10-1-2013

Chemical attraction of *Dermacentor variabilis* ticks parasitic to *Peromyscus leucopus* based on host body mass and sex

Tad Dallas
University of Georgia

Stephanie Foré
Truman State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/biosci_pubs

Recommended Citation

Dallas, T., & Foré, S. (2013). Chemical attraction of *Dermacentor variabilis* ticks parasitic to *Peromyscus leucopus* based on host body mass and sex. *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, 61 (2), 243-250.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-013-9690-x>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biological Sciences at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact ir@lsu.edu.

Chemical attraction of *Dermacentor variabilis* ticks parasitic to *Peromyscus leucopus* based on host body mass and sex

Tad Dallas · Stephanie Foré

Received: 15 December 2012 / Accepted: 18 March 2013 / Published online: 31 March 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

Abstract Macroparasites are commonly aggregated on a small subset of a host population. Previous explanations for this aggregation relate to differences in immunocompetence or the degree to which hosts encounter parasites. We propose active tick host choice through chemical attraction as a potential mechanism leading to aggregated tick burdens. We test this hypothesis using a Y-maze olfactometer, comparing chemical attraction responses of larval and nymphal *Dermacentor variabilis* ticks parasitic to the white-footed mouse, *Peromyscus leucopus*, as a function of host sex and host body mass. We hypothesized that larger hosts and male hosts would be most attractive to searching ticks, as these hosts commonly have higher tick burdens in the field. Chemical attraction trials were run in the presence and absence of a known tick attractant, host-produced carbon dioxide (CO₂). Male hosts and larger hosts were preferred by nymphal *D. variabilis* in the presence and absence of CO₂, whereas larvae had no detectable host preference. The current study suggests that host-produced chemical cues may promote aggregated tick burdens among hosts of a single species based on host body mass and sex.

Keywords Sex-biased parasitism · Mass-biased parasitism · Y-maze · Kairomones · American dog tick

Introduction

Parasites, and ticks in particular, can impact host fitness by enhancing mortality in heavily infected hosts (Musante et al. 2007; Hwang and Kuang 2005). Host preferences are well-documented (Wells et al. 2012; Nava and Guglielmone 2012) with differences in host competencies (Kollars et al. 2000), habitat preferences (Campbell and MacKay 1979), body size (Gallivan and Horak 1997), and/or developmental status (Heylen and Matthysen 2011) cited as causal mechanisms.

T. Dallas (✉)

Odum School of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30606, USA
e-mail: tad.dallas@drakeresearchlab.com

S. Foré

Department of Biology, Truman State University, Kirksville, MO 63501, USA

Tick burdens are also aggregated within host populations (Ostfeld et al. 1996), likely relating to variation in host susceptibility, mediated by differences in host body mass, sex, genetics, behavior or immune investment (Wilson et al. 2002). Larger individuals and male hosts commonly have higher macroparasite intensities in field populations (Dallas et al. 2012; Harrison et al. 2009; Kiffner et al. 2011). However, the causal mechanisms underlying biases in parasitism remain disputed (Krasnov et al. 2012). Traditional explanations of mass-biased parasitism relate to the elevated energetic demands, home range sizes, and encounter probabilities of larger hosts (Wilson et al. 2002). Whereas many potential causal mechanisms relate to host behavior, genetics, or immunity, the role of tick behavior in shaping aggregated tick burdens remains less clear.

As the successful acquisition of a bloodmeal is central to tick survival and reproduction, the ability to differentiate suitable from unsuitable hosts would provide clear fitness advantages. For example, larval *Ixodes scapularis* molting success depends on the host species being parasitized, providing a direct link between parasite fitness and host preference (Brunner et al. 2011). Host derivatives such as host odor (Carroll 1999; Crooks and Randolph 2006; Shaw et al. 2003), feces of sick animals (Bunnell et al. 2011), skin lipids (Yoder et al. 1998), uric acid (Yoder et al. 2003) and CO₂ (Wilson et al. 1972) have been implicated in increased tick chemical attraction and host differentiation. Although host cues tend to attract nymphal ticks strongly (Slowik and Lane 2009), evidence for larval tick attraction is variable, as larval *Ixodes hirsti* (Oorebeek et al. 2009) and *Argas cooleyi* (Howell 1975) exhibit attraction to host cues, but larval *I. scapularis* and *Ixodes pacificus* do not (James and Oliver 1990).

The potential preferential selection of host individuals within a species as a result of host-produced chemical cues is a largely unexplored research avenue (but see Khokhlova et al. 2011), and a potential driver of heterogeneous tick burdens observed in field populations. The white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) serves as the principal host for immature (larval and nymphal) *D. variabilis* (American dog tick) in our study site (Adair County, MO, USA), harboring a disproportionately high number of ticks relative to other sympatric host species (Kollars 1996). Our previous work in this system suggests that *D. variabilis* intensities on white-footed mice are elevated on larger hosts and male hosts (Dallas et al. 2012). The current study aimed to address the influence of host-produced chemical cues on larval and nymphal *D. variabilis* host preference. To accomplish this, we first evaluated larval and nymphal *D. variabilis* attraction towards white-footed mice versus a control using a two-choice experimental apparatus. After the presence of chemical attraction was determined, we assessed how host body mass or sex altered this attraction response, both in the presence and absence of a known host-derived attractant (respiratory CO₂). We predicted that larger mice and male mice would be preferred by *D. variabilis* as a result of either the amount of chemical cue produced (assuming larger hosts produce more chemical cues) or the composition of chemical cues produced, as males and females vary in chemical cue production (Kimoto et al. 2005, 2007).

Methods

Study organisms

Ticks used in this experiment were larval and nymphal *D. variabilis* from laboratory colonies, originating from local field-caught ticks, maintained by Dr. Laura Fielden at

Truman State University (Kirksville, MO, USA). All *D. variabilis* were non-fed and sex ratios were presumed to be equal. Ticks were maintained at 25 °C in an incubator kept at 92.5 % relative humidity (RH) with KNO₃ solution (Winston and Bates 1960) and at a 12:12 (light:dark) photoperiod.

White-footed mice were trapped in Adair County, Missouri, and kept in laboratory colonies, housed in polypropylene cages (28 cm long × 21 cm wide × 15 cm high) covered with stainless steel wire lids, and fed laboratory grade rodent diet #5001 (PMI Nutritional, Brentwood, MO, USA). All animals were maintained at 21 ± 2 °C and 12:12 (light:dark) photoperiod for at least 2 weeks before choice trials and were handled according to the American Society of Mammalogists' standards (Gannon and Sikes 2007).

Experimental design

A Y-maze olfactometer was used to quantify attraction responses (Fig. 1). The experimental apparatus consisted of two 850 ml holding chambers (Qubit Systems) for containment of two small mammal hosts with laminar airflow at 0.3 L min⁻¹ to each of the chambers provided by separate air pumps. Air was forced through a column of water before entering the host chamber in order to keep the relative humidity inside the experimental chambers constant (80 ± 5 %) and to prevent dessication of ticks. A 6 cm length of Tygon tubing connected the animal chamber to a Pyrex glass Y-maze (4.0 mm inner diameter). The Y-maze itself had a 4 cm long stem where ticks were introduced and two 8 cm long arms splitting off to each of the two odor sources. In some trials, CO₂ was removed by placing soda lime inserts between the holding chamber and the Y-maze to test for attraction to the host based on chemical cues other than CO₂. Similar structures have been used extensively to test attraction responses in mites (Gnanvossou et al. 2003), ticks (Slowik and Lane 2009), eulophid parasitoids (Trexler 1985), fleas (Khokhlova et al. 2011) and psyllids (Patt and Setamou 2010). Tests of the Y-maze design have demonstrated no significant difference in chemical attraction response between Y-maze and four-way olfactometers (de Kogel et al. 1999).

The Y-maze design allowed for pairwise choice trials. Hosts were given 10 min to saturate the experimental chamber with odor before trials began. After this period, 10 ticks

Fig. 1 A simplified diagram depicting the Y-maze olfactometer used to test chemical attraction in *D. variabilis*. The direction of air flow is indicated by the arrows

were placed in the end of the Y-maze olfactometer and given 15 min to move towards either host, a duration based on previous olfactometry studies (Slowik and Lane 2009; Shaw et al. 1998) and preliminary trials monitoring tick movement at intervals between 5 and 60 minutes. Ticks were selected randomly for each trial and used only once. To remove the influence of attraction towards a light source, and because larval and nymphal *Dermacentor* species are more active at night (Lane et al. 1995; Conlon and Rockett 1982), all trials were run in the absence of light and within the dark cycle of the 12:12 (light:dark) photoperiod of *D. variabilis*. After each trial the chambers were alternated to eliminate directional bias and the Y-maze was washed with 95 % alcohol, rinsed with deionized water and dried. Trials in which fewer than five ticks oriented towards either host (i.e., those not moving from the stem of the Y-maze) or those with excess condensation in the Y-maze were not considered in data analysis, as excess condensation could restrict tick movement. Four replicate trials were conducted for each pairwise combination of possible host choices with attraction decided by taking the average attraction of the four trials to obtain a composite attraction measure for the pair.

To test if *D. variabilis* could differentiate a host from a control, we performed attraction trials using a primary host (white-footed mouse) and a stone of comparable mass to a white-footed mouse in order to disrupt laminar airflow through the chamber in a way similar to a white-footed mouse. The control was rinsed with deionized water and placed in bedding used in our animal cages (Aspen Shavings, NEPCO). Trials were performed in the absence of CO₂ to eliminate bias not based on host odor. A total of 26 *P. leucopus*—control pairs (8 females, 18 males) were examined for *D. variabilis* nymphal attraction and 11 pairs (5 females, 6 males) were used to examine *D. variabilis* larvae.

The effect of body mass was tested by comparing large (>24 g) and small (<22 g) pairs of white-footed mice, the sex being the same, and the effect of sex was tested by comparing male and female white-footed mice of similar body mass. Body mass was maintained by feeding large animals ad libitum while small animals were fed 10–12 g per week. A total of 18 *P. leucopus* pairs were used to test the effect of host body mass, and 20 pairs to test the effect of host sex on chemical attraction of *D. variabilis*. Trials were performed on these pairs both in the presence and absence of CO₂, in order to determine how CO₂ influenced nymphal *D. variabilis* chemical attraction.

Data analyses

Attraction data were based on the results of four attraction trials per host pair, averaged together to determine tick choice. Binomial exact tests were used to test whether tick choices differed significantly from no preference (i.e., probability of either host being chosen is 0.5). These tests were applied to the comparisons of attraction to host over control and attraction based on host mass or host sex. Binomial exact tests are ideal when sample size is not large enough to allow for Chi-squared approximation. The influence of CO₂ on the attraction response was examined using Fisher's exact tests, with the null hypothesis stating that the relative proportions of tick attraction based on host body mass or sex do not significantly differ as a result of CO₂. In other words, the odds of tick chemotaxis in the presence of CO₂ divided by the odds of tick attraction in the absence of CO₂ is 1 (i.e., the odds ratio (OR) does not differ significantly from 1). All analyses were performed in the R statistical computing environment (R Core Team 2012), using an α -value of 0.05.

Results

Baseline attraction

Larval ticks exhibited no host preference (e.g. 15 % of attraction trials resulted in choice of either host) and very little ambulatory response from where they were placed at the start of the attraction trial. Given the low attraction response, we were unable to accurately assess the presence of larval tick chemical attraction. As a result, only nymphal *D. variabilis* were used to examine host preferences based on host body mass or sex. Host-mediated attraction responses were observed when comparing nymphal *D. variabilis* preference between a control and white-footed mice (Table 1). This response remained when considering male ($p = 0.0002$) and female ($p = 0.008$) hosts separately.

Host body mass and sex as attraction determinants

Nymphal *D. variabilis* selected male white-footed mice more often than expected by chance in both the presence and absence of CO₂, with 19 and 18 trials resulting in significant male host preference, respectively. Further, larger mice were preferred over smaller mice, with 16 and 17 trials out of 18 trials resulting in preference towards the larger host in both CO₂ presence and absence treatments, respectively (Table 1). Host-produced CO₂ did not alter the host preferences towards larger hosts (OR = 2.08, $p > 0.5$) or male hosts (OR = 0.482, $p > 0.5$).

Discussion

Larval *D. variabilis* did not exhibit a preference to white-footed mice based on host-produced cues. Similar findings of no effect of host odor on larval tick attraction have been reported for *I. hirsti* (Oorebeek et al. 2009) and *A. cooleyi* (Howell 1975), but are counter

Table 1 The results of chemical attraction trials examining tick preferences to white-footed mice over a control (“baseline”), towards larger mice (“host body mass”), and towards males (“host sex”)

	CO ₂ presence	Host	Choice	CI	<i>p</i>
Baseline	+	<i>P. leucopus</i>	25	0.80–1.0	<0.001
		Control	1		
Host body mass	+	Large	16	0.65–1.0	<0.001
		Small	2		
	–	Large	17	0.73–1.0	<0.001
		Small	1		
Host sex	+	Male	19	0.75–1.0	<0.001
		Female	1		
	–	Male	18	0.68–1.0	<0.001
		Female	2		

The number of successful trials for a given choice is given by “choice”. Binomial confidence intervals are provided around the probability that a trial will result in tick selection of (a) white-footed mice relative to a control, (b) large hosts over small hosts, and (c) male hosts instead of female hosts. The *p* values are from binomial exact tests, providing the probability that the actual proportion of trials resulting in a host preference does not differ from equiprobable host choice

reports of larval *I. scapularis* and *I. pacificus* attraction to host cues (James and Oliver 1990). Slowik and Lane (2009) suggest that attraction responses observed in larval *I. pacificus*, *I. jellisoni* and *D. occidentalis* among avian, reptile and rodent hosts in an open air olfactometer, were random searching behavior as seen in *Hyalomma marginatum* (Buczek et al. 1998) as larval ticks have underdeveloped Haller's organs. The lack of larval chemoattraction response suggests that other factors (i.e., maternal egg batch placement, position in leaf litter, etc.) may promote host preferences of larvae, as many larval Ixodids exhibit host specificity in the field (Kollars 1996; Kollars et al. 2000).

Nymphal *D. variabilis* actively oriented towards white-footed mice hosts based on sex and mass in the presence and absence of CO₂, preferring males over females and larger mice over smaller individuals. Proposed explanations of sex-biased parasitism have focused on differences in behavior and immunocompetence between males and females (Krasnov et al. 2005; Sheridan et al. 2000). The present study suggests that there is a chemical difference between male and female white-footed mice that nymphal *D. variabilis* can sense. This chemical difference may affect the probability of successful host acquisition, enhancing sex-biased parasitism observed in field studies. Ticks may be adapted to search for hosts on which they have the highest likelihood of obtaining a successful bloodmeal. As testosterone lowers immunocompetence in males (Grossman 1985), male hosts are potentially preferred by ticks. Larger individuals were preferred over smaller individuals, potentially owing to the amount of chemical cue produced by larger individuals. This result is consistent with field observations in this study location and system (Dallas et al. 2012) and other study areas (Harrison et al. 2009). While we acknowledge the possibility that intrinsic differences between hosts in Y-maze trials may have created moisture gradients or detectable mechanical disturbances, hosts remained still during experimental trials and trials in which noticeable condensation were removed from the analysis.

The attraction responses to larger hosts and to males were not affected by the presence of CO₂, suggesting that host-produced chemicals are important tick attractants regardless of CO₂, a documented tick attractant (Steullet and Guerin 1992; Garcia 1962). It is possible that pheromones and other chemical cues outweigh the influence of CO₂ on tick chemical attraction. However, CO₂ may play a larger role at greater distances, as the current experiment placed ticks in close proximity to hosts, potentially biasing the relative importance of host cues and CO₂.

The significant intraspecific attraction response of *D. variabilis* to *P. leucopus* may lead to the biased parasitism observed in field studies. However, chemical attraction is likely a single element in a suite of factors responsible for variable tick burdens in wild host populations. Efforts to quantify differences in home range size, immune profile, and behavior among individuals of different sex and body mass will provide a more complete picture of the ecological factors responsible for biases in tick host preferences within a single host species.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0431664 and No. 0436348 and by a Phi Kappa Phi Love of Learning grant. We thank A. Kramer, S. Maher, and several anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the manuscript.

References

- Brunner J, Cheney L, Keesing F, Killilea M, Logiudice K, Previtali A, Ostfeld R (2011) Molting success of *Ixodes scapularis* varies among individual blood meal hosts and species. *J Med Entomol* 48(4):860–866

- Buczek A, Jasik K, Buczek L (1998) Sense organs in post-embryonic stages of *Hyalomma marginatum marginatum* Koch, 1844 (Acari: Ixodida, Ixodidae) I. Tarsal sensory system. *Parassitologia* 40:279–282
- Bunnell T, Hanisch K, Hardege JD, Breithaupt T (2011) The fecal odor of sick hedgehogs (*Erinaceus europaeus*) mediates olfactory attraction of the tick *Ixodes hexagonus*. *J Chem Ecol* 37(4):340–347
- Campbell A, MacKay P (1979) Distribution of the American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis* (Say), and its small-mammal hosts in relation to vegetation types in a study area in Nova Scotia. *Can J Zool* 57:1950–1959
- Carroll J (1999) Responses of three species of adult ticks (Acari:Ixodidae) to chemicals in the coats of principal and minor hosts. *J Med Entomol* 36(3):342–368
- Conlon J, Rockett C (1982) Ecological investigations of the american dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis* (Say), in northwest ohio (Acari: Ixodidae). *Int J Acarol* 8(3):125–131
- Crooks E, Randolph SE (2006) Walking by *Ixodes ricinus* ticks: intrinsic and extrinsic factors determine the attraction of moisture or host odour. *J Exp Biol* 209:2138–2142
- Dallas T, Foré S, Kim HJ (2012) Modeling the influence of *Peromyscus leucopus* body mass, sex and habitat on immature *Dermacentor variabilis* burdens. *J Vector Ecol* 37(2):338–341
- de Kogel WJ, Koschier EH, Visser JH (1999) Y-tube olfactometer to determine the attractiveness of plant volatiles to western flower thrips. *Proc Exp Appl Entomol* 10:131–135
- Gallivan G, Horak I (1997) Body size and habitat as determinants of tick infestations of wild ungulates in South Africa. *S Afr J Wildl Res* 27(2):63–70
- Gannon W, Sikes R (2007) Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. *J Mammal* 88(3):809–823
- Garcia R (1962) Carbon dioxide as an attractant for certain ticks (Acarina: Argasidae and Ixodidae). *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 55(5):605–606
- Gnanvossou D, Hanna R, Dicke M (2003) Infochemical-mediated intraguild interactions among three predatory mites on cassava plants. *Oecologia* 135(1):84–90
- Grossman C (1985) Interactions between the gonadal-steroids and the immune system. *Science* 227:257–261
- Harrison A, Scantlebury M, Montgomery WI (2009) Body mass and sex-biased parasitism in wood mice *Apodemus sylvaticus*. *Oikos* 119(7):1099–1104
- Heylen D, Matthysen E (2011) Experimental evidence for host preference in a tick parasitizing songbird nestlings. *Oikos* 120(8):1209–1216
- Howell FG (1975) The roles of host-related stimuli in the behavior of *Argas cooleyi* (Acarina: Argasidae). *J Med Entomol* 11:715–723
- Hwang TW, Kuang Y (2005) Host extinction dynamics in a simple parasite-host interaction model. *Math Biosci Eng* 2:743–751
- James A, Oliver J (1990) Feeding and host preference of immature *Ixodes dammini*, *I. scapularis*, and *I. pacificus* (Acari:Ixodidae). *J Med Entomol* 40:319–327
- Khokhlova IS, Serobyann V, Degen AA, Krasnov BR (2011) Discrimination of host sex by a haemato-phagous ectoparasite. *Anim Behav* 81(1):275–281
- Kiffner C, Lödige C, Alings M, Vor T, Rühle F (2011) Body-mass or sex-biased tick parasitism in roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*)? A GAMLSS approach. *Med Vet Entomol* 25(1):39–45
- Kimoto H, Haga S, Sato K, Touhara K (2005) Sex-specific peptides from exocrine glands stimulate mouse vomeronasal sensory neurons. *Nature* 437:898–901
- Kimoto H, Sato K, Nodari F, Haga S, Holy TE, Touhara K (2007) Sex- and strain-specific expression and vomeronasal activity of mouse ESP family peptides. *Curr Biol* 17:1879–1884
- Kollars TM (1996) Interspecific differences between small mammals as hosts of immature *Dermacentor variabilis* (acari: Ixodidae) and a model for detection of high risk areas of rocky mountain spotted fever. *J Parasitol* 707–710
- Kollars TM, Oliver JHJ, Durden LA, Kollars PG (2000) Host associations and seasonal activity of *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Missouri. *J Parasitol* 86(5):1156–1159
- Krasnov BR, Morand S, Hawlena H, Khokhlova IS, Shenbrot GI (2005) Sex-biased parasitism, seasonality, and sexual size dimorphism in desert rodents. *Oecologia* 146(2):209–217
- Krasnov BR, Bordes F, Khokhlova IS, Morand S (2012) Gender-biased parasitism in small mammals: patterns, mechanisms, consequences. *Mammalia* 76(1):1–13
- Lane RS, Kleinjan JE, Schoeler GB (1995) Diel activity of nymphal *Dermacentor occidentalis* and *Ixodes pacificus* in relation to meteorological factors and host activity periods. *J Med Entomol* 32(3):290–299
- Musante AR, Pekins PJ, Scarpitti DL (2007) Metabolic impacts of winter tick infestations on calf moose. *Alces* 43:101–107

- Nava S, Guglielmone AA (2012) A meta-analysis of host specificity in Neotropical hard ticks (Acari: Ixodidae). *Bull Entomol Res* 1(1):1–9
- Oorebeek M, Sharrad R, Kleindorfer S (2009) What attracts larval *Ixodes hirsti* (Acari: Ixodidae) to their host? *Parasitol Res* 104(3):623–628
- Ostfeld RS, Miller MC, Hazler KR (1996) Causes and consequences of tick (*Ixodes scapularis*) burdens on white-footed mice (*Peromyscus leucopus*). *Am Soc Mammal* 77(1):266–273
- Patt J, Setamou M (2010) Responses of the asian citrus psyllid to volatiles emitted by the flushing shoots of its rutaceous host plants. *Environ Entomol* 39(2):618–624
- R Core Team (2012) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Shaw D, Grenfell B, Dobson A (1998) Patterns of macroparasite aggregation in wildlife host populations. *Parasitology* 117:597–610
- Shaw MT, Keesing F, McGrail R, Ostfeld RS (2003) Factors influencing the distribution of larval black-legged ticks on rodent hosts. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 68(4):447–452
- Sheridan LA, Poulin R, Ward DF, Zuk M (2000) Sex differences in parasitic infections among arthropod hosts: is there a male bias? *Oikos* 88:327–334
- Slowik T, Lane R (2009) Feeding preferences of the immature stages of three western North American Ixodid ticks (Acari) for avian, reptilian or rodent hosts. *J Med Entomol* 46(1):115–122
- Steullet P, Guerin P (1992) Perception of breath components by the tropical bont tick, *Amblyomma variegatum fabricius* (Ixodidae). *J Comp Physiol A: Neuroethol Sens Neural Behav Physiol* 170(6):665–676
- Trexler, JC (1985) Density-dependent parasitism by a eulophid parasitoid: tests of an intragenerational hypothesis. *Oikos* 415–422
- Wells K, O'Hara RB, Pfeiffer M, Lakim MB, Petney TN, and Durden LA (2012) Inferring host specificity and network formation through agent-based models: tick–mammal interactions in Borneo. *Oecologia* 1–10
- Wilson J, Kinzer D, Sauer J, Hair J (1972) Chemo-attraction in the lone star tick (Acarina:Ixodidae): response of different developmental stages to carbon dioxide administered via traps. *J Med Entomol* 9(3):245–252
- Wilson K, Bjornstad O, Dobson A, Merler S, Poglayen G, Randolph S, Read A, Skorpning A (2002) Heterogeneities in macroparasite infections: patterns and processes. In: Hudson PJ, Rizzoli A, Grenfell BT, Heesterbeek H, Dobson AP (eds) *The ecology of wildlife diseases*. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Winston PW, Bates DH (1960) Saturated solutions for the control of humidity in biological research. *Ecology* 41(1):232–237
- Yoder J, Atwood A, Stevens B (1998) Attraction to squalene by ticks (Acari: Ixodidae); first demonstration of a host-derived attractant. *Int J Acarol* 24(2):143–147
- Yoder JA, Domingus JL, Luerman GC (2003) Uric acid-induced arrestment as a possible bird host cue (kairomone) in nymphs and adults of the lone star tick, *Amblyomma americanum* (L.). *Int J Parasitol* 29(4):399–402