8-17-2015

Externally and Internally Functionalized Copper(II) β -Diketonate Molecular Squares

Jackson K. Cherutoi
Louisiana State University

Jace D. Sandifer
Louisiana State University

Uttam R. Pokharel
Louisiana State University

Frank R. Fronczek
Louisiana State University

Svetlana Pakhomova
Louisiana State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/chemistry_pubs

Recommended Citation

Cherutoi, J., Sandifer, J., Pokharel, U., Fronczek, F., Pakhomova, S., & Maverick, A. (2015). Externally and Internally Functionalized Copper(II) β -Diketonate Molecular Squares. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 54 (16), 7791-7802. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b00792>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Chemistry at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact ir@lsu.edu.

Authors

Jackson K. Cherutoi, Jace D. Sandifer, Uttam R. Pokharel, Frank R. Fronczek, Svetlana Pakhomova, and Andrew W. Maverick

8-17-2015

Externally and Internally Functionalized Copper(II) β -Diketonate Molecular Squares

Jackson K. Cherutoi
Louisiana State University

Jace D. Sandifer
Louisiana State University

Uttam R. Pokharel
Louisiana State University

Frank R. Fronczek
Louisiana State University

Svetlana Pakhomova
Louisiana State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/biosci_pubs

Recommended Citation

Cherutoi, J., Sandifer, J., Pokharel, U., Fronczek, F., Pakhomova, S., & Maverick, A. (2015). Externally and Internally Functionalized Copper(II) β -Diketonate Molecular Squares. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 54 (16), 7791-7802. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b00792>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biological Sciences at LSU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact ir@lsu.edu.

Authors

Jackson K. Cherutoi, Jace D. Sandifer, Uttam R. Pokharel, Frank R. Fronczek, Svetlana Pakhomova, and Andrew W. Maverick

Externally and Internally Functionalized Copper(II) β -Diketonate Molecular SquaresJackson K. Cherutoi,[†] Jace D. Sandifer, Uttam R. Pokharel,[‡] Frank R. Fronczek, Svetlana Pakhomova, and Andrew W. Maverick*

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Five functionalized bis(β -diketonates) and their Cu(II) molecular squares are described. The new bis(β -diketonates), *m*-pbhxH₂ (3), 5-MeO-*m*-pbaH₂ (4), 5-BuO-*m*-pbaH₂ (5), 2-MeO-*m*-pbaH₂ (6), and 2-MeO-*m*-pbprH₂ (7), were prepared by reaction of the corresponding aldehydes with phospholones, as we previously reported for *m*-pbaH₂ (1) and *m*-pbprH₂ (2). Ligand 3 has long alkyl chains in its β -diketone moieties, while ligands 4–7 have alkoxy substituents on their aromatic rings. When treated with Cu²⁺, the new bis(β -diketonates) 3, 4, 5, and 7 afford molecular squares, Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄ (10), Cu₄(5-MeO-*m*-pba)₄ (11), Cu₄(5-BuO-*m*-pba)₄ (12), and Cu₄(2-MeO-*m*-pbpr)₄ (13), respectively. Two of the new molecular squares, 10 and 12, contain longer-chain substituents and are soluble in a wider range of organic solvents. The other squares, 11 and 13, contain external and internal methoxy groups, respectively, and they show smaller changes in solubility. Single-crystal X-ray analyses are reported for three of the molecular squares without guest molecules, and for five adducts of the squares with σ - (polypyridine) and π -bonded (fullerene) guests. The Cu...Cu distances in the “empty” squares range from 14.047 to 14.904 Å; those in the adducts vary over a wider range depending on the guest molecule involved.

■ INTRODUCTION

Porous supramolecular metal–organic assemblies have attracted great attention in recent years because of their potential applications in areas such as catalysis, separation, gas storage, and host–guest chemistry.^{1–10} Among the various assemblies known, molecular squares have been studied extensively. Many molecular squares have been constructed from linear 4,4'-bipyridine (4,4'-bpy) ligands and *cis*-protected square planar metal centers; octahedral metal centers and other ligands have been employed as well.^{11–15} These square planar and octahedral metal centers provide the 90° corners needed for the squares. We have reported molecular squares prepared from Cu²⁺ and the bis(β -diketone) ligands *m*-pbaH₂ (1) and *m*-pbprH₂ (2);¹⁶ see Figure 1. Our molecular squares are different from others that are commonly studied in two ways: (1) the ligands occupy the corners and the metals form the edges; and (2) the Cu metal centers are not protected and are coordinatively unsaturated, which means they can interact with guest molecules. The squares bind 4,4'-bpy and C₆₀; 4,4'-bpy is coordinated through its N atoms, while C₆₀ is held through π – π interactions. Unfortunately, the squares are soluble only in a small number of solvents, which limits their usefulness.

One of our goals in the present work was to prepare Cu β -diketonate molecular squares that are soluble in a wider range of solvents. We pursued two routes toward molecular squares

with improved solubility: modification of the alkyl groups in the β -diketone moieties of *m*-pbaH₂, and functionalization of the *m*-phenylene moieties. Herein, we describe the new bis(β -diketonates) 3–7 (Figure 1) and their Cu(II) molecular squares.

For modification of the β -diketone alkyl groups, we considered commonly employed alternatives such as *t*-Bu (as in dipivaloylmethane, dpmH) and Ph (as in dibenzoylmethane, dbmH); see Figure 2. Indeed, in our earlier work with *m*-xbpH₂ and its complexes, we prepared such analogs, *m*-xbpH₂ and *m*-xbdH₂. However, we were unable to prepare metal complexes of either of these substituted bis(β -diketonates).¹⁷ Earlier investigators also noted that sterically hindered β -diketonates react only weakly or not at all with metal ions such as Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺. For example, 3-propyl-2,4-pentanedione reacts readily with Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺, but 3-isopropyl-2,4-pentanedione does not;¹⁸ the binding constants for β -diketonates with Cu²⁺ are significantly smaller when the central C atom is substituted.^{19,20} A general rule appears to be that if the 3 position in acetylacetone is substituted,²¹ then branched substituents in the 1, 3, or 5 positions are likely to interfere with Cu²⁺ complexation. On the basis of this information, we chose *n*-pentyl groups for our experiments with longer-chain alkyl groups in the β -diketone moieties of *m*-pbaH₂.

Received: April 8, 2015

Published: August 4, 2015

Figure 1. Conversion of bis(β-diketones) (1–7) into molecular squares (8–13) by reaction with [Cu(NH₃)₄]²⁺. The new substituted bis(β-diketones) 3–7, and their molecular squares 10–13, are reported here.

Figure 2. β-Diketones on the left generate metal complexes readily; those on the right do not, because of steric hindrance among their bulkier substituents.

For functionalization of the *m*-phenylene moiety in *m*-pbaH₂, we introduced substituents in the 2- and 5-positions, leading to internally and externally functionalized squares, respectively. Yu and co-workers prepared a molecular square from a 5-substituted *m*-pbaH₂ ligand (R⁵ = PhCH₂O in Figure 1);²² however, they discussed only its solutions in CH₂Cl₂. The preparation of 3-substituted β-diketones, and their use in supramolecular structures, has been reviewed by Ziessel et al.²¹

Adding functional groups to the 2-position in particular is attractive for two reasons: (1) if the substituents are large enough, steric factors may lead to formation of larger macrocycles such as molecular pentagons or hexagons, and (2) we could study the effects of changing the chemical environment inside the squares. Fujita and co-workers, for

example, have reported coordination cages (“nanoballs”) whose interiors are decorated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) or fluorocarbon substituents.^{23,24}

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ligands. *a. m*-Phenylenebis(dihexanoylmethane), *m*-pbhxH₂ (**3**). Our synthetic route for *m*-pbhxH₂ (the analog of *m*-pbaH₂ containing pentyl substituents) is shown in Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of *m*-pbhxH₂ (**3**)

The α-diketone required for this route, dodecane-6,7-dione (**14**), has been prepared via a Grignard reaction.²⁵ We experimented with this method, but found the general method of Zibuck and Seebach²⁶ to be more convenient. Reaction of 6-dodecyne with NaIO₄ and a catalytic amount of RuO₂·*x*H₂O in a CCl₄/CH₃CN/H₂O solvent mixture gave yellow solid **14**. Treatment of **14** with trimethyl phosphite afforded 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-dipentyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (**15**), which reacted with isophthalaldehyde to yield *m*-pbhxH₂ (**3**), as a colorless oil after column chromatography.

b. 5-MeO-*m*-pbaH₂ (**4**), *5*-BuO-*m*-pbaH₂ (**5**), *2*-MeO-*m*-pbaH₂ (**6**), and *2*-MeO-*m*-pbprH₂ (**7**). Scheme 2 shows the syntheses of these new bis(β-diketones).

Copper(II) Molecular Squares. Treatment of dichloromethane solutions of the β-diketones **3**, **4**, **5**, and **7** with aqueous [Cu(NH₃)₄]²⁺ afforded green solutions, and upon evaporation of the solvent, the squares (**10**–**13**) were isolated as dark green powders in moderate to high yields (see Figure 1). These results are similar to those that we previously reported for the conversion of **1** and **2** into their molecular squares (**8** and **9**). Analogous experiments involving **6** yielded an insoluble dark green product. This may be a molecular square, but we were unable to characterize it further due to its lack of solubility.

Solubility. Table 1 shows the solubility of the molecular squares in various solvents. Squares **10** and **12** are soluble in nine organic solvents. We attribute the greater solubility of these two squares to the presence of long alkyl chains (pentyl) in the β-diketone moieties of **3** and the long alkoxy (butoxy) groups in the 5-position on the aromatic rings of **5**, respectively. Squares **11** and **13**, with methoxy groups in the 5- and 2-positions on the ligand aromatic rings, respectively, are soluble in about the same number of solvents as the unsubstituted square Cu₄(*m*-pba)₄,¹⁶ though with a different range of polarities.

Scheme 2. Syntheses of New Bis(β -diketones): (i) 4, (ii) 5, and (iii) 6 and 7

Table 1. Solubility of the Cu(II) Molecular Squares

solvent ^a	Cu ₄ (<i>m</i> -pba) ₄ , 8	Cu ₄ (<i>m</i> -pbpr) ₄ , 9	Cu ₄ (<i>m</i> -pbhx) ₄ , 10	Cu ₄ (5-MeO- <i>m</i> -pba) ₄ , 11	Cu ₄ (5-BuO- <i>m</i> -pba) ₄ , 12	Cu ₄ (2-MeO- <i>m</i> -pbpr) ₄ , 13
CH ₂ Cl ₂	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CHCl ₃	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
C ₆ H ₆	N	N	Y	N	Y	N
Toluene	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y (hot)
CS ₂	N	N	Y	N	Y	N
1,2-dichlorobenzene	N	N	Y	N	Y	N
chlorobenzene	N	N	Y	N	Y	N
THF	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N
bromobenzene	N	N	Y	N	Y	N

^aAll of the molecular squares are insoluble in methanol, acetone, acetonitrile, hexane, and diethyl ether.

Structures. Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄ (10) crystallizes as a solvate with methanol (see Figure 3). The molecules have approximate inversion symmetry; two of the Cu atoms are square pyramidal, with apical CH₃OH, and the other two are square planar. The pyramidal distortion at Cu2 and Cu4 leads to a longer Cu...Cu distance than that between the four-coordinate Cu1 and Cu3. The apical Cu–O distances at Cu2 and Cu4 (2.262(4) and 2.263(3) Å) are similar to that reported by Clegg and co-workers for a Cu(II) β -diketonate with apical THF (2.342(1) Å).²⁷

Compound 11, Cu₄(5-MeO-*m*-pba)₄, crystallizes as a coordination polymer (Figure 4), in which the external MeO groups are coordinated to Cu atoms in adjacent molecules. In

these crystals, disordered water molecules are also bound on the inside of the squares (occupancy 0.5, for 2 water molecules per Cu₄ square), so that the overall coordination of the Cu atoms is approximately octahedral. The molecules of Cu₄(5-MeO-*m*-pba)₄ have crystallographically imposed 4/*m* (C_{4h}) symmetry.

The structure of Cu₄(2-MeO-*m*-pbpr)₄ (13) is shown in Figure 5. In this crystal, the square has a puckered conformation, with crystallographically imposed $\bar{4}$ (S₄) symmetry. The internal methoxy groups alternately point toward opposite sides of the Cu₄ ring. Steric interactions between the methoxy groups and the nearby portions of the square may be strong enough to cause distortion away from the

Figure 3. Crystal structure of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2$, from $10(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2 \cdot 12\text{CHCl}_3$, $\text{Cu1} \cdots \text{Cu3}$ 14.047(1) Å, $\text{Cu2} \cdots \text{Cu4}$ 14.904(1) Å. In this and all other crystal-structure illustrations, ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level, and hydrogen atoms and uncoordinated solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

Figure 4. Structure of $[\text{Cu}_4(5\text{-MeO-}m\text{-pba})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$, from $11(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \cdot 12\text{CHCl}_3 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$ 14.322(2) Å. Portions of adjacent molecules in the crystal are shown (with lighter coloring), to illustrate close $\text{Cu}-\text{O}(\text{methoxy})$ bonds (2.608(8) Å).

normally coplanar arrangement of aromatic rings and Cu atoms.

Host–Guest Chemistry of the Squares. *a. Adducts with Pyridine Derivatives.* Several of the new squares react with pyridine derivatives, forming adducts with internally coordi-

nated guests. The reaction of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ (10) with the guest molecules 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene (bpe) and 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane (bpa) is confirmed by formation of the adducts $[\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-bpe})(\text{MeOH})_2]$ (23; Figure 6) and $[\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-bpa})(\text{MeOH})_2]$ (24; Supporting Information Figure

Figure 5. Crystal structure of $\text{Cu}_4(2\text{-MeO-}m\text{-pbpr})_4$, from $(13) \cdot 6.75\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$, $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}'$ 14.3862(5) Å. Minor components of disordered C atoms omitted for clarity. (a) Face view. (b) Edge view, approximately along the blue diagonal line in part a, showing puckering of the square. The CH_3O groups on the downward-facing aromatic rings also point downward, with corresponding upward displacements for the other two ligands.

S1). In both of these adducts, the Cu atoms bridged by the guest molecule are approximately 1 Å closer together than the unbridged Cu atoms. This indicates that the bpe and bpa guest molecules are slightly shorter ($\text{N} \cdots \text{N}'$ 9.425(4) and 9.278(5) Å, respectively) than would be ideal for the $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ host.

$\text{Cu}_4(5\text{-BuO-}m\text{-pba})_4$ (**12**) reacts with 4,4'-bpy to produce adduct **25**, $[\text{Cu}_4(5\text{-BuO-}m\text{-pba})_4(\mu\text{-bpy})]$. The structure of **25** (Figure 7) is similar to the one we previously reported for $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pba})_4(\mu\text{-bpy})$,¹⁶ with the shorter 4,4'-bpy guest molecule leading to a greater difference in $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$ distances (ca. 4 Å) than in the above bpe (**23**) and bpa (**24**) adducts.

In all three of these adducts, the unbridged Cu atoms have O atoms coordinated externally, either from solvent molecules (in **23** and **24**) or from an adjacent square (in **25**). This leads to outward-pointing square pyramidal coordination geometry, which also contributes to the larger unbridged $\text{Cu} \cdots \text{Cu}$ distances.

Square **11**, $\text{Cu}_4(5\text{-MeO-}m\text{-pba})_4$, also changed color from green to blue on treatment with pyridine derivatives, which indicates that similar host–guest chemistry is occurring. However, we could not obtain the products in analytically

pure or crystalline form, so they were not studied further. In contrast, square **13**, $\text{Cu}_4(2\text{-MeO-}m\text{-pbpr})_4$, with internal methoxy groups, remained green in solution even in the presence of large excesses of potential guest molecules, and no adducts could be isolated. This suggests that **13** has a much lower affinity for guests than the other Cu molecular squares we have studied. We attribute this low guest affinity to steric interference from its internal OCH_3 groups.

b. Adduct with C_{60} (26**).** Treatment of square **10** with C_{60} produced $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{60})$ (**26**), whose crystal structure is shown in Figure 8. This structure is similar to that of our previously reported Cu-square-fullerene adduct, i.e., $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpr})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{60})$,¹⁶ except for the longer β -diketone alkyl groups in the present structure. In both structures, several of the β -diketone alkyl groups are oriented inward, toward the face of the C_{60} guest. Multiple weak interactions between the alkyl groups and the guest are likely to provide some stabilization for the adducts, in addition to π interactions between the fullerene and unsaturated portions of the host.

The longer pentyl groups in $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{60})$ (**26**) could lead to guest–host attractions that are stronger than

Figure 6. Crystal structure of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpx})_4(\mu\text{-bpe})(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2$, from (23) $(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_2 \cdot 10\text{CHCl}_3$. $\text{Cu1}\cdots\text{Cu1}'$ 14.667(1) Å, $\text{Cu2}\cdots\text{Cu2}'$ 13.9893(8) Å.

Figure 7. Crystal structure of $\text{Cu}_4(5\text{-BuO-}m\text{-pba})_4(\mu\text{-4,4'-bpy})$, from (25) $\cdot 16\text{CHCl}_3$; $\text{Cu1}\cdots\text{Cu1}'$ 11.9537(7), $\text{Cu2}\cdots\text{Cu2}'$ 15.9851(9) Å. Portions of adjacent molecules in the crystal are shown (with lighter coloring), to illustrate close $\text{Cu2}\cdots\text{O4}'$ contacts (2.491(2) Å).

those in the previously described adduct $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpr})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{60})$.¹⁶ However, it should be noted that, in both the present structures and the previous one, not all of the alkyl groups are directed inward. Molecular modeling of these adducts indicates that there is not enough room around the Cu square to

accommodate all 16 β -diketonate alkyl groups (R in Figure 1) pointing inward, even with the smaller ethyl groups of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpr})_4$ (9). A recently reported hemicarceplex containing six $-\text{OC}_{10}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}-$ bridging groups is an effective host for C_{60} , also relying on multiple alkyl $\cdots$ fullerene interactions.²⁸

Figure 8. Crystal structure of $[\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{60})]$, from (26)· $4\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2$: $\text{Cu1}\cdots\text{Cu1}'$ 13.4326(9) Å; $\text{Cu2}\cdots\text{Cu2}'$ 15.146(1) Å. The C_{60} molecule makes short contacts with Cu1 and neighboring atoms (minimum $\text{Cu1}\cdots\text{C}$ 3.40 Å) and with some of the alkyl C atoms (minimum $\text{C}\cdots\text{C}$ 3.57 Å). The $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ host makes short contacts with adjacent molecules: $\text{Cu2}\cdots\text{O4}'$ 2.460(3) Å.

In our previous report of the binding of C_{60} to $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpr})_4$ (9),¹⁶ we were unable to study the reaction in detail, because the host and guest are not readily soluble in the same solvents. However, the new host $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ (10) is soluble in several solvents that are also good solvents for fullerenes. Among the good solvents for $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ (10), 1,2-dichlorobenzene is the best solvent for fullerenes,^{29,30} and toluene is also commonly used for fullerene binding studies. Thus, we used these two solvents for our experiments.

Host–guest reactions are often studied by electronic absorption spectroscopy. Often, the guest molecules do not absorb in the region of interest, so the changes in the spectrum of the host on binding guest molecules are easy to observe. However, in the present case, both $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ (10) and C_{60} are colored, and the absorption of C_{60} is more intense than that of the Cu square. Thus, in these experiments, we used a fixed concentration of fullerene, and varied the concentration of the Cu square. Figure 9 shows a series of absorption spectra of 0.17 mM C_{60} in 1,2- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2$, with increasing concentrations of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ (10). Analysis of these spectra with the global analysis program SPECFIT/32 gives a binding constant of $5400 \pm 800 \text{ M}^{-1}$. Experiments in toluene solution gave a binding constant about 6 times as large (see Table 2); binding of C_{60} and C_{70} to hosts is usually stronger in toluene than in 1,2- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2$, because toluene solvates fullerenes more weakly.^{31–34} The new binding constants are larger than those we previously observed for σ -donating guests such as dabco and pyrazine with the binuclear host $\text{Cu}_2(\text{nba})_2$.³⁵ Still, they are significantly smaller than values reported ($>10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$) for binding of C_{60} to other macrocyclic polynuclear metal complexes.^{36–38}

c. Adduct with C_{70} (27). In our previous work with $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpr})_4$ and $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpr})_4$,¹⁶ we observed color changes that

Figure 9. Portions of the electronic absorption spectra of solutions of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ and C_{60} in 1,2-dichlorobenzene. All solutions contain 0.167 mM C_{60} . Concentrations of added $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ increase in order from the bottom spectrum upward: 0, 0.0922, 0.184, 0.461, 0.738, 1.01, 1.29, and 1.57 mM.

Table 2. Binding Constants for the Reaction of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ (10) with Fullerene Guests

solvent	guest = C_{60}	guest = C_{70}
1,2-dichlorobenzene	$5.4 \pm 0.8 \times 10^3$	$3.5 \pm 0.8 \times 10^4$
toluene	$3.4 \pm 0.7 \times 10^4$	$7 \pm 3 \times 10^5$

suggested C_{70} is also capable of binding as a guest. However, we were unable to isolate either of the C_{70} adducts. These reactions, like those with C_{60} , were complicated by the lack of a common solvent for host and guest. We now report that 1,2-dichlorobenzene solutions of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ (10) and C_{70} react

Figure 10. Crystal structure of $[\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{70})]$, from $(27) \cdot 3.25\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2$. Only one of the four molecules in the asymmetric unit is shown. The (approximate) 5-fold axis of the C_{70} guest is shown by the two lavender balls (centroids of 5-membered rings). $\text{Cu}1 \cdots \text{Cu}3$ 13.315(3), $\text{Cu}2 \cdots \text{Cu}4$ 15.260(3) Å; short contacts with adjacent molecules are shown in lighter colors. For an illustration of all four $[\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{70})]$ molecules in the asymmetric unit, see the [Supporting Information](#).

readily. The structure of the resulting adduct (27) is shown in [Figure 10](#).

Crystals of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{70})$ (27) contain four crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. This gave us an opportunity to investigate whether the C_{70} guest has a similar orientation in each molecule. Whereas C_{60} is approximately spherical, C_{70} has the approximate shape of a prolate spheroid, slightly longer than C_{60} but with the same diameter. It appeared from the structures of our C_{60} adducts as if the size of C_{60} is well-matched with the internal cavity of the host molecule, $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ ([Figure 8](#)) or $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbpr})_4$.¹⁶ If the hosts have the ideal cavity size for C_{60} , then they should also be ideal for the equatorial diameter of C_{70} . If this is true, then C_{70} should bind to our molecular squares in a preferred orientation, with its 5-fold symmetry axis parallel to the 4-fold axis of the Cu_4 host. This is sometimes called the “lying” orientation of a C_{70} guest; see schematic illustration in [Figure 11a](#) ($\theta = 0^\circ$).

The preferred orientation of C_{70} guest molecules in ring-shaped $[n]\text{CPP}$ hosts (CPP = cycloparaphenylene, with n = number of p -phenylene moieties in the ring) has been studied experimentally and computationally. Yamago and co-workers determined the crystal structure of the C_{70} adducts of two CPPs.³⁹ When bound in a 10-ring host ($[10]\text{CPP}$), the C_{70} guest prefers the “lying” orientation, i.e., with its 5-fold axis parallel to the principal axis of the CPP ring ([Figure 11a](#), $\theta = 0^\circ$). In the next larger host, $[11]\text{CPP}$, on the other hand, the C_{70} symmetry axis is perpendicular to the CPP axis (i.e., in the plane of the ring); this is the “standing” orientation ([Figure 11c](#), $\theta = 90^\circ$). A recent computational study by Zhao et al. supports

a thermodynamic preference for these orientations, and suggests that the next larger host, $[12]\text{CPP}$, prefers an intermediate “half-lying” orientation of C_{70} ([Figure 11b](#)).⁴⁰

If our Cu molecular squares are considered to be ring-shaped hosts, their interactions with fullerenes may be similar to those of CPPs. In the crystal structure of $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4(\mu\text{-C}_{70})$ (27), molecules A, B, C, and D (see [Figure 10](#) and [Supporting Information](#) Figure S2) in the asymmetric unit are all in the “half-lying” orientation, with angles θ of 50.2° , 33.6° , 50.2° , and 50.0° , respectively. According to the logic of Zhao et al., this suggests that our Cu_4 host is slightly too large for optimal interaction with C_{70} . Thus, a host that is slightly smaller than $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ might be a better match for guests such as C_{60} and C_{70} , with correspondingly higher binding constants.

A molecular square “nanobarrel” has been prepared from four nickel-porphyrin units by Osuka et al.,⁴¹ and the structure of its C_{60} adduct was determined. The binding constant between C_{60} and this Ni_4 host is $5.3 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$ in toluene, about 15 times as large as that with our $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ host. And yet the crystal structure of the Osuka $\text{Ni}_4\text{--C}_{60}$ adduct shows that the fullerene guest is not fully inserted into its host. This may be because the Ni $\cdots$ Ni distances in the host are slightly too short for the optimum interaction with C_{60} . Thus, the best metal–metal distance in a molecular square for forming a C_{60} adduct may be somewhere between those in the “nanobarrel” (13.7 Å) and those we have observed in the present study (average 14.25 Å).

We also determined the equilibrium constants for the binding of C_{70} to $\text{Cu}_4(m\text{-pbhx})_4$ from UV–vis spectra; see [Table 2](#). The values obtained were substantially larger than

Figure 11. Three possible orientations of a C₇₀ guest molecule (purple) in a ring-shaped host (black). In each diagram, the centroids of the two opposite C₅ rings of the C₇₀ guest (coincident with its 5-fold symmetry axis) are marked by small lavender spheres. (a) “Lying” orientation, with the C₇₀ and ring axes coincident, defined as $\theta = 0^\circ$. (b) “Half-lying” orientation, with $0^\circ < \theta < 90^\circ$ ($\theta \approx 54^\circ$ shown). (c) “Standing” orientation, with the C₇₀ and ring axes perpendicular ($\theta = 90^\circ$).

those for C₆₀, in both 1,2-dichlorobenzene and toluene. Other metal-based hosts also tend to show higher affinities for C₇₀ than for C₆₀.^{37,38} Calculated spectra for Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-C₆₀) and Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-C₇₀) derived from this analysis are included in [Supporting Information](#).

CONCLUSION

Externally and internally substituted Cu(II) molecular squares have been prepared from appropriate derivatives of the bis(β-diketone) *m*-pbaH₂. Substituted squares exhibit modified solubility, with longer substituents (OC₄H₉, C₅H₁₁) leading to solubility in a variety of common organic solvents. The solubility of the molecular square changes only slightly when an

internal substituent (2-OCH₃) is introduced. All but one of the new squares react with guest molecules such as 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene (bpe) (σ) and C₆₀ and C₇₀ (π). The structure of the C₇₀ adduct suggests that it may be possible to build a molecular square that binds fullerenes more strongly than Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄ does. We observed no reaction between the internally substituted molecular square, Cu₄(2-MeO-*m*-pbpr)₄ (13), and potential guest molecules. This is likely due to steric interference. In order to permit both internal substitution and guest binding, the hosts will need to be made larger. We are now preparing bis(β-diketones) with longer bridging groups so as to allow for both functions.

■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations. Reagents were used as received, from Sigma-Aldrich (except for 6-dodecyne, which was obtained from GFS Chemicals, and fullerenes, from MER Corporation). Silica gel (Sorbent Technologies, 230–450 mesh) was used for column chromatography. Dry solvents were obtained from a commercial solvent purification system. Mass spectra were taken with Agilent 6210 (ESI) and Varian Saturn 2200 GC/MS, and UV–vis spectra with an Aviv 14DS instrument. Binding constants were calculated from UV–vis spectra (25.5 °C) by using the global-analysis program SPECFIT/32 (Spectrum Software Associates). Elemental analyses were performed by M-H-W Laboratories (Phoenix, AZ). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 250 or 400 MHz spectrometers with CDCl₃ as solvent. Microwave reactions were performed using a CEM MARS microwave oven. The phospholenes 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-dimethyl-⁴² and 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-diethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene¹⁶ were prepared by literature methods.

Precursors and β -Diketones: Dodecane-6,7-dione (14). This compound was prepared following the reported procedure for making other α -diketones.²⁶ 6-Dodecyne (5.0 g, 30.0 mmol) was added to CCl₄/CH₃CN/H₂O (2:2:3 v/v; 140 mL) and the mixture stirred for 10 min at room temperature. To this mixture was added solid NaIO₄ (25.72 g, 120.0 mmol), and stirring continued vigorously at room temperature until the solid dissolved, giving two colorless phases. Then RuO₂·xH₂O (0.088 g, 0.66 mmol) was added; immediately, the mixture turned black. Ten minutes later, the color of the mixture changed to dark green, and a white solid precipitated out. As stirring continued, the mixture gradually changed to lighter green and finally to yellow. After 12 h, CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was added, and the mixture was separated into two phases; the organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated to yield a yellow solid. Column chromatography (hexane–ethyl acetate, 70:30 v/v) gave a yellow solid, 3.57 g (60%). ¹H NMR confirmed the identity of the product.²⁵

2,2,2-Trimethoxy-4,5-dipentyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (15). Trimethyl phosphite (2.06 g, 16.6 mmol) was cooled to 0 °C. Dodecane-6,7-dione (14; 3.0 g, 15 mmol) dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to room temperature under nitrogen; stirring was continued for 24 h. Completion of the reaction was indicated by the disappearance of the yellow color. The crude product was isolated as an oil by evaporation of solvent; its ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that it was sufficiently pure for use in the next step. ¹H NMR: δ 3.59 (d, 9H, OCH₃), 2.17 (t, 4H), 1.51 (q, 4H), 1.34–1.26 (m, 8H), 0.89 (t, 6H).

***m*-Phenylenebis(dihexanoylmethane), *m*-pbhxH₂ (3).** This procedure is similar to those previously reported for *o*-, *m*-, and *p*-phenylenebis(acetylacetone) (*o*-, *m*-, and *p*-pbaH₂).^{16,43} Isophthalaldehyde (0.60 g, 4.47 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), followed by addition of 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-dipentyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (15; 2.88 g, 8.94 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen and the reaction monitored by ¹H NMR. Reaction was judged to be complete when the isophthalaldehyde CHO peak (10.1 ppm) had disappeared (ca. 12 h). Then, methanol (30 mL) was added and the mixture refluxed for 3 h; solvent was removed to yield a light-brown oily product. Column chromatography (hexane–ethyl acetate, 7:3 v/v) yielded a colorless oil, 1.00 g (45%). GC/MS: *m/z* 498 [M]. ¹H NMR: δ 16.81 (s, 2H), 7.42 (t, 1H), 7.14 (d, 2H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 2.10 (t, 8H), 1.52 (quintet, 8H), 1.25–1.12 (m, 16H), 0.82 (t, 12H). ¹³C NMR: δ 193.7, 137.4, 134.7, 130.8, 129.4, 114.5, 36.8, 31.7, 25.4, 22.5, 14.0.

5-Methoxyisophthalaldehyde (16). 5-Methoxy-1,3-benzenedimethanol (0.502 g, 2.98 mmol), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (2.29 g, 12.0 mmol), and NaNO₃ (0.523 g, 6.15 mmol) were placed in a microwave reaction vessel and CH₃CN (2 mL) added. Then the solvent was evaporated and the vessel was placed in the microwave. The mixture was heated for a total of 6 min, via 30-s intervals separated by approximately 10 s of cooling time.⁴⁴ After cooling, the solid was taken up in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and water and the organic phase separated, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to yield a yellow solid, 0.470 g

(96%). ¹H NMR: δ 10.06 (s, 2H, CHO); 7.97 (s, 1H); 7.66 (s, 2H); 3.94 (s, 3H, OCH₃).

5-Methoxy-*m*-phenylenebis(acetylacetone), 5-MeO-*m*-pbaH₂ (4). Compound 4 was prepared using the same procedure as 3. 5-Methoxyisophthalaldehyde (16) (0.30 g, 1.8 mmol) and 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (0.76 g, 3.6 mmol) were mixed together. After the reaction was complete, the crude material was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate–hexane, 1:5 v/v) to obtain a white solid, 0.19 g (34%). ¹H NMR: δ 16.6 (s, 2H); 6.71 (s, 2H); 6.62 (s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.92 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR: δ 190.5, 160.1, 138.7, 126.1, 115.6, 114.6, 55.2, 23.9. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₀O₅ (M = 304.34): C 67.09, H 6.62. Found: C 66.13, H 6.93. Although this material is somewhat impure, as judged by microanalysis, we saw no evidence for impurities in its NMR or mass spectra (*m/z* 305 [M + H]).

Dimethyl 5-Butoxyisophthalate (17). Dimethyl 5-hydroxyisophthalate (4.50 g, 21.4 mmol), powdered K₂CO₃ (7.40 g, 53.6 mmol), and 1-bromobutane (3 mL, 27.6 mmol) were placed in a round-bottom flask. Then, the flask was flushed with N₂ for about 10 min, and dry DMF (50 mL) was added to the flask via syringe. The reaction mixture was heated to ca. 80 °C for 7 h, with stirring and under nitrogen. It was allowed to cool to room temperature and extracted with ethyl acetate and water; the organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated. The tan solid residue was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate–hexane, 1:4 v/v) to yield a white solid, 3.13 g (62%). GC/MS: *m/z* 265.9 [M]⁺. ¹H NMR: δ 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 2H), 4.04 (t, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.94 (s, 6H, CO₂CH₃); 1.79 (quintet, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); 1.51 (sextet, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); 1.00 (t, 3H, O(CH₂)₃CH₃).

5-Butoxy-1,3-benzenedimethanol (18). Compound 18 was prepared according to a procedure developed by Hayama et al. for forming 5-methoxybenzenebis(methanol- α,α -d₂) from dimethyl 5-methoxyisophthalate and lithium aluminum deuteride.⁴⁵ Dry THF (30 mL) was added to a three neck round-bottom flask with an addition funnel under N₂. Then, 5.0 mL (10 mmol) of lithium aluminum hydride (2 M in THF) was added via syringe. Into this solution was added dropwise dimethyl 5-butoxyisophthalate (17; 1.097 g, 4.12 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL). The mixture was allowed to stir for 22 h at room temperature. It was then cooled to 0 °C and 16 mL of 1 M H₂SO₄(aq) was added slowly, followed by water (30 mL) and ethyl acetate (100 mL). The organic layer was separated and washed with 100 mL of saturated NaCl, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to give a light yellow solid, 0.690 g (80%). ¹H NMR: δ 6.93 (s, 1H), 6.85 (s, 2H), 4.66 (s, 4H, CH₂OH); 3.98 (t, 2H, OCH₂CH₂C₂H₅), 1.77 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃); 1.48 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.97 (t, 3H, O(CH₂)₃CH₃). ESI-MS: *m/z* 193.12 [M – OH]. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₈O₃ (M = 210.27): C 68.54, H 8.63. Found: C 67.33, H 8.06. The microanalysis indicated that the product is not quite pure, and some small impurity peaks were observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum. However, it was used successfully to prepare pure 19 (see below).

5-Butoxyisophthalaldehyde (19). Compound 19 was prepared according to a procedure developed by Bennani et al. to convert 5-*tert*-butyl-1,3-benzenedimethanol to 5-*tert*-butylisophthalaldehyde.⁴⁶ Pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC; 1.54 g, 7.15 mmol) and 3 g of Celite were added to a 250 mL flask equipped with an addition funnel under N₂. Then, about 10 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ was added, and the mixture was vigorously stirred. A solution of 5-butoxy-1,3-benzenedimethanol (18; 0.520 g, 2.47 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added dropwise into the mixture. Stirring was continued for 3 h, and the material was filtered through a short pad of silica gel using CH₂Cl₂ and ethyl acetate. Volatiles were removed *in vacuo*, leaving a yellow liquid, 0.420 g (82%). ¹H NMR: δ 9.97 (s, 2H, CHO), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 2H), 4.00 (t, 2H, OCH₂CH₂C₂H₅), 1.74 (quintet, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.42 (sextet, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 0.91 (t, 3H, O(CH₂)₃CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 190.82, 160.19, 138.15, 123.74, 119.71, 68.41, 30.84, 18.98, 13.60. ESI-MS: *m/z* 207.1 [M + H]. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O₃ (M = 206.24): C 69.88, H 6.84. Found: C 69.80, H 6.66.

5-Butoxy-*m*-phenylenebis(acetylacetone), 5-BuO-*m*-pbaH₂ (5). This compound was prepared using the same procedure as for compound 3. 5-Butoxyisophthalaldehyde (0.40 g, 1.9 mmol) was

treated with 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (0.81 g, 3.8 mmol). After the reaction was complete, the crude product was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate–hexane, 1:2 v/v) which yielded a yellow-brown solid, 0.29 g, (44%). ¹H NMR: δ 16.6 (s, 2H), 6.70 (s, 2H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 3.97 (t, 2H, OCH₂CH₂C₂H₅), 1.92 (s, 12H, CH₃); 1.80 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.53 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.00 (t, 3H, O(CH₂)₃CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 190.76, 190.55, 163.86, 138.62, 125.90, 116.15, 114.70, 67.79, 31.01, 26.06, 19.07, 13.71. GC/MS: m/z 347 [M + H].

Dimethyl 2-Methoxysophthalate (20). 2-Methoxysophthalic acid (3.00 g, 15 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) and 0.5 mL of conc H₂SO₄ and the mixture was refluxed for ca. 16 h. The mixture was neutralized with NH₃(aq), and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellowish-white solid. This was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) and the solution washed with water, 0.5 M Na₂CO₃, and brine (50 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to yield a colorless oil (3.02 g, 88%). ¹H NMR: δ 7.32 (d, 2H), 7.18 (t, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.91 (s, 6H, CO₂CH₃).

2-Methoxy-1,3-benzenedimethanol (21). This procedure is similar to one recently reported by Ay and co-workers.⁴⁷ Lithium aluminum hydride (LiAlH₄) (1.84 g, 48 mmol) was suspended in dry THF (40 mL). Into this suspension a solution of **20** (3.03 g, 13.5 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added dropwise under N₂. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h, and then cooled to 0 °C, and an aqueous solution of 1 M H₂SO₄ (47 mL) was added slowly, followed by ethyl acetate (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to give a white solid (1.96 g, 86%). GC/MS: m/z 168. ¹H NMR: δ 7.33 (d, 2H), 7.15 (t, 1H), 4.75 (s, 4H, CH₂OH); 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH₃).

2-Methoxysophthalaldehyde (22). Compound **21** (1.00 g, 5.9 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and added all at once to a mixture of PCC (3.83 g, 17.8 mmol) and Celite (8 g) suspended in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 4 h, and then filtered over a short pad of silica gel, followed by a rinse with CH₂Cl₂/ethyl acetate (1:1 v/v). Solvent was removed *in vacuo* to yield a white solid (0.95 g, 97%). The ¹H NMR spectrum of the product matches that reported for the authentic compound.⁴⁸

2-Methoxy-*m*-phenylenebis(acetylacetone), 2-MeO-*m*-pbaH₂ (6). This compound was prepared in the same manner as compound **3**. 2-Methoxysophthalaldehyde (**22**; 0.40 g, 2.4 mmol) and 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (1.02 g, 4.8 mmol) were mixed together. After the reaction was complete, the crude product was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate–hexane, 1:4 v/v), yielding a white solid, 0.33 g (45%). ¹H NMR: δ 16.76 (s, 2H), 7.27–7.16 (m, 3H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 1.94 (s, 12H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₀O₅ (M = 304.34): C 67.09; H 6.62. Found: C 67.31; H 6.75.

2-Methoxy-*m*-phenylenebis(dipropionylmethane), 2-MeO-*m*-pbprH₂ (7). This compound was prepared following the same procedure as described for **3**. Compound **22** (0.40 g, 2.4 mmol) and 2,2,2-trimethoxy-4,5-diethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholene (1.15 g, 4.8 mmol) were combined together. Column chromatography of the crude product (ethyl acetate–hexane, 1:4 v/v) afforded a colorless oil (0.26 g, 30%). ¹H NMR: δ 16.84 (s, 2H), 7.17–7.10 (m, 3H), 3.46 (s, 3H), 2.17 (q, 8H), 1.07 (t, 12H).

Syntheses of Copper Molecular Squares: [Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄] (10). The procedure described here was followed for all four squares, **10–13**. Aqueous CuSO₄·5H₂O (0.401 g, 1.6 mmol, in 30 mL H₂O) was converted to [Cu(NH₃)₄]²⁺ by treatment with conc NH₃(aq). A solution of *m*-pbhxH₂ (**3**) (0.8 g, 1.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was added, and stirring continued for 4 h; the green organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and the solvent removed to yield a dark green powder, 0.87 g (97%). ESI-MS: m/z 2238.15 [M + H]. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂₈H₁₉₂Cu₄O₁₆ (M = 2241.07): C 68.60, H 8.64. Found: C 68.42, H 8.51. Crystals for X-ray analysis were grown by layering methanol on a chloroform solution. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃₀H₂₀₀Cu₄O₁₈ ([Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄]·2CH₃OH, M = 2305.15): C 67.73, H 8.75. Found: C 68.00, H 8.64.

[Cu₄(5-MeO-*m*-pba)₄] (11). CuSO₄·5H₂O (0.18 g, 0.72 mmol); **4** (0.219 g, 0.72 mmol). Yield: 0.24 g of dark green powder (96%). ESI-MS: m/z 1461.18 [M + H]. Blue crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown by layering CHCl₃ onto a solution of the compound in a CH₃OH–CH₂Cl₂ mixture. Anal. Calcd for C₆₈H₇₆Cu₄O₂₂ ([Cu₄(5-MeO-*m*-pba)₄]·2H₂O, M = 1499.50): C 54.47, H 5.11. Found: C 54.54, H 5.61.

[Cu₄(5-BuO-*m*-pba)₄] (12). CuSO₄·5H₂O (0.18 g, 0.72 mmol); **5** (0.250 g, 0.72 mmol). Yield: 0.28 g of an olive green powder (97%). ESI-MS: m/z 1629.32 [M + H]. Anal. Calcd for C₈₀H₉₆Cu₄O₂₀·2H₂O (M = 1667.82): C 57.61, H 6.04. Found: C 57.88, H 6.41.

[Cu₄(2-MeO-*m*-pbpr)₄] (13). CuSO₄·5H₂O (0.138 g, 0.55 mmol); **7** (0.20 g, 0.55 mmol). Yield: 0.14 g of a dark green powder (60%). ESI-MS: m/z 1685.45 [M + H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₈₄H₁₀₄Cu₄O₂₀ (M = 1687.90): C 59.77, H 6.21. Found, C 59.64, H 6.24. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown from a solution in hot toluene by layering with acetonitrile.

Adducts with Guest Molecules: [Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-bpe)-(MeOH)₂]·10CHCl₃ (23). Molecular square **10** (0.020 g, 0.008 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform (2 mL); this was layered with 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene (bpe) (0.006 g, 0.03 mmol) in methanol (3 mL). After 10 days, blue block-shaped crystals had formed. Anal. Calcd for C₁₄₃H₂₁₁Cl₃Cu₄N₂O₁₈ ([Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-bpe)(MeOH)₂]·CHCl₃, M = 2606.75): C 65.89, H 8.16, N 1.07. Found: C 66.16, H 8.20, N 0.80.

[Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-bpa)(MeOH)₂] (24). This adduct was prepared using the same procedure as for **23** above except that 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane (bpa) was used in place of bpe. After 7 days, blue crystals had formed.

[Cu₄(5-BuO-*m*-pba)₄(μ-4,4'-bpy)] (25). A solution of square **12** (0.015 g, 0.009 mmol) in chloroform (2 mL) was layered with 4,4'-bpy (0.0057 g, 0.036 mmol) in methanol (3 mL). Blue crystals formed after several days.

[Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-C₆₀)] (26). A solution of square **10** (0.015 g, 0.006 mmol) and C₆₀ (0.005 g, 0.006 mmol) in 1,2-dichlorobenzene (2 mL) was layered with acetonitrile (3 mL) and left at –20 °C. After 4 days, dark brown crystals had formed.

[Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-C₇₀)] (27). The same procedure was followed as with the C₆₀ adduct (**26**), except that C₇₀ was used instead. Dark brown crystals formed over a period of 7 days.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Illustrations of the crystal structure of Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-bpa)(CH₃OH)₂ (**24**), and of the orientations of all four C₇₀ guest molecules in [Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-C₇₀)] (**27**); spectral data for Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-C₆₀) and Cu₄(*m*-pbhx)₄(μ-C₇₀); and X-ray crystallographic data for **10**, **11**, **13**, **23**, **24**, **25**, **26**, and **27**, as summary table and in CIF format. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b00792.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: maverick@lsu.edu.

Present Addresses

[†]Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya.

[‡]Department of Physical Sciences, Nicholls State University, Thibodaux, Louisiana 70310, United States.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the U.S. Department of Energy (Grant DE-FG02-01ER15267) for partial support of this research. We thank Ms. Samantha Ingalls and Dr. Robert P. Hammer for

assistance with the preparation of 5-BuO-*m*-pbaH₂ (5), and Mr. Siddieg Elsidieg, Ms. Shirley Bang, and Ms. Loan Nguyen for assistance with spectral measurements.

REFERENCES

- (1) Tonigold, M.; Lu, Y.; Breidenkötter, B.; Rieger, B.; Bahn Müller, S.; Hitzbleck, J.; Langstein, G.; Volkmer, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7546–7550.
- (2) Yang, L. F.; Kinoshita, S.; Yamada, T.; Kanda, S.; Kitagawa, H.; Tokunaga, M.; Ishimoto, T.; Ogura, T.; Nagumo, R.; Miyamoto, A.; Koyama, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 5348–5351.
- (3) Yoshizawa, M.; Sato, N.; Fujita, M. *Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *34*, 1392–1393.
- (4) Chen, B.; Liang, C. D.; Yang, J.; Contreras, D. S.; Clancy, Y. L.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Yaghi, O. M.; Dai, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 1390–1393.
- (5) Gu, Z.-Y.; Yan, X.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 1477–1480.
- (6) Millward, A. R.; Yaghi, O. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 17998–17999.
- (7) Merlau, M. L.; Mejia, M. D. P.; Nguyen, S. T.; Hupp, J. T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4239–4242.
- (8) Uehara, K.; Kasai, K.; Mizuno, N. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 2563–2570.
- (9) Slone, R. V.; Hupp, J. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 5422–5423.
- (10) Guo, Z. Y.; Wu, H.; Srinivas, G.; Zhou, Y. M.; Xiang, S. C.; Chen, Z. X.; Yang, Y. T.; Zhou, W.; O'Keefe, M.; Chen, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 3178–3181.
- (11) Stang, P. J.; Olenyuk, B.; Fan, J.; Arif, A. M. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 904–908.
- (12) Zhang, Y. S.; Wang, S. N.; Enright, G. D.; Breeze, S. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9398–9399.
- (13) Slone, R. V.; Hupp, J. T.; Stern, C. L.; Albrecht-Schmitt, T. E. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 4096–4097.
- (14) You, C. C.; Würthner, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 9716–9725.
- (15) Yu, S. Y.; Huang, H. P.; Li, S. H.; Jiao, Q.; Li, Y. Z.; Wu, B.; Sei, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Pan, Y. J.; Ma, H. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 9471–9488.
- (16) Pariya, C.; Sparrow, C. R.; Back, C. K.; Sandi, G.; Fronczek, F. R.; Maverick, A. W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 6305–6308.
- (17) Maverick, A. W.; Martone, D. P.; Bradbury, J. R.; Nelson, J. E. *Polyhedron* **1989**, *8*, 1549–1556.
- (18) Morgan, G. T.; Thomason, R. W. *J. Chem. Soc., Trans.* **1924**, *125*, 754–759.
- (19) Calvin, M.; Wilson, K. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1945**, *67*, 2003–2007.
- (20) Martin, D. F.; Martin, B. B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1962**, *1*, 404–408.
- (21) Olivier, J. H.; Harrowfield, J.; Ziessel, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 11176–11188.
- (22) Yao, L. Y.; Qin, L.; Yu, S. Y. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2012**, *7*, 2555–2558.
- (23) Sato, S.; Iida, J.; Suzuki, K.; Kawano, M.; Ozeki, T.; Fujita, M. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 1273–1276.
- (24) Tominaga, M.; Suzuki, K.; Murase, T.; Fujita, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 11950–11951.
- (25) Ji, J.; Lee, K.-I. *J. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *49*, 150–154.
- (26) Zibuck, R.; Seebach, D. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1988**, *71*, 237–240.
- (27) Clegg, J. K.; Lindoy, L. F.; McMurtrie, J. C.; Schilter, D. *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, 857–864.
- (28) Wong, T. H.; Chang, J. C.; Lai, C. C.; Liu, Y. H.; Peng, S. M.; Chiu, S. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 3581–3586.
- (29) Ruoff, R. S.; Tse, D. S.; Malhotra, R.; Lorents, D. C. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97*, 3379–3383.
- (30) Semenov, K. N.; Charykov, N. A.; Keskinov, V. A.; Piartman, A. K.; Blokhin, A. A.; Kopyrin, A. A. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2010**, *55*, 13–36.
- (31) Gil-Ramirez, G.; Karlen, S. D.; Shundo, A.; Porfyrakis, K.; Ito, Y.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Morton, J. J. L.; Anderson, H. L. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3544–3547.
- (32) Hosseini, A.; Taylor, S.; Accorsi, G.; Armaroli, N.; Reed, C. A.; Boyd, P. D. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 15903–15913.
- (33) Le, V. H.; Yanney, M.; McGuire, M.; Sygula, A.; Lewis, E. A. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2014**, *118*, 11956–11964.
- (34) Yanase, M.; Matsuoka, M.; Tatsumi, Y.; Suzuki, M.; Iwamoto, H.; Haino, T.; Fukazawa, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 493–497.
- (35) Maverick, A. W.; Ivie, M. L.; Waggenspack, J. H.; Fronczek, F. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 2403–2409.
- (36) Fox, O. D.; Drew, M. G. B.; Wilkinson, E. J. S.; Beer, P. D. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 391–392.
- (37) Shoji, Y.; Tashiro, K.; Aida, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 6570–6571.
- (38) Garcia-Simon, C.; Garcia-Borras, M.; Gomez, L.; Parella, T.; Osuna, S.; Juanhuix, J.; Imaz, I.; MasPOCH, D.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5557.
- (39) Iwamoto, T.; Watanabe, Y.; Takaya, H.; Haino, T.; Yasuda, N.; Yamago, S. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 14061–14068.
- (40) Yuan, K.; Guo, Y.-J.; Zhao, X. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 5168–5179.
- (41) Song, J. X.; Aratani, N.; Shinokubo, H.; Osuka, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 16356–16357.
- (42) Ramirez, F.; Patwardhan, A. V.; Ramanathan, N.; Desai, N. B.; Greco, C. V.; Heller, S. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 543–548.
- (43) Ramirez, F.; Bhatia, S. B.; Patwardhan, A. V.; Smith, C. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1967**, *32*, 3547–3553.
- (44) Lee, J. C.; Lee, J. Y.; Lee, J. M. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *26*, 1300–1302.
- (45) Hayama, T.; Baldrige, K. K.; Wu, Y. T.; Linden, A.; Siegel, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 1583–1591.
- (46) Bennani, Y. L.; Marron, K. S.; Mais, D. E.; Flatten, K.; Nadzan, A. M.; Boehm, M. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 543–550.
- (47) Ay, E.; Chaumeil, H.; Barsella, A. *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68*, 628–635.
- (48) Tang, L. H.; Wasserman, E. P.; Neithamer, D. R.; Krystosek, R. D.; Cheng, Y.; Price, P. C.; He, Y. Y.; Emge, T. J. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 7306–7315.