each from dibenzoyl ascarylose and screened for activity in dauer formation assays and for activity regarding sperm function. Results from both studies, now published, are discussed in this chapter.

2.2 The *C. elegans* Dauer Stage

Though *C. elegans* has been used for biological research since the early 1960s, its use in chemical biology has emerged only recently. For example, it has been determined that small molecule pheromones control development\(^3\) and behavior\(^4\) in *C. elegans* via
regulation of important signaling pathways (TGF-β and IGF-1).\textsuperscript{5} One of the most interesting and well-studied phenomena controlled by these pathways is an alternate larval stage during \textit{C. elegans} early development. Referred to as the process of dauer diapause, the “dauerlarva” was first described by Cassada & Russel in 1975.\textsuperscript{6} The word dauer is of German origin and means steady, continuous or permanent. Though the dauer larvae were studied to some extent, the exact reasons for diapause remained elusive for nearly a decade. Then, in 1984, Golden & Riddle determined that adverse environmental conditions such as food scarcity, high temperature, and overcrowding (high concentration of excreted pheromones) are triggers for dauer formation.\textsuperscript{7} Figure 2.1 depicts the nematode life cycle.

Figure 2.1 \textit{Caenorhabditis elegans} developmental stages.
A normal development cycle begins with an egg, which hatches to become an L1 larva. The L1 then advances to become L2, L3, and L4 before finally reaching adulthood. However, when met with adverse conditions, an early stage larva (L1 or L2) may instead enter the dauer stage. While in the dauer stage, the larva rarely move, do not feed, and have thickened cuticles that protect against harsh environmental conditions. By surviving off of fat stores, the non-ageing dauer larva may persist for several months, much longer than the normal *C. elegans* lifespan of 2-3 weeks, until it is again met with favorable conditions. At that time, the dauer larva can enter the L4 stage of development and progress into a normal adult.

*C. elegans* secretes ascaroside pheromones and senses their concentration via chemosensation with sensory neurons located in its head. In this way, the nematodes are able to gain important population information from their surroundings. At high population density (high ascaroside concentration), dauer formation takes place as a preventative measure to ensure survival. The dauer larvae will then remain in that state of suspended animation until the concentration of pheromones in the environment is reduced. A competing, dauer-inducing signal, still of unknown origin, is referred to as the “food signal.” The food signal gives *C. elegans* indications of the abundance of food in its environment and can prevent dauer formation even in cases when population density and ascaroside concentration are high. Both the ascaroside pheromone signal as well as the food signal are important for dauer formation and for the return to normal development.

Sensory neurons located on the head of *C. elegans* express hundreds of G protein-coupled receptors (GPRCs) which sense ascaroside pheromones. Ascaroside binding to
GPCRs has been documented in a few different ways. When \textit{gpa-2} and \textit{gpa-3} (two alpha subunits of GPCRs) were upregulated, dauer formation occurred; when those subunits were mutated, dauer formation was not induced.\textsuperscript{10} Of the neurons associated with GPCR expression in \textit{C. elegans}, the ASI and ASJ neurons are particularly significant because of their role in the regulation of IGF-1 and TGF-\(\beta\) signaling pathways.\textsuperscript{5d,11} When ascaroside pheromones are detected, the IGF-1 and TGF-\(\beta\) signaling pathways are downregulated due to neuron downregulation of secondary messengers such as TGF-\(\beta\) and insulin-like peptides.\textsuperscript{11} As mentioned in Chapter 1, pathways like TGF-\(\beta\) and IGF-1 are known to influence growth, metabolism, development, longevity, and stress-resistance in higher organisms including humans.\textsuperscript{12} IGF-1 has been shown to be important in the aging process of \textit{C. elegans}. When the \textit{C. elegans} IGF-1 receptor (DAF-2) was mutated, the lifespan of the worm doubled.\textsuperscript{13} Increased lifespan was also observed when the IGF-1 pathway was manipulated in mice as well as the fruit fly \textit{Drosophila melanogaster}.\textsuperscript{14} The IGF-1 pathway also seems to affect cancer risk and growth of cancer cells. In fact, it has been demonstrated that when IGF levels are decreased, growth of cancer cells also decreases.\textsuperscript{15} Also, there is evidence that diets which downregulate IGF-1 result in a lower risk of developing cancer at all.\textsuperscript{16}

The TGF-\(\beta\) pathway is also conserved in higher organisms such as humans, and is associated with diseases such as cancer\textsuperscript{17} and Alzheimer’s disease.\textsuperscript{18} Remarkably, for breast and skin cancers, TGF-\(\beta\) is upregulated in some cases and shown to aid in tumor progression. However, for gastric and pancreatic cancer, TGF-\(\beta\) is essentially turned off.\textsuperscript{17} These conflicting results for different types of cancer speak to the complexity of the relationship between cancer and TGF-\(\beta\). Furthermore, Alzheimer’s patients have shown
higher concentrations of TGF-β in blood and cerebral fluid, suggesting a TGF-β relationship with the disease. The study of IGF-1 and TGF-β pathways may indeed provide useful insight and perhaps aid in the fight against such diseases.

To assess downregulation of IGF-1 and TGF-β in *C. elegans*, we decided to screen the dauer-inducing ability of ascarosides. As shown in Chapter 1, we decided to synthesize a battery of natural and synthetic ascaroside analogs for screening in dauer formation assays. We hypothesized that these studies could help to develop an SAR between ascarosides and their GPCRs, as well as provide useful insight about the way *C. elegans* uses the IGF-1 and TGF-β signaling pathways. Results could be extrapolated to higher organisms and utilized in important research of diseases, aging, stress-resistance, development, and metabolism.

### 2.3 Results and Discussion of Dauer Studies

Upon synthesis of ascaroside pheromones and analogs, the dauer-inducing ability of each ascaroside was determined both at 220 nM, which is near the EC50 for already-identified compounds, and 6000 nM, a very high concentration that would show if any activity existed at all. The ascarosides were mixed with nematode growth media (NGM) agar and previously heat-killed *E. coli* (food source) before being poured into plates. Live *C. elegans* nematodes were then exposed to the created environment and allowed to lay eggs. After 68-72 hours of incubation at 25°C, plates were analyzed, with dauer larva being easily recognizable under microscope by their smaller size and lack of movement. In this way, dauer formation percentage was determined.

The activities of all synthesized compounds were screened in this manner, and the results are shown in Figure 2.2 (arrows at bottom of figure indicate compounds synthesized
Some naturally occurring ascarosides had activity up to 90% dauer formation at 220 nM and near 100% at 6000 nM. The highest activity for synthetic analogs at low concentration (220 nM) were IC-asc-C6-MK at 40% followed by asc-C10-OH at 20% and asc-C10-MK at 15%. At 6000 nM, several synthetic analogs showed activity with the maximum of asc-C10-MK remaining under 60%. Interestingly, none of the asc-C# or asc-ΔC# series had significant activity at 220 nM even when they only varied by one carbon or one degree of unsaturation. At 6000 nM, the most significant activity was about 40% for asc-C9, the saturated analog of naturally occurring asc-ΔC9. Synthetic analogs of these series showed the most activity when the chain length was 5, 7, or 9, all of which occur in one of the natural compounds. Thus, for this series, side chain length was more important than degree of unsaturation at 6000 nM, though both were extremely important at 220 nM.

Figure 2.2 Dauer formation activity of naturally occurring and synthetic ascarosides*
*From reference 26, reprinted with permission from AAAS
Not surprisingly, **IC-asc-ΔC9**, shown to be a naturally occurring compound, had 25% dauer forming activity at 220 nM and 40% at 6000 nM. The only synthetic compound containing the IC head group also showed strong activity at 220 nM with about 40% dauer formation. Remarkably, at high concentration inhibited dauer formation, with less than 10% dauer formation at 6000 nM. This phenomenon was only observed with one other compound, the naturally occurring **IC-asc-C5**, possibly due to the IC moiety. Other than **IC-asc-C6-MK**, synthetic analogs showed very little activity at 220 nM across the board. Less than half showed significant activity at 6000 nM. These findings suggest that dauer formation activity of ascarosides is highly dependent on structure. Variance of a single carbon, one degree of unsaturation, or the terminal group in a side chain is enough to drastically alter activity. These results lead us to conclude that the GCPRs that bind ascarosides are highly selective despite the abundance and structural variation known to exist among these compounds. This selectivity is not surprising considering the fact that *C. elegans* nematodes share their environment with other nematodes like *C. briggsae*, which produces similar compounds. We hypothesize that, if receptor binding was promiscuous, misinformation by cross-talk between nematode species could be detrimental for survival.

### 2.4 Sperm Motility in *C. elegans*

Environmental factors are known to affect fertility in many animals, but the direct causes are not always well understood. One important class of molecules that is known to influence reproduction in humans and other mammals is the set of fatty-acid derived signaling molecules called prostaglandins. The specific role of prostaglandins in reproduction is not entirely understood, and monitoring gamete function in the
reproductive tract is considerably difficult. *C. elegans* is known to produce F-class prostaglandins, which have been shown to guide sperm to their fertilization site (spermatheca).\textsuperscript{24} The transparent epidermis of *C. elegans* allows for direct observation of fluorescently labeled sperm and it was shown that, when there is a mutation in the *daf-7* TGF-β ligand, sperm-targeting defects arise.\textsuperscript{25}

### 2.5 Results and Discussion of Sperm Motility Studies

Figure 2.3 shows the anatomy and important fertilization regions of an adult nematode. Indicated are the sensory neurons (ASI), nerve ring (NR), oocytes (O), spermatheca (S, outlined in yellow), vulva (V), and fertilized eggs (E). Sperm, which are injected through the vulva, must find their way around the fertilized eggs and into the spermatheca. The nematode uterus is divided into three zones, Z1, Z2, and Z3 to further specify location and quantification of sperm.

![Figure 2.3 Anatomy of adult *C. elegans* hermaphodite*](image)

*From reference 26, reprinted with permission from AAAS*
With this information in hand, we hypothesized that TGF-β promotes prostaglandin synthesis in *C. elegans*. In order to test this hypothesis, the previously mentioned ascarosides asc-C6-MK and IC-asc-∆C9 were used to study downregulation of TGF-β and observe any effect on sperm function. Figure 2.4 shows the results of treatment with ascarosides. In the control experiment using wild type *C. elegans*, one hour after mating over 90% of sperm had found their way to Z3, the region of the uterus containing the spermatheca. When wild type *C. elegans* were treated with synthetic asc-C6-MK and IC-asc-∆C9 ascarosides, less than 60% of sperm were able to arrive in the Z3 region one hour after mating.

![Ascaroside Structures](image)

**Figure 2.4 Ascaroside effects on sperm function**
*From reference 26, reprinted with permission from AAAS*
This indicated a direct correlation between downregulation of the TGF-β pathway and decrease in sperm motility (likely via suppression of *daf-7*). Indeed, it was also shown that when *daf-7* mutants (mutants where *daf-7* was forced to express via the ASI-specific *gpa-4* promoter)\(^27\) were given the same treatment, sperm function was unmolested, indicating that suppression of *daf-7* by C6-MK and IC-asc-ΔC9 was almost certainly the cause of TGF-β downregulation.

2.6 Conclusions

In conclusion, 12 synthesized ascarosides were screened for activity in dauer formation assays. Analogs varied in chain length, degree of unsaturation, terminal functionality and head group. Generally speaking, synthetic analogs did not show high dauer-inducing ability unless they bore a high degree of similarity to naturally occurring ascarosides. This suggests that the GPCRs which bind these ascarosides are highly selective, possibly an evolutionary result that prevents cross-talk between nematode species. Side chain length was the most important factor for analogs not containing an IC moiety. When a synthetic side chain length was used, almost all activity ceased. However, some natural side chain lengths still had activity when the degree of unsaturation was altered. So far, all IC-containing compounds have been shown to induce dauer formation, though only one synthetic analog has been screened.

Sperm motility was reduced via treatment with two synthetic versions of naturally occurring ascarosides. Interestingly, a more detailed theoretical map of the TGF-β signaling pathway was elucidated, beginning with treatment by ascarosides and ultimately climaxing in reduced sperm motility. First, asc-C6-MK and IC-asc-ΔC9 ascarosides were shown to repress expression of *daf-7*, an important TGF-β ligand normally present in ASI
sensory neurons. Suppression of daf-7 is known to downregulate the TGF-β pathway, which is known to result in a decrease in production of prostaglandins. Specifically, F-class prostaglandins are known to play a critical role in guiding sperm to the fertilization site. Therefore, treatment with the two above mentioned ascarosides directly resulted in a decrease of prostaglandins, which led directly to decreased sperm function.
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3.1 Introduction

The hasubanan alkaloids are a family of over 40 structurally related compounds isolated from several species of vines belonging to the genus *Stephania*. These structurally exciting synthetic targets are similar to the morphines, and some members of this class of alkaloids have demonstrated activity for human δ-opiod receptors. We conceived a novel photochemical approach to gain efficient entry into the hasubanan tetracyclic ring system. Specifically, we imagined a cascade cyclization utilizing the photo-S\textsubscript{N}1 reaction. The photo-S\textsubscript{N}1 reaction’s ultraviolet light-promoted generation of a triplet phenyl cation leads to a unique manifold not usually accessible under thermal conditions. To quickly provide proof of concept, a substrate for the proposed photocyclization was completed in 5 linear steps from 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl cyanide. If the proposed step were to give the desired result, the intermediate obtained would be only a few steps from hasubanan alkaloid delavayine and would open the door for many other substrates to be attempted.

3.2 Hasubanan Alkaloids

The alkaloid hasubanonine was first isolated from the Japanese vine *Stephania japonica* in 1951. Its structure was erroneously assigned twice before Matsui *et al* determined it correctly in 1964 using a combination of NMR techniques and degradation studies. As a result of the striking similarity between the structure of morphine 3.1 (Scheme 3.1) and that of hasubanonine 3.2, this alkaloid attracted considerable attention. Since that initial discovery, over 40 compounds sharing the same tetracyclic backbone have
been isolated from several species of the genus *Stephania*.\(^1\) This structurally interesting family of compounds have become known as the hasubanan alkaloids.

![Scheme 3.1 Structures of morphine and hasubanonine](image)

For some time, no biological activity was reported for these compounds, but in the late 1990s it was noticed by Shultz and coworkers that the orientation of the heterocyclic ring in relation to the aromatic ring in the hasubanan alkaloids was precisely inverse to that of the morphines.\(^6\) For this reason, it was hypothesized that the unnatural enantiomers of the hasubanan alkaloids may be better suited to confer activity by having morphine-like analgesic effects. Shultz’s 1998 synthesis of (+)-cepharamine, the mirror image of the natural product isolated from *Stephania cepharantha*, set the stage for testing this hypothesis.\(^6\) Though several other hasubanan alkaloids were also synthesized in racemic or asymmetric fashion, no biological activity for these was reported. Then, in 2010, it was shown that several of the naturally occurring alkaloids, including two newly isolated, have binding activity for the \(\delta\)-opioid receptor in humans.\(^2\) This new information is rather thrilling given that binding to the \(\delta\)-opioid receptor is known to produce analgesia and to cause antidepressant effects.\(^7\) Besides being fascinating synthetic targets, the hasubanan alkaloids remain as promising leads for drug development.
3.3 Photo-S_{N}1 Reaction

Italian chemists Fagnoni and Albini have pioneered transformations via photochemical cleavage of aryl-diazonium salts, aryl chlorides, fluorides, mesylates, triflates, and phosphates leading to triplet phenyl cations.\textsuperscript{3,8} For electron-donating group (EDG)-substituted aryl chlorides and fluorides in polar media, flash photolysis studies have determined that cleavage occurs from the triplet state.\textsuperscript{8} The difference between a singlet and triplet phenyl cation is important and leads to significant variances in chemical selectivity. A singlet phenyl cation is localized with a vacant σ-orbital and 6 π electrons (Scheme 3.2) and will often react with a lone pair-bearing nucleophile.\textsuperscript{3} The triplet phenyl cation, however, is delocalized with 5 π electrons and a single electron in the σ-orbital. This gives the triplet phenyl cation carbene character at the dicoordinate carbon. Thus, the triplet phenyl cation is predisposed to react with a π-nucleophile, making it a better choice for C-C bond formation.\textsuperscript{3}

Scheme 3.2 Singlet vs. triplet phenyl cation

An example of the proposed mechanism for triplet phenyl cation reaction with π nucleophiles, known as photo-S_{N}1, is shown in Scheme 3.3. Vertical arrows over lines represent the spin of electrons in their orbitals, with higher energy orbitals shown above those of lower energy. First, a ground state singlet (S_{0}) molecule, a molecule in which all occupied orbitals contain two antiparallel spin (spin-paired) electrons, absorbs a photon of UV radiation. This absorbed energy causes an electron to be promoted to a higher energy
orbital, producing a singlet excited state ($S_1$). The singlet excited state is defined by having two orbitals that are occupied by one electron each, with the spin of the electrons still paired. One electron then inverts its spin via intersystem crossing (ISC) and results in two orbitals that each contain one electron of parallel (unpaired) spin. This electronic state is referred to as the triplet excited state ($T_1$).

Once the triplet excited state is achieved, heterolytic cleavage of the C-Cl bond results in a triplet phenyl cation. As previously discussed (Scheme 3.2), one electron is delocalized in the ring along with the positive charge while the other is localized in an orthogonal $sp^2$ orbital. This species behaves similarly to a triplet carbene. Addition of a $\pi$ nucleophile results in a triplet diradical that must undergo intersystem crossing to the singlet excited state before the two radicals can unite to form a bond. The result of this radical termination is a singlet ground state cyclopropane intermediate which may undergo subsequent nucleophilic attack to rearomatize the ring and further construct complexity. This recently discovered chemistry inspired our photochemical approach to the synthesis of hasubanan alkaloid delavayne.

![Scheme 3.3 Example of photo-SN1 mechanism](image-url)
3.4 Results and Discussion

Photo-\(S_N1\) chemistry has now been used to accomplish arene cross-couplings, allylations, and alkynylation along with reactions such as the type shown in Scheme 3.3, and others.\(^9\) In these ways, the photo-\(S_N1\) has shown potential as a substitute for cross-couplings which are usually accomplished with metal catalysis.\(^8\) While studying the photo-\(S_N1\) mechanism, we realized that intramolecular examples of cyclization did not exist in the literature. Therefore, we proposed that intramolecular arene coupling could be followed by the addition of a nucleophile already present on the same structure. A reaction of this type would add considerable molecular complexity at once. Thus the hasubanan alkaloids were examined as synthetic targets, with delavayne (3.3, Scheme 3.4) being chosen as the first to attempt.

![Scheme 3.4 Original retrosynthetic analysis of delavayne](image)

The idea of arene coupling followed by nucleophilic attack from the nitrogen to access the tetracyclic core is shown in the retrosynthetic analysis in Scheme 3.4. If
intermediate 3.4 was in fact formed from 3.5 in this reaction, the structure would be only two steps from 3.3. Photosubstrate 3.5 was to be synthesized via Wittig reaction between aldehyde 3.6, attainable through reduction and formylation of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl cyanide, and ylide 3.7, accessible by reacting 6-chloropiperonyl chloride with triphenylphosphine followed by treatment with a strong base. It was unclear whether a protecting group would be necessary for the nitrogen during cyclization or even for the entire synthesis. If not, such a total synthesis would be only 7 linear steps, the shortest of any hasubanan alkaloid to date.

Synthesis of photosubstrate 3.5 began with the reduction of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl cyanide. Reduction was attempted several times with lithium aluminum hydride to no avail, so a new method of reduction was sought out. Raney nickel reduction under 1 atm H₂ gave a quantitative yield of the primary amine 3.8 with no purification necessary (Scheme 3.5). Next, formylation of 3.8 was needed to produce proposed intermediate 3.6. Although several methods for this transformation were attempted, including Duff reaction, Vilsmeier-Haack reaction, orthoester formylation, and lithiation/DMF formylation, no desired product was ever observed even with protection of the primary amine.

Scheme 3.5 Early synthetic work toward photosubstrate
We then devised an alternate route to photosubstrate 3.5. Shown in Scheme 3.6, a methyl carbamate-protected version (3.5a) of photosubstrate 3.5 would now be constructed by Heck coupling of alkene 3.9, to be formed via Wittig reaction of 6-chloropiperonal, and aryl bromide 3.10, accessible by reduction, protection, and subsequent bromination of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl cyanide.

![Scheme 3.6 New retrosynthetic plan for photosubstrate](image)

Depicted in Scheme 3.7, 6-chloropiperonal was successfully converted into terminal alkene 3.9 via Wittig reaction with methylenetriphenylphosphorane. Aryl bromide 3.10 was also successfully synthesized via NBS bromination of intermediate 3.8a (methyl carbamate-protected version of primary amine 3.8). However, the Heck coupling of 3.8a and 3.9 was not successful under any attempted conditions. At this point, we were concerned that the aryl bromide simply wasn’t reactive enough. Thus, the aryl iodide analog 3.11 was synthesized via reaction of 3.8 with NIS. After failed Heck coupling with Pd(PPh₃)₄ as catalyst, successful Heck coupling of 3.9 and 3.11 was achieved using palladium acetate to give stilbene intermediate 3.12 as a single isomer. Reduction of 3.12
with 10% palladium on activated carbon and 1 atm H\textsubscript{2} gave 3.5\textsubscript{a}, the protected version of target photosubstrate 3.5.

Scheme 3.7 Synthesis of target photosubstrate

After synthesis of the desired substrate was complete, we attempted the proposed photocyclizations. Thus, substrate 3.5\textsubscript{a} was irradiated with an Hg arc lamp for 2 hours in an acetonitrile/water mixture. After irradiation, TLC showed that a single product had formed, though some starting material was still present. Isolation of the product showed
an unexpected result. It appeared that one of the methyl groups was no longer attached to the molecule, and that cyclization of the nitrogen did not occur. Indeed, upon 2D NMR studies along with mass spec, energy calculations, and NMR predictions the product was confirmed to be 3.13, (29%, Scheme 3.8). These results led us to believe that our proposed pathway was indeed viable, but went astray at the last step.

After expected generation of triplet phenyl cation 3.14, cyclization occurred leading to diradical intermediate 3.15. The two resulting radicals then formed a bond leading to predicted cyclopropane intermediate 3.16. From intermediate 3.16, we had anticipated cyclization of the nitrogen and loss of a proton to form a 5-membered heterocycle and give intermediate 3.4a containing the hasubanan tertracyclic ring system. Instead, internal fragmentation of the cyclopropane intermediate formed an oxonium which was hydrolyzed...
resulting in formation of \(3.13\). These pathways are indicated in Scheme 3.8 by the blue and red arrows.

Strategies to promote cyclization and prevent loss of a methyl group included deprotection of the nitrogen to liberate the primary amine, and use of a polar but less nucleophilic solvent like trifluoroethanol. Deprotection of the nitrogen was performed, yet the free amine substrate gave analogous results. Also, when the less nucleophilic solvent trifluoroethanol was used, the reaction did not proceed. Another problem with the reaction was poor yield. Regardless of duration, yield for this reaction was never above 30%, and the remainder of the mass balance consisted of unconverted starting material. We attribute this to the product of the reaction acting as a triplet quencher, therefore rendering the formation of the triplet phenyl cation inoperable above a certain concentration of product.

In an attempt to overcome this problem, photosensitizers like acetone were added to the reaction mixture, but no increase in yield was observed.

3.5 Experimental

3.5.1 General Methods

\(^1\text{H} \text{NMR and } \text{^{13}C NMR spectroscopy was conducted using a Bruker DPX-250, AV-400 or AV-500 spectrometer. Mass spectra were attained using an Agilent 6210 electrospray time-of-flight mass spectrometer. All materials were received from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Flash column chromatography was accomplished using high purity grade 60 Å silica gel (Fluka® Analytical). Qualitative TLC was performed on aluminum sheets (Merck, silica gel, F254) and observed via UV absorption (254 nm) and staining with anisaldehyde or KMnO}_4. Deuterated solvents were
acquired from Cambridge Isotope Labs. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen.

3.5.2 Procedures and Characterization

Synthesis of 3.8:

\[
\text{MeO} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{MeO} \\
\text{NH}_2
\end{array} \quad \text{MeO}
\]

A vigorously stirred 20°C suspension of 500.0 mg (2.413 mmol) 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylacetonitrile, 1.5 mL 50% Raney nickel slurry in H₂O, 15 mL NH₄OH (28% NH₃ basis), and 30 mL EtOH was vacuum-purged and backfilled with H₂ gas and allowed to stir for 18h at 1 atm. The suspension was then filtered and the volatiles evaporated in vacuo to afford 504.5 mg (quantitative yield) of a white solid that required no further purification. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.52 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.87 (t, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2H), 2.69 (t, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 2H); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.65, 137.65, 137.31, 107.19, 61.22, 56.69, 44.26, 40.48; HRMS m/z calcd for C₁₁H₁₈NO₃ [M+H]⁺ 212.1281 found 212.1291.

Synthesis of 3.8a:

\[
\text{MeO} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{MeO} \\
\text{NHCO₂Me}
\end{array} \quad \text{MeO}
\]

To a stirred 0°C solution of 268.1 mg (1.270 mmol) 3.8 in 10 mL 10% Na₂CO₃ and 5 mL THF was added 132 mg (1.40 mmol) methyl chloroformate in 5 mL THF. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min before addition of 20 mL H₂O and subsequent extraction with 4 x 25 mL EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield 337.9 mg (quantitative yield) of a white solid that required no further purification. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.39 (s, 2H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.42 (q,
$J = 6.8 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H}), \ 2.74 \ (t, \ J = 7.1 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H});^{13}\text{C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl}_3\delta\ 157.15, 153.47, \ 136.77, 134.61, 105.79, 60.99, 56.26, 52.22, 42.37, 36.70; \text{ HRMS m/z calcd for C}_{13}H_{20}NO_5 \text{ [M+H]}^+ \text{ 270.1336 found 270.1341.}$

Synthesis of 3.9:

To a stirred -78°C solution of 788.4 mg (1.950 mmol) methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide in 20 mL dry THF was added 1.13 mL (2.28 mmol) $n$-butyllithium (2.02 M in hexane), resulting in a yellow mixture. After 1 h, 300.0 mg (1.625 mmol) 6-chloropiperonal dissolved in 5 mL THF was added dropwise over 2 minutes. The solution was allowed to stir for an additional 1 h at which time it was allowed to warm to 20°C (solution turned orange) and stir for 5 h. 15 mL of saturated NH$_4$Cl was then added at once and the resulting mixture was extracted with 3 x 20 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield 950 mg of a crude yellow oil. Column chromatography (50 g silica gel, isocratic 30% CH$_2$Cl$_2$ in hexanes) afforded 199.5 mg (67%) of a clear liquid. Spectral data matched that previously reported in the literature.$^{10}$

Synthesis of 3.11:

To a stirred solution of 828.7 mg (3.077 mmol) 3.8a n 50 mL CH$_3$CN was added 900.0 mg (4.000 mmol) $N$-iodosuccinimide. The solution was then heated to reflux in the absence of light and allowed to stir for 15 h before being allowed to cool to 20°C, at which time the volatiles were removed. The mixture was then dissolved in 50 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and 50 mL 1 M Na$_2$S$_2$O$_3$ and mixed vigorously. The layers were then separated, and the aqueous
layer was extracted with an additional 2 x 50 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield 1.32 g of a light yellow/orange oil. Column chromatography (120 g silica gel, gradient run from pure CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to 10% EtOAc in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded 1.18 g (97%) of colorless oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 6.62 (s, 1H), 4.87 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.88 – 3.78 (m, 9H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.38 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 157.18, 153.74, 153.29, 140.85, 137.21, 109.46, 88.28, 61.07, 60.85, 56.29, 52.21, 41.10, 41.05. HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{13}$H$_{19}$INO$_5$ [M+H]$^+$ 396.0302 found 396.0306.

Synthesis of 3.12:

![Chemical Structure](image)

A stirred 20°C solution of 242.3 mg (0.6130 mmol) 3.11, 167.9 mg (0.9195 mmol) 3.9, 4.1 mg (0.018 mmol) palladium(II) acetate, 9.7 mg (0.037 mmol) triphenylphosphine and 172 µL (1.23 mmol) triethylamine in 30 mL DMF was sparged with nitrogen for 10 min then heated to 140°C. After 1 h, an additional 4.1 mg palladium(II) acetate was added. The solution turned dark and was allowed to stir for an additional 13 h. It was then cooled to 20°C and 60 mL H$_2$O was added followed by 90 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with 2 x 90 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield 380 mg of a red oil. Column chromatography (35 g silica gel, gradient run from 100% CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to 10% EtOAc in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded 210 mg (76%) of a colorless oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.45 (d, $J = 16.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 6.91 (d, $J = 16.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 5.99 (s, 2H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.87 (m, 6H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.41
(q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.94 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 157.21, 152.82, 152.72, 147.77, 147.39, 141.53, 133.16, 130.11, 129.19, 125.91, 123.55, 123.24, 110.04, 109.56, 105.65, 101.99, 61.15, 60.80, 56.23, 52.27, 42.17, 34.53; HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{25}\)ClNO\(_7\) [M+H]\(^+\) 450.1314 found 450.1302.

Synthesis of **3.5a**:

To a flask containing 34.5 mg (0.0767 mmol) **3.12** and 13.2 mg 10% palladium on activated carbon was added 10 mL EtOAc. Stirring was then begun and the flask was vacuum-purged and back-filled with H\(_2\) gas, and allowed to stir for 17 h at 1 atm. The suspension was then filtered through Celite\(^{\circledR}\) and volatiles were evaporated \textit{in vacuo} to yield 59.3 mg of a crude oil. Column chromatography (15 g silica gel, gradient run from 100% CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) to 5% EtOAc in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) afforded 26.4 mg (71%) of a colorless oil. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 6.84 (s, 1H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 5.95 (s, 2H), 4.70 (s, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.43 – 3.31 (m, 2H), 2.78 (m, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 157.18, 152.58, 151.92, 146.86, 146.72, 141.03, 132.98, 132.43, 125.98, 125.30, 110.24, 109.96, 108.79, 101.76, 61.20, 60.89, 56.21, 52.31, 42.29, 35.16, 33.38, 27.35; HRMS m/z calcd for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{27}\)ClNO\(_7\) [M+H]\(^+\) 452.1471 found 452.1460.

Synthesis of **3.13**:
A solution of 3.1 mg (0.01 mmol) \textbf{3.5a} in 4.5 mL previously irradiated and distilled CH$_3$CN and 2.0 mL micron-filtered H$_2$O was sparged with nitrogen for 20 min. The solution was then irradiated with an Hg arc lamp for 2 h at which time the volatiles were removed \textit{in vacuo} to yield 3.9 mg of a light yellow oil. HPLC purification (Waters 1525 Binary HPLC Pump, 2489 UV/Vis Detector, XBridge Prep C18 5µm 10x100 mm Reverse Phase Column, 20 min gradient from 95% H$_2$O/MeCN to 5% H$_2$O/MeCN) afforded 0.8 mg (29%) of a pale yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 6.08 (s, 1H), 5.94 (s, 2H), 4.65 (s, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.33 – 2.95 (m, 4H), 2.54 (m, 1H), 2.30 (m, 2H), 2.01 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 184.31, 166.03, 158.32, 156.86, 148.50, 147.45, 138.68, 136.92, 134.90, 124.81, 105.34, 103.10, 101.59, 61.32, 61.25, 60.73, 52.23, 39.43, 34.85, 32.71, 31.38; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{23}$NNaO$_7$ [M+Na]$^+$ 424.1391 found 424.1368.

\textbf{3.5.3 DFT Calculations}

To gather more evidence for the structural assignment of \textbf{3.13}, DFT calculations were performed on two possible isomers, referred to here as 6-membered and spiro. Gaussian 2009 (version C.01, 64 bit, Windows multiprocessor) was used with GaussView 5 to perform molecular orbital calculations on the two isomeric structures. The DFT method was used with the B3LYP hybrid functional and 6-311G(d,p) basis set functions on all atoms. Cambridge Crystallographic searches using the 2015 database found related structures for each proposed isomer that were used as one of the starting points for the Gaussian geometry optimization. For the 6-membered ring isomer the related CCDC structure refcode OMEDEP was imported and modified using GaussView to produce the starting point for the optimization.$^{11}$ CCDC structure refcode QOHJOO was imported,
modified, and used as the starting point for the geometry optimization on the spiro isomers. The methyl carbamate group was replaced with a simple NH$_2$ group for both isomers. Both isomers were also manually drawn in GaussView to generate somewhat different starting structures and conformations on which optimizations were also run. Figure 3.1 shows three dimensional structures of the two isomers.

![Figure 3.1 Possible isomers of 3.13](image)

The optimizations and frequency calculations on the two starting spiro isomer structures ended up with the same final structures that had the same overall energy. Optimization on the 6-membered ring isomer derived from the OMEDEP X-ray structure had the lower ΔG energy by 1.6 kcals/mol relative to the “hand-drawn” 6-membered ring isomer. All stretching frequencies calculated for the isomers were positive, indicating ground state structures. The spiro isomer was calculated to have the lowest overall ΔG energy, with the most stable 6-membered ring isomer higher by 2.9 kcals/mol. This non-trivial difference in relative energies is possibly due to extra ring strain induced by the quaternary center (Figure 3.1, 6-mem, carbon number 17) contained in the fused 6-membered ring system. The added rigidity of the 6-membered fused system compared to
the spiro may also cause increased steric interactions. For example, the hydrogen atoms on carbons number 6 and 7 of the 6-membered isomer are forced toward each other.

To gain additional evidence for the structural assignment of 3.13, NMR chemical shift calculations were carried out on the lowest energy isomers and the absolute $^{13}$C chemical shifts calculated were corrected using the internal Gaussian TMS $^{13}$C standard based on a DFT 6-311+G(2d,p) basis set. Although the basis set used for the TMS reference differs somewhat from the 6-311G(d,p) basis set used for our calculation, we believed that it was close enough to allow a good relative comparison for the two isomers to the experimental $^{13}$C NMR data. Figure 3.2 shows calculated $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts compared to experimental, with the spiro isomer being a considerably better match. This calculation provided additional evidence for the structural assignment, and together with the energy calculation allowed us to confidently assign the structure of compound 3.13 as the spiro isomer.

![Figure 3.2 NMR comparison](image)
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CHAPTER 4: REMOTE HYDROXYLATION BY RADICAL TRANSLOCATION AND POLAR CROSSOVER

4.1 Introduction

Functionalization of aliphatic C-H bonds is a widely utilized and vitally important process in nature.\(^1\) Although the synthetic manipulation of unactivated C-H bonds was first discovered in the 1800s, use of these bonds in the synthesis of target molecules remains limited. Indeed, for quite some time synthetic methods for C-H functionalization were harsh (highly reactive, prone to decomposition), low-yielding and unselective.\(^2\) Therefore the view persisted that this process could only be made selective and high-yielding by mimicking enzymes.\(^3\) In the past two decades, however, improvements to reaction conditions, selectivity, and yields have begun to render aliphatic C-H functionalization an advantageous step in synthetic planning.\(^4\)

The benefits of incorporating C-H functionalization in total synthesis arise from shedding the usual constraints of retrosynthetic analysis. Step-, atom-, and redox-economy can all be significantly improved by viewing the C-H bond as a useful functional group rather than an inert bystander.\(^5\) Employment of such strategies serves to improve overall synthetic efficiency. By alleviating problems with scalability and the cost of production of complex drugs, the economic viability of such compounds can be realized to a greater extent.\(^4\)

Two main strategies exist for the conversion of aliphatic C-H bonds. The first strategy relies on the innate properties of the bond and its inherent reactivity while the second strategy uses external reagents to guide or direct the activation.\(^6\) A directing approach which has received considerable attention in recent years incorporates functionality which directs either the inner sphere of a transition metal or a metal-oxo
species to a remote C-H bond. Alternatively, a covalently attached directing group can be activated thermally, chemically, or photochemically to produce a radical which will translocate via hydrogen abstraction. A radical-promoted hydrogen atom transfer of this sort is often referred to as a radical translocation event. Our recently developed approach to site-selective C-H functionalization falls into this category.

### 4.2 Important Examples of Radical Translocation

Scheme 4.1 Hofmann’s pioneering radical translocation

Though this reaction was discovered in the late 1800s and expanded upon in the early 1900s, the mechanism was not understood until much later. In 1950, Wawzonek and Thelen researched and correctly predicted the mechanism which was studied further and confirmed by Corey and Hertler in 1960.

Scheme 4.2 Radical translocation mechanism

As shown in Scheme 4.2, the mechanism begins with amine protonation followed by homolysis of the N-Br bond. The resulting nitrogen radical cation abstracts hydrogen intramolecularly from an energetically favored carbon atom yielding an ammonium ion and a primary carbon-centered radical. The primary radical begins a chain process by
abstracting bromine from another substrate molecule. Finally, basic workup liberates the free amine which cyclizes via $S_N2$ onto the bromine-bearing carbon, and loss of a final proton results in the observed product.

Breslow and coworkers famously employed guided radical translocation, which they referred to as remote oxidation, as a method for steroid functionalization in the early 1970s. Cholestanols were esterified with various benzophenone acids which could be activated phototchemically for use as directing groups. Among various results, ultraviolet irradiation of the benzophenone-4-acetic ester of $3\alpha$-cholestanol gave an impressive 55% yield of a single olefin between carbons 14 and 15 in ring D of the steroid backbone (Scheme 4.3). This groundbreaking site-selective remote functionalization led to increased interest in directed radical translocation.

Recently, a radical translocation method for desaturation has been developed by Baran and coworkers. This guided desaturation makes use of a novel benchtop-stable “portable desaturase” which can be attached to a substrate molecule where it facilitates C-H bond functionalization. The newly devised Tz"Cl (o-tosyl triazene chloride) group exhibits an aryl sulfonyl chloride for attachment to alcohol or amine moieties of a substrate before further reaction (Scheme 4.4).
Ortho to the sulfonyl is a diethyl triazene which, upon treatment with two equivalents of strong acid, produces an aryl diazonium salt. The redox-active aryl diazonium is reduced by TEMPO to release nitrogen and yield the aryl radical which performs an (unusual 1,7) intramolecular hydrogen abstraction at a nearby tertiary carbon. Oxidation of the resulting tertiary radical to carbocation by TEMPO$^+$ and subsequent elimination give the desired olefin product. When the reaction is complete, the Tz$^o$ directing group leaves behind a synthetically useful tosyl group. Depicted in Scheme 4.5, this intriguing radical-polar crossover reaction helped to inspire our foray into remote C-H bond functionalization.

**4.3 Results and Discussion**

Our initial goal for C-H functionalization was to create a mild and versatile method for the selective installation of important functionalities at relatively unreactive positions. We recognized that there was ample room for expansion to the guided desaturation work completed by Baran and coworkers, and we thought to employ photoredox catalysis toward
that goal. An approach involving a controlled hydrogen abstraction followed by oxidation to carbocation and subsequent rearrangement, elimination, or nucleophilic attack would open pathways to a plethora of desired bond formations. One can imagine using such a reaction to replace C-H bonds with carbon bonds to oxygen (hydroxylation, etherification), nitrogen (Ritter amidation), carbon, fluorine and many others.

We envisioned a process to form an electron-poor aryl radical which could translocate via hydrogen abstraction to a nearby alkyl group. Such translocations from relatively unstable aryl radicals to more stable alkyl radicals are known to be favorable and selective under the correct conditions. The low oxidation potential of the resulting alkyl radical allows mild reagents to effect SET oxidation to carbocation. To accomplish this goal, we proposed a pathway like that shown in Scheme 4.6.

En route to our goal of mild conditions, we chose to employ visible light photoredox catalysis, a set of techniques which have gained recent traction by solving several challenging problems in organic synthesis. Beginning with an established directing group (See Tz', Scheme 4.4), an arene diazonium could be generated upon addition of
two equivalents of strong acid. A diazonium of this type, with a reduction potential (E°) in the range of -0.1 to +0.5 V vs. SCE could favorably undergo single electron reduction from an excited state photocatalyst such as \( \text{fac-Ir(ppy)}_3^+ \), which has a E° of -1.73 V vs. SCE in the excited state.\[^{14f, 15}\] After release of nitrogen, the resulting aryl radical 4.2 would then abstract hydrogen from an attached alkyl moiety. This radical translocation event would generate alkyl radical 4.3 (E_{ox} = 0-0.75 V vs. SCE) which could be oxidized to carbocation by the oxidatively quenched photocatalyst Ir(ppy)_3^+ (E° = +0.77 V vs. SCE), thus completing the catalytic cycle.\[^{13, 14f}\] Carbocation 4.4 would then intercept a nucleophile and yield the desired C-H functionalized product.

For our initial experiments, we synthesized isoamyl Tz\(^{\prime}\) sulfonate ester 4.5 in one step from the corresponding alcohol. We then degassed and irradiated (4 W blue LEDs, \( \lambda = 455 \) nm) 4.5 and two equivalents of tetrafluoroboric acid in the presence of \( \text{fac-Ir(ppy)}_3 \) as photocatalyst, nitromethane as solvent, and methanol as nucleophile and cosolvent.

![Scheme 4.7 Initial experiments](image)

Shown in Scheme 4.7, an initial 7% yield of desired product 4.5d was obtained along with 18% of trivial reduction product 4.5b. However, increasing the loading of photocatalyst had an immediate influence on yield. 10 mol% catalyst gave 31% yield of desired product and a similar amount of trivial reduction product. Further increases of
photocatalyst as well as changes to the acid used (TFA, TfOH) did not have a noticeable effect on yield. Any modifications to the solvent system or reduction of methanol equivalents led to no desired product formation.

To test this system outside of a single substrate, we synthesized cyclopentyl Tz° ester 4.6 and menthol Tz° ester 4.7 (Scheme 4.8). While the cyclopentyl substrate gave 25% of desired product 4.6d, the menthol derivative gave just 13% desired product 4.7d and 32% of desaturation product 4.7c. Both substrates produced noticeable amounts (not isolated) of trivial reduction products.

![Scheme 4.8 Additional preliminary results](image)

After these initial results, it was clear to us that this remote functionalization system was in need of improvement. The most problematic issue was the consistent production of trivial reduction products (See Scheme 4.7, structure 4.5b). These products are likely formed when a radical intermediate abstracts hydrogen from the electron-rich C-H bonds of methanol.

To remedy this problem we decided to look for a nucleophile which lacked electron-rich C-H bonds while also being neutral and relatively non-basic. Though water has low miscibility with nitromethane, we attempted the same reaction conditions from Scheme 4.8, replacing methanol with water. We were pleased to find that, on the first attempt of the new reaction conditions, isoamyl Tz° ester 4.5 yielded 57% of desired product.
hydroxylation product 4.5a with only trace evidence of trivial reduction product 4.5b or desaturation product 4.5c (Scheme 4.9).\textsuperscript{16}

![Scheme 4.9 Initial remote hydroxylation experiment]

At this point we decided to further optimize conditions for remote hydroxylation (Figure 4.1). We found that a reaction time of less than 6 hours decreased yield (incomplete consumption of starting material) while anything more gave a consistent yield in the high 50s (entries 1-4). Decrease in photocatalyst loading resulted in significantly decreased yields (entries 5-6). Exclusion of acid resulted in no observed conversion of starting material, (entry 7) while exclusion of photocatalyst gave 11\% of desired product (entry 8).

Interestingly, reactions run in the absence of light gave results of 40\% after 4 hours and 50\% after 18 hours (entries 9-10). The ground state photocatalyst, $\text{fac-Ir(ppy)}_3$ ($E^0 = +0.77$ V vs. SCE), was not expected to undergo SET to reduce the generated aryl diazonium species ($E^0$ range of -0.1 to +0.5 V vs. SCE), yet that seemed to be the case. The unanticipated yields of this “dark reaction” prompted us to further investigate the reduction potential of the specific diazonium being generated during the reaction. As shown in Scheme 4.10, diazonium 4.5e was generated from isoamyl Tz\textsuperscript{o} ester 4.5 by addition of 2 equivalents of strong acid. Cyclic voltammetry of 4.5e was then performed (See section 4.6.2 for experimental data) and $E^0$ was found to be -0.12 V vs. SCE. These findings tell us that, as expected, an electron transfer from ground state $\text{fac-Ir(ppy)}_3$ to diazonium 4.5e would be energetically unfavorable (by 890 mV). However, because this electron transfer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Deviation from Std. Cond.</th>
<th>Irradiation Time (h)</th>
<th>yield of 6 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1h irradiation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2h irradiation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6h irradiation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.5h irradiation</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 mol % fac-Ir(ppy)_3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 mol % fac-Ir(ppy)_3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>no acid</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>none observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>no fac-Ir(ppy)_3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>light excluded</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>light excluded</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>no freeze-pump-thaw</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>48.8 mM 5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10 mM 5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DMF as solvent</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>none observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CH₃CN as solvent</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>none observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>DMSO as solvent</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>none observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>20 equiv. H₂O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ru(phen)_3(PF₆)₂ as catalyst</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Ir[CF₃ppy]₂(dtbbpy)(PF₆) as catalyst</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>ethyl eosin as catalyst</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>none observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Ru(bpy)_3(PF₆)₂ as catalyst</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>TFA replaces HBF₄</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23*</td>
<td>Baran's conditions + 2 mL H₂O</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.1 Optimization of reaction conditions
Isolated yields. *1 equiv. of TEMPO, 2 equiv. TFA at 60°C for 1.5 h without irradiation.
is coupled to a highly favorable and irreversible fragmentation (loss of nitrogen), the overall process is energetically favorable and precedents of similar events exist.\textsuperscript{17}

Scheme 4.10 Generation of diazonium and subsequent fragmentation

Entry 11 showed that degassing of the reaction was unnecessary as 57\% yield was observed under nitrogen with no freeze-pump-thaw procedure. Entries 12 and 13 showed that higher concentration decreased yield while lower concentration had no observable effect. The strong effect of solvent choice was apparent by the lack of any desired product isolated from entries 14-16. Entry 17 showed the importance of water as a cosolvent when only trace amounts of desired product formed with 20 equivalents of water added. Choice of photocatalyst was also imperative, with entries 18-21 resulting in a yield of 16\% or less. Replacement of HBF\textsubscript{4} with TFA resulted in a slight decrease in yield (entry 22). Finally, and of great significance, we showed that this transformation could not be efficiently accomplished using the conditions of Baran and coworkers with the addition of water.\textsuperscript{11}

Even under optimized conditions for remote hydroxylation, yields of 4.5a did not exceed 60\%. Considering these results, the possibility of side products/pathways needed to be explored. To this end, we irradiated 4.5 in CD\(_3\)NO\(_2\) and D\(_2\)O with 48\% HBF\(_4\) and 10 mol\% fac-Ir(ppy)\(_3\) for 18 hours (Scheme 4.11). The resulting crude mixture’s \(^1\)H NMR spectrum (in CD\(_3\)NO\(_2\) after separating layers) showed desired product 4.5a as well as small amounts of desaturation product 4.5c and some unknown species. Desaturation product 4.5f and trivial reduction product 4.5b were not observed. Hydrolysis product 4.8 (isoamyl alcohol) was observed although neither of its desaturated derivatives (4.8c, 4.8f) nor hydroxylated derivative 4.8a were detected.
Baran and coworkers also mentioned the possibility that an allylic tosylate like 4.5f may decompose. An expected product of such a pathway would be allylic alcohol 4.9, but none was detected during NMR studies. In order to determine NMR yields of the observed products, use of various internal standards was attempted yet none gave useful data. Instead, relative ratios of products were calculated and found to be 5.1 to 1.1 to 1 respectively for 4.5a, 4.8, and 4.5c. These findings, along with the notable presence of p-toluenesulfonate salts in the D₂O layer, suggested that a reaction intermediate was sensitive to hydrolysis while translocation products were stable under the reaction conditions.

![Scheme 4.11 NMR studies](image)

In order to confirm the stability of hydroxylation product 4.5a and desaturation product 4.5c, each was resubmitted to standard reaction conditions (Scheme 4.9) and no degradation was observed in either case. However, when Tz⁰ ester 4.5 was subjected to standard conditions without photocatalyst (Scheme 4.12), considerable quantities of isoamyl alcohol 4.8 and diazonium 4.5e were observed. Considering these results, the possibility of an electron-poor diazonium intermediate like 4.5e undergoing hydrolysis is much more likely than hydrolysis of a Tz⁰ ester like 4.5 or translocation products like 4.5a and 4.5c (Scheme 4.11).
Next, we tested our photocatalytic remote hydroxylation method on several additional substrates to determine the scope. Using our standard conditions (Scheme 4.9), we found that yields of this reaction ranged from 31-63% over a broad range of structures. We also tested lower temperatures intended to suppress the competing desaturation reaction (Figure 4.2). Cyclopentyl substrate 4.6 yielded 41% of desired hydroxylation product 4.6a. The same substrate gave a slightly increased yield of 48% when run at 6°C for 3 days, (slow consumption of triazene Tz" ester) but reaction of Tz" esters at temperatures lower than 0°C were unreasonably slow. This slowed consumption of starting material, in addition to the absence of diazonium or other intermediates in crude 1H NMRs, led us to believe that acid-promoted liberation of the diazonium ion from the triazene is the rate-determining step of the overall process. Menthol substrate 4.7 afforded 31% hydroxylation product while citronellol derivative 4.10 gave 38%. Leucine-derived substrate 4.11 gave exclusively lactone product 4.11a in 47% yield. Higher yields resulted from benzylic Tz" esters 4.12, 4.13, and 4.15 (41-63%). Tz" sulfonamides (substrates 4.14, 4.15, 4.16) also successfully underwent remote hydroxylation to give 34-56% yields. Substrates 4.16, 4.17 and 4.18 demonstrated that secondary benzylic systems were effectively converted to the corresponding alcohols (52-56% yields), while substrate 4.18 (56%) also revealed that 1,6-abstractions are feasible with this method.
Figure 4.2 Substrate Scope

Unless otherwise stated, reaction conditions were 0.2929 mmol substrate, 10 mol % $fac$-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$, 2 mL H$_2$O and 2 equiv. of 48 % HBF$_4$ were employed for 18 h irradiation. *Experiment was conducted at 6°C for 3 days irradiation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product (% yield)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.6" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.6a (41 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.6" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.6a (48 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.7" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.7a (31 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.10" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.10a (38 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.11" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.11a (47 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (R=CH₃)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.12" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.12a (R=CH₃, 63 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (R=Ph)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.13" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.13a (R=Ph, 56 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (R=CH₃)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.14" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.14a (R=CH₃, 34 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (R=Ph)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.15" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.15a (R=Ph, 41 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.16" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.16a (56 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.17" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.17a (52 %)" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Substrate 4.18" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Product 4.18a (56 %)" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To confirm that the suggested pathway for this transformation was indeed feasible, an isotopic labeling experiment was conducted. Shown in Scheme 4.13, 97% $^{18}$O-containing water was used along with standard conditions. The result of the experiment was hydroxylation with 90% $^{18}$O incorporation, which agreed with the original mechanistic hypothesis that water is trapping a carbocation.

After establishing photocatalytic remote hydroxylation we looked forward to demonstrating its useful application in organic synthesis. We recognized that many important molecules contain the 1,3-aminoalcohol structural feature that our method can expeditiously construct. Therefore, we converted hydroxylation product 4.17a (Figure 4.2) to the powerful antidepressant drug ($\pm$)-fluoxetine (Prozac®) in two steps.$^{18}$ Shown in Scheme 4.14, the tosyl group resulting from conversion of Tz$^0$ triazene was used as a leaving group for nucleophilic addition of methylamine to give aminoalcohol 4.19. This intermediate was then deprotonated with sodium hydride and converted to fluoxetine via nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction with 4-chlorobenzotrifluoride. Proceeding in only 4 steps from the inexpensive and simple 3-phenyl-1-propanol, this synthesis displays the rapid complexity introduced by our directed C-H functionalization method.

Scheme 4.13 $^{18}$O labeling experiment

Scheme 4.14 Unoptimized synthesis of fluoxetine
4.4 Future Work

Additional work toward C-H functionalization strategies will likely employ sulfones in place of previously used sulfonate esters and sulfonamides. In this way, we aim to eliminate the problem of hydrolysis of our intermediates and increase yields. Sulfones may conceivably be prepared by modifying Baran’s procedure for production of Tz'Cl from the bromotriazene precursor. Alternatively, sulfones could be prepared from previously made Tz'Cl. Shown in Scheme 4.15, both methods for production of Tz' sulfones would go through sulfinate anion intermediates (structure 4.20). Reaction of sulfinates with alkyl iodides would produce desired Tz' sulfone substrates with pendant alkyl chains such as 4.21. We would then endeavor to direct a nucleophile to a desired location via our standard conditions (Scheme 4.9) to yield structures such as 4.22.

Scheme 4.15 Proposed remote functionalization of sulfones

4.5 Conclusions

Activation of relatively inert aliphatic C-H bonds plays a vital role in nature and is becoming increasingly useful in organic synthesis. The abundance of this type of bond in organic structures makes it a desirable target for manipulation. Currently, many existing synthetic methods for functionalization of C-H bonds are harsh and/or unselective. As a step toward a solution to these problems, we have developed a photocatalytic directed hydroxylation (and methoxylation) of aliphatic C-H bonds which proceeds under mild conditions. Our method has been tested on a variety of substrates and also applied to the total synthesis of the antidepressant drug fluoxetine (Prozac®). This and similar systems
will be explored in the future to produce new and better ways for functionalizing aliphatic C-H bonds.

4.6  **Experimental**

4.6.1  **General Methods**

$^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy was conducted using a Bruker AV-400 or AV-500 spectrometer. Mass spectra were attained using an Agilent 6210 electrospray time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Optical rotation was measured using a JASCO P-2000 polarimeter. All materials were received from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Flash column chromatography was accomplished using high purity grade 60 Å silica gel (Fluka® Analytical). Qualitative TLC was performed on aluminum sheets (Merck, silica gel, F254) and observed via UV absorption (254 nm) and staining with anisaldehyde or KMnO$_4$. Deuterated solvents were acquired from Cambridge Isotope Labs. Tz$^{a}$Cl and CH$_3$NO$_2$ (reagent grade, 96%) were received from Sigma-Aldrich. Unless otherwise noted, all Tz$^{a}$-containing compounds were synthesized according to literature procedure.$^1$ All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Photocatalyzed remote hydroxylation reactions were conducted in round bottom flasks and irradiated with 4W blue LEDs (Creative Lighting Solutions, $\lambda_{\text{max}}$=455 nm) which were wrapped around a beaker or crystallizing dish as pictured in Figure 4.3.

4.6.2  **Procedures and Characterization**

Representative procedure for remote hydroxylation - synthesis of **4.5a**:

![Chemical Structure](image)

To a vigorously stirred 20°C solution of 100.0 mg (0.2929 mmol) triazene 4.5 and 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) fac-Ir(ppy)$_3$ in 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$ and 2 mL deionized H$_2$O was added
77 μL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF₄ via gas-tight syringe. After 4 minutes, irradiation of the solution with blue LEDs (λ_{max}=455 nm) commenced and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 18.5 h. 10 mL of 5% NaHCO₃(aq.) was then added at once followed by 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ and the resulting layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with an additional 2 x 10 mL CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated to yield 117.3 mg of a brown residue. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 20% EtOAc in hexanes to 30% EtOAc in hexanes) of the residue afforded 43.4 mg (57%) of a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.79 (d, J = 8.2
Representative procedure for NMR studies:

To a vigorously stirred 20°C solution of 22.0 mg (0.0644 mmol) triazene 4.5 and 4.2 mg (0.0064 mmol) fac-Ir(ppy)_3 in 2.2 mL CD_3NO_2 and 0.44 mL D_2O was added 17 μL (0.13 mmol) 48% HBF_4 via gas-tight syringe. After 4 minutes, irradiation of the solution with blue LEDs (λ_{max}=455 nm) commenced and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 18.5 h. The aqueous and organic layers were then separated and placed directly into NMR tubes for analysis.

Unless specifically noted, all Tz-containing compounds were synthesized according to literature procedure. Synthesis and spectral data of known compounds 4.6 and 4.7 can also be found in reference 11.

Synthesis of 4.5:

![OTz^o]

Started with 2000.0 mg (6.902 mmol) Tz^oCl, 486.7 mg (5.521 mmol) isoamyl alcohol, 1349.0 mg (11.04 mmol) DMAP and 11.1 mL CH_2Cl_2. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 10% EtOAc in hexanes to 20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 1724.3 mg (91%) of a yellow oil. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 7.81 (d, \( J = 8.1 \) Hz, 1H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 6.96 (d, \( J = 8.1 \) Hz, 1H), 4.02 (t, \( J = 6.6 \) Hz, 2H), 3.80 (q, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.66 (m, 1H), 1.48 (q, \( J = 6.7 \) Hz, 2H), 1.32 (t, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, 3H), 1.24 (t, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, 3H), 0.80 (d, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz, 6H); ^13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 149.3, 145.1, 130.5, 126.0,
125.0, 118.4, 68.7, 49.3, 42.2, 37.6, 24.4, 22.1, 21.7, 14.5, 11.3; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C_{16}H_{27}N_{3}NaO_{3}S [M+Na]^+ 364.1665, found 364.1658.

Synthesis of 4.10:

Started with 500.0 mg (1.725 mmol) Tz''Cl, 0.25 mL (1.4 mmol) citronellol, 337.0 mg (2.758 mmol) DMAP and 2.8 mL CH_{2}Cl_{2}. Silica gel chromatography (20% CH_{2}Cl_{2} and 5% Et_{2}O in hexanes) afforded 521.0 mg (91%) of a red oil. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.85 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.00 (d, \(J = 8\) Hz 1H), 5.02 (t, \(J = 7.2\)Hz, 1H), 4.13 – 3.99 (m, 2H), 3.83 (q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 4H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.98-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.46 (m, 2H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.45-1.19 (m, 2H), 1.36 (t, 3H), 1.27 (t, 3H), 1.16 – 1.02 (m, 1H), 0.80 (d, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 149.4, 145.2, 131.5, 130.7, 126.3, 125.3, 124.6, 118.5, 68.8, 49.5, 42.5, 37.0, 36.0, 29.1, 25.9, 25.5, 21.9, 19.2, 17.8, 14.7, 11.5; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C_{21}H_{36}N_{3}O_{3}S [M+H]^+ 410.2472, found 410.2475.

Synthesis of 4.11:

To a round bottom flask containing 804.2 mg (4.427 mmol) L-leucine methyl ester HCl salt (prepared according to reference 2), 11.8 mL sat. NaHCO\(_3\) and 9.8 mL CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) was added a solution of 944.8 mg (3.162 mmol) Tz''Cl in 2 mL CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir under N\(_2\) at room temperature for 15 h until complete consumption of starting material was observed via TLC. The aqueous layer was then separated from the organic layer and extracted with 3x10 mL CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\). The combined organic layers were dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 10% EtOAc in hexanes to 20% EtOAc in hexanes)
afforded 866.8 mg (49%) of the desired product. Spectral data matched that previously reported in literature.\textsuperscript{11}

Synthesis of 4.12:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph} & \quad \text{OTz}^0
\end{align*}
\]

Started with 350.0 mg (1.208 mmol) Tz\textsuperscript{o}Cl, 0.15 mL (0.97 mmol) 3-phenyl-1-
butanol, 294.9 mg (2.414 mmol) DMAP and 1.9 mL of CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 8% EtOAc in hexanes to 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 261.5 mg (67\%) of a red oil. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta 7.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.20 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dt, J = 9.7, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.92 – 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.81 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 2.81 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.92 – 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.17 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta 149.3, 145.8, 145.2, 130.6, 128.5, 126.9, 126.3, 126.0, 125.1, 118.4, 68.6, 49.4, 42.4, 37.3, 35.8, 21.9, 21.8, 14.6, 11.4; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C\textsubscript{21}H\textsubscript{30}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3}S [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} 404.2002, found 404.2000.

Synthesis of 4.13:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ph} & \quad \text{OTz}^0
\end{align*}
\]

Started with 350.0 mg (1.208 mmol) Tz\textsuperscript{o}Cl, 0.19 mL (0.97 mmol) 3,3-
diphenyl-1-propanol, 294.9 mg (2.414 mmol) DMAP and 1.9 mL of CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. Silica gel chromatography (20\% DCM and 5\% Et\textsubscript{2}O in hexanes) afforded 307.9 mg (68\%) of a red oil. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta 7.76 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.7 Hz, 4H), 7.17 – 7.11 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 6.95 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.05 – 3.96 (m, 3H), 3.80 (dq, J = 13.9, 7.3 Hz, 4H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.34 (dt, J = 7.8, 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta 149.4,
145.3, 143.7, 130.8, 128.0, 126.6, 126.3, 125.3, 118.6, 49.5, 46.9, 42.6, 35.0, 21.9, 14.7, 11.6; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C_{26}H_{32}N_{3}O_{3}S [M+H]^{+} 466.2159, found 466.2156.

Synthesis of **des-methyl-4.14**:

\[
\text{\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (N) at (0,0) {\text{NH}};
\filldraw (0.5,0) circle (1pt);
\end{tikzpicture}}
\]

Started with 155.0 mg (0.5349 mmol) TzCl, 90 µL (0.75 mmol) isoamyl amine, 2 ml sat. NaHCO_{3} and 2 mL CH_{2}Cl_{2}. Silica gel chromatography (20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 169.4 mg (93%) of a yellow oil. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_{3}) δ 7.81 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H), 5.52 (t, \(J = 6.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.85 (q, \(J = 7.0\) Hz, 2H), 3.76 (q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 2.88 (q, \(J = 7.0\) Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.68-1.50 (m, 1H), 1.43-1.17 (m, 8H), 0.82 (d, \(J = 6.7\) Hz, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_{3}) δ 147.3, 143.7, 128.8, 128.8, 125.3, 118.0, 49.8, 42.1, 41.8, 38.3, 25.4, 22.2, 21.5, 14.3, 11.0; HRMS m/z calcd for C_{16}H_{29}N_{4}O_{2}S [M+H]^{+} 341.2012, found 341.2003.

Synthesis of **4.14**:

\[
\text{\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (N) at (0,0) {\text{N}};
\filldraw (0.6,0) circle (1pt);
\end{tikzpicture}}
\]

To a stirred 0°C solution of 222.6 mg (0.6537 mmol) **des-methyl-4.14** in 10 mL dry THF was added 17.4 mg (0.727 mmol) 95% sodium hydride. After 1 hour the reaction mixture was removed from the ice bath and placed in an 80°C oil bath. 90 µL (1.5 mmol) iodomethane was then added. After 2 hours the reaction mixture was removed from the oil bath and allowed to cool. 15 mL H_{2}O was then added at once followed by 15mL CH_{2}Cl_{2} and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with an additional 2 x 15 mL CH_{2}Cl_{2}. The combined organic layers were dried over Na_{2}SO_{4}, filtered and concentrated to yield 283.4 mg crude yellow oil. Silica gel chromatography (12 g silica gel, gradient run from 5% EtOAc in hexanes to 10% EtOAc in hexanes) of the residue
afforded 163.8 mg (76%) of a pale yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.78 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.98 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.82 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 4H), 3.17 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.69 – 1.53 (m, 1H), 1.41 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H), 1.32 – 1.23 (m, 6H), 0.88 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 149.3, 143.6, 130.7, 128.6, 125.2, 119.6, 49.0, 48.5, 41.7, 36.8, 34.5, 25.6, 22.5, 21.5, 14.6, 11.5; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{31}$N$_4$O$_2$S [M+H]$^+$ 355.2162, found 355.2175.

Synthesis of **des-methyl-4.15**: 

![Chemical structure](image)

Started with 155.0 mg (0.5349 mmol) Tz'oCl, 158.5 mg (0.7501 mmol) 3,3-diphenylpropylamine, 2 ml sat. NaHCO$_3$ and 2 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Silica gel chromatography (15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 192.0 mg (77%) of an off-white residue. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.76 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.26–7.00 (m, 10H), 6.93 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.64 (t, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.97 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.75 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.64 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.85 (q, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.20 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.27 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.20 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 147.2, 143.8, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 127.6, 126.2, 125.3, 118.0, 49.8, 47.9, 42.2, 41.9, 35.4, 21.5, 14.4, 11.0; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{32}$N$_4$O$_2$S [M+Na]$^+$ 487.2144, found 487.2142.

Synthesis of **4.15**: 

![Chemical structure](image)

For procedure see synthesis of **4.14**. Started with 400.0 mg (0.8609 mmol) **des-methyl-4.15**, 24.8 mg (1.03 mmol) 95 % sodium hydride, 150 μL (2.07 mmol) iodomethane and 18 mL dry THF. Obtained 410.1 mg (quantitative yield) of a pale yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.71 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.28 – 7.18 (m, 9H), 7.18 –
7.10 (m, 2H), 6.93 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.95 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 3.61 (s, 2H),
3.15 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 2.32 – 2.25 (m, 2H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.09
(s, 3H).  $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 149.1, 144.2, 143.6, 130.7, 128.4, 128.4, 127.7,
126.2, 125.1, 119.3, 48.9, 48.4, 41.5, 34.8, 34.0, 21.5, 14.4, 11.2; HRMS m/z calcd for
C$_{27}$H$_{35}$N$_4$O$_2$S [M+H]$^+$ 479.2475, found 479.2483.

Synthesis of 4.16:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph} \\
\text{NTz}\text{o}
\end{array}
\]

Started with 277.4 mg (0.9573 mmol) Tz$^\circ$Cl, 200.0 mg (1.340 mmol) $N$-methyl-3-
phenylpropylamine, 4 ml sat. NaHCO$_3$ and 4 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Silica gel chromatography
(100% EtOAc) afforded 368.9 mg (96%) of an orange oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ
7.79 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.36 – 7.22 (m, 3H), 7.20 – 7.11 (m, 3H), 6.99 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz,
1H), 3.80 (s, 4H), 3.24 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.63 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s,
3H), 1.86 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.23 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ
149.3, 143.8, 141.7, 130.8, 128.5, 128.4, 125.9, 125.3, 119.6, 49.8, 49.1, 41.8, 34.7, 32.9,
29.7, 21.6, 14.6, 11.5; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{31}$N$_4$O$_2$S [M+H]$^+$ 403.2162, found
403.2162.

Synthesis of 4.17:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph} \\
\text{OTz}\text{o}
\end{array}
\]

Started with 500.0 mg (1.725 mmol) Tz$^\circ$Cl, 187.9 mg (1.380 mmol) 3-phenyl-1-
propanol, 337.3 mg (2.761 mmol) DMAP and 3.0 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Silica gel chromatography
(gr gradient run from 5% EtOAc in hexanes to 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 375.5 (70%)
of a yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.85 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.26 – 7.20 (m, 2H), 7.18 – 7.14 (m, 1H), 7.06 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H),
4.06 (t, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.83 (q, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 4H), 2.64 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H),
2.12 – 1.74 (m, 2H), 1.35 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 149.5, 145.3, 141.0, 130.8, 128.6, 126.2, 126.2, 125.3, 118.6, 69.6, 49.5, 42.5, 31.8, 30.9, 21.9, 14.7, 11.6; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{28}$N$_3$O$_3$S [M+H]$^+$ 390.1846, found 390.1860.

Synthesis of 4.18:

![Chemical structure](image)

Started with 100.0 mg (0.3347 mmol) Tz$^\circ$Cl, 64.3 mg (0.469 mmol) tyramine, 3 ml sat. NaHCO$_3$ and 3 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 20% EtOAc in hexanes to 40% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 109.3 mg (83%) of a yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.81 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.70 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 5.83 (br s, 1H), 5.48 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 2H), 3.20 (s, 2H), 3.11 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.06 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 155.0, 147.6, 144.3, 130.0, 129.8, 129.1, 128.6, 125.5, 118.0, 115.6, 49.9, 44.8, 42.2, 34.9, 21.9, 14.6, 11.1; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{27}$N$_4$O$_3$S [M+H]$^+$ 391.1798, found 391.1783.

Synthesis of 4.5c:

To a round bottom flask containing a previously degassed solution of 100.1 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.5, 45.8 mg (0.293 mmol) TEMPO, 2.3 mL deionized H$_2$O and 11.7 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$ was added 67 µL (0.88 mmol) TFA at once. The reaction was warmed to 60°C and allowed to stir for 1.5 h with monitoring by TLC. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and 15 mL EtOAc and 15 mL 1 N HCl were added. The resulting layers were separated and the aqueous layer was washed with 3 x 15 mL EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel
chromatography (gradient run from 20% CH$_2$Cl$_2$ in hexanes to 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 20.9 mg (30%) 4.5c as a colorless oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.79 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, $J$ = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 4.79 (br s, 1H), 4.68 (br s, 1H), 4.13 (t, $J$ = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.35 (t, $J$ = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.66 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 144.6, 140.0, 133.1, 129.7, 127.8, 113.0, 68.4, 36.7, 22.2, 21.5; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{17}$O$_3$S [M+H]$^+$ 241.0893, found 241.0897, and 12.8 mg (18%) of 4.5a.

Synthesis of 4.16a:

![Chemical Structure](image)

Started with 107.6 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.16, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) fac-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL deionized H$_2$O and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 100% hexanes to 25% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 34.2 mg (41%) 4.16a as a pale yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.79 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.24 (t, $J$ = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.97 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.76 (m, 2H), 1.64 (m, 4H), 1.55 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 144.7, 133.0, 129.8, 127.8, 80.5, 68.0, 40.0, 39.7, 23.4, 21.6; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{20}$NaO$_4$S [M+Na]$^+$ 307.0975, found 307.0974, and 14.6 mg (19%) of an inseparable mixture of desaturation and reduced products (4.16c:4.16b = 3.5:1). Spectral data matched that previously reported in literature.$^{11}$

Synthesis of 4.17a:

![Chemical Structure](image)

Started with 120.0 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.17, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) fac-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL deionized H$_2$O and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 30.0 mg (31%) 4.17a.
as a yellow oil $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -20.8^\circ$, c 1.14 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.80 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.83 (td, $J = 10.8$, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (s, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.20 (d, $J = 11.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 1.67 (m, 2H), 1.48 (m, 1H), 1.25 (s, 2H) 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.05 (qd, $J = 13.1$, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 145.0, 134.9, 130.0, 127.6, 84.7, 72.7, 52.3, 42.3, 34.0, 31.7, 28.9, 27.3, 25.9, 21.8, 21.8; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{26}$NaO$_4$S [M+Na]$^+$ 349.1444, found 349.1446, and 19.9 mg (22%) desaturation product 4.17c as a white solid. Spectral data matched that previously reported in literature.¹¹

Synthesis of 4.10a:

\[
\text{\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (a) at (0,0) [draw, circle, inner sep=0, minimum size=10mm] {OH};
\node (b) at (1.5,0) [draw, circle, inner sep=0, minimum size=10mm] {OTs};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{figure}}
\]

Started with 112.0 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.10, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) of fac-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL deionized H$_2$O and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 10% EtOAc in hexanes to 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 19.3 mg (22%) 4.10a as a colorless oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.79 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.07 (t, $J = 7$ Hz, 1H), 4.20 (tq, $J = 5.5$, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.00 (q, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 1.85 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.48 – 1.42 (m, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 145.0, 133.2, 132.5, 130.1, 128.1, 124.0, 71.9, 67.6, 42.4, 40.2, 27.1, 25.9, 22.8, 21.9, 17.9; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{26}$NaO$_4$S [M+Na]$^+$ 349.1444, found 349.1443, and 5 mg (6%) of the trivial reduction product 15b, a colorless oil. Spectral data matched that previously reported in literature.²⁰
Synthesis of 4.11a:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{O} \\
\text{NHTs}
\end{array}
\]

Started with 116.7 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.11, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) \textit{fac}-Ir(ppy)_3, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF₄, 2 mL deionized H₂O and 10 mL CH₃NO₂. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 100% hexanes to 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 39.0 mg (47%) of a pale yellow oil. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = 59.1^o, c 0.915;\) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.79 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H), 5.32 (br s, 1H), 4.10 (m, 1H), 2.57 (dd, \(J = 12.8, 8.7\) Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.05 (t, \(J = 12.1\) Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.3, 144.1, 136.0, 129.9, 127.2, 83.4, 52.9, 43.2, 28.8, 26.9, 21.6; HRMS m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₈NO₄S [M+H]⁺ 284.0951, found 284.0951.

Synthesis of 4.12a:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph} \\
\text{\textbf{OH}} \\
\text{\textbf{OTs}}
\end{array}
\]

Started with 118.0 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.12, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) of \textit{fac}-Ir(ppy)_3, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF₄, 2 mL deionized H₂O and 10 mL of CH₃NO₂. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 15% EtOAc in hexanes to 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 59.1 mg (63%) of a white solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.68 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.35 – 7.18 (m, 7H), 4.10 (dt, \(J = 10.1, 7.1\) Hz, 1H), 3.95 (dt, \(J = 10.0, 6.6\) Hz, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.19 (td, \(J = 6.9, 1.9\) Hz, 2H), 2.06 (s, 1H), 1.53 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.5, 144.9, 133.0, 130.0, 128.5, 128.0, 127.1, 124.6, 73.6, 67.7, 42.5, 31.0, 21.8; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C₁₇H₂₁O₄S [M+H]⁺ 321.1155, found 321.1154.
Synthesis of **4.13a**:

![Chemical Structure](image)

Started with 136.4 mg (0.2929 mmol) **4.13**, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) of fac-Ir(ppy)_3, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF_4, 2 mL deionized H_2O and 10 mL CH_3NO_2. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 10% EtOAc in hexanes to 20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 63.2 mg (56%) of a colorless oil. ^1^H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.66 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.34 – 7.16 (m, 12H), 4.08 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 1H); ^1^C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 145.9, 144.9, 133.0, 130.0, 128.5, 128.0, 127.4, 125.8, 77.0, 67.9, 40.7, 21.8; HRMS (m/z) calcd for C_{22}H_{22}NaO_4S [M+Na]^+ 405.1131, found 405.1125.

Synthesis of **4.14a**:

![Chemical Structure](image)

Started with 102.6 mg (0.2894 mmol) **4.14**, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) fac-Ir(ppy)_3, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF_4, 2 mL deionized H_2O and 10 mL CH_3NO_2. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 30% EtOAc in hexanes to 40% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 26.4 mg (34%) of a yellow oil. ^1^H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.67 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.14 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.81 (br s, 1H), 1.72 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.25 (s, 6H); ^1^C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 143.4, 134.0, 129.7, 127.5, 70.1, 46.5, 40.2, 34.8, 29.5, 21.5; HRMS m/z calcd for C_{13}H_{22}NNaO_3S [M+H]^+ 272.1315, found 272.1322.

Synthesis of **4.15a**:
Started with 140.2 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.15, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) $fac$-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL deionized H$_2$O and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 10% EtOAc in hexanes to 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 47.9 mg (41%) of a pale yellow solid. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.58 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H), 7.30 – 7.21 (m, 4H), 3.08 – 3.02 (m, 2H), 2.72 (s, 3H), 2.66 – 2.60 (m, 2H), 2.55 (s, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 146.2, 143.3, 134.4, 129.6, 128.3, 127.4, 127.1, 125.7, 64.0, 46.7, 39.9, 35.6, 21.5; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{23}$H$_{25}$NNaO$_3$S [M+Na]$^+$ 418.1447, found 418.1439.

Synthesis of 4.16a:

\[
\text{Ph} - \text{NTs} \quad \underline{\text{OH}}
\]

Started with 120.8 mg (0.3000 mmol) 4.16, 19.6 mg (0.030 mmol) $fac$-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 79 µL (0.60 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL deionized H$_2$O and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 53.7 mg (56%) of a yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.67 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.40 – 7.30 (m, 6H), 7.29 – 7.23 (m, 1H), 4.88 (dd, $J = 9.3$, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.53 – 3.35 (m, 1H), 2.93 – 2.86 (m, 1H), 2.84 (br s, 1H), 2.76 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 1.95 – 1.83 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 144.1, 143.6, 134.2, 129.8, 128.6, 127.6, 127.5, 125.8, 70.8, 47.3, 37.0, 35.2, 21.6; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{21}$NNaO$_3$S [M+Na]$^+$ 342.1134, found 342.1132.

Synthesis of 4.17a:

\[
\text{Ph} - \text{OTs} \quad \underline{\text{OH}}
\]

Started with 114.1 mg (0.2929 mmol) 22, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) of $fac$-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL deionized H$_2$O and 10 mL of CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated
for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 10% EtOAc in hexanes to 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 46.7 mg (52%) of a yellow oil. Spectral data ($^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR) matched that previously reported in the literature.$^{21}$

Synthesis of 4.18a:

![Chemical Structure]

Started with 114.4 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.18, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) fac-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL deionized H$_2$O and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography afforded 50.2 mg (56%) of a yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) $\delta$ 7.68 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.70 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 4.53 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.98 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) $\delta$ 158.3, 144.8, 139.1, 134.3, 130.8, 128.6, 128.2, 116.2, 73.7, 51.5, 21.6; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{16}$NO$_3$S [M+H]$^+$ 290.0845, found 290.0845.

Synthesis of 4.5d:

![Chemical Structure]

Started with 100.0 mg (0.2929 mmol) 4.5, 19.2 mg (0.0293 mmol) fac-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 77 µL (0.59 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL MeOH and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica gel chromatography (gradient run from 5% EtOAc in hexanes to 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 24.5 mg (31%) of a yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.79 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 4.13 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.87 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.13 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 144.9, 133.4, 130.0, 128.1, 73.4, 67.5, 49.4, 38.9, 25.3, 21.8; HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{13}$H$_{20}$NaO$_4$S [M+Na]$^+$ 295.0975, found 295.0967.
Synthesis of 4.6d:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OMe} & \quad \text{OtS} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Started with 100.0 mg (0.2721 mmol) 4.6, 17.8 mg (0.0272 mmol) \textit{fac}-Ir(ppy)$_3$, 71 
\mu L (0.54 mmol) 48% HBF$_4$, 2 mL MeOH and 10 mL CH$_3$NO$_2$. Irradiated for 18 h. Silica
gel chromatography (gradient run from 5% EtOAc in hexanes to 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded 20.3 mg (25\%) of a yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.79 (d, $J = 8.0$
Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.10 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.98
(t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 1.77 (m, 2H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.35 (p, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C
NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 144.9, 133.4, 130.0, 128.1, 85.0, 67.9, 49.6, 36.0, 34.8, 23.6,
21.8; HRMS m/z calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{22}$NaO$_4$S [M+Na]$^+$ 321.1131 found 321.1135.

Synthesis of 4.19:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OH} & \quad \text{Ph} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Procedure similar to that found in reference 17a. A solution of 82.1 mg (0.2680
mmol) 4.17a, 6.5 mL 40\% methylamine in H$_2$O, and 6.5 mL THF was sealed in a flask
containing a stir bar. The mixture was lowered into a 65$^\circ$C oil bath and stirred for 3 h after
which it was removed and allowed to cool. 25 mL Et$_2$O was then added followed by 10
mL sat. NaHCO$_3$ and the layers were separated. The organic layer was then washed with
15 mL sat. NaCl, dried over anhydrous K$_2$CO$_3$, filtered, and the solvent was removed \textit{in
vacuo} yielding 48.1 mg of a crude yellow oil. The oil was resuspended in 10 mL Et$_2$O and
extracted with 3 x 10 mL portions of 1 M HCl. 20\% NaOH was then added to the combined
aqueous layers until the pH reached 13 and the basic solution was extracted with 3 x 10
mL portions of Et$_2$O. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$,
filtered, and the solvent was removed \textit{in vacuo} to afford 40.8 mg (92\%) of a pale yellow oil that required no further purification. Spectral data ($^1$H NMR) matched that previously reported in the literature.$^{18a}$

Synthesis of (±)-fluoxetine:

![Chemical Structure](attachment://fluoxetine.png)

Procedure similar to that found in reference 17a. To a stirred 0°C solution of 30.8 mg (0.186 mmol) 4.19 in 2 mL $N,N$-dimethylacetamide was added 5.4 mg (0.22 mmol) 95\% sodium hydride and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 45 min. The solution was then placed in a 95°C oil bath and stirred for an additional 30 min. 60 μL (0.45 mmol) 4-chlorobenzotri fluoride was then added at once and the reaction was heated to 105°C. After 2.5 h the reaction mixture was removed from the oil bath and allowed to cool. 10 mL toluene was then added followed by 10 mL H$_2$O and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with an additional 2 x 10 mL toluene and the combined organic layers were then washed with 10 mL sat. NaHCO$_3$ followed by 10 mL sat. NaCl. The solution was then dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and the solvent removed \textit{in vacuo} to afford 50.8 mg of a crude orange oil. The oil was dissolved in MeCN (20 mg per 200 μL injection) and HPLC purification (Waters 1525 Binary HPLC Pump, 2489 UV/Vis Detector, XBridge Prep C18 5μm 10x100 mm Reverse Phase Column, 20 min gradient from 95\% H$_2$O/MeCN to 5\% H$_2$O/MeCN) yielded 37.8 mg (66\%) fluoxetine as a colorless oil. The oil was suspended in Et$_2$O and 0.5 mL 2 M HCl in Et$_2$O was added after which the solvent was removed \textit{in vacuo} to afford fluoxetine hydrochloride as a colorless oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 9.66 (br s, 2H), 7.41 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H),
7.37 – 7.21 (m, 5H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.52 – 5.41 (m, 1H), 3.12 (br s, 2H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 2.56 – 2.40 (m, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.8, 139.2, 129.2, 128.6, 127.00 (q, $J_{C-F} = 3.7$ Hz), 125.9, 124.4 (q, $J_{C-F} = 271.2$ Hz), 123.41 (q, $J_{C-F} = 32.8$ Hz), 116.0, 77.1, 46.4, 34.8, 33.4. HRMS m/z calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{19}$F$_3$NO [M]$^+$ 310.1413, found 310.1422.

4.6.3 Cyclic Voltammetry

Synthesis of 4.5e in situ:

To a stirred 20°C solution of 50.0 mg (0.1464 mmol) triazine 4.5 in 5 mL CH$_3$CN (CD$_3$CN was used when obtaining NMR spectrum, page S78) was added 26 μL (0.29 mmol) triflic acid via gas-tight syringe. The light pink solution was allowed to stir for 20 hours in the absence of light. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$CN) δ 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.26 (s, 2H), 4.34 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s, 3H), 1.62 (m, 3H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H).

CV of 0.1 mM of 4.5e in acetonitrile was performed on an AUTOLAB PGSTAT302 as a scan rate of 0.1 V/s with Ag/Ag$^+$ reference electrode, Pt counter electrode and glassy carbon working electrode. See Figure 4.4. Electrodes were mechanically polished then cleaned with acetone. The electrolyte used was tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate at 0.1 M concentration. Observed $E^\circ$ of 4.5e vs Ag/Ag$^+$ was -0.42 V (-0.035 V measurement – 0.07 V ferrocene calibration offset). Ag/Ag$^+$ was converted to SCE by adding 0.30 V to the Ag/Ag$^+$ potential.$^{22}$
Figure 4.4 Cyclic Voltammetry of 4.5e

$E^0$ of 4.5e was found to be -0.12 V vs. SCE.

4.6.4 18O Labeling Experiment

To determine the source of oxygen incorporation at the generated hydroxyl position, a labeling experiment using 97% $^{18}$O water was performed. Standard reactions conditions were used with the replacement of HBF$_4$ by TFA and the replacement of H$_2$O by H$_2^{18}$O. $^{18}$O incorporation of 90% was estimated based on the relative abundance of the peaks at 283.0866 and 281.0776 (Figure 4.5, Mass Spectrum-18O Labeling Experiment). A correction was made to account for the peak at 283.0801 in the analysis of 4.5a prepared under standard conditions (Mass Spectrum-Standard Conditions). This experiment confirmed our hypothesis that water purposely added to the system was the primary source of oxygen for hydroxylation. The 7% difference between the added $^{18}$O labeled water and the determined incorporation is likely due to atmospheric water and/or water contained in the TFA used in the experiment.
HRMS (ESI+) for 6:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Ion Formula</th>
<th>Calc m/z</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Diff (ppm)</th>
<th>Abs Diff (ppm)</th>
<th>m/z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(M+Na)+</td>
<td>C12H18O4S</td>
<td>C12H18NaO4S</td>
<td>281.0818</td>
<td>97.85</td>
<td>258.0922</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>281.0814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mass Spectrum – Standard Conditions:

![Mass Spectrum – Standard Conditions](image1)

Mass Spectrum - $^{18}$O Labeling Experiment:

![Mass Spectrum - $^{18}$O Labeling Experiment](image2)

Peak list – Standard Conditions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m/z</th>
<th>Abund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>281.0814</td>
<td>11884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282.084</td>
<td>1424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283.0801</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peak list - $^{18}$O Labeling Experiment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m/z</th>
<th>Abund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>281.0776</td>
<td>1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282.0822</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283.0866</td>
<td>17007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.5 $^{18}$O labeling data
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APPENDIX B: NMR SPECTRA OF COMPOUNDS FOUND IN CHAPTER 1

Spectral data for compound (R)-hept-6-en-2-ol:

[Graphs showing NMR spectra for the compound (R)-hept-6-en-2-ol]
Spectral data for compound *(R)-oct-7-en-2-ol*:

![Spectral data for compound *(R)-oct-7-en-2-ol*](image-url)
Spectral data for compound 1.1, n=1:

KH-251-pur/1
KH-251-pur
AV400

KH-251-pur-13C/1
KH-251-pur-13C
AV400
Spectral data for compound 1.1, n=2:

KH-2-1-pur2/1
KH-2-1-pur2

KH-2-1-pur2-13C/1
KH-2-1-pur2
Spectral data for compound 1.1, n=3:
Spectral data for compound 1.1, n=4:
Spectral data for compound 1.4:
Spectral data for compound 1.5:

![Spectral data](image_url)
Spectral data for compound 1.2, n=1:

KH-7-1-pur2-32/1
KH-7-1-pur2-32

KH-7-1-pur2-13C/1
KH-7-1-pur2-13C
Spectral data for compound 1.2, n=2:
Spectral data for compound 1.2, n=3:
Spectral data for compound 1.2, n=4:

C9-methyl ester dibenzoate-JRR/I
C9-methyl ester dibenzoate-JRR

C9 methyl ester dibenzoate-13C/I
C9 methyl ester dibenzoate-13C
AV400
Spectral data for compound 1.3, n=1:
Spectral data for compound 1.3, n=2:
Spectral data for compound 1.3, n=3:

KH-331-pur/I
KH-331-pur
DPX250

KH-331-pur-13C/I
KH-331-pur-13C
DPX250
Spectral data for compound 1.3, n=4:
Spectral data for compound asc-ΔC6:
Spectral data for compound asc-∆C7:
Spectral data for compound asc-\(\Delta C8\):
Spectral data for compound asc-ΔC9:
Spectral data for compound asc-C6:
Spectral data for compound asc-C7:
Spectral data for compound asc-C8:
Spectral data for compound asc-C9:
Spectral data for compound 1.10, n=1:

KH-43-II-pur3
KH-43-II-pur3
Varian 500

KH-43-II-pur3-13C
H1 Observe
Spectral data for compound 1.10, n=2:
Spectral data for compound asc-C4:
Spectral data for compound asc-C5:
Spectral data for compound asc-C6-MK:
Spectral data for compound 1.6:
Spectral data for compound **IC-asc-C6-MK:**

KH-47-II-pur-hpic1/1
KH-47-II-pur-bplc1
DPX250
Spectral data for compound 1.7:
Spectral data for compound 1.8:
Spectral data for compound 1.9:
Spectral data for compound IC-asc-ΔC9:

KH-128-I-pur-hplc2/1
KH-128-I-pur-hplc2
AV400
APPENDIX C: NMR SPECTRA OF COMPOUNDS FOUND IN CHAPTER 3

Spectral data for compound 3.8:
Spectral data for compound 3.8a:
Spectral data for compound 3.9:
Spectral data for compound 3.11:
Spectral data for compound 3.12:
Spectral data for compound 3.5a:
Spectral data for compound 3.12:
$^{13}$C\{$^1$H} NMR - Gaussian vs. Experimental
DFT: B3LYP, 6-311g**
APPENDIX D: NMR SPECTRA OF COMPOUNDS FOUND IN CHAPTER 4

Spectral data for compound 4.5:
Spectral data for compound 4.10:

MS 23S (4) 1H, 1H, 13C
MS 23S (4) 13C
Spectral data for compound 4.12:
Spectral data for compound **4.13**:
Spectral data for compound **des-methyl-4.14**: 

![Spectral data graph for des-methyl-4.14](image-url)
Spectral data for compound 4.14:

LM-11-I-pur/1
LM-11-I-pur
Nanobay

LM-11-I-pur-13C/1
LM-11-I-pur-13C
AV400-Nanobay
Spectral data for compound **des-methyl-4.15**:
Spectral data for compound 4.15:
Spectral data for compound 4.16:
Spectral data for compound 4.17:
Spectral data for compound 4.18:
Spectral data for compound 4.5a:
Spectral data for compound 4.5c:
Spectral data for compound 4.6a:
Spectral data for compound 4.7a:
Spectral data for compound 4.10a:
Spectral data for compound 4.11a:

![Spectral Data for Compound 4.11a](image)

**Proton Spectrum**

**Carbon Spectrum**
Spectral data for compound 4.12a:
Spectral data for compound **4.13a**:
Spectral data for compound 4.14a:

LM-13-I-pur/1
LM-13-I-pur-carbon/1
LM-13-I-pur-carbon
Spectral data for compound 4.15a:
Spectral data for compound 4.16a:

KH-26-III-test/2
KH-26-III-test
AV500-Prodigy

OH 4.16a

KH-26-III-test-13C/1
KH-26-III-test-13C
AV500-Prodigy

OH 4.16a
Spectral data for compound 4.18a:
Spectral data for compound 4.5d:

KH-159A-II-pur-32-50/10
KH-159A-II-pur-32-50
Nanobay

KH-159A-II-test-13C/1
KH-159A-II-test-13C
AV400-Nanobay
Spectral data for compound **4.6d**:

![Spectral data graph](image-url)
Spectral data for compound 4.19:
Spectral data for **fluoxetine**:

KH-40-III-pur2-16/2
KH-40-III-pur2-16
AV500-Prodigy
Spectral data for fluoxetine HCl:

**fluoxetine HCl/1**
**fluoxetine HCl**
**AV400-Nanobay**

![Spectral graph](image)

**fluoxetine HCl 13C 2/1**
**fluoxetine HCl 13C 2**
**AV500-Prodigy**

![Spectral graph](image)
Spectral data for compound 4.5e:
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