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ABSTRACT

The North American Monsoon System (NAMS) is an important climate phenomenon that affects the southwestern United States during the warm season, most significantly in July and August. Climatic variability associated with the NAMS impacts a wide range of both physical and socio-economic systems in this region, with a broad range of stakeholders concentrated in the Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB) that includes portions of five southwestern states. This study examines variations in synoptic circulation patterns associated with the NAMS with respect to the LCRB. Using a manual classification, daily 500-mb geopotential height patterns were classified for monsoon seasons (July 1-September 15) from 1948-2008. Ten synoptic types were identified and their frequency and variability assessed. Rainfall patterns at four key sites within the LCRB were also examined for trends in both total seasonal rainfall and the frequency of extreme events, and average daily rainfall at each site was linked to each of the ten synoptic types. Results of this study show that the occurrence of synoptic-scale circulation patterns during the NAMS season has not been constant over time. In addition, the frequency of heavy precipitation events is increasing within the LCRB, and the occurrence of NAMS-related rainfall is not consistent among each of the synoptic types. The findings presented here may be used to reduce vulnerability within the LCRB, through an improved understanding of inter-annual and intra-annual variability of the NAMS that can inform forecasting applications and vulnerability assessments.
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction and overview of studies of the North American Monsoon System

Every year, the southwestern United States and northwestern Mexico are affected by a summer phenomenon known as the North American Monsoon System (NAMS). The NAMS is also referred to as the southwestern United States monsoon, the Arizona monsoon, or the Mexican monsoon (Douglas et al. 1993, Adams & Comrie 1997). A monsoon is defined as a seasonal reversal of winds, and the shift of winds from the west (winter) to south (summer) denotes the beginning of the NAMS circulation (Douglas et al. 1993, Grantz et al. 2007). The NAMS begins in Mexico and moves northward into Arizona and New Mexico affecting these areas most strongly in July and August (Diem & Brown 2006, Ray et al. 2007) with some locations receiving between 50%-70% of their annual rainfall totals during the monsoon season (Grantz et al. 2007). The largest overall precipitation amounts are received in July and August for the southwestern United States (Diem & Brown 2006).

Studies of the NAMS date back around 100 years (Adams & Comrie 1997), but early analyses were limited to lack of widespread data across the region. Interest regarding the NAMS began with the observation of summer thunderstorms in the Arizona and New Mexico region, and the simultaneous lack of precipitation in southern California (Bryson & Lowrey 1955). The onset of this summertime precipitation was explained by Bryson and Lowrey (1955) as the reversal of wind direction in the middle troposphere. A shift in winds from west to east along with horizontal advection explained the increased moisture transport into the region (Bryson & Lowrey 1955). Moisture surges were first examined by Bryson and Lowry (1957) because of their influence upon precipitation (Wu et al. 2009). The importance of lower
tropospheric moisture was indicated by Reitan (1957) through an analysis of the amount of precipitable water over Phoenix between the surface and 800mb (Douglas et al. 1993). Even though Reitan (1957) proved the presence of moisture in the lower troposphere, a debate in the literature occurred for many years concerning the roles of middle and lower tropospheric moisture (Douglas et al. 1993), although recent studies (e.g. Diem and Brown 2009; Ellis et. al 2004) observe the transport of moisture over the Sierra Madre Occidental serving as the primary contributor to middle tropospheric moisture.

Even though seasonal precipitation observations began in the early 1900s, they were not linked to large-scale phenomena such as the monsoon until later (Campbell 1906, Adams & Comrie 1997). Researchers examined the precipitation “normals” for the southwestern United States and discovered a bimodal distribution with peaks in winter and summer. This discovery spiked the interest of many researchers and the summer peak became better understood and attributed to convective thunderstorms that were an extension of the Mexican monsoon (Carleton 1987). These storms were noted for affecting Arizona specifically and most strongly between July 1- September 15 (Carleton 1987).

Many dynamical models have attempted to simulate the NAMS, including regional and global scale models, but these models experience many problems with prediction due to the highly varied terrain of the southwestern United States (Adams & Comrie 1997). Another problem in modeling efforts is the influence of mesoscale processes which are too finite for model prediction (Adams & Comrie 1997). Comrie and Glenn (1998) used a more statistical approach by dividing the United States and Mexico into “quasi-homogeneous areas” of precipitation. Principal components analysis was used to identify 9 regions within the primary
region defined as the “monsoon zone” (Comrie & Glenn 1998). Through the classification of this “monsoon zone,” precipitation and circulation patterns could be analyzed alongside each other, aiding in the identification of the components of interannual monsoon variability (Comrie & Glenn 1998). Another statistical study used manual classification of 500mb pressure patterns for the monsoon season (July 7-September 14) for 19 seasons (Diem & Brown 2009). The researchers linked the circulation patterns to the rainfall characteristics at four stations and also identified the influence of gulf surges on precipitation using platforms of near-surface humidity (Diem & Brown 2009). This influence was shown through creation of near-surface dew-point temperature anomalies created for each grouping of rainfall days, each synoptic type, and each group of synoptic type and rainfall days (Diem & Brown 2009).

In summer of 2004, a team of scientists from the United States, Mexico, and Central America performed a large field study to gain a better understanding of the NAMS. This project was called the North American Monsoon Experiment (NAME) and one major goal of this study was a better understanding of the mechanisms behind this warm season precipitation phenomenon (Higgins & Gochis 2007). Not only did these scientists seek to understand the forcing mechanisms, but they also strived to understand the process behind the monsoon through use of “ocean-atmosphere-land (O-A-L) models.” NAME was performed in the main area of the NAMS: northwestern Mexico, the southwestern United States, and surrounding ocean areas (Higgins & Gochis 2007). Benefits of this study included the data acquired through modeling, remote sensing, and in situ activities, and the various products that have been created including surface precipitation data and composite maps of atmospheric variables (Higgins & Gochis 2007).
1.2 NAMS Seasonal Development and Processes

One key factor in the development of the NAMS circulation is the formation of the thermal low, a surface feature that is located along the Colorado River valley near the Arizona/California border (Ellis et al. 2004). This feature is typically located over the lowland arid region from mid-June through mid-September and plays a large role in the low-level advection of moisture into the region from the Gulf of Mexico, Gulf of California, and the eastern Pacific (Diem & Brown 2006, Heinselman & Schultz 2006). Two key lowland areas are noted for their influence on the formation of the thermal low, the lower Colorado River basin (LCRB) and surrounding low desert areas (Adams & Comrie 1997). The formation of the thermal low causes displacement of the subtropical high pressure ridge. Numerous studies have noted that a northward displacement of the subtropical ridge leads to a wetter monsoon season, while a southward displacement leads to a drier season due to blocking of moisture transport into the region (Carleton 1987, Grantz et al. 2007). Carleton (1987) noted that a southward displacement of the subtropical ridge causes subsidence over the region, drier conditions, and southwesterly flow. Severe thunderstorms in central Arizona have been linked to northwestern and northeastern shifts in the location of the high pressure ridge (Diem & Brown 2009).

Moisture is provided to the monsoon system in the upper, middle, and lower troposphere, but the sources of moisture differ. The upper-level moisture is mainly from the Gulf of Mexico while the Gulf of California contributes moisture at middle and lower levels. Variability of monsoon-related precipitation is normally associated with “bursts” and “breaks” of moisture advection from the Gulf of California (Ellis et al. 2004). The flow in the upper
atmosphere controls both “bursts” and “breaks” that result in interannual and interseasonal monsoon variability (Carleton 1986). During the monsoon season, moisture is pumped into the region through “…northward surges of relatively cool, moist maritime air from the eastern tropical Pacific into the southwestern United States via the Gulf of California” (Higgins et al. 2004). These surges are referred to as gulf surges and they are the main transport of moisture from the Gulf of California (Higgins et al. 2004). Surges are common during the monsoon season, occurring on an average of three times a month (Diem & Brown 2006). Air is lifted over the Sierra Madre Occidental after being advected northward by low level jets and then mixed with other moist air from the Gulf of Mexico (Ellis et al. 2004). Airflow over the Sierra Madre Occidental is an important component in middle troposphere moisture (Diem & Brown 2006).

The beginning of the monsoon is not associated with a certain date on the calendar, but is generally noted as the switch from arid/dry spring conditions to a rainy summer. When the season ends around mid-September, the pattern reverses and switches back to arid/dry conditions. In 2008, the NWS established the first set of official dates for the NAMS: June 15-September 30. Ellis et al. (2004) created a method for defining the onset and demise of the monsoon on a regional scale through use of 193 stations and a 52-year data set to create composite maps of 700mb regional humidity. These maps were compared to a local method used by the Phoenix, AZ NWSFO and a criterion was created for establishing the onset and demise of the monsoon season (Ellis et al. 2004). From June to October, the first/last occurrence of three successive days of moist conditions on both the synoptic and temporal scales marks the beginning or end of the monsoon season (Ellis et al. 2004). Days that fall
inside the season are then termed “monsoon days” if they meet both the mean daily dewpoint threshold and precipitation occurrence threshold (Ellis et al. 2004).

McCabe and Clark (2006) noted a relationship between winter precipitation in the southwestern United States and summer monsoonal precipitation. An inverse relationship has been identified between precipitation of the two seasons, and winter precipitation can be helpful in predicting the magnitude of the summer monsoon. For example, an above-average monsoon is normally predicted when precipitation the previous winter is below-average. This trend has weakened over time and McCabe and Clark (2006) suggest a shift in the location of the monsoon anticyclone throughout time as the reason for decrease in the intensity of the connection. The connection was first noted in Arizona, but the strength of the relationship has since become more pronounced in New Mexico (McCabe & Clark 2006).

1.3 Teleconnections

Connections have been observed between the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern and movements in the monsoon subtropical ridge. The negative pattern of the PNA is normally followed by southward movement of the subtropical ridge, indicating the onset of a dry monsoon season (Carleton et al. 1990, Grantz et al. 2007). A positive phase of the PNA pattern promotes a wet monsoon season due to the northward placement of the subtropical high pressure system (Grantz et al. 2007) allowing moisture to advect into the region (Grantz et al. 2007). The enhancement of moisture surges during stronger phases of the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) has also been noted (Douglas et al. 1993, Higgins & Gochis 2007), and has been linked to the formation of gulf surges from the Gulf of California (Lorenz & Hartmann
Connections have also been observed between ENSO and the NAMS through fluctuations of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (Higgins & Shi 2001). Due to connections observed between winter precipitation and summertime monsoonal precipitation, the influence of ENSO during winter may have potential for long-lead predictive skill of monsoon variability (McCabe & Clark 2006).

1.4 Geography of the Lower Colorado River Basin

The Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB) is a 202,000 square mile area that is affected by the NAMS (Diem & Brown 2009). Portions of five states comprise the LCRB (Figure 1.1), which also includes three major metropolitan areas (Phoenix, AZ, Tucson, AZ, and Las Vegas, NV). Monsoon rainfall is one of the major sources of water, contributing more than 50% of annual rainfall (Ray et al. 2007). Population inside this region is growing rapidly, with a population increase of around 40% in Arizona in the 1990s (Ray et al. 2007). Inside the LCRB are many important water bodies, including the Colorado River, Lake Mead, Lake Powell, and the Colorado River aqueduct. The location of the LCRB is an ideal study area for the NAMS because as the monsoon rainfall moves northward from Mexico into the United States, moisture crosses the Sierra Madre Occidental and enters the LCRB. This basin encompasses most of the monsoon region inside the United States, but south-central Arizona experiences the strongest effects of the monsoon within the LCRB (Diem & Brown 2006). Also, this region is affected by most of the gulf surges that reach the United States (Diem & Brown 2009). The growth of these urban centers inside the LCRB may have some effects on precipitation, including the enhancement of storms downwind of major urban areas such as Phoenix, AZ (Diem & Brown 2003). Topography inside the LCRB changes greatly from east to west, with more mountainous
begins towards the New Mexico border giving way to low-level deserts on the western border with California.

Figure 1.1: This figure illustrates the LCRB. The actual basin is shown in blue with the encompassing states indicated in green. Also, major cities for which precipitation analysis is performed are indicated in bold font.
1.5 NAMS impacts and vulnerability in the LCRB

The NAMS causes broad and widespread socio-economic impacts inside the LCRB that affect ranchers, emergency management personnel, farmers, fire managers, and many other stakeholders. The monsoon is seen as beneficial by many because it comprises a large percentage of annual rainfall, providing drought relief to this arid climate region. However, one problem stakeholders have with relying on monsoonal precipitation is the interannual variability of the timing and magnitude of the monsoon and the lack of forecast skill for future seasons. For example, this problem is experienced by ranchers who rely on monsoon precipitation for their pastures as well as determining the size of their herd (Heinselman & Schultz 2006, Ray et al. 2007).

Even though the monsoon season brings needed precipitation, the monsoon also brings hazards (Ray et al. 2007). One of these hazards is wildfires (Ray et al. 2007), which are typically associated with the hot and dry conditions promoted by the initial development of the subtropical ridge in May and June. The number of fires in the region peaks one week before monsoon onset (Swetnam & Betancourt 1998, Ray et al. 2007), and even after the arrival of convective storms, lightning strikes can elevate the fire risk, especially during the beginning of the season when the ground is not saturated (Swetnam & Betancourt 1998, Ray et al. 2007). Maximum temperatures are noted for occurring prior to monsoon onset, and a delay in the monsoon may cause drought-like conditions to persist longer without the needed relief from the NAMS (Douglas et al. 1993, Ray et al. 2007). Inside urban areas in Arizona the peak annual ground water usage occurs during May and June, the months occurring prior to monsoon onset when strong subtropical ridging dominates but monsoonal moisture is not yet present (Ray et
The amount of water used per resident in Phoenix is more than used by a resident of any other location in the United States, and about three quarters of the amount is used for outdoor purposes (Balling et al. 2008). These large numbers add to the stress on the groundwater system, especially when the peak ground water usage occurs. A delayed monsoon season can therefore strain the groundwater supply system even more. Flash flooding is another hazard associated with the NAMS. These flash floods create many safety concerns due to erosion, high waters in heavily populated areas, and fast-flowing water in dry steam beds (Diem & Brown 2006). Other hazards associated with the NAMS include high winds, flash floods, and vector-borne disease transmission (e.g, West Nile Virus) (Ray et al. 2007).
CHAPTER 2- RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND THESIS STRUCTURE

2.1 Research Questions and Objectives

The variability of monsoon rainfall within the LCRB has many important implications, and an improved understanding of the atmospheric circulation features that control summer rainfall delivery to this region is needed. While many previous studies have examined the synoptic-scale features of NAMS circulation [Adams and Comrie 1997], none have focused exclusively on the variability of monsoon precipitation across the extent of the entire LCRB. In this study the linkage between NAMS precipitation in the LCRB and synoptic-scale circulation patterns is examined, to identify relationships between surface environmental conditions and atmospheric forcing mechanisms.

Using a synoptic climatology circulation-to-environment approach, the following primary research question is addressed:

How does atmospheric circulation variability affect monsoon rainfall in the Lower Colorado River Basin, USA?

To address this question, the research design is structured around three main objectives:

(1) identification of monsoonal synoptic circulation patterns for the LCRB region for the 1948-2008 time period;

(2) identification of monsoonal precipitation patterns for key locations within the LCRB for the 1948-2008 time period;
(3) linkage of precipitation patterns to circulation anomalies, both spatially and temporally.

2.2 Significance of Research

This research contributes significantly to the scientific understanding of the North American Monsoon System in several ways. Determination of key synoptic circulation types and the analysis of the intra- and inter-seasonal frequency allows for a better understanding of the position and movement of the monsoon anticyclone during the monsoon season. Subsequent linkage of synoptic type frequencies to precipitation anomalies at key locations within the LCRB further elucidated the important connections between atmospheric circulation and surface environmental conditions that are present during the warm season. Taken together, this research therefore couples the seasonal and interannual evolution of the NAMS with synoptic-scale circulation and surface environment responses. A more robust understanding of this coupling can potentially be used to inform scientific applications such as modeling studies and seasonal forecasting efforts.

In addition to the scientific impact of this study, a number of applied benefits are also possible. These include the aforementioned potential advances in seasonal forecasting, enhancements to short-term weather forecasting through improved knowledge of circulation-precipitation relationships, and elucidation of trends in both seasonal precipitation as well as extreme events that are useful to water, city, and emergency managers.

Overall, this study will not only contribute to existing research by identifying important monsoon characteristics and linking circulation patterns over time to resulting rainfall, but also
by improving the knowledge base of NAMS variability that can then be used to minimize the vulnerability of stakeholders inside the LCRB.

2.3 Structure of Thesis

This thesis is comprised of six main chapters. In Chapter 1, a general background about the NAMS is given, introducing its key features and synthesizing the progression of research about the NAMS over time. This chapter also summarizes the seasonal development of the monsoonal structure and the influence of teleconnections, and outlines the attributes and significance of the study region. Chapter 2 introduces the primary research question and key research objectives, and highlights the scientific and applied benefits of the study. In Chapter 3, a manual classification of synoptic-scale geopotential height patterns for monsoon seasons from 1948-2008 is presented, including the examination of temporal frequencies per type. In Chapter 4, an analysis of precipitation variability and trends at four cities within the LCRB, and the linkage of rainfall patterns to synoptic-scale circulation variability, is undertaken. Chapter 5 summarizes the key findings of the study, addresses potential scientific and applied implications, and suggests future research directions. Finally, Chapter 6 provides a list of references cited throughout the thesis.
CHAPTER 3- SYNOPTIC TYPING OF CIRCULATION ANOMALIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE NORTH AMERICAN MONSOON, 1948-2008

3.1 Introduction

The North American Monsoon System (NAMS) is an important aspect of the climate of the southwestern United States. The NAMS contributes most of the summer rainfall to this region, and overall a high portion of the yearly average. It is characterized by the formation of a thermal low pressure normally positioned over the Colorado Valley (Ellis et al. 2004). The formation of this feature causes the displacement of the subtropical high pressure ridge. Moisture sources for the NAMS include both the Gulf of California and Gulf of Mexico. The Gulf of California supplies moisture mainly at the low and middle levels of the atmosphere, primarily in the form of gulf surges (Higgins et al. 2004). Moisture is supplied to the higher levels of the atmosphere mainly from the Gulf of Mexico (Ellis et al. 2004). Socio-economic impacts resulting from the NAMS are widespread, and include hazards such as wildfires, drought, and lightning. Water management issues are also conditioned by precipitation delivery associated with the NAMS (Ray et al. 2007).

Synoptic-scale circulation variability exerts a primary control on monsoon season onset, duration, and intensity. The position of the subtropical high pressure is key to the duration and intensity of the monsoon season. A northward displaced anticyclone corresponds to a wetter monsoon season, while a southward displacement leads to a drier season due to blocking of moisture advection into the region (Carleton 1987, Grantz et al. 2007). Movements of the subtropical ridge have been linked to fluctuations in the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern. A negative PNA pattern normally denotes a southward displacement of the monsoon.
anticyclone leading to a drier monsoon season (Carleton et al. 1990, Grantz et al. 2007).
Conversely, a wetter monsoon season is predicted by a positive phase of the PNA pattern due
to the northward displacement of the monsoon anticyclone.

Several previous studies have examined synoptic-scale monsoon circulation variability
including Carleton (1986, 1987) and Diem and Brown (2009). However, no study has examined
circulation variations with respect to the LCRB directly. In addition, a full period classification of
daily geopotential height patterns, drawn from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis dataset (Kalnay et al.
1996), has not been undertaken. This study addresses the need for a circulation-to-
environment analysis of monsoonal variability over the LCRB, while also serving to create a new
dataset of manually classified, daily circulation patterns for the NAMS season.

The primary research question addressed in this study is therefore:

- What are the key synoptic circulation types associated with the NAMS, and how
  have they varied over time?

Three specific objectives were used to guide the research:

- Identify the key 500 mb geopotential height pattern during the NAMS season
  that correspond to the position of the monsoon anticyclone
- Manually classify, using a circulation-to-environment approach, daily 500mb
  geopotential height pattern for the period 1948-2008
- Analyze the intra- and inter-seasonal variability in synoptic type frequencies
3.2 Data and Methods

The time period used for this study is 1948-2008, with the monsoon season in each year considered to extend from July 1 to September 15. The 1948-2008 time period was chosen to correspond to the availability of NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis geopotential height data (Kalnay et al. 1996), thus allowing for a continuous daily classification of circulation variability for all monsoon season days since 1948. The July 1-September 15 monsoon season length was chosen to capture the core period of NAMS activity, and for consistency with previous studies. The monsoon season is therefore comprised of a total of 77 days in each year.

Daily circulation data were obtained from the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis dataset in the form of geopotential height composites at the 500mb level. This global dataset extends back to 1948, with data continuously available to the present on a daily basis (Kalnay et al. 1996). Geopotential height patterns at the 500 mb level are particularly useful in illustrating large-scale atmospheric conditions, such as ridging and troughing and the presence of tropical systems and mid latitude systems. For this study, the 500mb geopotential height patterns were used to capture variations in the position and intensity of the subtropical monsoon ridge.

A 500 mb geopotential height map was obtained for each of the July 1-September 15 monsoon seasons for the period 1948-2008. The contour interval of each map was set to 20 m, with a minimum of 5500 m and maximum of 6000 m to match the specifications of a previous synoptic classification performed by Diem and Brown (2009). In addition, the geographic boundaries of the domain were selected as 22.5 ° N to 50.0 ° N latitude and 92.5 ° W to 135.0 ° W longitude, similar to those used by Carleton (1986,1987).
Once all geopotential height maps had been obtained for the period of analysis, a manual synoptic classification (Yarnal et al. 2001) approach was used to identify key circulation patterns over the LCRB and variations in their frequency over time. This synoptic classification, also known as synoptic typing, was performed with a method similar to the one used by Diem and Brown (2009) focusing on the position of the monsoon anticyclone. As noted by other authors such as Higgins et al. (1998) and Higgins et al. (1999), this anticyclone is essential to the propagation of the monsoon across the southwestern United States. However, the typing scheme presented in this study enhances that of Diem and Brown (2009) through the creation of a more objective, two-step process.

First, the location of the 5880 m contour for each day was identified with respect to the LCRB, and classified as “north”, “central”, or “south”. In the classification scheme of Diem and Brown (2009), this particular geopotential height was present in each of their synoptic types, and can be used to effectively represent latitudinal variability of the monsoon ridge axis. If the 5880 m contour line was positioned north of Flagstaff, AZ the line was classified as north. The 5880 m contour was located between Flagstaff, AZ and Tucson, AZ on central days. Southern days were considered to be days where the 5880 m contour was positioned south Tucson, AZ.

After the latitudinal position of the 5880 m contour was classified, the second step of the manual classification was performed in which the placement of monsoon ridge axis with respect to the LCRB was established. The ridge axis was determined to be “west”, “central”, or “east” of the LCRB, which closely corresponds longitudinally to the state of Arizona. On “west” days the ridge axis was located to the west of the LCRB (Arizona) in California or offshore.
“Central” days were characterized by a ridge position over some portion of the LCRB (Arizona). On “east” days the ridge was located east of the LCRB (Arizona) in New Mexico or Texas. This two-step classification scheme thus resulted in each monsoon day’s 500mb geopotential height pattern being classified according to both latitudinal and longitudinal components.

Using the two-step classification, the key synoptic types associated with the NAMS were first determined for the period 2002-2006. The types were compared visually to those developed by Diem and Brown (2009) for the same time period to validate the typing approach, particularly via consistency in the positioning of the 5880 m height contour. A subsequent typing was then performed for the period 1989-2008, and the synoptic types were visually inspected to ensure between-types variations. After determining that the typing scheme was successful and appropriate, it was applied to the remaining 41 monsoon seasons, resulting in a 1948-2008 manual classification of daily monsoon season 500 mb geopotential height patterns.

Once the 61-year classification was complete, several analyses were used to identify intra- and inter-seasonal variations in the synoptic patterns. First, linear regression was used to calculate trends in each synoptic type over the 1948-2008 period to assess changes in type frequency over time. Next, the frequency of each synoptic type on specific calendar days (July 1, July 2, etc.) was determined, to identify changes in synoptic circulation characteristics associated with the seasonal evolution and decay of the monsoon anticyclone. Finally, a correlation matrix was utilized to assess relationships between synoptic types regarding their frequency over time, through calculation of a series of Pearson correlation coefficients.
3.3 Results and Discussion

Manual classification for the study period resulted in creation of ten synoptic types. After the synoptic types were established, a frequency analysis was performed on the types to gain a better understanding of the temporal trends in each type, both annually and daily.

3.3.1 Description of Synoptic Types

The manual classification resulted in the identification of ten synoptic types: North-west (NW) (Figure 3.1), North-central (NC) (Figure 3.2), North-east (NE) (Figure 3.3), Central-west (CW) (Figure 3.4), Central-central (CC) (Figure 3.5), Central-east (CE) (Figure 3.6), South-west (SW) (Figure 3.7), South-central (SC) (Figure 3.8), South-east (SE) (Figure 3.9), and Trough (T) (Figure 3.10). A total of 4,697 daily 500 mb geopotential height patterns were classified for the 1948-2008 period. Composite maps of each of the nine geographically-defined types are described in detail below. A tenth type, trough (T), was characterized by the presence of a trough of low pressure over or adjacent to the LCRB prohibiting the presence of the 5880m contour from influencing weather patterns within the LCRB. Type T occurred on 8.86% of monsoon days, while only 8.8% of the total monsoon days for the 1948-2008 period were categorized as unclassified, meaning they did not easily conform to any of the ten key synoptic types.
Figure 3.1: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type North-west (NW). The 5880 m contour is positioned north of the LCRB, while the ridge axis is situated to the west.

Figure 3.2: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type North-central (NC). The 5880 m contour is positioned north of the LCRB, while the ridge axis is centrally located.
Figure 3.3: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type North-east (NE). The 5880 m contour is positioned north of the LCRB, while the ridge axis is situated to the east.

Figure 3.4: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type Central-west (CW). The 5880 m contour is centrally over the LCRB, while the ridge axis is situated to the west.
Figure 3.5: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type Central-central (CC). The 5880 m contour is centrally over the LCRB, while the ridge axis is centrally located.

Figure 3.6: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type Central-east (CE). The 5880 m contour is centrally over the LCRB, while the ridge axis is situated to the east.
Figure 3.7: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type South-west (SW). The 5880 m contour is positioned south of the LCRB, while the ridge axis is situated to the west.

Figure 3.8: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type South-central (SC). The 5880 m contour is positioned south of the LCRB, while the ridge axis is centrally located.
Figure 3.9: 500 mb geopotential height composite map for synoptic type South-east (SW). The 5880 m contour is positioned south of the LCRB, while the ridge axis is situated to the east.

Figure 3.10: 500 mb geopotential height composite for synoptic type (T). All days exhibiting the presence of a trough axis over or adjacent to the LCRB were classified as type T.
The majority of the monsoon season was dominated by a northward displaced contour consistent with the influence of the monsoon ridge axis. Together, the three northern types (NW, NC, NE) comprised 63.98% of all monsoon season days classified from 1948-2008. Type NW, which occurred on 11.43% of all monsoon days, was characterized by a ridge of high pressure with the 5880 m contour positioned north of Flagstaff, AZ, and a westward positioned ridge axis. Type NC, occurring on 17.44% of monsoon days, was denoted by a northward displaced 5880 m contour and a centrally positioned ridge axis. Finally, type NC was characterized by a 5880 m contour located north of Flagstaff, AZ and a ridge axis located over Arizona. The most frequently occurring type, NC was observed on 35.11% of monsoon days during the study period.

Among the synoptic types positioned centrally with respect to latitude, type CW was denoted by a 5880 m contour positioned between Flagstaff, AZ and Tucson, AZ, with the strongest portion of the ridge axis lying west of the region. This type, CW, occurred only on 1.06% of all monsoon days. Occurring on 0.70% of monsoon days, type CC was characterized by both a centrally positioned 5880 m contour and ridge axis. Lastly, type CE has a centrally positioned 5880 m contour, and eastward shifted ridge axis, and occurred on 10.99% of monsoon days. In total, the three central synoptic types (CW, CC, CE) comprised only 12.75% of monsoon days that were classified.

Regarding types associated with a southward displaced 5880 m contour, type SW was characterized by a contour positioned south of Tucson, AZ and a ridge axis located west of Arizona. This type comprised only 1.00% of the monsoon days classified. Type SC was denoted by a southerly-positioned 5880 m contour and a central ridge axis. This type, SC,
occurs least of all synoptic types, with a frequency of just 0.53%. The last of these types, SE, is characterized by a 5880 m contour located south of Tucson, AZ and an easterly shifted ridge axis. Type SE occurred on 4.85% of all monsoon days. With a total of only 6.39% of all monsoon season days, types SW, SC, and SE represent the smallest number of typed monsoon days.

3.3.2 Trends in Type Frequencies

To gain a better understanding of the temporal variability of the ten synoptic types, trends in each type for the period 1948-2008 were examined. For each type, a linear regression was performed on the sum of events each year to establish statistical significance. As seen in Table 3.1, a range of significance values was present with the less frequently occurring types (central and southern) exhibiting more pronounced statistical changes over time than the more frequent northern types. Trends in the nine core types are described in detail below. For Type T, trough days, a slight decrease in frequency over the study period was present (Figure 3.11), but this trend is not statistically significant (table 3.1). In general, there is a large degree of interannual variability in the occurrence of type T days.

Among the northern synoptic types the frequency of type NW (figure 3.12) was characterized by greater by a greater interannual variability in the earlier portion of the period and reduced variability toward the middle and later years. Overall, however, no significant trend was present as indicated by the P-value of, 0.887, (Table 3.1). A decrease in the frequency of type NC (figure 3.13) was present during the 1970s and early 1980s, followed by a marked increase since the early 1990s, but no significant trend was evident in the overall
frequency of this types either (P-value=0.874). Similarly, the P-value for type NE was 0.887, indicating no significant long-term trend despite a fair amount of interannual variability (Figure 3.14). In sum, no statistically significant trends in frequency for the 1948-2008 period were evident in any northward positioned synoptic types.

Regarding the centrally positioned synoptic types, no statistically significant trend (P-value=0.720) was evident in the frequency of type CW (Figure 3.15), likely due in part to the relatively small number of events per year. In similar fashion, type CC (Figure 3.16) did not have a significant trend over time, with a P-value, of 0.866. However, there was a dramatic decrease throughout the study period in the frequency of type CE (Figure 3.17). This decreasing trend was significant at the 99% level (P-value less than 0.001).

Significant trends over time were also present in each of the southern synoptic types. Type SW (Figure 3.18) was characterized by an increase in frequency over time, with a trend significant at the 95% level (P-value=0.010). Type SC (Figure 3.19) exhibits a similar pattern over time and was also significant at the 95% level (P-value=0.008). Finally, among this group, the largest change in the number of events per year is evident in type SE (Figure 3.20). This increasing trend is again significant at the 95% confidence interval, with a P-value of 0.020. Although these three southern types occurred much more infrequently than most of the other synoptic types, their increase could be meaningful, as they typically coincide with a weakening of the monsoon ridge axis, potentially suggesting an earlier demise of the monsoon and/or shorter monsoon seasons in recent years.
Figure 3.11: Annual frequency of type Trough (T) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.

Table 3.1: P values per synoptic type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.12: Annual frequency of type North-west (NW) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.

Figure 3.13: Annual frequency of type North-central (NC) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.
Figure 3.14: Annual frequency of type North-east (NE) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15), for the period 1948-2008.

Figure 3.15: Annual frequency of type Central-west (CW) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.
Figure 3.16: Annual frequency of type Central-central (CC) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.

Figure 3.17: Annual frequency of type Central-east (CE) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.
Figure 3.18: Annual frequency of type South-west (SW) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.

Figure 3.19: Annual frequency of type South-central (SC) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.
Figure 3.20: Annual frequency of type South-east (SE) during the monsoon season (July 1-September 15) for the period 1948-2008.
3.3.3 Intra-seasonal (daily) type frequencies

In addition to trends in synoptic type frequencies over time, the frequency of each type on particular days within the monsoon season (July 1, July 2, etc.) was examined. The resulting analysis indicated a movement of the monsoon anticyclone from north to south over the course of the monsoon season (figure 3.21). During the early portion of the season (July-mid August), the monsoon region is typically affected by gulf surges from the Gulf of California as well as surges of moisture from the Gulf of Mexico. As seen in Figure 3.21, this portion of the monsoon season was consequently dominated by northern synoptic types, which allow moisture to advect into the region from the south. By the middle of August, central and southern synoptic types were observed more frequently, and by September there was a marked increase in trough frequency, corresponding to the end of the monsoon season and an increase in mid-latitude cyclone activity. This noticeable increase in the occurrence of trough events in late August/early September suggests the synoptic breakdown of the NAMS.

3.4 Conclusion

A synoptic climatology of 500 mb geopotential height patterns associated with the North American Monsoon System (NAMS) was undertaken for the period 1948-2008. The synoptic climatology was centered on the Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB), a large watershed that includes portions of five southwestern states and that contains a range of environmental and social systems that are impacted by, or dependent upon, warm season precipitation associated with the NAMS. In addition to a circulation-to-environment manual classification of daily geopotential height patterns, which incorporated an enhanced classification scheme
based on Diem and Brown (2009), intra- and inter-seasonal variations in synoptic type frequencies, and the co-variance of those frequencies, were also examined.

The manual classification of 500 mb geopotential height patterns, resulted in the identification of ten key synoptic types. The most frequently occurring type, NE, accounted for 35.11% of all monsoon days classified over the 1948-2008 period. Overall, days associated with a northerly positioned 5880 m geopotential height contour (types NW, NC, and NE) accounted for 63.98% of classified monsoon days. A linear regression analysis of trend in each synoptic type revealed a statistically significant increase in the frequency of type CE, while types, SC, and SE were characterized by statistically significant decreases in frequency. Northern synoptic types (NW, NC, and NE) tended to dominate the early part of the monsoon season (July-early August), with the central (CW, CC, and CE) and southern (SW, SC, and SE) types more common in later August and trough occurrence (T) most frequent in September. Several of the synoptic types were significantly correlated over time, suggesting some degree of co-variance in the increased (decreased) frequency of certain types.

Determination of key synoptic circulation types, development of a 61-year, daily classification of synoptic type occurrence, and analysis of intra- and inter-seasonal synoptic type frequency presented here allows for a better understanding of the position and movement of the monsoon anticyclone during the NAMS season. Going forward, the subsequent linkage of synoptic type frequencies to surface conditions within the LCRB – such as temperature, precipitation, streamflow, and wildfire ignition – will further elucidate the important connections between atmospheric circulation and environmental parameters that are important to communities in this region. Overall, this study contributes to an existing
knowledge base of NAMS research by highlighting the presence of synoptic-scale circulation variability both within and between monsoon seasons that can potentially be used to inform both scientific and stakeholder-driven applications.
Figure 3.21: Frequency of each synoptic type on each calendar day of the monsoon season, summed over period 1948-2008. A total of 61 monsoon season are included in the 1948-2008 period.

Table 3.5: Pearson coefficient (R) values for correlations between every pair of synoptic types. Statistically significant values are the 95% (*) and 99% (**) levels are shown in bold font.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>CW</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4- PRECIPITATION TRENDS IN THE LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN AND LINKAGE TO SYNOPTIC-SCALE CIRCULATION VARIABILITY

4.1 Introduction

Occurring every year, the North American Monsoon System (NAMS) begins in Mexico in early June migrating northward to affect the United States primarily in July and August. Some locations in the southwest United States, such as the Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB), receive over half of their total annual precipitation during the monsoon season (Ray et al. 2007). The onset of the NAMS brings a wide range of physical and socio-economic impacts to the LCRB, including: lightning strikes and wildfire ignition, severe storms, flash floods, and an increased risk of vector-borne disease transmission. The timing of the monsoon is particularly critical as this semiarid watershed relies upon the on the onset of the monsoon for agricultural applications and drought and wildfire relief.

The variability of monsoon rainfall within the LCRB has many important implications, and an understanding of the atmospheric circulation features that control summer rainfall delivery to this region is therefore crucial. While a number of previous studies have examined either variations in monsoonal precipitation or in synoptic-scale features of NAMS circulation (Adams & Comrie 1997, Higgins et al. 2004), none have focused on the variability of monsoon precipitation across the extent of the entire LCRB and its relation to synoptic-scale circulation. In a recent study (see Chapter 3), ten key 500 mb geopotential height patterns centered over the LCRB and associated with the NAMS season were identified, and their frequency and variability over the 1948-2008 period analyzed. Because precipitation in the LCRB during the warm season is closely linked to synoptic-scale features such as the position of the monsoon anticyclone and the occurrence of gulf surges. The establishment of a connection between
these ten synoptic types and precipitation in the LCRB could assist in forecasting efforts as well as assessments of vulnerability to precipitation-based hazards.

To assess variations in both precipitation within and circulation across the LCRB, the following research question is addressed in this study:

- How has monsoon rainfall within the Lower Colorado River Basin, USA varied over time, and how is that rainfall linked to synoptic-scale circulation patterns?

Three specific objectives were used to guide the research:

- Identify trends in total monsoon season precipitation for the 1948-2008 period at four key sites located within the LCRB
- Identify trends in the frequency of all-season heavy precipitation events for the 1948-2008 period, at the same four sites.
- Assess monsoon season precipitation characteristics at each of the four sites with respect to synoptic-scale circulation variability, utilizing the typing scheme developed in Chapter 3.

4.2 Data and Methods

Daily precipitation data were acquired from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) for 61 years, 1948-2008, for four key stations located within the LCRB: Flagstaff, AZ, Phoenix, AZ, Tucson, AZ and Las Vegas, NV. These four stations were chosen because they provide an approximate northwest-to-southeast transect across the LCRB, represent a variety of elevations as well as regions of differing topographic relief, and numerous stakeholder groups who are
impacted by NAMS activity reside in these cities. In instances where a daily precipitation value was missing, precipitation from a site in proximity was used as a replacement. The precipitation data for Flagstaff, AZ were primarily collected at Flagstaff Pullman Airport, with missing data days supplied from the Flagstaff WB station to establish a complete precipitation record for 1948-2008. Precipitation from the Flagstaff WB station was used for the period January 1948-March 1951 and then edited to comprise only the monsoon season. Complete data records were in place at the Phoenix, AZ site, Sky Harbor International Airport, and at the Tucson, AZ station, Tucson International Airport station. Finally, Las Vegas McCarran Airport served as the primary site for Las Vegas, NV. Data from the nearby Las Vegas WB station was substituted for 1948, which was missing in the McCarran record. This substitution completed the 1948-2008 continuous daily record.

For the first objective, identification of trends in total monsoon precipitation for the 1948-2008 period, all days except for July 1-September 15 were deleted from each city’s data record. The selection of a July 1-September 15 monsoon season is consistent with the synoptic typing analysis presented in Chapter 3, and serves to capture the core of the NAMS season in the southwestern United States. At each of the four sites, total precipitation for each monsoon season was calculated to assess trends over the 61-year study period. Using linear regression, the statistical significance of trends in total monsoon season precipitation was assessed at Las Vegas, Flagstaff, Phoenix, and Tucson.

To assess the second objective, trends in the frequency of heavy precipitation events over the same 1948-2008 period, time series of precipitation extremes were created for each of the study sites. The minimum amount of daily precipitation to be classified as a heavy event
was 0.50 inch at Phoenix, AZ and Las Vegas, NV and 1.00 inch at Flagstaff, AZ and Tucson, AZ. The truncation levels for heavy events at Flagstaff, AZ and Tucson, AZ were larger because the influence of topography (i.e., orographic lifting) and gulf surges (i.e., influx of moisture from the Gulf of California) allowing heavier precipitation events at the two sites, respectively. Heavy events for all season, not just the monsoon period of July1-September15, were extracted for each of the study sites. This was done to increase the number of observations used in the analysis as well as to determine, on a “first order” basis, if trends in the frequency of extreme events were present regardless of seasonality.

Each of the four heavy precipitation events series was assessed for conformity to the Poisson distribution. If the distribution is found through tests of equality of mean and variance, then analyses can be performed on the inter-arrival times between events, thus permitting an assessment of changes in the frequency of such events. The distribution relies on the assumption that the data counts are Poisson distributed (Keim & Cruise 1998), as well the assumption of equality of mean and variance. To prove the Poisson distribution is met, counts of each series must be calculated. After the counts are determined, the mean and variance of the annual counts are derived and divided to determine the R value. Using the chi-squared statistic, a rejection region is then established. Because 61 years of data were used in this study, an N=60 was calculated which established a rejection region of 1.24 using the chi square statistic. Once the reject region was derived, the ratio of the mean and variance for each series was compared to the rejection region. To accept the hypothesis that the data are Poisson distributed, the (mean/variance) ratio must be less than the rejection region.
To examine the recurrence of heavy precipitation events under the Poisson process, the mean and variance of the heavy event counts were examined. For Tucson, AZ the Poisson distribution was proven for the counts on the first attempt (table 4.1). For the other three sites, the mean and variance were not equal after the first attempt, requiring the truncation level to be raised by 0.10 inches. After the level was raised, the mean and variances were recalculated. On the first attempt at Las Vegas, NV the following values were calculated: mean=2.21, variance=2.84. The calculations were divided resulting in an R=1.28, but the rejection region was 1.24. In this example, because the R value was larger than the rejection region, the hypothesis of equal mean and variance was rejected. The truncation level had to be raised to 0.70 inches, to prove the Poisson process for Las Vegas, NV (Table 4.2). On the sixth run the Poisson distribution was proven for Phoenix, AZ and the series was raised to events over 1.0 inches (Table 4.3). This significant increase in the truncation level left only 56 events in the series as compared with the original 261 events. The Poisson process was not proved for Flagstaff, AZ. After raising the truncation level to 2.8 inches only 18 events were left in the series, and thus the process could not be proven. Due to these results, Flagstaff, AZ was omitted from further Poisson-based analysis and the inter-arrival times of heavy precipitation events were only calculated for the remaining stations.
Table 4.1: For Tucson, AZ the Poisson process was proven after the first run.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$</th>
<th>$R(\sigma^2/\text{mean})$</th>
<th>Reject region</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run1</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: For Las Vegas, NV the Poisson process was proven after the third run.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$</th>
<th>$R(\sigma^2/\text{mean})$</th>
<th>Reject region</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run1</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run2</td>
<td>&gt;0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run3</td>
<td>&gt;0.70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: For Phoenix, AZ the Poisson process was proven after the sixth run.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$</th>
<th>$R(\sigma^2/\text{mean})$</th>
<th>Reject region</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run1</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run2</td>
<td>&gt;0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run3</td>
<td>&gt;0.70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run4</td>
<td>&gt;0.80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run5</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run6</td>
<td>&gt;1.00</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>&lt;1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Once the Poisson process was proven for Las Vegas, NV, Phoenix, AZ, and Tucson, AZ, inter-arrival times were derived for the heavy precipitation events included in each station’s time series. Inter-arrival times are defined “as the time between successive events” (Keim & Cruise 1998). For each location inter-arrival times, were determined by calculating the number of days that passed between each pair of heavy precipitation events. In this study, events were “paired”, such that two events were grouped together to reduce variance during the calculation of inter-arrival times. Once the inter-arrival times between all pairs of heavy events were determined, the natural log of the sum of consecutive events was calculated at each of the four sites, and the resulting trend in the frequency of inter-arrival periods between heavy precipitation events was assessed for statistical significance.

For the final objective, the linkage of monsoon precipitation to synoptic circulation patterns, average daily rainfall was calculated at each of the four stations for each of the ten synoptic types identified in Chapter 3. For example, using all days in the 1948-2008 period classified as synoptic type North-west (NW), average precipitation was calculated for the same set of days at the Las Vegas, Flagstaff, Phoenix, and Tucson sites. Using a two-tailed Student’s t-test for unequal variances, differences in mean precipitation between synoptic types was determined at each location, to assess whether certain synoptic types produced significantly different rainfall amounts than others.

4.3 Results and Discussion

Each of the four stations was analyzed for trends in monsoonal precipitation for the 1948-2008 time period. None of the trends were significant at the 95% confidence level (Table
Monsoon season rainfall at Flagstaff, AZ (Figure 4.1) did not suggest a strong trend overall, but did exhibit marked rise in rainfall amounts in the late 1960s and early 1970s as well as in the mid to late 1980s. Interannual fluctuations dominated the observed record in Las Vegas, NV, but again, no statistically significant trend was present (Figure 4.2). The precipitation record for Phoenix, AZ (Figure 4.3) was also marked by interannual variability, with, the largest event over 8.0 inches, double the maximum event in Las Vegas. Again, though, the trend was not statistically significant. Lastly, the trend in the total monsoon precipitation for Tucson, AZ (figure 4.4), while also not significant, differs from those of the other three locations in that large events occurred during the 1950s and 1960s, followed by a decrease in large precipitation events in the late 1970s and 1980s.

Although trends in overall monsoon season precipitation were not statistically significant, a more thorough understanding of trends in extreme events were revealed through the analysis of inter-arrival times of heavy precipitation events at Las Vegas, NV, Phoenix, AZ, and Tucson, AZ. Inter-arrival times between heavy events for Phoenix, AZ (Figure 4.5) exhibited a decreasing trend, mainly because of the two larger times between events occurring during the earlier portion of the record. For inter-arrival times between events for Tucson, AZ (Figure 4.6) there was a weak decreasing trend, most likely due to the large amount of time between events in the earlier part of the series. The graph for Las Vegas, NV (Figure 4.7) was also characterized by a weak decreasing trend, likely influenced by the three successive high peak (large inter-arrival times) events in the earlier portion of the period and the larger spacing of heavy events later in the period. In total, the analysis of inter-arrival periods of heavy precipitation events at Las Vegas, NV, Phoenix, AZ, and Tucson, AZ stations showed an
increasing frequency of heavy events (decreasing inter-arrival periods) over the 1948-2008 period.

After the inter-arrival times were calculated, the natural log of the events was plotted as shown in Figure 4.8 for Phoenix, AZ. A linear regression was calculated for each of the sites to determine the significance of the trends. The strongest trend was present in the Las Vegas, NV data (Figure 4.9), a decreasing trend significant at the 90% level. This trend indicates a rise in more frequent heavy rainfall events at Las Vegas, NV over the 1948-2008 period. At Tucson, the trend was much weaker as shown in Figure 4.10, and not statistically significant. The same was true for Phoenix, AZ (Figure 4.8) which had the weakest trend overall.
Table 4.4: P-values for trends in total monsoon precipitation for each station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flagstaff</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.1: Trends in monsoon season precipitation at Flagstaff, AZ

Figure 4.2: Total monsoon season precipitation at Las Vegas, NV.
Figure 4.3: Total monsoon season precipitation at Phoenix, AZ

Figure 4.4: Total monsoon season precipitation at Tucson, AZ
Figure 4.5: Inter-arrival times between events at Phoenix, AZ from 1948-2008. A total of 56 events were used to generate this series.

Figure 4.6: Inter-arrival times between events at Tucson, AZ from 1948-2008. A total of 109 events were used to generate this series.
Figure 4.7: Inter-arrival times between events at Las Vegas, NV from 1948-2008. A total of 66 events were used to generate this series.

Figure 4.8: The natural log of inter-arrival times between paired events for Phoenix, AZ.
Figure 4.9: The natural log of inter-arrival times of paired events for Las Vegas, NV.

Figure 4.9: The natural log of inter-arrival times between paired events for Tucson, AZ.
To determine precipitation variability among the synoptic types, Student’s t-tests were performed on the average daily rainfall for each synoptic type and at each of the four locations. At Flagstaff, AZ, the most frequently significant differences in rainfall totals were found between Central-central (CC) days and South-west (SW) days, many of which were significant at the 99% level (Table 4.5). This suggests that there is a marked difference in precipitation in Flagstaff when the monsoon ridge is directly positioned over the LCRB as opposed to when it is located southwest of the region. A similar pattern held true for Phoenix, AZ (Table 4.6) where CC and SW were most consistently significantly distinct. For Las Vegas, NV (Table 4.7), the most pronounced differences among synoptic type-rainfall patterns were only slightly altered, with Central-west (CW) days differing most significantly from South-central (SC) as well as SC days. This suggests a similar synoptic impact on precipitation as compared to both Flagstaff and Phoenix. Finally, the fewest differences in precipitation among synoptic types were found in Tucson (Table 4.8). Only the South-east (SE) type exhibited statistically meaningful distinctions with other synoptic types, including North-west (NW), North-central (NC), and Central-east (CE). The more pronounced role of gulf surges in this Tucson area may be masking larger synoptic-scale variations in precipitation.
Table 4.5: Student's t-test significant t for average daily precipitation between synoptic types for Flagstaff, AZ. Statistically significant results are indicated in bold; those at the 95% level are denoted by one asterisk (*) and those at the 99% level are denoted by two asterisks (**).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>CW</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6: Student's t-test matrix for average daily precipitation between synoptic types for Phoenix, AZ. Statistically significant results are indicated in bold; those at the 95% level are denoted by one asterisk (*) and those at the 99% level are denoted by two asterisks (**).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>CW</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.7: Student’s t-test matrix for average daily precipitation between synoptic types for Las Vegas, NV. Statically significant results are indicated in bold; those at the 95% level are denoted by one asterisk (*) and those at the 99% level are denoted by two asterisks (**).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>CW</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.0**</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| U   | 0.72| 0.20| 0.61| 0.0**| 0.48| 0.51| 0.0**| 0.0**| 0.08| 0.13| x  

Table 4.8: Student’s t-test matrix for average daily precipitation between synoptic types for Tucson, AZ. Statically significant results are indicated in bold; those at the 95% level are denoted by one asterisk (*) and those at the 99% level are denoted by two asterisks (**).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>NE</th>
<th>CW</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Conclusion

An analysis of trends in North American Monsoon System (NAMS) and all-season precipitation at four key locations within the Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB) was undertaken for the period 1948-2008. The study included an assessment of trends in total NAMS season precipitation at Las Vegas, NV, Flagstaff, AZ, Phoenix, AZ, and Tucson, AZ. In addition, the recurrence of heavy precipitation events, using a Poisson-based inter-arrival period approach, was analyzed for all seasons. Finally average daily monsoonal precipitation at the four locations was linked to dominant patterns of 500 mb geopotential height variability, using a synoptic typing developed in Chapter 3.

Results of the study revealed increasing frequencies of heavy precipitation events at all four locations, with the most statistically significant occurring at Las Vegas, NV. These increased frequencies are distinct from the overall trends in monsoon season precipitation specifically, which were not statistically significant at any of the four study sites. At each location, differences in average daily monsoon season precipitation were present among the varying synoptic types, with the most frequent differences occurring between days of regionally-centered monsoon ridging (e.g., type CC) and days where the ridge was more northerly (e.g., NW, NC) or southerly (SC, SW). The synoptic influence on precipitation appeared to increase with latitude, suggesting that the precipitation regime over southern Arizona (i.e., Tucson) is potentially more responsive to mesoscale NAMS processes such as gulf surges.

The variability of precipitation, particularly that associated with the NAMS, impacts many stakeholders within the LCRB. An assessment of variability associated with both
monsoonal and extreme precipitation events could potentially reduce vulnerability among these stakeholders, with regards to adaption and mitigation efforts to flash flooding, seasonal water shortages, and other physical and socioeconomic hazards. Knowledge of the typical distribution of precipitation based on synoptic-scale circulation variability could assist in forecasting efforts during the NAMS, thereby also decreasing vulnerability. More research is needed to further elucidate the synoptic-scale impacts on precipitation within the LCRB, and highlight additional variability and change in precipitation extremes.
CHAPTER 5- CONCLUSIONS

The southwestern United States relies on the North American Monsoon System (NAMS) for relief from dry/arid conditions. The beginning of the NAMS circulation is denoted by a shift of winds from west (winter) to south (summer) (Grantz et al. 2007). Beginning in Mexico, the NAMS moves northward into Arizona and New Mexico affecting these areas most strongly in July and August (Ray et al. 2007) with some locations receiving between 50%-70% of their annual rainfall totals during the monsoon season (Grantz et al. 2007). During July and August, the largest overall precipitation amounts are received in the southwestern United States (Diem & Brown 2006).

During the monsoon season, moisture is pumped into the region through “...northward surges of relatively cool, moist maritime air from the eastern tropical Pacific into the southwestern United States via the Gulf of California” (Higgins et al. 2004). These surges of moist air are termed gulf surges, serving as the main transport of moisture from the Gulf of California (Higgins et al. 2004). The number and strength of the gulf surges strongly influences the variability of the NAMS. The Gulf of Mexico serves as the primary source of upper-level moisture to the region.

The development of the thermal low pressure is a significant part of the NAMS circulation. Playing a large role in the advection of moisture into the region, this feature is normally located along the lowland region from mid-June until mid-September (Diem & Brown 2006, Heinselman & Schultz 2006). The LCRB is noted particularly for influencing the formation of the thermal low. Development of this low pressure causes the displacement of the
subtropical high pressure ridge. As noted by many researchers, a northward displacement of the subtropical ridge allows for a wetter monsoon season while a southward displacement blocks moisture advection causing a drier season.

The study area selected for this study was a watershed strongly impacted by the NAMS: the Lower Colorado River Basin (LCRB). In this basin, the monsoon is essential source of rainfall and water, but also brings many hazards. These include wildfire, drought, lightning, high winds, flash floods, and ground water issues. In order to reduce to vulnerability of stakeholders inside the LCRB, this study attempted to gain a better understanding of intra-annual and interannual variations associated with the NAMS.

These variations were examined through a manual classification or synoptic typing of July 1-September 15 circulation patterns for the 1948-2008 period. This method used a two-step approach, first identifying the location of the 5800 m geopotential height contour in relation to the LCRB and second identifying the position of the monsoon ridge with respect to the LCRB. This classification resulted in the identification of 10 key synoptic types: North-west (NW), North-central (NC), North-east (NE), Central-west (CW), Central-central (CC), Central-east (CE), South-west (SW), South-central (SC), South-east (SE), and Trough (T).

The majority (63.98%) of all the monsoonal days classified were dominated by the three northern types (NW, NC, NE). The largest portion of this percentage is comprised by type NE, the most frequently occurring of all synoptic types. Central types (CW, CC, CE) totaled 12.75 % of all days classified, with type CE comprising 10.99% of this total. Occurring least frequently, the southern synoptic types (SW, SC, SE), represented only 6.39% of all monsoon season days.
To gain a better understanding temporal variability associated with the NAMS, trends in type frequency were examined for the period 1948-2008. To establish statistical significance, a linear regression was also performed on the sum of events per year. For the northern types (NW, NC, NE) variability is observed throughout the period, but no statistically significant trends emerged. Unlike the northern types, the central types (CW, CC, CE) did indicate temporal trends in type occurrence, with a statistically significant and decreasing trend associated with type CE. This decreasing trend may suggest a westward movement of the ridge axis over time. The southern types (SW, SC, SE) also exhibited statistically significant trends over the 1948-2008 period. Types SW and SC increased in frequency throughout the period, significant at the 95% level. An even more pronounced increasing trend was observed in type SE, which was also significant at the 95% level. This increase in frequency for all southern synoptic types could indicate a change in monsoonal patterns. A southward displacement of the subtropical ridge normally denotes a drier monsoon season due to a weaker monsoon ridge axis. This could then lead to shorter monsoon seasons.

In addition, trends in types in frequencies, the occurrence of each type on particular monsoon calendar day was also examined. This analysis indicated a movement of the monsoon anticyclone from north to south throughout the season. During the early portion of the monsoon season, northern types dominate. Central and southern synoptic types become more frequent by mid-August, and by September the trough type dominates. This evolution generally corresponds to the decay of the monsoon overtime and an increase in mid-latitude cyclone activity.
A correlation matrix was generated to examine the co-variance of the synoptic types throughout the 1948-2008 period. Resulting correlations were largely inverse, revealing that an increase in a certain synoptic type over the period is strongly correlated to a decrease in the other type. Inverse relationships among northern and central synoptic types were especially strong, with several being significant at the 95% level.

Independent of the synoptic typing, precipitation trends were examined at four stations within the LCRB (Flagstaff, AZ, Las Vegas, NV, Phoenix, AZ, and Tucson, AZ), providing a northwest-to-southeast transect of the watershed and capturing the locations of many key stakeholder groups. Trends were first examined for total monsoon season precipitation at each of the stations, and linear regression was used to establish the statistical significance of the trends. This analysis did not reveal any statistically significant trends at any of the sites.

The frequency of heavy precipitation events over the 1948-2008 period was also examined, to establish trends in extreme events. An assessment of inter-arrival periods revealed an increasing frequency of extreme precipitation events trend throughout the study period. The strongest and only statistically significant increase was observed at Las Vegas, NV.

Lastly, monsoon precipitation was linked to synoptic circulation patterns through the calculation of daily average precipitation at each LCRB location with respect to synoptic type. A Student’s t-test was used to assess differences in mean precipitation between the different synoptic types. The precipitation analysis revealed stronger variations in precipitation between synoptic types in the northern portion of the LCRB.
Results of this study show that the occurrence of synoptic-scale circulation patterns during the NAMS season has not been constant over time. In addition, the frequency of heavy precipitation events is increasing within the LCRB, and the occurrence of NAMS-related rainfall is not consistent among each of the synoptic types. The findings presented here may be used to reduce stakeholder vulnerability within the LCRB, through an improved understanding of inter-annual and intra-annual variability of the NAMS that can inform forecasting applications and vulnerability assessments.
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