

12-1-2009

Three-way tiling sets in two dimensions

David R. Larson
Texas A&M University

Peter Massopust
Technical University of Munich

Gestur Ólafsson
Louisiana State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/mathematics_pubs

Recommended Citation

Larson, D., Massopust, P., & Ólafsson, G. (2009). Three-way tiling sets in two dimensions. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 108 (3), 529-546. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10440-008-9424-y>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact ir@lsu.edu.

THREE-WAY TILING SETS IN TWO DIMENSIONS

DAVID LARSON, PETER MASSOPUST, AND GESTUR ÓLAFSSON

ABSTRACT. In this article we show that there exist measurable sets $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with finite measure that tile \mathbb{R}^2 in a measurable way under the action of an expansive matrix A , an affine Weyl group $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$, and a full rank lattice $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. This note is follow-up research to the earlier article "Coxeter groups and wavelet sets" by the first and second authors, and is also relevant to the earlier article "Coxeter groups, wavelets, multiresolution and sampling" by M. Dobrescu and the third author. After writing these two articles, the three authors participated in a workshop at the Banff Center on "Operator methods in fractal analysis, wavelets and dynamical systems," December 2 – 7, 2006, organized by O. Bratteli, P. Jorgensen, D. Kribs, G. Ólafsson, and S. Silvestrov, and discussed the interrelationships and differences between the articles, and worked on two open problems posed in the Larson-Massopust article. We solved part of Problem 2, including a surprising positive solution to a conjecture that was raised, and we present our results in this article.

INTRODUCTION

This article could well have been entitled "dual wavelet sets", but we felt that the three-way tiling property was the important feature to emphasize in the title. It concerns measurable sets which are simultaneously dilation-translation and dilation-reflection wavelet sets, whose existence was shown in [LM06], and whose classification has hardly begun. This represents follow-up research to the article [LM06] and-in a different way-is related to the article [DÓ05, DÓ06].

In the article [LM06], section 7, the authors show that if $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is an expansive matrix and $\mathcal{D} = \{A^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \rtimes \Gamma$ an affine Weyl group, then there exists a measurable set W of finite measure such that $\{gW \mid g \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and $\{wW \mid w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}\}$ both form measurable tilings of \mathbb{R}^n , and moreover such two-way tiling sets always exist if A is expansive. These were called $(\mathcal{D}, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}})$ -*dilation-reflection wavelet sets*. On the other hand, it is well known that if $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a full rank lattice, i.e., a co-compact discrete subgroup of \mathbb{R}^n , then a measurable set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $(\mathcal{D}, \widetilde{\Gamma})$ -*dilation-translation wavelet set*, in the usual sense, if and only if $\{A^n W \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{W + \gamma \mid \gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}\}$ both form measurable tilings of \mathbb{R}^n . It was shown in [DLS97] that $(\mathcal{D}, \widetilde{\Gamma})$ -dilation-translation wavelet sets always exist. So

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20F55, 28A80, 42C40, 51F15; Secondary 46E25, 65T60.

Key words and phrases. Affine Weyl groups, tilings, wavelet sets.

The research of the first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0139386 and of the third author by NSF grant DMS-0402068. The research of the second author was partially supported by the grant MEXT-CT-2004-013477, Acronym MAMEBIA, of the European Commission. The essential part of this research was accomplished while all three authors participated in the Banff workshop on "Operator methods in fractal analysis, wavelets and dynamical systems," December 2 – 7, 2006.

Theorem 7.4 of [LM06] completely generalizes Corollary 1 of [DLS97] to the case where an arbitrary affine Weyl group replaces the arbitrary translation group in the theorem.

In Chapter 8 of [LM06], the authors noted that two examples given of dilation-reflection wavelet sets were actually "three-way tiling sets" in the sense that they tiled \mathbb{R}^2 under three groups: dilation, reflection, and translation. In other words, they were both dilation-translation wavelet sets in the traditional sense and also dilation-reflection wavelet sets, for the same dilation group but with a reflection group (i.e., an affine Weyl group) replacing the usual translation group. In fact these sets were known dyadic wavelet sets in the plane: the so-called "wedding cake set" and "four-corners set" given in [DL97]. Not only did they tile the plane under dilation by $2I$ and translation by 2π along the $x - y$ coordinate axes, but they also tiled the plane under an affine Weyl group whose corresponding foldable figure was a square.

Questions were then raised as to whether three-way-tilers were rare or common. Our results in the present paper indicate that they are more common than we expected. However, the dependence of the full rank lattice (the translation group) on the foldable figure (and hence the affine Weyl group) cannot be completely removed. A simple restriction is that a cell for the lattice must have the same Lebesgue measure as that of the foldable figure. The question is whether this is the only restriction or whether it is also necessary that the intersection of the lattice with the affine Weyl group is also a full rank lattice.

We will prove that given any foldable figure C in the plane containing the origin 0 in its interior, and given any expansive dilation matrix A , there is a full rank lattice $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ which depends on C but not on A , such that a measurable set W exists, which tiles \mathbb{R}^2 under each of the groups $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{D} = \{A^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \rtimes \Gamma$, where the latter is the affine Weyl group determined by the reflections about the bounding hyperplanes of C .

By applying Proposition 8.1 from [LM06] (see Proposition 3.1 below), the proof reduces to showing that given such a C , there is a full rank lattice $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \rtimes \Gamma$ which has a lattice cell congruent to C under the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \rtimes \Gamma$ (see definition of congruence below), such that the intersection of $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the affine Weyl group $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \rtimes \Gamma$ is itself a full rank lattice.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we give a short exposition of wavelets and wavelet sets. We also discuss some relations to finite Coxeter groups and affine Weyl groups. Standard reference for wavelet sets are the articles [DLS97, DLS98, ÓS05, W02] and for the connection with finite and affine Weyl groups [LM06, DÓ05, DÓ06].

1.1. Translation-dilation wavelet sets. The n -dimensional Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i \langle s, t \rangle} f(t) dm(t)$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here m is the product Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product. The Fourier transform extends to a unitary isomorphism of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto itself.

For $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the inverse of the Fourier transform is given by

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}g)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i \langle s, t \rangle} g(s) dm$$

Let A be an expansive $n \times n$ real matrix (i.e., all eigenvalues of A have absolute value > 1). (See ([LM06], Remark 2.1 for six equivalent definitions of congruence.) Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be a full rank lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . Equivalently, there exists a basis (not-necessarily orthogonal) $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ for \mathbb{R}^n such that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the group of translations by vectors from the group $\{\sum k_i b_i \mid k_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For convenience, let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ also denote this set of vectors, i.e., we identify $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and the subset of \mathbb{R}^n given by $\tilde{\Gamma} \cdot 0$. By a *dilation - A, translation $\tilde{\Gamma}$ orthonormal (single) wavelet* we mean a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \left\{ |\det(A)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \psi(A^n t - \gamma) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma} \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Denote by χ_E the indicator function of a measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then E is a *wavelet set* for A and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ if

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m(E)}} \chi_E \right)$$

is an orthonormal wavelet for A and $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

Definition 1.1. ([DL97],[DLS97]) *Let G be a discrete group acting on a measure space (M, μ) and let $\Omega, \Sigma \subset M$ be μ -measurable. Then Ω and Σ are G -congruent if there exists a subset $I \subset G$, a μ -measurable partition $\{\Omega_g\}_{g \in I}$ of Ω , and a μ -measurable partition $\{\Sigma_g\}_{g \in I}$ of Σ such that $g\Omega_g = \Sigma_g$.*

It is obvious that if Ω and Σ are G -congruent, then Ω is a μ -measurable G -tile of (M, μ) (i.e., a fundamental domain for G) if and only if Σ is a μ -measurable G -tile for (M, μ) . Moreover, two different G -tiles must be G -congruent. If G is a group of measure-preserving transformations then G -congruence preserves measures of sets. So for such a group, all G -tiles (if any exist) must have the same measure. For a group which does not consist of measure-preserving transformations, such as a dilation group on \mathbb{R}^n , G -congruence can change measures of sets, and G -tiles can have widely differing measures.

A theorem from [DLS97] states that a measurable subset W of \mathbb{R}^n is a dilation- A , translation- $\tilde{\Gamma}$, wavelet set if and only if W tiles \mathbb{R}^n under both the dilation group $\mathcal{D} = \{(A^T)^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the translation group

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^* = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (\forall \sigma \in \tilde{\Gamma}) \langle \gamma, \sigma \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

which is also a full rank lattice, *the dual lattice* of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. This was first proven for the case $n = 1$ in [DL97], and extended to \mathbb{R}^n in [DLS97] together with a proof that such wavelet sets always exist for expansive dilations. It is worth mentioning here that, under the Fourier transform the translation by $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ is transformed into modulation by $e^{2\pi i \langle \gamma, \cdot \rangle}$. It was first proved by Fuglede in [F74], see below, that a measurable set W tiles \mathbb{R}^n under $\tilde{\Gamma}^*$ if and only if $\{m(W)^{-1/2} e^{2\pi i \langle \sigma, \cdot \rangle} \mid \sigma \in \tilde{\Gamma}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(W)$, which, together with the tiling property under \mathcal{D} is needed to construct an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Fuglede [F74]). *Assume that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a lattice. Then $L^2(E)$ has an orthogonal basis consisting of exponentials if and only if $\{E + t \mid t \in \tilde{\Gamma}^*\}$ is a measurable tiling of \mathbb{R}^n .*

This result and several examples led Fuglede to conjecture, cf. [F74]:

Conjecture 1.1 (The Spectral-Tile Conjecture). *Let E be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then $L^2(E)$ has an orthogonal basis consisting of exponentials if and only if it is an additive tile for some discrete subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Several people worked on this conjecture and derived important results and validated the conjecture for some special cases, see [IKT99, JP98, LW97, W02] and the references therein. However, in 2003, Tao [T04] showed that the conjecture is false in dimension 5 and higher if the lattice hypothesis is dropped. The other direction was disproved by Kolountzakis and Matolcsi in [KM04]. But even now, after the Spectral-Tiling conjecture has been proven to fail in higher dimensions, it is still interesting and important to understand better the connection between spectral properties and tiling in particular, because of the connection to wavelet sets.

1.2. Finite and affine Weyl groups. In order to proceed, a short excursion into the theory of Coxeter and Weyl groups as well as foldable figures is necessary. The interested reader is referred to [Bo02, C73, GB85, Gu06, H90, HW88] for more details and proofs.

1.2.1. Coxeter groups.

Definition 1.2. *A Coxeter group \mathcal{C} is a discrete groups with a finite number of generators $\{r_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ satisfying*

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle r_1, \dots, r_k \mid (r_i r_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq k \rangle$$

where $m_{ii} = 1$, for all i , and $m_{ij} \geq 2$, for all $i \neq j$. ($m_{ij} = \infty$ is used to indicate that no relation exists.)

A geometric representation of a Coxeter group is given by considering it as a subgroup of $GL(V)$, where V is a k -dimensional real vector space, which we take to be \mathbb{R}^k endowed with the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. In this representation, the generators are interpreted in the following way.

A reflection about a linear hyperplane H is defined as a linear mapping $\rho : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\rho|_H = \text{id}_H$ and $\rho(x) = -x$, if $x \in H^\perp$. Thus, ρ is an isometric isomorphism of V of order two such that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue -1 is one.

Now suppose that $0 \neq r \in H^\perp$. Define

$$(2) \quad r^\vee := \frac{2}{\langle r, r \rangle} r.$$

Then an easy computation shows that

$$(3) \quad \rho_r(x) = x - \langle x, r^\vee \rangle r = x - \langle x, r \rangle r^\vee$$

is the reflection about the hyperplane H perpendicular to r .

If $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a finite set such that the group $\langle \rho_r \mid r \in R \rangle$ generated by the reflection ρ_r , $r \in R$, is finite, then it is a finite Coxeter group. In particular this is the case if R is a root system.

1.2.2. *Roots systems and Weyl groups.* The normal vectors to a set of hyperplanes play an important role in the representation theory for Coxeter groups. We have seen above that they correspond to the generators of such groups. Two such normal vectors, $\pm r$, that are orthogonal to a hyperplane are called *roots*.

Definition 1.3. A root system R is a finite set of nonzero vectors $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

- (1) $\mathbb{R}^n = \text{span}\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$
- (2) $r, \alpha r \in R$ if and only if $\alpha = \pm 1$
- (3) $\forall r \in R: \rho_r(R) = R$, i.e., the root system R is closed with respect to the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to r .
- (4) $\forall r, s \in R: \langle s, r^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that (4) is a strong restriction on $\theta = \angle(r, s)$, the angle between r and s . It implies that

$$4 \cos(\theta)^2 = 4 \frac{\langle r, s \rangle^2}{\|r\|^2 \|s\|^2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Assume that R is a root system. Then the group generated by $\rho_r, r \in R$, is a finite Coxeter group. It is called the *Weyl Group* \mathcal{W} of R .

A subset $R^+ \subset R$ is called a set of *positive roots* if $R^+ + R^+ \subseteq R^+$, $R^+ \cap (-R^+) = \emptyset$ and $R = R^+ \cup -R^+$. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\langle r, v \rangle \neq 0$ for all $r \in R$. Then the set $R^+ = \{r \in R \mid \langle r, v \rangle > 0\}$ is a positive system and all positive system can be constructed in this way. Roots that are not positive are called *negative*.

Example 1.1. A simple example of a Weyl group in \mathbb{R}^2 is given by the root system depicted in Figure 1. The roots are $r_1 = -r_3 = (1, 0)^\top$ and $r_2 = -r_4 = (0, 1)^\top$. The positive roots are r_1 and r_2 . The group of reflections generated by these four roots is given by

$$V_4 := \langle \rho_1, \rho_2 \mid \rho_1^2 = \rho_2^2 = 1, (\rho_1 \rho_2)^2 = 1 \rangle,$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 denotes the reflection about the y -, respectively, x -axis. This group is commutative and called Klein's four-group or the group of order four. In the classification scheme of Weyl groups V_4 is referred to as $A_1 \times A_1$ since it is the direct product of the group $A_1 := \langle \rho_1 \mid \rho_1^2 = 1 \rangle$ whose root system is $R = \{r_1, r_3\}$ with itself.




FIGURE 1. The root system for Klein's four-group.

For the following, we need some properties of roots systems and Weyl groups, which we state in a theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let R be a root system and \mathcal{W} the associated Weyl group. Then the following hold.

- (1) Every root system R has a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i\}$ consisting of positive (negative) roots.
- (2) Let $C_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, b_i \rangle > 0\}$ be the Weyl chamber corresponding to the basis \mathcal{B} . Then the Weyl group \mathcal{W} acts simply transitively on the Weyl chambers.
- (3) The set $C := \overline{\bigcap_i C_i}$ is a noncompact fundamental domain for the Weyl group \mathcal{W} . It is a simplicial cone, hence convex and connected.

In order to introduce foldable figures below, we need to consider reflections about affine hyperplanes. For this purpose, let R be a root system. An *affine hyperplane* with respect to R is given by

$$(4) \quad H_{r,k} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, r \rangle = k\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is easy to show that reflections about affine hyperplanes have the form

$$(5) \quad \rho_{r,k}(x) = x - \frac{2(\langle x, r \rangle - k)}{\langle r, r \rangle} r =: \rho_r(x) + k r^\vee,$$

where $r^\vee := 2r/\langle r, r \rangle$ is the *coroot* of r .

Definition 1.4. The affine Weyl group $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ for a root system R is the (infinite) group generated by the reflections $\rho_{r,k}$ about the affine hyperplanes $H_{r,k}$:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} := \langle \rho_{r,k} \mid r \in R, k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$$

We sometimes will refer to the concatenation of elements from $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ as words.

Theorem 1.3. The affine Weyl group $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ of a root system R is the semi-direct product $\mathcal{W} \ltimes \Gamma$, where Γ is the abelian group generated by the coroots r^\vee . Moreover, Γ is the subgroup of translations of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and \mathcal{W} the isotropy group (stabilizer) of the origin. The group \mathcal{W} is finite and Γ infinite.

Remark 1.1. There exists a complete classification of all irreducible affine Weyl groups and their associated fundamental domains. These groups are given as types A_n ($n \geq 1$), B_n ($n \geq 2$), C_n ($n \geq 3$), and D_n , ($n \geq 4$), as well as E_n , $n = 6, 7, 8$, F_4 , and G_2 . (For more details, we refer the reader to [Bo02] or [H90].)

We need a few more definitions and related results. By a *reflection group* we mean a group of transformations generated by the reflections about a finite family of affine hyperplanes. Coxeter groups and affine Weyl groups are examples of reflection groups.

Let \mathcal{G} be a reflection group and \mathcal{O}_n the group of linear isometries of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there exists a homomorphism $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n$ given by

$$\phi(g)(x) = g(x) - g(0), \quad g \in \mathcal{G}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The group \mathcal{G} is called *essential* if $\phi(\mathcal{G})$ only fixes $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The elements of $\ker \phi$ are called translations.

1.3. Foldable figures. In this subsection, we define for our later purposes the important concept of a foldable figure [HW88].

Definition 1.5. A compact connected subset F of \mathbb{R}^n is called a *foldable figure* if and only if there exists a finite set \mathcal{S} of affine hyperplanes that cuts F into finitely many congruent subfigures F_1, \dots, F_m , each similar to F , so that reflection in any of the cutting hyperplanes in \mathcal{S} bounding F_k takes it into some F_ℓ .

In Figure 2 are two examples of foldable figures shown. Properties of foldable




FIGURE 2. Examples of foldable figures.

figures are summarized in the theorem below. The statements and their proofs can be found in [Bo02] and [HW88].

Theorem 1.4.

- (1) *The reflection group generated by the reflections about the bounding hyperplanes of a foldable figure F is the affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} of some root system. Moreover, \widetilde{W} has F as a fundamental domain.*
- (2) *Let \mathcal{G} be a reflection group that is essential and without fixed points. Then \mathcal{G} has a compact fundamental domain.*
- (3) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between foldable figures and reflection groups that are essential and without fixed points.*

1.4. Tiling sets for dilations and affine Weyl groups. Recall, that a foldable figure is a connected, convex fundamental domain for an affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} .

Definition 1.6. (Def 7.3 from [LM06]) *Given an affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} acting on \mathbb{R}^n with fundamental domain a foldable figure C , given a designated interior point θ of C , and given an expansive matrix A on \mathbb{R}^n , a dilation-reflection wavelet set for $(\widetilde{W}, \theta, A)$ is a measurable subset W of \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the properties:*

- (1) *W is congruent to C (in the sense of Definition 2.4) under the action of \widetilde{W} , and*
- (2) *W generates a measurable partition of \mathbb{R}^n under the action of the affine mapping $D(x) := A(x - \theta) + \theta$.*

In the case where $\theta = 0$, we abbreviate $(\widetilde{W}, \theta, A)$ to (\widetilde{W}, A) .

In the above definition, the reason θ is prescribed is that in order to apply the methods of [DLS97] for two-way tilers, it is simplest to assume that the dilation fixed point be contained in the interior of the model for abstract translation tiler, namely the foldable figure C in this case. (It is not a necessary restriction, but on the other hand some kind of restriction such as this is necessary. It is a sufficient restriction to make the apparatus work.) Then, to make the dilation by A compatible with the action of the reflection group (i.e., so that θ is a fixed point for the dilation transformation group) the usual dilation by A is replaced with the affine dilation mapping $D(x) := A(x - \theta) + \theta$. In practice, in proofs in particular, we frequently perform an initial translation of the system so that $\theta = 0$, and dilations thus correspond to the usual dilation group. On the other hand, in reflection group theory one usually performs an initial translation of a geometric problem so that the origin 0 lies at a *vertex* of the foldable figure, hence not an interior point. Hence, in particular in the analysis of the *root systems* (see below), this is the

assumption made. A simple translation of the system then yields the general case. Thus, basically, in order to apply our theory (in its present form), we either need to assume up-front that 0 is an interior point of C and use the usual dilation group $\mathcal{D} = \{A^k W \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, or we need to fix a designated point θ in the interior of C and use the affine dilation group generated by $D(x) := A(x - \theta) + \theta$.

As a foldable figure C supports an orthonormal basis for $L^2(C)$ of fractal surface functions, similar to the way a dilation-translation wavelet set W supports an orthonormal basis of exponential functions, and if we compose these fractal surface functions with the reflection group congruence operations we obtain an orthonormal basis of fractal-surface-induced functions on the dilation-reflection wavelet set W . Since W tiles \mathbb{R}^n under dilation by A , by the dilations by powers of A to these functions we obtain a fractal surface induced orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So in a function-theoretic sense as well as in a set theoretic sense (i.e., tiling properties), the dilation-reflection wavelet sets are natural generalizations of the dilation-translation wavelet sets: they are both affiliated with orthonormal bases of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The main result of [LM06] is:

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 7.4 from [LM06]). *There exist $(\widetilde{W}, \theta, A)$ -wavelet sets for every choice of \widetilde{W} , θ , and A .*

1.5. Subspace wavelet sets and Weyl groups. In [DÓ05, DÓ06] the connection between Weyl groups (of finite reflection groups) was looked at from a slightly different point of view.

Most of the examples of wavelet sets tend to be fractal like and symmetric around 0. The first aim was to construct wavelet functions that have some directional properties in the frequency domain. The direction is given by a fundamental domain C for the Weyl group, which is also a convex cone, i.e., $C + C \subset C$ and $\mathbb{R}^+ C \subset C$. The wavelets are again given by the inverse Fourier transform of the normalized indicator function of a measurable subset E . Assuming that $A^T(C) \subset C$, and $A^T \widetilde{\Gamma}^* \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}^*$, then it was shown, that one can find a set $E \subset C$, such that E tiles C under $\{(A^T)^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and tiles \mathbb{R}^n under $\widetilde{\Gamma}^*$, i.e, E is a $(A, \widetilde{\Gamma})$ -subspace wavelet set. The Weyl group is then used to rotate the frequency domain to cover all of \mathbb{R}^n . The construction was still fractal, but the result is not symmetric around 0 anymore.

A natural question one asks when working with wavelets is whether they are related with any multiresolution analysis or multiwavelets. It was shown that it is possible to construct subspace multiwavelets using the Weyl group. In particular, the wavelets are still directional in the frequency domain. In fact, the support of the Fourier transform is supported in cones which are fundamental domains for the action of a Weyl group on the Euclidian space. Finally, the relation between those constructions and sampling theory were discussed. Any square-integrable function can be written as a sum of its projections on subspaces, where each subspace contains only signals supported in the frequency domain in the cones mentioned above. Each projection can then be sampled using a version of the Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov sampling theorem.

2. THREE-WAY TILING SETS

The following proposition was proven in [LM06], which covers the cases of the four-corners and wedding-cake sets, as mentioned in the introduction. We will give a

less general version than we gave in [LM06] because it suffices for our purposes in this article.

Proposition 2.1 (Proposition 8.1 in [LM06]). *Suppose that C is any foldable figure which is a fundamental domain for both a translation group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and the affine Weyl group $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ for C , and which contains 0 in its interior. If the intersection group \mathcal{J} of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ contains a full rank lattice, then for any expansive matrix A there exist sets W which are simultaneously dilation A -translation $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and dilation A -reflection $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ wavelet sets.*

The version of the above proposition that was stated in [LM06] only required that the intersection group \mathcal{J} in the hypothesis be large enough so that the prescribed dilation group \mathcal{D} together with \mathcal{J} form an abstract dilation-translation pair in the sense of [DLS97]. (See also [LM06], Definition 2.8). This hypothesis is automatically satisfied if \mathcal{J} contains a full rank lattice, which is what we show in the present paper.

In [LM06], it was not clear whether the above proposition could be applied to decide whether three-way-tilers could exist in greater generality than the special cases worked out in that paper. We quote a problem that the authors of [LM06] pose at the end of section 8. The main purpose of this paper is to provide some examples that settle a part of this problem affirmatively, indicating that three-way-tilers could be common. This is the part we state below as Problem 1a.

PROBLEM 1 (This is Problem 2 from [LM06]): Let C be any foldable figure in \mathbb{R}^n containing 0 in its interior and let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \times \Gamma$ be the associated affine Weyl group. Suppose that A is any expansive matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ a full rank lattice in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $[0, b_1) \times [0, b_2) \times \dots \times [0, b_n)$ be a fundamental domain for $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a set W which is simultaneously

- (1) $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ -congruent to C ;
- (2) a $\mathcal{D} = \{A^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ measurable tiling set of \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (3) $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -congruent to the set $[0, b_1) \times [0, b_2) \times \dots \times [0, b_n)$, i.e., a $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -spectral set.

It was noted in [LM06] that any W satisfying (1), (2), and (3) would be both a dilation-translation wavelet set for $(\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\Gamma})$ and a dilation-reflection wavelet set for $(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}, A)$, and conversely, any set which is both a dilation-translation wavelet set for $(\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\Gamma})$ and a dilation-reflection wavelet set for $(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}, A)$ must satisfy (1), (2), and (3). In particular, the question was asked:

PROBLEM 1a. Does there exist such a W for an irreducible affine Weyl group, such as the group corresponding to an equilateral triangle, which is a foldable figure? We wrote: “We think that the answer is probably no. But in the topic of wavelet sets there are often surprises, so we would not be very surprised if the answer was yes.”

As explained in the introduction, a main point to this paper is to show that indeed such sets W exist for the equiangular triangle example and other foldable figures. We give concrete examples, and pose some further questions.

We analyze Problem 1 above. This problem has two distinct parts. To describe them more clearly, let us define a triple $(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \mathcal{D})$ as above to be *allowable* if there exists a measurable set W satisfying (1), (2), (3) of Problem 1.

The first part of Problem 1 asks for necessary and sufficient conditions for such a triple to be allowable. If a triple is allowable it follows that the foldable figure for $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$

must have the same volume (measure) as a lattice cell (parallelepiped) for $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, so not every triple is allowable. In this case we must have $\widetilde{\Gamma} = B\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|\det B| = |C|$. But we know of no other general restriction that we can prove.

Attempting to address this situation, the second part of the problem, which we list as Problem 1a, asks if the affine Weyl group in an allowable triple can ever be irreducible, and asks specifically if the affine Weyl group for Fig. 10 (in [LM06]), i.e., an equilateral triangle, can be a member of an allowable triple. This is the simplest irreducible $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. The authors in [LM06] conjectured “no”, because all the examples they worked out had reducible Weyl groups and the simplest irreducible case seemed intractable.

But in Banff, the present authors showed that the answer to the Fig. 10 problem is actually “yes”, showing that there are still in fact surprises out there in the theory of wavelet sets, and this surprise led to the rest of our work in this article. We show that in two dimensions, given any foldable figure with associated affine Weyl group $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$, and given any expansive matrix A , there always exists a full rank lattice $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ so that the triple $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}, \widetilde{\Gamma}, \mathcal{D})$ is allowable.

The key is to construct $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ so that the intersection of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ with $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ contains the translation group of some full rank lattice, and then we apply Proposition 8.1 in [LM06]. This idea was outlined and used in [LM06], but except for certain reducible cases we did not know how to construct $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ from the foldable figure. This construction is what was worked out in Banff, and is the essence of this paper.

We use $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ for an affine Weyl group: $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \ltimes \Gamma$, where \mathcal{W} is the stabilizer of the origin and Γ the translation group generated by the coroots. Note that \mathcal{W} is a finite Coxeter group. In the following, we are abusing language by identifying the (discrete) abelian group Γ with the (geometric) lattice that is generated by it, i.e., $\Gamma \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \cdot 0$. The system of roots corresponding to \mathcal{W} is denoted by R and the system of coroots by R^\vee . There are only four rank 2 root systems: $A_1 \times A_1$ (reducible with fundamental domain $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$), A_2 , B_2 , and G_2 . We will only discuss the root system A_2 in all details, and only list the necessary information and ideas for the other two irreducible systems. Our notation will be the same as in [LM06].

For the construction of a dilation tiling set one needs that the fixed point 0 is in the interior of the foldable figure C . But to simplify the discussion in the following, we assume that 0 is one of the vertices of C .

Let $e_1 := (1, 0)^\top$ and $e_2 := (0, 1)^\top$ denote the vectors of the standard \mathbb{R}^2 -basis and – again by abuse of notation – we will also write $e_1 := (1, 0, 0)^\top$, $e_2 := (0, 1, 0)^\top$, and $e_3 := (0, 0, 1)^\top$ for the vectors of the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . We denote by L the \mathbb{Z} -module/lattice $L := \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_3$. The cross product in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \wedge and the Euclidean length by $\|\cdot\|$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be an irreducible root system in \mathbb{R}^2 and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \ltimes \Gamma$ be the associated affine Weyl group. Then there exists a full rank lattice $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and a measurable set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that*

- (1) $\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \widetilde{\Gamma} \cap \Gamma$ is a full rank lattice in \mathbb{R}^2 ;
- (2) Ω is a tiling set for $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proof. The proof will be done by case by case inspection of the three root systems A_2 , B_2 , and G_2 . Since every case in R^2 is equivalent to one of these, the proof will be complete. In each case we will explicitly construct $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and show

that they have the properties required. For a given root system, the lattice $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and the set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are not unique, and no general construction of examples is known. (This is an open direction.)

2.1. Root System A_2 . In this section we discuss the root system A_2 . Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the hyperplane given by the linear equation $x + y + z = 0$. We identify V with \mathbb{R}^2 by using $\alpha = e_1 - e_2$ and $\beta = e_2 - e_3$ as basis for the two dimensional subspace V .

The root system A_2 is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \{\alpha \in L \cap V \mid \|\alpha\|^2 = 2\} \\ &= \pm\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, e_1 - e_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear from this that $A_2^\vee = A_2$. Note that $\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, e_1 - e_3\}$ is a system of positive roots, with $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ as a corresponding set of simple roots.

Since α and β are in V , the angle θ between them can be computed via $-1 = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \|\alpha\| \|\beta\| \cos \theta$. This yields $\theta = 2\pi/3$.

Now introduce a coordinate system (v_1, v_2) in V , with the v_1 -axis along the vector α and the v_2 -axis perpendicular to it. Since α has length $\sqrt{2}$ its coordinates with respect to the (v_1, v_2) -coordinate system are $\alpha = (\sqrt{2}, 0)^\top$. Then the representation of β in the (v_1, v_2) -coordinate system is obtained by rotating α by θ counterclockwise. This gives for β :

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi/3 & -\sin 2\pi/3 \\ \sin 2\pi/3 & \cos 2\pi/3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2}/2 \\ \sqrt{6}/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The third positive root is $\alpha + \beta = (\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{6}/2)^\top$.

Therefore, since the roots are identical to the coroots, the full rank lattice Γ is generated by the simple roots α and β :

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\alpha \oplus \mathbb{Z}\beta.$$

The walls of the fundamental domain C for $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ are given by the linear hyperplanes corresponding to the simple roots, i.e., H_α and H_β and the affine hyperplane $H_{\tilde{\alpha},1}$ corresponding to the highest root $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + \beta$. A quick calculation shows that $H_{\tilde{\alpha},1}$ is represented by the linear equation (in the (v_1, v_2) -coordinate system)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}v_1 + v_2 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}.$$

Thus, C is a $(\pi/3, \pi/3, \pi/3)$ -triangle with vertices at $(0, 0)$, $(\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{6}/6)$, and $(0, \sqrt{6}/3)$.

We recall that the affine Weyl group $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is generated by the reflections about the walls of C . The area of a fundamental lattice cell K of Γ and the area of C are easily computed to be:

$$|K| = \sqrt{3} \quad \text{and} \quad |C| = \sqrt{3}/6.$$

Note that $|K| = |\mathcal{W}| \cdot |C|$. The reason for this equality lies in the fact that for an affine Weyl group $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \ltimes \Gamma$ with fundamental domain C , the set $\mathcal{W} \cdot C$ is a fundamental domain for the translation lattice. As the Weyl group acts freely on the positive Weyl chamber, and C can be taken inside a fixed Weyl chamber, it

follows that $|\mathcal{W} \cdot C| = |\mathcal{W}||C|$.




FIGURE 3. Geometry for A_2 .

In order to obtain three-way tiling sets, we need to find a set Ω with the property that Ω transforms to C via the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and to a fundamental cell \tilde{K} via the action of $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

For the root system A_2 , we will exhibit two such sets, Ω_1 and Ω_2 . To obtain the first, define

$$\delta_1 := \frac{1}{6}[(\alpha + \beta) + \beta] = (0, \sqrt{6}/6)^\top, \quad \eta_1 := \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_1 := \mathbb{Z}\eta_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta_1.$$

Observe that $6\tilde{\Gamma}_1 \subset \Gamma$ and hence $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \Gamma$ is a full rank lattice. Furthermore $\delta_1 \in \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \setminus \Gamma$ so $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 \neq \Gamma$.

Denote by $\tilde{K}_1 := \eta_1 \wedge \delta_1$ a fundamental cell in $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$, and set

$$\tilde{K}_{11} := \left\{ (u, v) \in \tilde{K}_1 \mid v \geq u/\sqrt{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K}_{12} := \tilde{K}_1 \setminus \tilde{K}_{11}.$$

Now take as Ω_1 the set

$$\Omega_1 := \tilde{K}_{11} \cup (\tilde{K}_{12} + \eta_1 + \delta_1).$$

By construction, Ω_1 is $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ -congruent to the fundamental cell \tilde{K}_1 . Moreover, Ω_1 is also $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ -congruent to C , for

$$C = \tilde{K}_{11} \cup \left[\rho_\alpha(\tilde{K}_{12} + \eta_1 + \delta_1) + 2\eta_1 \right],$$

where $\rho_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ denotes the reflection about the linear hyperplane H_α . (Note that $\alpha \in \Gamma$.)

The second set Ω_2 is obtained by taking, as above, $\delta_2 = \frac{1}{6}(\alpha + 2\beta) = (0, \sqrt{6}/6)^\top$, and defining

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_2 := \mathbb{Z}\delta_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\eta_2,$$

where $\eta_2 := \frac{1}{3}(2\alpha + \beta) = (\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{6}/6)^\top$. Note that $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \Gamma \supset 6\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and hence $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is a full rank lattice. The fundamental cell $\tilde{K}_2 := \delta_2 \wedge \eta_2$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ is partitioned into

$$\tilde{K}_{21} := \left\{ (u, v) \in \tilde{K}_2 \mid v \leq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}u + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K}_{22} := \tilde{K}_2 \setminus \tilde{K}_{21}.$$

Now define

$$\Omega_2 := \tilde{K}_{21} \cup (\tilde{K}_{22} + \eta_2 - \delta_2).$$

Then Ω_2 is $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -congruent to \tilde{K}_2 and also $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ -congruent to C , since

$$C = \tilde{K}_{21} \cup \left[\rho_\alpha(\tilde{K}_{22} + \eta_2 - \delta_2) + \alpha \right],$$

with $\rho_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ denoting the reflection about the hyperplane H_α .




FIGURE 4. The sets Ω_1 and Ω_2 for A_2 .

2.2. Root System B_2 . In this section we discuss the root system B_2 . The arguments are quite similar to the ones for A_2 so we restrict our exposition to list the objects that are needed and then give a some more detailed arguments at the end.

- Root system R : $\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \pm e_1 \pm e_2$.
- Positive roots: $e_1, e_2, e_1 \pm e_2$.
- Basis for \mathbb{R}^2 : $\alpha := e_1 - e_2$ and $\beta := e_2$.
- Coroot system R^\vee : $\pm 2e_1, \pm 2e_2, \pm e_1 \pm e_2$.
- Highest root: $\tilde{\alpha} = e_1 + e_2$.
- Weyl group \mathcal{W} : \mathfrak{S}_2 acting as permutations of the coordinates, and all the sign changes $x_j \mapsto \pm x_j$. The Weyl group has therefore order $|\mathcal{W}| = 8$.
- Lattice generated by the coroots: $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\alpha^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}\tilde{\alpha}$.
- Walls of fundamental domain: $H_\alpha : x - y = 0$, $H_\beta : y = 0$, and $H_{\tilde{\alpha},1} : x + y = 1$.
- Fundamental domain for $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$:

$$C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq x + y \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq x\}.$$

C is a triangle with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1/2, 1/2)$, and $(1, 0)$, hence has angles $\pi/4$, $\pi/2$, $\pi/4$.

- $|K| = 2$ and $|C| = 1/4$.




FIGURE 5. Geometry for B_2 .

Now choose vectors δ_1 and η_1 as follows: $\delta_1 := \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha} = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^\top$ and $\eta_1 := \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta) = (\frac{1}{2}, 0)^\top$. Let

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_1 := \mathbb{Z}\delta_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\eta_1.$$

Then, $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \Gamma \supseteq 4\tilde{\Gamma}_1$, which is a full rank lattice. Hence $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \Gamma$ is a full rank lattice. Choose as a fundamental cell for $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ the set $\tilde{K}_1 := \delta_1 \wedge \eta_1$ and let $\tilde{K}_{11} := \{(x, y) \in \tilde{K}_1 \mid y \geq 1 - x\}$. Define as Ω_1 the set

$$\Omega_1 := \tilde{K}_1 \setminus \tilde{K}_{11} \cup (\tilde{K}_{11} + \delta_1 - 2\eta_1).$$

Since $\delta - 2\eta = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^\top \in \tilde{\Gamma}_1$, Ω_1 is $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ -congruent to \tilde{K}_1 .

Now let $C_1 := \{(x, y) \in C \mid y \leq x - \frac{1}{2}\}$, and let $\rho_{\tilde{\alpha}, 1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\rho_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the reflection about the hyperplane $H_{\tilde{\alpha}, 1}$, respectively, H_α . As

$$\rho_\alpha \circ \rho_{\tilde{\alpha}, 1}(C_1) = \tilde{K}_1 + \delta_1 - 2\eta_1,$$

the set C is also $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ -congruent to Ω .

For a second example, we choose new vectors δ_2 and η_2 as follows: $\delta_2 := \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\alpha} = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})^\top$ and $\eta_2 := (\alpha + \beta) = (1, 0)^\top$. Let

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_2 := \mathbb{Z}\delta_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\eta_2.$$

Then, as above, $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \Gamma \supseteq 4\tilde{\Gamma}_2$, and thus $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \Gamma$ is a full rank lattice. As a fundamental cell for $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ select the set $\tilde{K}_2 := \delta_2 \wedge \eta_2$ and let $\tilde{K}_{21} := \{(x, y) \in \tilde{K}_2 \mid y \geq 1 - x\}$. Define Ω_2 to be the set

$$\Omega_2 := \tilde{K}_2 \setminus \tilde{K}_{21} \cup (\tilde{K}_{21} - 2\delta_2).$$

As $2\delta_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^\top \in \tilde{\Gamma}_2$, Ω_2 is $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ -congruent to \tilde{K}_2 .

Now set $C_2 := \{(x, y) \in C \mid y \leq \frac{1}{4}\}$, and denote by $\rho_\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ the reflection about the hyperplane H_β . Then,

$$C = \tilde{K}_2 \cup \rho_\beta(\tilde{K}_{21} - 2\delta_2) = C_2 \cup \rho_\beta(\tilde{K}_{21} - 2\delta_2),$$

showing that C is also $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ -congruent to Ω_2 .




FIGURE 6. The sets Ω_1 and Ω_2 for B_2 .


2.3. Root System G_2 . In this final section we discuss the root system G_2 following the same line as in the last section.

As in Section 2.1 let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the hyperplane given by the equation $x+y+z=0$ which we identify with \mathbb{R}^2 as before.

- Root system R : Set of all $e \in L \cap V$ with length $\sqrt{2}$ or $\sqrt{6}$. These are: $\pm(e_1 - e_2)$, $\pm(e_1 - e_3)$, $\pm(e_2 - e_3)$, $\pm(2e_1 - e_2 - e_3)$, $\pm(2e_2 - e_1 - e_3)$, $\pm(2e_1 - e_2 - e_3)$.
- Positive roots: α , β , $\alpha + \beta$, $2\alpha + \beta$, $3\alpha + \beta$, $3\alpha + 2\beta$.
- Basis for \mathbb{R}^2 : $\alpha := e_1 - e_2$ and $\beta := -2e_1 + e_2 + e_3$.
- Highest root: $\tilde{\alpha} = 3\alpha + 2\beta$.
- Coroot system R^\vee : $\pm(e_1 - e_2)$, $\pm(e_1 - e_3)$, $\pm(e_2 - e_3)$, $\pm\frac{1}{3}(2e_1 - e_2 - e_3)$, $\pm\frac{1}{3}(2e_2 - e_1 - e_3)$, $\pm\frac{1}{3}(2e_3 - e_1 - e_2)$. Note that the short roots $\pm\alpha$, $\pm\alpha \pm \beta$, and $\pm 2\alpha \pm \beta$ are equal to their coroots, whereas the long roots have coroots $1/3$ of their lengths.
- As in case A_2 above, the angle between the roots α and β can be computed to be $5\pi/6$ and a coordinate system (v_1, v_2) in V is introduced in the same fashion as above. In this coordinate system, $\alpha = (\sqrt{2}, 0)^\top$, $\beta = (-3\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{6}/2)^\top$, and $\tilde{\alpha} = 3\alpha + 2\beta = (0, \sqrt{6})^\top$.
- In this case the Weyl group has order $|\mathcal{W}| = 12$.
- Lattice generated by the coroots: $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}(\alpha + \frac{1}{3}\beta) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\alpha + \frac{2}{3}\beta)$.
- Walls of the fundamental domain C are given by the hyperplanes $H_\alpha : v_1 = 0$, $H_\beta : \sqrt{3}v_1 - v_2 = 0$, and $H_{\tilde{\alpha},1} : v_2 = 1/\sqrt{6}$.
- Fundamental domain for $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$: C is a triangle with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1/\sqrt{6})$, and $(\sqrt{2}/6, 1/\sqrt{6})$, hence has angles $\pi/6$, $\pi/2$, $\pi/3$.
- Area of K and C are:

$$|K| = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad |C| = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{36}.$$

Let $\delta_1 := \frac{1}{6}(3\alpha + 2\beta) = (0, \sqrt{6}/6)^\top$, $\eta_1 := \frac{1}{6}(2\alpha + \beta) = (\sqrt{2}/12, \sqrt{6}/12)^\top$, and define $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 := \mathbb{Z}\delta_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\eta_1$. Note that $6\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 \subseteq \Gamma$ and, thus, $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \Gamma$

FIGURE 7. The geometry of G_2 .

is a full rank lattice. Denote by \tilde{K}_1 the fundamental cell $\eta_1 \wedge \delta_1$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$, and set $\tilde{K}_{11} := \{(u, v) \in \tilde{K} \mid v \geq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\}$. Now define Ω_1 as

$$\Omega_1 := \tilde{K}_1 \setminus \tilde{K}_{11} \cup (\tilde{K}_{11} + \delta_1 - 2\eta_1).$$

Since $\delta_1 - 2\eta_1 = (-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}, 0)^\top \in \tilde{\Gamma}_1$, the set Ω_1 is $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ -congruent to \tilde{K}_1 .

Now let $C_1 := \{(u, v) \in C \mid u \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{12}\}$, and let $\rho_{\tilde{\alpha},1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\rho_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the reflection about the hyperplane $H_{\tilde{\alpha},1}$, respectively, H_α . As

$$\rho_\alpha \circ \rho_{\tilde{\alpha},1}(C_1) = \tilde{K}_1 + \delta_1 - 2\eta_1,$$

the set C is also $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ -congruent to Ω_1 .

The second example is obtained by setting $\delta_2 := \frac{1}{12}(3\alpha + 2\beta) = (0, \sqrt{6}/12)^\top$, $\eta_2 := \frac{1}{3}(2\alpha + \beta) = (\sqrt{2}/6, \sqrt{6}/6)^\top$, and defining $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 := \mathbb{Z}\delta_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\eta_2$. Note that $6\tilde{\Gamma}_2 \subseteq \Gamma$ and, thus, $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \cap \Gamma$ is a full rank lattice. Denote by \tilde{K}_2 the fundamental cell $\eta_2 \wedge \delta_2$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$, and let $\tilde{K}_{21} := \{(u, v) \in \tilde{K} \mid v \geq 1\}$. Now define Ω_2 as

$$\Omega_2 := \tilde{K}_2 \setminus \tilde{K}_{21} \cup (\tilde{K}_{21} - 2\delta_2 - \eta_2).$$

Since $-2\delta_2 - \eta_2 \in \tilde{\Gamma}_2$, the set Ω_2 is $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ -congruent to \tilde{K}_2 .

Let $C_2 := \{(u, v) \in C \mid v \geq \sqrt{6}u + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}\}$, and let $\rho_\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the reflection about the hyperplane H_α . Then

$$C = K_{21} \cup \rho_\alpha(\tilde{K}_{21} - 2\delta_2 - \eta_2) = C_2 \cup \rho_\alpha(\tilde{K}_{21} - 2\delta_2 - \eta_2),$$


and the set C is $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ -congruent to Ω_2 .

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. \square

Remark 2.1. *The set Ω_2 for the root systems B_2 and G_2 was found by a student, F. Drechsler, while working on his diploma thesis [Dr07] in mathematics at the Technische Universität München under the supervision of the second author.*

Combining Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, we have our main theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be an arbitrary 2×2 real expansive matrix, let C be a foldable figure in \mathbb{R}^2 and let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W} \ltimes \Gamma$ be the associated affine Weyl group. Then, there*

FIGURE 8. The sets Ω_1 and Ω_2 for G_2 .

exists a full rank lattice $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and a measurable set W that is a three-way tiling set for $\tilde{\Gamma}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$, and \mathcal{D} . Hence, W is simultaneously a $(\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\Gamma})$ -dilation-translation wavelet set and a $(\mathcal{D}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}})$ -dilation-reflection wavelet set. So, in particular, $(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \mathcal{D})$ is an allowable triple.

3. PROBLEMS

Motivated by our results, we pose some new problems:

PROBLEM 2. If a triple $(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \mathcal{D})$ has the property that the foldable figure for $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and the lattice cell (parallelepiped) for $\tilde{\Gamma}$ have the same volume, is the triple allowable?

An essential subproblem of this, which relates only translation and reflection (and not dilation) is:

SUBPROBLEM 2a. If $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is an affine Weyl group of a foldable figure in \mathbb{R}^n , and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the translation group of a full rank lattice in \mathbb{R}^n , and if the measure of the foldable figure is the same as the measure of a tiling cell for the lattice, does there always exist a measurable set K which tiles for both $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$? (This is a subproblem of Problem A because if $(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \mathcal{D})$ is allowable then $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ have a common tile by definition.)

A natural strengthening of the hypothesis leads to the following subproblem, which is intimately connected to the dual translation-dilation/reflection-dilation wavelet theory of [LM06].

SUBPROBLEM 2b. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be as in Subproblem 2a, and suppose in addition that the intersection of the two groups contains the translation group of some full rank lattice. Does there always exist a measurable set K which tiles for both $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$? If such a dual reflection/translation tiling set exists, then given any expansive matrix dilation A , an application of Proposition 8.1 of [LM06] yields a (perhaps different) set W which is a three-way-tiler for $(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}, \tilde{\Gamma}, \mathcal{D})$ for $\mathcal{D} = \{A^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. So a solution of this subproblem would in itself extend our work significantly.

REFERENCES

- [Bo02] N. Bourbaki, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Chapters 4 - 6, Springer Verlag, Berlin 2002.
- [C73] H. S. M. Coxeter, *Regular Polytopes*, 3rd. ed., Dover, New York, 1973.

- [DL97] X. Dai and D. Larson, *Wandering vectors for unitary systems and orthogonal wavelets*, Memoirs of the AMS, Vol. 134, No. 640, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [DLS97] X. Dai, D. R. Larson, and D. M. Speegle: *Wavelet sets in \mathbb{R}^n* . J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **3** (1997), 451–456.
- [DLS98] X. Dai, D. R. Larson, and D. M. Speegle: *Wavelet sets in \mathbb{R}^n . II*. In *Wavelets, multiwavelets, and their applications (San Diego, CA, 1997)*, volume 216 of Contemp. Math., pages 15–40. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [DÓ05] M. Dobrescu, and G. Ólafsson: *Wavelet sets with and without groups*. Cont. Math., 2005.
- [DÓ06] M. Dobrescu and G. Ólafsson: *Coxeter Groups, Wavelets, Multiresolution and Sampling*. To appear in Contemp. Math.
- [Dr07] F. Drechsler, *Wavelet-Mengen und Spiegelungsgruppen*, Diploma Thesis, Center of Mathematics, Technische Universität München, Germany, 2007.
- [F74] B. Fuglede: *Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem*. J. Functional Analysis **6** (1997), 101–121, 1974.
- [GB85] L. C. Grove and C. T. Benson, *Finite Reflection Groups*, 2nd ed., Springer Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [Gu06] P. Gunnells, *Cells in Coxeter Groups*, in Notices of the AMS **53**(5) (2006), 528 – 535.
- [H90] J. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge U.K. 1990.
- [HW88] M. Hoffman, W. D. Withers, *Generalized Chebyshev Polynomials Associated with Affine Weyl Groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **308** (1) (1988), 91 – 104.
- [IKT99] A. Iosevich, N. H. Katz, and T. Tao: *Convex bodies with a point of curvature do not have Fourier bases*. Amer. J. Math., **123** (2001), 115–120.
- [JP98] P. E. T. Jorgensen and S. Pedersen: *Orthogonal harmonic analysis of fractal measures*. Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc., (1998), 35–42 (electronic).
- [KM04] M. N. Kolountzakis and M. Matolcsi: *Tiles with no spectra*. Forum Math. **18** (2006), 519–528
- [LW97] J. C. Lagarias and Y. Wang: *Spectral sets and factorizations of finite abelian groups*. J. Funct. Anal., **145** (1997), 73–98.
- [LM06] D.R. Larson and P. Massopust: *Coxeter Groups and Wavelet Sets*. To appear in Contem. Math.
- [LMO07] D.R. Larson, P. Massopust and G. Ólafsson: *The many faces of wavelet sets, history and open problems*. In preparation.
- [ÓS05] G. Ólafsson and D. Speegle: *Wavelets, wavelet sets, and linear actions on \mathbb{R}^n* . . In *Wavelets, frames and operator theory*, volume 345 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 253–281. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [T04] T. Tao: *Fuglede’s conjecture is false in 5 and higher dimensions*. Math. Res. Lett., **11** (2004), 251–258.
- [W02] Y. Wang: *Wavelets, tiling, and spectral sets*. . Duke Math. J., **114** (2002), 43–57.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843, USA

E-mail address: `larson@math.tamu.edu`

GSF-NATIONAL RESEARCH CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND HEALTH, INSTITUTE OF BIOMATHEMATICS AND BIOMETRY, AND CENTRE OF MATHEMATICS M6, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `massopust@ma.tum.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803, USA

E-mail address: `olafsson@math.lsu.edu`