12-8-2005

The identity of catfishes identified as Mystus cavasius (Hamilton, 1822) (Teleostei: Bagridae), with a description of a new species from Myanmar

Prosanta Chakrabarty
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Heok Hee Ng
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Recommended Citation

Chakrabarty, P., & Ng, H. (2005). The identity of catfishes identified as Mystus cavasius (Hamilton, 1822) (Teleostei: Bagridae), with a description of a new species from Myanmar. *Zootaxa* (1093), 1-24. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1093.1.1

Zootaxa 1093: 1–24 (2005)
www.mapress.com/zootaxa/

ISSN 1175-5326 (print edition)
ZOOTAXA
ISSN 1175-5334 (online edition)

1093

The identity of catfishes identified as *Mystus cavasius* (Hamilton, 1822) (Teleostei: Bagridae), with a description of a new species from Myanmar

PROSANTA CHAKRABARTY & HEOK HEE NG

Fish Division, Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan, 1109 Geddes Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1079, USA. Email: pchakrab@umich.edu; heokheen@umich.edu

Abstract

The identity of South Asian riverine bagrid catfishes usually referred to as *Mystus cavasius* (Hamilton, 1822) is reviewed. Three species comprise what is currently understood as *M. cavasius*: *M. cavasius* s. str. from northern India, *M. seengtee* from southern India and a new species, *M. falcarius,* from the Salween and Irrawaddy river drainages in Myanmar and the shorter river drainages in southern Myanmar. *Mystus seengtee* is resurrected from synonymy with *M. cavasius* and both species are redescribed. The three species differ from other congeners with a long-based adipose fin in having a combination of a black spot in front of the dorsal-spine base, a dark humeral mark, a body without distinct midlateral stripes, very long maxillary barbels reaching to caudal-fin base, dorsal spine short and feebly serrate, tall dorsal fin, and 13–29 gill rakers. These species differ from each other in dorsal fin shape, shape of the predorsal profile, coloration, and number of rakers on the first gill arch.

Key words: *Mystus cavasius Mystus seengtee*, *Mystus falcarius*, South Asia

Introduction

Mystus Scopoli, 1777, is a diverse group of small- to medium-sized bagrid catfishes, from South Asia, with 23 of the 46 nominal species known from there (Talwar & Jhingran, 1991). Despite two previous studies (Jayaram, 1954; Jayaram & Anuradha Sanyal, 2003), the diversity and distribution of the group in South Asia is not well known. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus are also poorly understood, although Mo (1991) suggested there are two major lineages. Hardman (2005) recently confirmed the paraphyly of *Mystus*.

One group of species (considered *Mystus* s. str. by Roberts, 1994) can be easily distinguished from other congeners in having a very long adipose-fin base that spans

Accepted by L. Page: 13 Nov. 2005; published: 8 Dec. 2005

almost the entire postdorsal distance. This group (hereafter referred to in the diagnoses as "congeners with a long-based adipose fin"), known from West, South and Southeast Asia, was revised by Roberts (1994), who considered the following eight species to be valid: *M. pelusius* (Solander, 1794), *M. cavasius* (Hamilton, 1822), *M. singaringan* (Bleeker, 1846), *M. bocourti* (Bleeker, 1864), *M. bleekeri* (Day, 1877), *M. rufescens* (Vinciguerra, 1890), *M. rhegma*, Fowler, 1935, and *M. albolineatus* Roberts, 1994.

A considerable amount of variation in the number of rakers on the first gill arch has been observed in *M. cavasius* (see Roberts, 1994). We investigated patterns in this variation and recognize three distinct species, one of which is undescribed. Redescriptions of two of these species, *Mystus cavasius* and *M. seengtee,* from northern and southern India respectively, and the description of a new species, *Mystus falcarius*, from Myanmar form the basis of this study.

Materials and methods

Measurements were made point to point with dial calipers and data recorded to tenths of a millimeter. Counts and measurements were made on the left side of specimens whenever possible. Subunits of the head are presented as proportions of head length (HL). Head length and measurements of body parts are given as proportions of standard length (SL). Measurements follow those of Ng & Dodson (1999).

Material examined in this study is deposited in the following institutions: Natural History Museum, London (BMNH), California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco (CAS), Department of Zoology, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater (OSUS), University of Michigan Museum of Zoology, Ann Arbor (UMMZ), and the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC (USNM).

Mystus cavasius (Hamilton, 1822)

(Fig. 1)

Pimelodus cavasius Hamilton, 1822: 203, Pl. XI Fig. 67 [type locality: "Fluvio Atterei" (=Atrai River)]

Bagrus cavasius Valenciennes, in Cuvier & Valenciennes, 1840: 409; Jacquemont, 1835–1844: Pl. XIV Fig. 2; Bleeker, 1854: 113.

Macrones cavasius Günther, 1864: 76 (in part); Day, 1877: 447, Pl. C Fig. 1 (in part); Day, 1889: 155 (in part); Jenkins, 1910: 140.

Mystus cavasius Shaw & Shebbeare, 1937: 91, Fig. 90, Pl. 3 Fig. 3; Chauhan, 1947: 276; Chauhan & Ramakrishna, 1953: 411; Motwani et al., 1962: 21; Bhuiyan, 1964: 63; Singh, 1964: 89; Qureshi, 1965: 42, Fig. 106; Babu Rao & Chattopadhyay, 1969: 887, Pl. 2 Fig. 1; Rahman, 1974: 2, Fig. 1G; Pillai & Yazdani, 1977: 5; Jayaram, 1977: 29, Fig. 21A (in part); Jayaram & Singh, 1977: 262; Jayaram, 1981: 196, Fig. 92A (in part); Shrestha, 1981: 155, Fig. 73; Dutt et al., 1982: 27 (in part); Sharma & Dutt, 1983: 334 (in part); Husain & Tilak, 1984: 275; Sen,

1985: 136, Fig. 74; Edds, 1986a: 5; Edds, 1986b: 17; Sharma & Rajput, 1986: 566; Barman, 1988: 49; Datta Munshi & Srivastava, 1988: 235, Pl. XXIX Fig. 2; Rahman, 1989: 200; Roberts, 1989: 124 (in part); Talwar & Jhingran, 1991: 559, Fig. 184 (in part); Sen, 1992: 180, Fig. 58; Dutta et al., 1993: 25; Roberts, 1994: 248 (in part); Shrestha, 1994: 52, Fig. 79; Sen, 1995: 561, Pl. XXVI Fig. 2; Husain, 1997: 595; Jayaram, 1999: 235, Fig. 118C (in part); Menon, 1999: 200 (in part); Nath & Dey, 2000: 89, Fig. 78, Pl. 2-12; Barman, 2002: 263, Fig. 66; Jayaram & Anuradha Sanyal, 2003: 46, Fig. 5 (in part); Mishra et al., 2003: 26.

Mystus (*Mystus*) *cavasius* Jayaram, 1954: 532, Fig. 2 (in part); Motwani & David, 1957: 11; Majumdar, 1958: 368; Srivastava, 1968: 71, Fig. 45; Misra, 1976: 87, Fig. 18 (in part); Gupta, 1985: 17, Pl. IB.

Mystus mukherjii Ganguly & Datta, 1975: 293, Figs. 1–2 (type locality: Subarnarekha River, below waterfalls at Hundru, Ranchi District, Bihar, India).

Material examined. BMNH 1860.3.19.955, 113 mm SL; India (photograph examined). BMNH 1938.2.22.122 (1), 121.8 mm SL; BMNH 1938.2.22.124–128 (5), 57.8–71.5 mm SL; India: Bombay Presidency, Deolali district [=Maharashtra, Nasik district], Darna River. BMNH 1938.2.22.123 (1), 108.9 mm SL; India: Bombay Presidency, Deolali district [=Maharashtra, Nasik district], Unanda River. CAS 94220 (1), 80.7 mm SL; India: Orissa, Rushukulya River near Purushotampur, 15–20 km inland. CAS 50327 (32), 160.5-67.4 mm SL; Nepal: Chitawan Valley, Dudara River, tributary to Rapti River. OSUS 15972 (2), 57.4–68.0 mm SL; Nepal: Sunsari, Sapt Kosi River, bought in market in Itahari. OSUS 17352 (1), 75.2 mm SL; Nepal: Nawalparasi, Narayani River at Taadi Ghat. OSUS 17434 (2), 75.5–77.9 mm SL; Nepal: Nawalparasi/Chitawan, Narayani River below Rapti River confluence. UMMZ 189647 (2), 84.6–88.0 mm SL; India: West Bengal, Santal Parganas, Kanloi River near Kotalpukur Railway Station. UMMZ 208686 (1), 63.7 mm SL; Bangladesh, Sylhet, Surma (Meghna) drainage, Shari River, 6.8 km S of Jaintapur on Sylhet-Shillong highway. UMMZ 208750 (10), 59.3–96.5 mm SL; Bangladesh: Sylhet, Surma (Meghna) drainage, Gowain River and Khal at Gowainghat. UMMZ 238800 (5), 130.1–152.4 mm SL; India: West Bengal, market in Calcutta. UMMZ 244745 (2), 97.9–103.9 mm SL; India: West Bengal, Mansai River, 1 km after Amtala on Jalpaiguri-Coochbehar road, 26°19'30"N 84°14'4"E. UMMZ 244869 (2), 90.1–90.2 mm SL; India: West Bengal, Tista River at Tista Barrage, 26°45'1"N 88°35'11"E. UMMZ 244939 (1), 100.3 mm SL; India: West Bengal Hooghly River at Kalna, 23°13'30"N 88°22'39"E. USNM 205615 (2 paratypes of *M. mukherjii*), 76.3–77.3 mm SL; India: Bihar, Ranchi district, Subarnarekha River, below waterfalls at Hundru.

Diagnosis. *Mystus cavasius* differs from other congeners with a long-based adipose fin (except *M. seengtee* and *M. falcarius*) in having a combination of a black spot in front of the dorsal-spine base, a dark humeral mark, a body without distinct midlateral stripes, very long maxillary barbels reaching to caudal-fin base, dorsal spine short and feebly serrate, tall dorsal fin, and 13–22 gill rakers. *Mystus cavasius* differs from *M. seengtee* in having fewer gill rakers on the first gill arch (13–22 vs. 23–28; Table 1) and a more gently sloping predorsal profile (making an angle of 20–25° with the horizontal vs. 30–35°; Fig. 2), and from *M. falcarius* in having fewer rakers on the first gill arch (13–22 vs. 22–29;

Table 1), a straight or gently concave (vs. markedly concave) dorsoposterior margin of the dorsal fin (Fig. 3), a faint (vs. very prominent) dark spot at the base of the dorsal spine and a ovoid (vs. crescentic) dark humeral mark.

FIGURE 1. *Mystus cavasius*, UMMZ 244869, 90.2 mm SL; India: Tista River.

TABLE 1. Distribution of gill raker counts in *Mystus cavasius* (n=70), *M. seengtee* (n=25) and *M. falcarius* (n=29).

		NO. OF GILL RAKERS																
		13	**14**	**15**	**16**	**17**	**18**	**19**	**20**	**21**	**22**	**23**	**24**	**25**	**26**	**27**	**28**	**29**
SPECIES	***M. cavasius***	1	1	4	8	17	22	8	4	4	1							
	M. seengtee											5	7	8	1	3	1	
	M. falcarius										9	7	5	5	1	1		1

Description. Biometric data are given in Table 2. Head depressed; dorsal profile evenly sloping (at angle of 20–25° to horizontal) and ventral profile almost straight. Bony elements of dorsal surface of head covered with thin skin; bones readily visible, especially on posterior half of neurocranium, and ornamented with numerous fine, radial grooves. Anterior cranial fontanelle extending from behind snout to line through posterior orbital margins, separated from posterior fontanelle by narrow epiphyseal bar. Posterior fontanelle extending to base of supraoccipital spine. Supraoccipital spine elongate, slender and with blunt tip; extending to anterior nuchal plate. Eye ovoid, horizontal axis longest; located entirely in dorsal half of head. Gill openings wide, extending from exposed surface of posttemporal to beyond isthmus at line through mouth corners. Gill membranes free from isthmus. First branchial arch with 13–22 long, slender gill rakers.

Mouth subterminal, fleshy upper lip extending anteriorly beyond upper jaw. Oral teeth small and villiform, in irregular rows on all tooth-bearing surfaces. Premaxillary tooth band rounded, of equal width throughout. Dentary tooth band much narrower than premaxillary tooth band at symphysis, tapering laterally. Vomerine tooth band unpaired, continuous across midline; smoothly arched along anterior margin, tapering laterally to point extending posteriorly well past level of premaxillary band; band width narrower than

premaxillary band at midline, widening laterally and then tapering to a sharp point posterolaterally.

TABLE 2. Biometric data for *Mystus cavasius* (n=39).

	Range	Mean ± SD
%SL		
Predorsal length	34.3–37.1	35.6±0.79
Preanal length	67.4–74.1	69.4±1.90
Prepelvic length	44.5–49.8	47.2±1.62
Prepectoral length	20.1–21.6	20.7±0.48
Length of dorsal-fin base	13.0–15.1	14.3±0.80
Dorsal spine length	11.4–16.5	14.1±1.36
Anal-fin length	9.3–12.4	10.8±0.86
Pelvic-fin length	15.9–20.2	17.4±1.45
Pectoral-fin length	15.6–20.7	17.9±1.27
Pectoral-spine length	11.4–16.8	14.2±1.42
Caudal-fin length	25.9–36.8	31.4±3.30
Length of adipose-fin base	32.9–44.7	40.2±2.90
Adipose maximum height	3.3–6.2	5.2±0.79
Post-adipose distance	7.8–10.9	9.9±0.92
Caudal peduncle length	19.1–27.7	21.0±2.10
Caudal peduncle depth	7.1–10.3	8.4±1.07
Body depth at anus	15.3–21.2	18.9±1.89
Head length	21.0–23.4	22.3±0.62
Head width	14.0–17.8	15.8±0.95
Head depth	13.8–17.4	15.6±1.02
%HL		
Snout length	37.7–45.0	40.3±2.38
Interorbital distance	26.4–35.0	30.4±2.93
Eye diameter	21.2–32.7	27.0±4.12
Nasal barbel length	56.0–71.3	64.0±7.00
Maxillary barbel length	355.8–504.9	436.2±40.80
Inner mandibular barbel length	77.0–103.5	90.7±9.05
Outer mandibular barbel length	129.6–185.8	162.3±17.80

Barbels in four pairs. Maxillary barbel long and slender, extending to caudal-fin base. Nasal barbel slender, extending to vertical through base of pectoral spine. Inner mandibular-barbel origin close to midline; thicker and longer than nasal barbel and extending to base of posteriormost pectoral-fin ray. Outer mandibular barbel originating

posterolateral of inner mandibular barbel, extending to vertical through middle of dorsal-fin base.



FIGURE 2. Predorsal profiles of: a. *Mystus cavasius*, UMMZ 244745, 103.9 mm SL and b. *M. seengtee*, CAS 62027, 100.8 mm SL.



FIGURE 3. Schematic illustration of dorsal fins of: a. *Mystus cavasius*, CAS 50327, 160.5 mm SL; b. *M. seengtee*, CAS 62078, 152.6 mm SL; c. *M. falcarius*, CAS 89001, holotype, 170.2 mm SL. Arrow highlights concave margin of fin in *M. falcarius*.

Body moderately compressed. Dorsal profile rising evenly but not steeply from tip of snout to origin of dorsal fin and sloping gently ventrally from origin of dorsal fin to end of caudal peduncle. Ventral profile slightly convex to anal-fin base, then sloping slightly

dorsally to end of caudal peduncle. Skin smooth. Lateral line complete and midlateral in position. Vertebrae 21+17=38 (2), 20+19=39 (1), 21+18=39 (5), 22+17=39 (2), 21+19=40 (9), 22+18=40 (10), 21+20=41 (3), 22+19=41 (4), 23+18=41 (1), 21+21=42 (1) or 22+21=43 (1).

Dorsal fin with spinelet, spine, and 6 (1) or 7 (38) rays. Origin of dorsal fin anterior to mid-body, about two-fifths of body. Dorsal fin margin straight or slightly concave, with first two fin rays longer than others. Dorsal fin spine moderately long, straight and slender, posterior edge with 3–4 indistinct serrations. Serrations fewer, lower and less distinct in smaller specimens. Anterior nuchal plate acutely triangular.

Pectoral fin with stout spine, sharply pointed at tip, and 6 (1), 7 (5), 8 (21), 9 (9) or 10 (3) rays. Anterior spine margin smooth; posterior spine margin with 12–22 serrations along entire length (serrations fewer in smaller specimens). Pectoral fin margin straight anteriorly, convex posteriorly. Postcleithral (humeral) process short and slender, with concave dorsal edge and extending to anterior tip of anterior nuchal plate.

Pelvic fin origin at vertical through posterior end of dorsal-fin base, with i,5 (39) rays and slightly convex margin; tip of adpressed fin not reaching anal fin origin. Anus and urogenital openings located at vertical through middle of adpressed pelvic fin. Males with a short genital papilla reaching to base of first anal-fin ray.

Adipose fin with very long base and deeply incised posterior portion, spanning almost all of postdorsal distance. Anal fin origin located at approximately middle third of adipose fin, fin with iv,6 (7), iv,7 (20), iv,8 (10) or iv,9 (2) rays and curved posterior margin.

Caudal peduncle moderately deep. Caudal fin deeply forked, with i,6,6,i (1), i,6,7,i (6), i,6,8,i (2), i,7,7,i (18) or i,7,8,i (12) principal rays; upper lobe slender and lanceolate, lower lobe pointed. Procurrent rays extending only slightly anterior to fin base.

Coloration. In 70% ethanol: dorsal surface of head and body uniform brownish gray in some individuals, silvery white in others. Dark spots in front of base of dorsal spine and on humeral region, faint in some specimens. Some individuals with distinct dark stripe along lateral line, consisting of densely aggregated melanophores and with pale stripe without melanophores immediately above. Ventral surfaces of head and body dirty white; adipose fin brownish gray. All fins hyaline, with melanophores on fin membranes on some individuals, usually more densely aggregated along margins with fin rays. Dorsal half of barbels gray dorsally, gradually turning to dirty white on ventral half and tips.

Distribution. Known from the Ganges, Brahmaputra, Mahanadi, Subarnarekhar and Godavari river drainages in northern India, Nepal and Bangladesh (Fig. 4). Records of *M. cavasius* from the Indus River drainage further to the west presumably refer to this species, but no material for verification was available to us.

Habitat and biology. *Mystus cavasius* is known from a wide variety of habitats, including both fast- and slow-flowing rivers and streams (Nath & Dey, 2000), where it reportedly feeds on invertebrates and, to a smaller extent, smaller fishes (Bhatt, 1971). In the Ganges, this species spawns in August and September (Bhatt, 1971).



FIGURE 4. Map showing collection localities of *M. cavasius* (●), *M. seengtee* (▲) and *M. falcarius* (■) examined.

***Mystus seengtee* (Sykes, 1839)**
(Figs. 5–6)

Pimelodus seengtee Sykes, 1839: 164 (type locality: Dukhun, India, probably Bhima River at Pargaon); Sykes, 1841: 374, Pl. 66 Fig. 2.
Bagrus cavasius (non Hamilton) Jerdon, 1849: 337.

Macrones cavasius (non Hamilton) Günther, 1864: 76 (in part); Day, 1865a: 290; Day, 1877: 447, Pl. C Fig. 1 (in part); Day, 1889: 155 (in part).
Hypselobagrus cavasius (non Hamilton) Day, 1865b: 188.
Mystus cavasius (non Hamilton) Hora, 1936: 1; Hora, 1937: 17; Silas, 1949: 793; Rajan, 1955: 45; Jayaram, 1981: 196, Fig. 92A (in part); Jayaram et al., 1982: 84, Fig. 23; Talwar & Jhingran, 1991: 559, Fig. 184 (in part); Raghunathan, 1993: 336; Roberts, 1994: 248 (in part); Jayaram, 1995: 97; Shaji et al., 1995: 361; Jayaram, 1999: 235, Fig. 118C (in part); Menon, 1999: 200 (in part); Jayaram & Anuradha Sanyal, 2003: 46, Fig. 5 (in part); Yadav, 2003: 18.
Mystus (*Mystus*) *cavasius* (non Hamilton) Jayaram, 1954: 532, Fig. 2 (in part); Misra, 1976: 87, Fig. 18 (in part).
Mystus cavasus [sic.] (non Hamilton) Jadhav & Bhosale, 1996: 76.

Material examined. CAS 62005 (5), 71.3–128.0 mm SL; India: Karnataka, Cauvery River drainage, 9 km north of Kushalnagar (about 80 km WNW of Mysore). CAS 62027 (12), 56.3–109.8 mm SL; India: Karnataka, Cauvery River drainage, NW/WNW of Mysore. CAS 62078 (8), 91.9–152.6 mm SL; India: Karnataka, Krishna River drainage, Tungabahdra River and Reservoir at Hospet, Hampi, and Kampli.

FIGURE 5. *Mystus seengtee*, CAS 62078, 106.0 mm SL; India: Tungabahdra River.



FIGURE 6. *Mystus seengtee*, illustration (laterally inverted) from Sykes (1841).

Diagnosis. *Mystus seengtee* differs from other congeners with a long-based adipose fin (except *M. cavasius* and *M. falcarius*) in having a combination of a black spot in front of the dorsal-spine base, a dark humeral mark, a body without distinct midlateral stripes, very long maxillary barbels reaching to caudal-fin base, dorsal spine short and feebly serrate, tall dorsal fin, and 23–28 rakers on the first gill arch. It can be distinguished from *M. cavasius* in having more rakers on the first gill arch (23–28 vs. 13–22; Table 1) and a more steeply sloping predorsal profile (making an angle of 30–35° to the horizontal vs. 20–25°; Fig. 2), and from *M. falcarius* in having a straight or gently concave (vs. markedly concave) dorsoposterior margin of the dorsal fin (Fig. 3), an ovoid (vs. crescentic) dark humeral mark and a faint (vs. very prominent) dark spot at the base of the dorsal spine.

Description. Biometric data are given in Table 3. Head depressed; dorsal profile evenly sloping (at angle of 30–35° to horizontal) and ventral profile almost straight. Bony elements of dorsal surface of head covered with thin skin; bones readily visible, especially on posterior half of neurocranium, and ornamented with numerous fine, radial grooves. Anterior cranial fontanelle extending from behind snout to line through posterior orbital margins, separated from posterior fontanelle by narrow epiphyseal bar. Posterior fontanelle extending to base of supraoccipital spine. Supraoccipital spine elongate, slender and with blunt tip; extending to anterior nuchal plate. Eye ovoid, horizontal axis longest; located entirely in dorsal half of head. Gill openings wide, extending from exposed surface of posttemporal to beyond isthmus at line through mouth corners. Gill membranes free from isthmus. First branchial arch with 23–28 long, slender gill rakers.

Mouth subterminal, fleshy upper lip extending anteriorly beyond upper jaw. Oral teeth small and villiform, in irregular rows on all tooth-bearing surfaces. Premaxillary tooth band rounded, of equal width throughout. Dentary tooth band much narrower than premaxillary tooth band at symphysis, tapering laterally. Vomerine tooth band unpaired, continuous across midline; smoothly arched along anterior margin, tapering laterally to point extending posteriorly well past level of premaxillary band; band width narrower than premaxillary band at midline, widening laterally and then tapering to a sharp point posterolaterally.

Barbels in four pairs. Maxillary barbel long and slender, extending beyond caudal-fin base. Nasal barbel slender, extending to vertical through base of pectoral spine. Inner mandibular-barbel origin close to midline; thicker and longer than nasal barbel and extending to base of posteriormost pectoral-fin ray. Outer mandibular barbel originating posterolateral of inner mandibular barbel, extending to vertical through middle of dorsal-fin base.

Body moderately compressed. Dorsal profile rising evenly but not steeply from tip of snout to origin of dorsal fin and sloping gently ventrally from origin of dorsal fin to end of caudal peduncle. Ventral profile slightly convex to anal-fin base, then sloping slightly dorsally to end of caudal peduncle. Skin smooth. Lateral line complete and midlateral in position. Vertebrae 21+19=40 (1), 22+19=41 (4), 23+18=41 (1) or 23+19=42 (7).

TABLE 3. Biometric data for *Mystus seengtee* (n=13).

	Range	Mean±SD
%SL		
Predorsal length	33.4–39.5	35.8±1.23
Preanal length	62.7–70.7	68.6±1.61
Prepelvic length	44.4–49.7	47.3±1.26
Prepectoral length	19.2–22.4	21.0±0.82
Length of dorsal-fin base	12.9–16.8	14.7±0.82
Dorsal spine length	12.4–17. 9	14.7±1.26
Anal-fin length	8.3–12.5	10.2±0.87
Pelvic-fin length	13.0–18.6	16.5 ±1.25
Pectoral-fin length	14.5–19.2	16.9±1.38
Pectoral-spine length	11.9–16.6	14.5±1.29
Caudal-fin length	25.4–38.7	31.7±3.06
Length of adipose-fin base	35.0–46.7	41.8±3.09
Adipose maximum height	2.9–6.6	4.9±0.97
Post-adipose distance	7.2–11.0	8.6±1.17
Caudal peduncle length	17.5–30.3	22.0±3.35
Caudal peduncle depth	6.3–8.6	7.6±0.70
Body depth at anus	15.3–21.7	18.9±1.62
Head length	21.0–25.8	23.1±1.16
Head width	14.3–18.1	15.9±0.82
Head depth	13.6–19.0	16.0±1.12
%HL		
Snout length	37.2–43.5	39.4±1.90
Interorbital distance	23.4–38.2	27.0±3.11
Eye diameter	22.4–31.0	27.0±2.04
Nasal barbel length	52.9–89.7	70.1±8.89
Maxillary barbel length	344.8–513.6	416.5±54.20
Inner mandibular barbel length	70–109.0	87.9±10.81
Outer mandibular barbel length	137.5–190.5	162.4±17.00

Dorsal fin with spinelet, spine, and 6 (2) or 7 (11) rays. Origin of dorsal fin anterior to mid-body, about one-third of body. Dorsal fin margin straight or slightly concave, with first two fin rays longer than others. Dorsal fin spine moderately long, straight and slender, posterior edge with 3–4 indistinct serrations. Serrations fewer, lower and less distinct in smaller specimens. Anterior nuchal plate acutely triangular.

Pectoral fin with stout spine, sharply pointed at tip, and 7 (4), 8 (6) or 9 (3) rays. Anterior spine margin smooth; posterior spine margin with 11–16 serrations along entire length (serrations fewer in smaller specimens). Pectoral fin margin straight anteriorly, convex posteriorly. Postcleithral (humeral) process short and slender, with straight dorsal edge and extending to anterior tip of anterior nuchal plate.

Pelvic fin origin at vertical through posterior end of dorsal-fin base, with i,5 (13) rays and slightly convex margin; tip of adpressed fin not reaching anal fin origin. Anus and urogenital openings located at vertical through middle of adpressed pelvic fin. Males with a short genital papilla reaching to base of first anal-fin ray.

Adipose fin with very long base and deeply-incised posterior portion, spanning almost all of postdorsal distance. Anal fin origin located at approximately middle third of adipose fin, fin with iv,6 (4), iv,7 (7), iv,8 (1) or iv,9 (1) rays and curved posterior margin.

Caudal peduncle moderately deep. Caudal fin deeply forked, with i,6,7,i (1), i,7,7,i (6) or i,7,8,i (6) principal rays; upper lobe slender and lanceolate, lower lobe pointed. Procurrent rays extending only slightly anterior to fin base.

Coloration. In 70% ethanol: dorsal surface of head and body uniform brownish gray. Dark spots in front of base of dorsal spine and on humeral region, indistinct in most specimens. Ventral surfaces of head and body dirty white; adipose fin brownish gray. All fins hyaline, with melanophores on fin membranes on some individuals, usually more densely aggregated along margins with fin rays. Dorsal half of barbels gray dorsally, gradually turning to dirty white on ventral half and tips.

Distribution. Known from the Krishna and Cauvery river drainages in southern India (Fig. 4). *Mystus seengtee* is very likely to be found in most (if not all) other river drainages south of the Krishna River drainage.

Mystus falcarius sp. nov.

(Fig. 7)

Bagrus cavasius (non Hamilton, 1822) Blyth, 1858: 284; Blyth, 1860: 149.

Macrones cavasius (non Hamilton) Day, 1877: 447, Pl. C Fig. 1 (in part); Day, 1889: 155 (in part); Vinciguerra, 1890: 28 (in part); Jenkins, 1910: 137; Chaudhuri, 1911: 20; Kyaw Win, 1971: 52, Fig. 20 (5).

Aoria cavasius (non Hamilton) Prashad & Mukerji, 1929: 179; Mukerji, 1933: 815.

Mystus (*Mystus*) *cavasius* (non Hamilton) Jayaram, 1954: 532, Fig. 2 (in part); Misra, 1976: 87, Fig. 18 (in part).

Mystus cavasius (non Hamilton) Tint Hlaing, 1971: 513; Jayaram, 1981: 196, Fig. 92A (in part); Dutt et al., 1982: 27 (in part); Sharma & Dutt, 1983: 334 (in part); Talwar & Jhingran, 1991: 559, Fig. 184 (in part); Roberts, 1994: 248, Fig. 3 (in part); Jayaram, 1999: 235, Fig. 118C (in part); Menon, 1999: 200 (in part); Jayaram & Anuradha Sanyal, 2003: 46, Fig. 5 (in part).

Mystus near *cavasius* #1 Roberts, 1989: 124.

Type material. Holotype: CAS 89001, 170.2 mm SL; Myanmar: Kachin State, Myitkyina market; C.J. Ferraris, 21–22 April 1996.

FIGURE 7. *Mystus falcarius*, holotype, CAS 89001, 170.2 mm SL; Myanmar: Myitkyina.

Paratypes: BMNH 1891.11.30.210–219 (13), 96.0–139.6 mm SL; Myanmar: Sittaung River and adjacent streams from Taungoo to about 240 km S; E.W. Oates, date unknown. CAS 79033 (2), 118.8–124.8 mm SL; Myanmar: Yangon market; T.R. Roberts, 5–12 March 1985. CAS 89000 (4), 92.2–125.8 mm SL; USNM 344668 (6), 74.8–99.0 mm SL; Myanmar: Sagaing Division, Pinda River in vicinity of Pinda Village, 23°10'59"N 94°5'37"E; C.J. Ferraris et al., 24 April 1996. CAS 92932 (1), 101.6 mm SL; Myanmar: Taninthayi Division, Tenasserim River backwater, midway between Htee-tah & Baowashung; T.R. Roberts, 12 March 1992. CAS 96569 (1), 190.4 mm SL; Myanmar: Taninthayi Division, Tenasserim River and tributaries midway between Htee-tah & Baowashung; T.R. Roberts, March 1992. USNM 343550 (1), 80.1 mm SL; Myanmar: Bago Division, Sittaung River at Taungoo; C.J. Ferraris & D. Catania, 7 April 1996.

Diagnosis. *Mystus falcarius* differs from other congeners with a long-based adipose fin (except *M. cavasius* and *M. seengtee*) in having a combination of a black spot in front of the dorsal-spine base, a dark humeral mark, a body without distinct midlateral stripes, very long maxillary barbels reaching to caudal-fin base, dorsal spine short and feebly serrate, tall dorsal fin, and 22–29 rakers on the first gill arch. It can be distinguished from *M. cavasius* and *M. seengtee* in having a very prominent (vs. faint) dark spot at the base of the dorsal spine, a crescentic (vs. ovoid) dark humeral mark, and a dorsal fin with very elongate first and second rays and a markedly concave dorsoposterior margin (vs. with moderately elongate first and second rays and a straight or weakly concave dorsoposterior margin; Fig. 3). The black spot in front of the dorsal-spine base is also more prominent in preserved material of *M. falcarius* than in either *M. cavasius* or *M. seengtee*. *Mystus falcarius* further differs from *M. cavasius* in having more gill rakers (22–29 vs. 13–22; Table 1).

Description. Biometric data are given in Table 4. Head depressed; dorsal profile evenly sloping, and ventral profile almost straight. Bony elements of dorsal surface of head covered with thin skin; bones readily visible, especially on posterior half of neurocranium, and ornamented with numerous fine, radial grooves. Anterior cranial

fontanelle extending from behind snout to line through posterior orbital margins, separated from posterior fontanelle by narrow epiphyseal bar. Posterior fontanelle extending to base of supraoccipital spine. Supraoccipital spine elongate, slender and with blunt tip; extending to anterior nuchal plate. Eye ovoid, horizontal axis longest; located entirely in dorsal half of head. Gill openings wide, extending from exposed surface of posttemporal to beyond isthmus at line through mouth corners. Gill membranes free from isthmus. First branchial arch with 22–29 long, slender gill rakers.

TABLE 4. Biometric data for *Mystus falcarius* (n=29).

	Range	**Mean±SD**
%SL		
Predorsal length	33.1–36.0	34.2±0.84
Preanal length	61.0–70.7	67.5±2.71
Prepelvic length	42.6–49.9	46.7±2.40
Prepectoral length	17.8–22.3	20.2±1.62
Length of dorsal-fin base	13.2–14.6	13.7±0.57
Dorsal spine length	14.0–19.0	16.3±1.41
Anal-fin length	9.8–11.5	10.8±0.51
Pelvic-fin length	16.2–18.8	17.7±0.72
Pectoral-fin length	14.9–19.2	17.3±1.07
Pectoral-spine length	13.9–16.6	15.3±0.92
Caudal-fin length	26.5–34.7	31.8±2.52
Length of adipose-fin base	39.9–48.8	44.8±2.62
Adipose maximum height	4.6–7.4	6.0±0.82
Post-adipose distance	7.8–11.7	10.1±1.34
Caudal peduncle length	19.3–24.3	21.9±1.43
Caudal peduncle depth	6.7–8.6	7.7±0.77
Body depth at anus	17.3–22.0	19.9±1.75
Head length	19.8–23.7	22.0±1.01
Head width	13.7–17.1	14.7±1.17
Head depth	14.0–17.2	15.4±1.29
%HL		
Snout length	36.8–45.2	42.2±2.65
Interorbital distance	26.1–35.6	29.1±2.95
Eye diameter	22.4–30.2	26.3±2.14
Nasal barbel length	52.6–81.5	70.0±11.13
Maxillary barbel length	435.6–538.0	492.0±32.78
Inner mandibular barbel length	75.2–101.4	89.7±10.84
Outer mandibular barbel length	148.3–190.2	173.8±14.28

Mouth subterminal, fleshy upper lip extending anteriorly beyond upper jaw. Oral teeth small and villiform, in irregular rows on all tooth-bearing surfaces. Premaxillary tooth band rounded, of equal width throughout. Dentary tooth band much narrower than premaxillary tooth band at symphysis, tapering laterally. Vomerine tooth band unpaired, continuous across midline; smoothly arched along anterior margin, tapering laterally to point extending posteriorly well past level of premaxillary band; band width narrower than premaxillary band at midline, widening laterally and then tapering to a sharp point posterolaterally.

Barbels in four pairs. Maxillary barbel long and slender, extending beyond caudal-fin base. Nasal barbel slender, extending to vertical through base of pectoral spine. Inner mandibular-barbel origin close to midline; thicker and longer than nasal barbel and extending to base of posteriormost pectoral-fin ray. Outer mandibular barbel originating posterolateral of inner mandibular barbel, extending to vertical through middle of dorsal-fin base.

Body moderately compressed. Dorsal profile rising evenly but not steeply from tip of snout to origin of dorsal fin and sloping gently ventrally from origin of dorsal fin to end of caudal peduncle. Ventral profile slightly convex to anal-fin base, then sloping slightly dorsally to end of caudal peduncle. Skin smooth. Lateral line complete and midlateral in position. Vertebrae 22+18=40 (1), 22+19=41 (2), 22+20=42 (11), 23+19=42 (8), 23+20=43 (5) or 23+21=44 (2).

Dorsal fin with spinelet, spine, and 7 (29) rays. Origin of dorsal fin anterior to mid-body, about one-third of body. Dorsal fin margin markedly concave, with first two fin rays longer than others. Dorsal fin spine moderately long, straight and slender, posterior edge with 3–8 indistinct serrations. Serrations fewer, lower and less distinct in smaller specimens. Anterior nuchal plate acutely triangular.

Pectoral fin with stout spine, sharply pointed at tip, and 7 (6), 8 (10), 9 (10) or 10 (3) rays. Anterior spine margin smooth; posterior spine margin with 12–22 serrations along entire length (serrations fewer in smaller specimens). Pectoral fin margin straight anteriorly, convex posteriorly. Postcleithral (humeral) process short and slender, with concave dorsal edge and extending to anterior tip of anterior nuchal plate.

Pelvic fin origin at vertical through posterior end of dorsal-fin base, with i,5 (29) rays and slightly convex margin; tip of adpressed fin not reaching anal fin origin. Anus and urogenital openings located at vertical through middle of adpressed pelvic fin. Males with a short genital papilla reaching to base of first anal-fin ray.

Adipose fin with very long base and deeply-incised posterior portion, spanning almost all of postdorsal distance. Anal fin origin located at approximately middle third of adipose fin, fin with iv,6 (5), iv,7 (11), iv,8 (12) or iv,9 (1) rays and curved posterior margin.

Caudal peduncle moderately deep. Caudal fin deeply forked, with i,7,7,i (5), i,7,8,i (13), i,7,9,i (10) or i,8,9,i (1) principal rays; upper lobe slender and lanceolate, lower lobe pointed. Procurrent rays extending only slightly anterior to fin base.

Coloration. In 70% ethanol: dorsal surface of head and body uniform brownish gray. Very distinct dark spot in front of base of dorsal spine. Crescentic dark mark on humeral region, indistinct in some specimens. Ventral surfaces of head and body dirty white; adipose fin brownish gray. All fins hyaline, with melanophores on fin membranes on some individuals, usually more densely aggregated along margins with fin rays. Dorsal half of barbels gray dorsally, gradually turning to dirty white on ventral half and tips.

Distribution. Known from the Irrawaddy and Salween river drainages in Myanmar, as well as the shorter drainages in southern Myanmar (in the Tenasserim region; Fig. 4).

Etymology. From the Latin falx, meaning sickle, in reference to both the markedly concave dorsoposterior margin of the dorsal fin in this species and the crescent shaped humeral mark. Used as an adjective.

Discussion

Pimelodus seengtee was originally described from the Deccan region in southwestern India from a holotype 6 inches (=152.4 mm) long (this length almost certainly refers to TL). There are two specimens in the Natural History Museum (London) attributed to Sykes (see Roberts, 1994): a dried, stuffed specimen 130 mm SL (BMNH 1857.6.13.154), and a wet specimen 113 mm SL (BMNH 1860.3.19.955). Before we discuss the identities of the two specimens, it is instructive to briefly review the history of the ichthyological material collected by Colonel William Henry Sykes during his Deccan survey. This material was initially deposited in two institutions: the Zoological Society of London (Greenwood, 1976; however, it is interesting to note that there are no written records that Sykes ever deposited fish specimens there, see Wheeler, 1997) and the Honourable East India Company's India Museum (sometimes also referred to as the "East India Museum" or the "East Indian Museum"; Whitehead & Talwar, 1976). The collections of the Zoological Society of London were sold to the Natural History Museum [then British Museum (Natural History)] in 1855–56 (Boulenger, 1906; Mitchell, 1929; Greenwood, 1976; Wheeler, 1997) and the collections of the India Museum were transferred to the Natural History Museum in 1859–60 (Boulenger, 1906). These may have been the reasons why BMNH 1857.6.13.154 (purchased from the Zoological Society of London) and BMNH 1860.3.19.955 (donated by the Honourable East India Company; such material is indicated in the registers as "...presented by the Secretary of State for India") were both regarded as potential types of *Pimelodus seengtee* (see Roberts, 1994).

Although the wet specimen (BMNH 1860.3.19.955) is closer in size to that of the holotype (*M. seengtee* has a caudal fin of about 30% SL in length; this would make BMNH 1857.6.13.154 about 169 mm TL and BMNH 1860.3.19.955 about 146.9 mm TL), our examination of a photograph of this specimen shows that it is not *M. seengtee*, but *M. cavasius* (as the specimen has a gently-sloping predorsal profile making an angle of about 25° to the horizontal typically seen in *M. cavasius*). This is confirmed by the gill raker

counts (21) of this specimen (J. Maclaine pers. comm. to HHN), which correspond to *M. cavasius* (but not *M. seengtee*). Therefore BMNH 1860.3.19.955 cannot be the holotype of *Pimelodus seengtee*.

The dried specimen (BMNH 1857.6.13.154) is also approximately the same size as that stated for the holotype. However, it is poorly prepared, having the head strongly arched backwards such that it is no longer possible to accurately determine the slope of the predorsal profile (Fig. 8). Furthermore, it is not possible to confirm the identity of the dried specimen by counting the gill rakers, because the gill openings have been sealed shut (J. Maclaine, pers. comm. to NHH); it also highly likely that the gill arches have been removed in the course of preparation. Given the uncertainty concerning the specific identity of BMNH 1857.6.13.154, we have refrained from identifying it as the holotype of *Pimelodus seengtee*. Since we are not even sure if it is conspecific with southern Indian material we have examined, we tentatively identify it as *Mystus* sp. *incerta sedis*.



FIGURE 8. *Mystus* sp. *incerta sedis*, BMNH 1857.6.13.154, 130 mm SL; India. Photograph courtesy of J. Maclaine (BMNH).

Although it is frequently acknowledged that the fishes collected by Sykes (particularly the types of the species he described) are lost (e.g. Ferraris & Runge, 1999), our investigations uncover evidence that some of this material may still be extant. One other specimen (BMNH 1857.6.13.158) also acquired from the Zoological Society (and accessioned) at the same time bears the name *Hypophthalmus goongorensis* (most likely a misspelling of *Hypophthalmus goongwaree*) in the register, and its status as the holotype of this species should be investigated. This is beyond the scope of this study, but we mention it here to draw attention to the problem.

Both *M. seengtee* and *M. falcarius* can be distinguished from *M. cavasius* by the differences in the number of rakers on the first gill arch. Although the gill raker counts can be variable among *Mystus* species (Roberts, 1989), the differences in counts as being

indicative of interspecific differences are further supported by the presence of other characters in predorsal profile shape, dorsal fin shape, and coloration unique to the southern Indian, northern Indian and Myanmar populations of "*M. cavasius*". Although some overlap exists between gill raker counts for *M. cavasius* (n=70) and both *M. seengtee* (n=25) and *M. falcarius* (n=29) combined, the overlap only occurs at the uppermost limit of the gill raker counts for *M. cavasius* (22) and only in one out of 70 specimens of *M. cavasius* examined. We note that because of this and the fact that gill raker counts can be useful in distinguishing species of *Mystus* (e.g. Roberts, 1992), the differences observed are treated as interspecific in nature. Furthermore, it is unlikely that the variation in gill raker counts is the result of clinal variation, as no clear geographic pattern exists (Roberts, 1994). The slope of the predorsal profile is consistent for all of the material we have examined and it can be reliably used to distinguish *M. seengtee* from *M. cavasius*. The shape of the humeral mark can be reliably used to distinguish *M. falcarius* from *M. cavasius* and *M. seengtee*. In *M. falcarius*, this mark is always crescent shaped (vs. ovoid). The ovoid humeral mark is also more prominent in nearly all of the *M. cavasius* material we have examined (except in UMMZ 238800, BMNH 1938.2.22.122, BMNH 1938.2.22.123 and BMNH 1938.2.22.124–128) when compared to that of *M. seengtee*. However, since we do not know the live coloration of *M. seengtee* (the humeral mark is prominent in the live coloration of *M. cavasius*) and cannot rule out the faded condition in *M. seengtee* as a preservation artifact, we have refrained from using it as a diagnostic character. The dark spot at the base of the dorsal spine is very prominent in *M. falcarius*, more so than in either *M. cavasius* or *M. seengtee*. This is evident even in old material which has not been properly fixed and in which the color is considerably faded (BMNH 1891.11.210–219).

The species diversity and distributional patterns as observed in *M. cavasius*, *M. seengtee* and *M. falcarius* (with three distinct species distributed in northern India, southern India and Myanmar respectively) is fairly common among riverine catfishes in this region. For example, similar distributional patterns have been observed in other catfish genera, most notably *Rita* (see Ferraris, 1999) and *Gagata* (see Roberts & Ferraris, 1998).

A species of *Mystus* with a long-based adipose fin similar to *M. seengtee* (currently identified as *M. cavasius*) is also found in Sri Lanka. A comparison based on a photograph of a Sri Lankan specimen in Pethiyagoda (1991) shows that it differs from *M. seengtee* in having a more falcate dorsal fin and the apparent absence of a dark spot in front of the dorsal-spine base. The identity of the Sri Lankan species is being further investigated in a separate study by the second author and colleagues.

Additional material examined

Mystus sp. *incerta sedis*: BMNH 1857.6.13.154, 130 mm SL; India: purchased from the Zoological Society of London (photograph examined).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the following for access to material under their care: David Catania (CAS), Anthony Echelle (OSUS), Douglas Nelson (UMMZ), and Jeffrey Williams (USNM), and to Andrew Arunava Rao for facilitating field work in India. We also thank James Maclaine (BMNH) for providing the photographs of the purported types of *Pimelodus seengtee*, providing data on these specimens, and making material available to us for study. Thanks are also due to Louise Tomsett (BMNH) for providing information on the mammal types collected by Sykes. This work was funded by support from the Carl L. and Laura C. Hubbs Research Fellowship from the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan to the second author, and the All Catfish Species Inventory (NSF DEB-0315963).

Literature cited

Babu Rao & Chattopadhyay, S.K. (1969) Systematic studies on *Mystus* spp. (Pisces: Bagridae) of west Bengal. *Journal of the Bengal Natural History Society*, 35, 86–104.

Barman, R.P. (1988) The fishes of the river Gumti, Tripura, north-eastern India. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India, Miscellaneous Publications. Occasional Paper*, 119, 1–89.

Barman, R.P. (2002) Pisces: Freshwater Fishes. *In*: Alfred, J.R.B. (Ed.), *State Fauna Series 7. Fauna of Tripura Part 1. Vertebrates*. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, 191–320.

Bhatt, V.S. (1971) Studies on the biology of some freshwater fishes part VI. *Mystus cavasius* (Ham.). *Hydrobiologia*, 38, 289–302.

Bhuiyan, A.L. (1964) *Fishes of Dacca*. Asiatic Society of Pakistan, Dacca, 148 pp.

Bleeker, P. (1854) Nalezingen op de ichthyologische fauna van Bengalen en Hindostan. *Verhandelingen van het Bataviaasch Genootschap van Kunsten en Wetenschappen*, 25, 1–164.

Blyth, E. (1858) Report of Curator, Zoological Department, for May, 1858. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*, 27, 267–290.

Blyth, E. (1860) Report on some fishes received chiefly from the Sitang River and its tributary streams, Tenasserim Provinces. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*, 29, 138–174.

Boulenger, G.A. (1906) Fishes. *In*: *The History of the Collections Contained in the Natural History Departments of the British Museum. Volume II.* Trustees of the British Museum, London, 534–550.

Chaudhuri, B.L. (1911) Contributions to the fauna of Yunnan based on collections made by J. Coggin Brown, B.Sc., 1909-1910. Part II.Fishes. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 6, 13–24.

Chauhan, B.S. (1947) Fish and fisheries of the Patna State, Orissa. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 45, 267–282.

Chauhan, B.S. & Ramakrishna, G. (1953) Fauna of the Balangir District (formerly Patna State), Orissa. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 51, 395–419.

Cuvier, G. & Valenciennes, A. (1840) *Histoire Naturelle des Poissons. Tome Quartozième*. 464 pp., Pls. 389–420. Pitois-Levrault, Paris.

Datta Munshi, J.S. & Srivastava, M.P. (1988) *Natural history of fishes and systematics of freshwater fishes of India.* Narendra Publishing House, Delhi, 403 pp.

Day, F. (1865a) On the fishes of Cochin, on the Malabar Coast of India. Part II. Anacanthini. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 1865, 286–318.

Day, F. (1865b) *The fishes of Malabar*. Bernard Quaritch, London, 293 pp.

Day, F. (1877) *The fishes of India: being a natural history of the fishes known to inhabit the seas and freshwaters of India, Burma and Ceylon. Part iii.* William Dawson & Sons, London, 369–552.

Day. F. (1889) *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Fishes. Vol. 1.* Taylor & Francis, London, 548 pp.

Dutt, S., Sharma, S.V. & Desoutter, M. (1982) On the taxonomic position of *Mystus cavasius* (Hamilton-Buchanam [sic.], 1822) vis-a-vis *M. nigriceps* (Valenciennes, 1839) and *M. keletius* (Valenciennes, 1839). *Cybium*, 6, 27–30.

Dutta, A.K., Kundu, D.K. & Karmakar, A.K. (1993) Freshwater Fishes. *In*: Chhotani, O.B. (Ed.), *State Fauna Series 1. Fauna of Orissa Part 4*. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, 1–37.

Edds, D. (1986a) The fishes of Royal Chitwan National Park. *Journal of the Nepal Natural History Museum*, 10, 1–12.

Edds, D. (1986b) Fishes of the Kali Gandaki/Narayani River, Nepal. *Journal of the Nepal Natural History Museum*, 10, 13–22.

Ferraris, C.J. (1999) *Rita sacerdotum*, a valid species of catfish from Myanmar (Pisces, Bagridae). Bulletin of the Natural History Museum Lond. (Zoology) v. 65 (no. 1): 15-21.

Ferraris, C.J. & Runge, K.E. (1999) Revision of the South Asian bagrid catfish genus *Sperata*, with the description of a new species from Myanmar. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences*, 51, 397–424.

Ganguly, D.N. & Datta, N.C. (1975) A new cat fish of the genus *Mystus* Scopoli (Family : Bagridae) from the vicinity of the Hundru Falls, Bihar, India with comment on the genus *Mystus*. *In*: Tiwari, K.K. & Srivastava, C.B. (Eds.) *Dr. B.S. Chauhan Commemoration Volume.* Zoological Society of India, Orissa, 293–298.

Greenwood, P.H. (1976) The Zoological Society and ichthyology, 1826–1930. *In*: Zuckerman, S. (Ed.) *The Zoological Society of London. 1826–1976 and Beyond. Symposia of the Zoological Society of London, 40*. Zoological Society, London, 85–104.

Günther, A. (1864) *Catalogue of Fishes in the British Museum. Vol. 5. Catalogue of the Physostomi, containing the families Siluridae, Characinidae, Haplochitonidae, Sternoptychidae, Scopelidae, Stomiatidae in the collection of the British Museum.* Trustees of the British Museum, London, 455 pp.

Gupta, S.K. (1985) On the fish fauna of Banda District I. Faunistics and bionomics *Mystus* Scopoli (sub-genus: *Mystus*) species (Bagridae) [sic.]. *Indian Journal of Physical and Natural Sciences. Section A*, 5, 15–19.

Hamilton, F. (1822) *An account of the fishes found in the river Ganges and its branches.* Archibald Constable, Edinburgh and Hurst, Robinson, London, 405 pp.

Hardman, M. (2005) The phylogenetic relationships among non-diplomystid catfishes as inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome b sequences; the search for the ictalurid sister taxon (Otophysi: Siluriformes). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 37, 700–720.

Hora, S.L. (1936) Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. XXVI. On a small collection of fish from the Chitaldrug district, Mysore. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 38, 1–7.

Hora, S.L. (1937) Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. XXVIII. On three collections of fish from Mysore and Coorg, south India. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 39, 5–28.

Husain, A. (1997) Pisces. *In*: Kumar, A. (Ed.), *State Fauna Series 6. Fauna of Delhi*. Zoological Survey of India, Dehra Dun, 551–651.

Husain, A. & Tilak, R. (1984) On the fish fauna of district Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh. *Records of the*

Zoological Survey of India, 81, 273–377.

Jacquemont, V. (1835–1844) *Voyage dans l'Inde, pendant les années 1828 à 1832, publié sous les auspices de M. Guizot, Ministre de l'Instruction Publique. Atlas, Tome Second.* Didot Frères, Paris, 180 pls.

Jadhav, S & Bhosale, B. (1996) Fish fauna of Bhima River at Pedgaon near Pune, India. *Journal of Ecobiology*, 8, 75–76.

Jayaram, K.C. (1954) Siluroid fishes of India, Burma and Ceylon. XIV. Fishes of the genus *Mystus* Scopoli. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 51, 527–558.

Jayaram, K.C. (1977) Aid to identification of siluroid fishes of India, Burma, Sri Lanka, Pakistan and Bangladesh. 1. Bagridae. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India, Miscellaneous Publications. Occasional Paper*, 8, 1–41.

Jayaram, K.C. (1981) *The freshwater fishes of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Burma and Sri Lanka a handbook.* Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, 475 pp.

Jayaram, K.C. (1995) The Krishna River System Bioresources Study. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India. Occasional Paper*, 160, 1–167.

Jayaram, K.C. (1999) *The freshwater fishes of the Indian region.* Narendra Publishing House, Delhi, 551 pp.

Jayaram, K.C. & Anuradha Sanyal (2003) A taxonomic revision of the fishes of the genus *Mystus* Scopoli (Family: Bagridae). *Records of the Zoological Survey of India. Occasional Paper*, 207, 1–136.

Jayaram, K.C. & Singh, K.P. (1977) On a collection of fish from North Bengal. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 72, 243–275.

Jayaram, K.C., Venkateswarlu, T. & Ragunathan, M.B. (1982) A survey of the Cauvery River system with a major account of its fish fauna. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India, Miscellaneous Publications. Occasional Paper*, 36, 1–115.

Jenkins, J.T. (1910) Notes on fish from India and Persia, with descriptions of new species. *Records of the Indian Museum*, 5, 123–140.

Jerdon, T. C. (1849) On the fresh-water fishes of southern India. (Continued from p. 149.). *Madras Journal of Literature and Science*, 15, 302–346.

Kyaw Win (1971) A taxonomy of fishes of Taung-tha-man inn, upper Burma. *Union of Burma Journal of Life Sciences*, 4, 39–63.

Majumdar, N.N. (1958) On a collection of fishes from Delhi State. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 55, 366–370.

Menon, A.G.K. (1999) Check list—Fresh water fishes of India. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India. Occasional Paper*, 175, 1–366.

Mishra, S.S., Pradhan, P., Kar, S. & Chakraborty, S.K. (2003) Ichthyofaunal diversity of Midnapore, Bankura and Hooghly Districts, South West Bengal. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India. Occasional Paper*, 220, 1–65.

Misra, K.S. (1976). *The Fauna of India and the Adjacent Countries. Pisces Vol. 3. Teleostomi: Cypriniformes, Siluri.* 367 pp.

Mitchell, P.C. (1929) *Centenary History of the Zoological Society of London.* Zoological Society, London, 307 pp.

Mo, T.-P. (1991) Anatomy, relationships and systematics of the Bagridae (Teleostei: Siluroidei) with a hypothesis of siluroid phylogeny. *Theses Zoologicae*, 17, 1–216.

Motwani, M.P. & David, A. (1957) Fishes of the River Sone, with observations on the zoogeographic significance. *Journal of the Zoological Society of India*, 9, 9–15.

Motwani, M.P., Jayaram, K.C. & Seghal, K.L. (1962) Fish and fisheries of Brahmaputra river system, Assam. 1. Fish fauna with observations on their zoogeographical significance. *Tropical Ecology*, 3, 17–43.

Mukerji, D.D. (1933) Report on Burmese fishes collected by Lt.-Col. R. W. Burton from the tribu-

tary streams of the Mali Hka River of the Myitkyina District (Upper Burma). Part I. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 36, 813–831.
Nath, P. & Dey, S.C. (2000) *Fish and fisheries of north eastern India (Arunachal Pradesh)*. Narendra Publishing House, Delhi, 217 pp.
Ng, H.H. & Dodson, J.J. (1999) Morphological and genetic descriptions of a new species of catfish, *Hemibagrus chrysops*, from Sarawak, East Malaysia, with an assessment of phylogenetic relationships (Teleostei: Bagridae). *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 47, 45–57.
Pethiyagoda, R. (1991) *Freshwater fishes of Sri Lanka*. The Wildlife Heritage Trust of Sri Lanka, Colombo, 362 pp.
Pillai, R.S. & Yazdani, G.M. (1977) Ichthyo-fauna of Garo Hills, Meghalaya (India). *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 72, 1–22.
Prashad, B. & Mukerji, D. D. (1929) The fish of the Indawgyi Lake and the streams of the Myitkyina District (Upper Burma). *Records of the Indian Museum*, 31, 161–223.
Qureshi, M.R. (1965) *Common freshwater fishes of Pakistan.* Government of Pakistan Press, Karachi, 61 pp.
Raghunathan, M.B. (1993) Studies on fish fauna of Wynad district, Kerala. *In*: Singh, H.R. (Ed.), *Advances in Limnology.* Narendra Publishing House, Delhi, 333–338.
Rahman, A.K.A. (1974) Aid to the identification of the mystid catfishes of Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Zoology*, 2, 1–12.
Rahman, A.K.A. (1989) *Freshwater Fishes of Bangladesh.* The Zoological Society of Bangladesh, Dhaka, 364 pp.
Rajan, S. (1955) Notes on a collection of fish from the headwaters of the Bhavani River, south India. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 53, 44–48.
Roberts, T.R. (1989) The freshwater fishes of western Borneo (Kalimantan Barat, Indonesia). *Memoirs of the California Academy of Sciences*, 14, 1–210.
Roberts, T.R. (1992) Revision of the striped catfishes of Thailand misidentified as *Mystus vittatus*, with descriptions of two new species (Pisces: Bagridae). *Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters*, 3, 77–88.
Roberts, T.R. (1994) Systematic revision of Asian bagrid catfishes of the genus *Mystus* sensu stricto, with a new species from Thailand and Cambodia. *Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters*, 5, 241–256.
Roberts, T.R. & C.J. Ferraris (1998) Review of South Asian sisorid catfish genera *Gagata* and *Nangra*, with descriptions of a new genus and five new species. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences*, 50, 315–345.
Sen, T. K. (1985) The fish fauna of Assam and the neighbouring north-eastern states of India. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India, Miscellaneous Publications. Occasional Paper*, 64, 1–216.
Sen, T.K. (1992) Freshwater Fish. *In*: Ghosh, A.K. (Ed.), *State Fauna Series 3. Fauna of West Bengal Part 2 (Reptilia, Amphibia, Fishes, Hemichordata and Archaeozoology)*. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, 101–242.
Sen, T.K. (1995) Pisces. *In*: Alfred, J.R.B. (Ed.), *State Fauna Series 4. Fauna of Meghalaya Part 1 (Vertebrates)*. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, 483–606.
Shaji, C.P., Easa, P.S & Chand Basha, S. (1995) Fresh water fish diversity in Aralam Wildlife Sanctuary, Kerala, South India. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 92, 360–363.
Sharma, M.K. & Rajput, D.B. (1986) Ichthyofauna of Bijnor district (Uttar Pradesh). *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 83, 562–569.
Sharma, S.V. & Dutt, S. (1983) Taxonomic studies on four species of the genus *Mystus* Scopoli, 1777 (Siluriformes: Bagridae). *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*, 81, 331–344.
Shaw, G.E. & Shebbeare, E.O. (1937) The fishes of northern Bengal. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal. Science*, 3, 1–137.

Shrestha, J. (1981) *Fishes of Nepal*. Curriculum Development Centre, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, 318 pp.

Shrestha, J. (1994) *Fishes, Fishing Implements & Methods of Nepal.* Smt M. D. Gupta, India, 150 pp.

Silas, E.G. (1949) On a collection of fish from Travancore. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, 48, 792–797.

Singh, P.P. (1964) Fishes of the Doon Valley. *Ichthyologica*, 3, 86–92.

Sykes, W.H. (1839) An account of the fishes of Dukhun. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History (New Series)*, 4, 54–62.

Sykes, W.H. (1841) On the fishes of the Dukhun. *Transactions of the Zoological Society of London*, 2, 349–378.

Srivastava, G.J. (1968) *Fishes of eastern Uttar Pradesh*.Vishwavidyalaya Prakashan, Varanasi, 163 pp.

Talwar, P.K. & Jhingran, A.G. (1991) *Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries.* Oxford and IBH Publishing Company, New Delhi, 2 vols., 1158 pp.

Tint Hlaing (1971) A classified list of fishes of Burma. *Union of Burma Journal of Life Sciences*, 4, 507–526.

Vinciguerra, D. (1890) Viaggio di Leonardo Fea in Birmania e regioni vicine. XXIV. Pesci. *Annali del Museo Civico Storia Naturale di Genova (Serie 2)*, 9, 129–362.

Wheeler, A. (1997) Zoological collections in the early British Museum: the Zoological Society's museum. *Archives of Natural History*, 244, 89–126.

Whitehead, P.J.P. & Talwar, P.K. (1976) Francis Day (1829–1889) and his collection of Indian fishes. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Historical Series*, 5, 1–189.

Yadav, B.E. (2003) Ichthyofauna of northern part of Western Ghats. *Records of the Zoological Survey of India. Occasional Paper*, 215, 1–39.

