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An implicit assumption of speciation biology is that population differentiation is an important stage of evolutionary diversification, but its significance as a rate-limiting control on phylogenetic speciation dynamics remains largely untested. If population differentiation within a species is related to its speciation rate over evolutionary time, the causes of differentiation could also be driving dynamics of organismal diversity across time and space. Alternatively, geographic variants might be short-lived entities with rates of formation that are unlinked to speciation rates, in which case the causes of differentiation would have only ephemeral impacts. By pairing population genetics datasets from 173 New World bird species (>17,000 individuals) with phylogenetic estimates of speciation rate, we show that the population differentiation rates within species are positively correlated with their speciation rates over long timescales. Although population differentiation rate explains relatively little of the variation in speciation rate among lineages, the positive relationship between differentiation rate and speciation rate is robust to more stable diversification dynamics through time in the Tropics.

Significance

The causes of differentiation among populations are well circumscribed, but it remains unclear if they impact the proliferation of organisms over deep time. If, as some recent theory and observations suggest, population differentiation is not a rate-limiting control on species diversification, then the causes of population differentiation are unlikely to have macroevolutionary effects. We provide a large-scale test of the link between standardized estimates of rates of differentiation from population genetic data and speciation rates. Population differentiation rates predict speciation rates across New World birds, confirming the potential macroevolutionary importance of causes of differentiation. We also find that population differentiation and speciation rates are more tightly linked in the Tropics, which may help explain latitudinal differences in diversification dynamics.

Author contributions: M.G.H., G.F.S., B.T.S., D.L.R., A.M.C., and R.T.B. designed research; M.G.H., G.F.S., B.T.S., D.L.R., A.M.C., and R.T.B. performed research; M.G.H. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; M.G.H., G.F.S., and B.T.S. analyzed data; and M.G.H., G.F.S., B.T.S., D.L.R., A.M.C., and R.T.B. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

‡To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: mgh272@gmail.com.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1617397114/-/DCSupplemental.

PNAS | June 13, 2017 | vol. 114 | no. 24

6328–6333
quantitative metrics of population genetic structure from large samples of species provides an important test of the relationship between differentiation and speciation independent of potentially arbitrary subspecific units that may not be comparable across diverse taxa.

Differences in the link between intraspecific diversity and speciation rates across studies may also stem from variation in the evolutionary importance of differentiation among organismal groups or across geographic areas. Population differentiation in temperate areas, for example, may be more ephemeral than in tropical regions (20–22), which could loosen its association with speciation rates. Alternatively, if differentiated populations form readily at low latitudes, but species formation is limited by the availability of vacant niches or the evolution of novel ecologies (23, 24), population differentiation may be a poorer predictor of speciation rate in the Tropics. Investigation into variation in the association between population differentiation and speciation rates could reveal differences in the temporal constancy of diversification rates that contribute to broad patterns of species richness among evolutionary lineages and geographic areas.

Here, we assess the association between population differentiation and speciation rates using quantitative estimates based on a large empirical dataset. We estimate population differentiation based on an application of the multispecies coalescent model to gene trees from population genetic and phylogeographic data from 173 species of New World birds. We compare population differentiation to speciation rates estimated for the lineages sub- tending the same 173 species using phylogenetic trees of all birds. We first test whether population differentiation and speciation rates are associated across all sampled species. Because the association between population differentiation and speciation rates may vary across geographic regions, we also test whether the association between differentiation and speciation rates in the tropics differs from that in the Temperate Zone. Finally, we perform a suite of supplementary tests to assess the robustness of recovered relationships to the inclusion of alternative predictors and to the approach used for sampling and analysis.

Results

We estimated population differentiation based on genetic data using range-wide samples (n = 17,533) of 173 bird species from across the avian tree of life (Fig. 1A, and SI Appendix) and inhabiting all biogeographic regions of the New World (Fig. 1B).

We used a Bayesian implementation of the general mixed Yule-coalescent model (25, 26) to standardize population differentiation estimates within each species (Fig. 1C). The number of genetically distinguishable geographic populations within species varied from 1 to 24 with a median of 3 (Fig. 2). Because species might vary in the number of geographic populations simply due to differences in age, we calculated the rate of population formation since the crown age of each species (the age of the most recent common ancestor of extant haplotypes within the species) based on a time-calibrated gene tree. The rate at which geographic populations arose—hereafter the rate of population differentiation—varied from 0 to 6.64 divergences/million years (My) with a median of 0.54 divergences/My (Fig. 2).

We estimated macroevolutionary speciation rates along the ancestral lineage leading to each of the 173 species in the population genetic datasets using two methods applied to an existing phylogenetic tree of all bird species (27). First, we computed a simple summary metric of speciation rate for each tip, called the diversification rate (DR) statistic (27), based on the weighted inverse of phylogenetic branch lengths. Because it does not explicitly account for extinction, the DR statistic is more tightly correlated with speciation rates at the tips of the tree than the net diversification rate (28). Speciation rates based on the DR statistic ranged from 0.03 to 3.35 species/My with a median of 0.16 species/My. Second, we obtained model-based speciation rate estimates using a Bayesian analysis of macroevolutionary mixtures (BAMM) v.2.5, which jointly estimates the number of distinct evolutionary rate regimes across a phylogenetic tree and the speciation and extinction rates within each of the regimes (29–31). We extracted speciation rates for each study species based on the marginal posterior rate distribution at its tip on the phylogeny. Based on BAMM analysis, the marginal posterior speciation rates across the 173 study species varied from 0.05 to 0.66 species/My with a median of 0.14 species/My (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Figs. S1 and S2). Speciation rates from model-based analysis in BAMM and the summary DR statistic were correlated (R² = 0.283, P < 0.001). Importantly, speciation rates inferred using both methods were slower than population differentiation rates. The median population differentiation rate was 3.35 times greater than the speciation rate using the DR statistic, or 3.70 times greater using the BAMM speciation rate (Fig. 2). These ratios are likely to be conservative because our population differentiation rate estimates do not account for population extinction within species. Although this result suggests that most geographic variants are ephemeral and do not persist to become reproductively isolated species, it does not preclude the possibility that variation among lineages in differentiation rate predicts variation in speciation rates.

We tested whether population differentiation rates within species were associated with speciation rates inferred using both BAMM diversification analyses and the DR statistic. We tested for a relationship between population differentiation and BAMM speciation rates using structured rate permutations on phylogenies (STRAPP), a trait-dependent diversification test that avoids phylogenetic pseudoreplication while accounting for autocorrelation in evolutionary rates within evolutionary rate regimes (32). BAMM speciation rates were positively correlated with population genetic
differentiation rates [Spearman’s correlation coefficient ($r = 0.264$, $P = 0.018$, Fig. 3A)]. We compared population differentiation and DR speciation rates using phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) (33, 34). This test, pairing the DR statistic with PGLS, is analogous to the trait-dependent diversification test (PGLS) (33, 34). This test, pairing the DR statistic with PGLS, is analogous to the trait-dependent diversification test (PGLS) (33, 34). This test, pairing the DR statistic with PGLS, is analogous to the trait-dependent diversification test (PGLS) (33, 34).

We conducted an additional series of tests to assess whether the association between population differentiation and speciation rates is an artifact of sampling or methodology. For brevity, we present results from STRAPP tests of BAMM speciation rates below, but results from PGLS of the DR statistic were similar and are presented in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods. The positive correlation between the population genetic differentiation rate and the speciation rate was robust to the taxonomy used to circumscribe species for the population-level analysis with a more finely subdivided taxonomy producing similar results to the primary taxonomy that we examined ($r = 0.205$, $P = 0.038$). Using a simple time threshold (9.501 Myr) instead of taxonomy to partition species diversity from intraspecific diversity also produced a similar result ($r = 0.291$, $P = 0.011$). Our result was robust to the use of lower (PP = 0.7; $r = 0.256$, $P = 0.013$) and higher (PP = 0.9; $r = 0.267$, $P = 0.011$) posterior probability thresholds for assigning individuals to population clusters, to whether the population differentiation rate was measured using the stem age rather than the crown age of a species ($r = 0.319$, $P = 0.006$), to the random removal of 20% ($r = 0.244$, $P = 0.036$) of samples from the dataset, to the removal of populations containing a single individual ($r = 0.267$, $P = 0.012$), and to models of population differentiation incorporating moderate [extinction/speciation ratio ($\epsilon = 0.45$; $r = 0.262$, $P = 0.027$) or high ($\epsilon = 0.9$; $r = 0.245$, $P = 0.048$) population extinction rates. Population differentiation rate might be associated with speciation rate if clades with high speciation rates necessarily have shallower crown ages that result in elevated differentiation rates. However, crown age was not related to speciation rate ($r = -0.104$, $P = 0.299$).

Many other traits and environmental factors can potentially influence speciation rates in birds, including traits related to
distribution, morphology, and behavior (e.g., 20, 36–38). We used multipredictor models to further explore the variation in speciation rates that was not explained by population differentiation alone; we considered a set of variables that represented range size, midpoint latitude, migratory distance, multivariate environmental niche, tarsus length (a proxy for body size), a wing shape metric (a proxy for dispersal ability), and presence or absence of sexual dichromatism (a proxy for strength of sexual selection) in each study species. Multivariate analyses were conducted using the DR statistic and PGLS, which readily accommodates multipredictor tests. We found that migratory distance (PGLS slope = 0.000, P = 0.041) and tarsus length (PGLS slope = 0.484, P = 0.024) were correlated with speciation rate in a multipredictor PGLS analysis, but population differentiation rate remained significant in the multivariate model (PGLS slope = 0.160, P = 0.003). In a comparison of PGLS models using the Akaike Information Criterion correcting for small sample sizes (AICc) scores, population differentiation rate was the variable responsible for the greatest model improvement (AICc = 5.536, SI Appendix, Table S1). The model containing all nine variables explained less than twice as much variation in speciation rate overall (R² = 0.180) compared with the population differentiation rate alone in one-way PGLS analysis (R² = 0.098). This suggests that, although other variables may contribute to the noise observed in the relationship between population differentiation and speciation rates, population differentiation rate is the most important predictor among the predictors examined.

After dividing the species into temperate (n = 63) and tropical (n = 110) assemblages, we found no correlation between population differentiation and speciation rates in the temperate species (STRAPP; r = 0.106, P = 0.442; PGLS slope = 0.160, P = 0.086), but a strong positive correlation in the tropical species (STRAPP: r = 0.362, P = 0.014; PGLS slope = 0.229, P < 0.001; Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Resampling the tropical dataset to match the number of temperate species (n = 63) still produced stronger correlations than in the temperate dataset using STRAPP with BAMM speciation rates (P < 0.001), although not with PGLS of the DR statistic (P = 0.169). There was no latitudinal variation in our sample in either population differentiation rate (PGLS slope = −0.001, P = 0.888) or speciation rate (BAMM PGLS slope = 0.000, P = 0.866; DR statistic PGLS slope = 0.002, P = 0.537; Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S3), nor in the ratio of population differentiation to speciation rate (BAMM PGLS slope = −0.001, P = 0.910; DR statistic PGLS slope = −0.003, P = 0.627). There was, however, a wide variance in the ratio of population differentiation to speciation rates at temperate latitudes, compared with a more peaked distribution among tropical species (F-test of equal variances: F = 1.937, P = 0.009 with BAMM speciation rates; F = 2.014, P = 0.003 with the DR statistic; Fig. 4B and C and SI Appendix, Fig. S3). These results suggest that population differentiation leads to speciation at a relatively predictable rate in the tropics, but that this rate is less predictable in the Temperate Zone.

Discussion

We found a robust association between population differentiation rate and speciation rate across New World birds, although considerable variance in speciation rate remained unexplained. Given the potential for estimation error in both population differentiation rates and speciation rates, detecting any association was remarkable. The rate of population differentiation within a species can be used, in part, to predict its speciation rate over longer timescales and vice versa. Statements of causality, however, would be misleading. Population differentiation may be a rate-limiting step in speciation or differentiation and speciation may be related through an unresolved causal structure involving other processes that affect rates at both timescales. In either case, our results support an implicit but largely untested assumption of speciation research, that the often-studied processes leading to population differentiation could also be responsible for elevated diversification rates over deep evolutionary time. Our results accord well with prior evidence that the number of taxonomic subspecies formed within a species is tied to species richness or speciation rate in the species’ higher taxonomic groups (16, 17). Moreover, our results provide an explicit timescale for population differentiation for direct comparison with diversification rates. Our results also bolster support for examples of links between speciation rate and traits thought to lead to population differentiation, such as limited dispersal ability or range fragmentation (36, 37, 39, 40).

Much of the variance that we observed in speciation rates is unexplained by population differentiation. This unexplained variance may be partly due to rate estimation error, but it also leaves room for other factors to contribute to speciation rate variation. Broad ecological traits of species and environmental variables may explain some speciation rate variation, and indeed we found evidence for weak associations with some of these variables (migratory distance and a proxy for body size). Aside from broad factors, population-level processes in addition to differentiation might also serve as proximate controls on the process of speciation. For allopatric speciation to be complete, geographically isolated populations must not only differentiate, but also persist until the completion of speciation (6, 13). Variation among species in population persistence, time to reproductive isolation, or time to ecological differentiation therefore may explain some of the additional variance in speciation rate. However, extinction is notoriously difficult to estimate from data on extant lineages alone (9, 41), and measuring population persistence for exploration of this potential control using empirical data at the population level may be challenging. The rate of intrinsic postzygotic reproductive isolation does not predict speciation rate across birds (42), but premating reproductive isolation is also potentially important in birds (43) and may merit further investigation. Elevated ecological opportunities can be associated with increased speciation rates (44), and there is evidence that rates of ecological divergence vary regionally (45), but more data are needed to establish a link between rates of ecological divergence among populations and speciation rates. Regardless, although variation among lineages in factors like
rates of population persistence, evolution of reproductive isolation, and ecological divergence may explain some variation in avian speciation rate, they are insufficient to erase the association between population differentiation and speciation observed in our datasets.

Population differentiation predicts speciation rate across all the New World birds examined, but the relationship appears to be stronger in tropical species and may be weak or absent in the Temperate Zone. Comparisons using larger samples of species, particularly from the Temperate Zone, are desirable to confirm this result. Even if additional research confirms that the association between population differentiation and speciation rates is an entirely tropical phenomenon, the association research would be of evolutionary importance given that most bird diversity is tropical and many temperate clades evolved from tropical ancestors (46, 47). In addition, the latitudinal difference in the association between population differentiation and speciation rate may provide information about geographical differences in how diversity accumulates. Coupled with the tighter relationship between population differentiation and speciation rates in tropical species, lineages in the tropics showed less variability in the ratio of population differentiation to speciation rates than temperate lineages. This pattern is consistent with a scenario in which the conversion of population differentiation to new species occurs predictably through time in the tropics, but is episodic or unpredictable at temperate latitudes. Climatic cycling over the past 420,000 y (48) suggests that major shifts in external environmental conditions may be the dominant driver of speciation rates at high latitudes, whereas evolutionary processes are more responsible for the observed patterns at low latitudes (49), which could relegate control of speciation rates to the population-level processes occurring constantly within lineages. Latitudinal differences in the correlation between population differentiation and speciation therefore support hypotheses that invoke greater tropical environmental stability as a cause of the latitudinal diversity gradient (20, 50) and suggest an underlying mechanism in the form of less episodic tropical diversification dynamics resulting from less dramatic climatic shifts.

To conclude, we predict that traits associated with processes that promote population differentiation will provide insights into attributes of organisms that predispose them to diversify. We also expect variation in those traits to help explain broader patterns of diversity among clades and regions. We anticipate that more and larger comparative, population-level datasets will allow investigation of additional processes, such as population persistence and ecological divergence, that might also contribute to the diversity of organisms worldwide.

Materials and Methods
Sampling and Taxonomy. We examined population genetic data from 173 species from across the New World (SI Appendix). Species were defined as all nonsympatric monophyletic populations for which we had sampling, regardless of their current treatment by taxonomic authorities. Thus, metrics of population differentiation reflect geographic patterns of diversity among allopatric or parapatric groups, whereas metrics of speciation reflect deeper patterns among potentially sympatric and reproductively isolated groups. We alleviated the extent to which the inclusiveness of our species taxonomy could impact our results by focusing on rates of differentiation rather than standing levels of differentiation (see below). We expect differentiation rates to be similar in a species regardless of the taxonomic treatment used because a more inclusive treatment for a given species will generally result in an older species age in addition to more genetic structure. We also investigated the effect of taxonomic treatment on our results by applying a second taxonomy corresponding to the current taxonomy of the American Ornithological Society’s (AOS) North American (51, 52) and South American (53) Checklist committees. In situations where the North and South American committees differed in their treatment, we reverted to the North American committee’s treatment. The AOS taxonomy is more subdivided or “split” (260 species, 200 with sufficient samples to include in analyses) than the primary taxonomy (173 species), so examination of both provides an index of the impact of the level of taxonomic splitting on results. Finally, we examined a “taxonomy-free” approach in which we used a simple time threshold to distinguish between species diversity and intraspecific diversity. This threshold was based on the oldest crown age in the phylogeographic datasets (9.501 My) and was applied to every lineage in the dataset.

Molecular Data. We examined previously published population-level mitochondrial datasets of New World birds, including a subset that we generated for this and related projects (22). We restricted our sampling to those datasets containing at least 10 samples (mean = 101) and range-wide coverage. We evaluated the robustness of our results to the level of sampling within species by randomly pruning 20% of the tips of the mitochondrial gene trees estimated from the full dataset and repeating analyses.

Population Differentiation Rate Estimation. We estimated mitochondrial gene trees for each species using the Bayesian method implemented in BEAST v.1.7.5 (54). All trees were time-calibrated using an uncorrelated relaxed substitution rate based on published avian mitochondrial rates (SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods). We included taxa deemed to be sister to study species (if present), on prior phylogenetic data, and with a sufficient time separation to allow for crown age estimates for each species from maximum clade credibility (MCC) trees. We quantified phylogeographic structure using bGMYC (SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods). We used the MCC tree from BEAST for each bGMYC run. bGMYC provides a posterior probability that two sequences belong to the same interbreeding population that can be used, along with a probability threshold, to determine the number of clusters present. For the primary analysis, we used a posterior probability threshold of 0.8 for clustering, but we also examined higher (0.9) and lower (0.7) thresholds.

To account for the fact that species might differ in the number of bGMYC clusters by virtue of differences in their age, we estimated the rate of bGMYC cluster formation, hereafter the population differentiation rate. We calculated rates using crown age, the age of the most recent common ancestor of extant haplotypes within the species. We used equation 6 from Magallon and Sanderson (55), which reduces to $\frac{\text{crown}\text{age}}{\text{t}}$, where $\text{crown}\text{age}$ is the number of populations and $t$ is the crown age. Although crown age is generally superior to stem age for rate estimation because it is positively correlated with diversity, which increases the comparability of rate estimates across species and taxonomic treatments (56), we also examined rates of population differentiation using the stem age. To account for population extinction, we also examined rates of population differentiation assuming different constant values for the relative rate of population extinction to population formation (SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods). We did not control for area in our rate estimates because we expect population differentiation to have equivalent evolutionary importance regardless of the size of the area over which it is distributed (SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods).

Speciation Rate Estimation. We used time-calibrated MCC trees from a published phylogeny of all birds (27) for estimation of speciation rates. Tips in the phylogeny were collapsed in cases in which one of our study species was represented by multiple species in the taxonomy of the published phylogeny. That study placed species lacking genetic data using taxonomic constraints, but used a simple time threshold to distinguish between species diversity and intraspecific diversity. This threshold was based on the oldest crown age in the phylogeographic datasets (9.501 My) and was applied to every lineage in the dataset.
Comparative Analyses. We examined correlations between the population genetic differentiation rate and speciation rates inferred both using the DR statistic and the diversification models in BAMM. We tested for correlations between log-transformed population differentiation and log-transformed BAMM specification rates using STRAPP, a test that detects effects based on replicated associations between trait values and diversification rates from BAMM (28) (SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods). This test accounts for covariance between species using permutations of trait values among species sharing the same evolutionary rate regime. For the DR statistic, we used PGLS (33, 34) to test for differences between log-transformed values and log-transformed population differentiation rates while accounting for relatedness between species based on phylogenetic distance in the avian tree (27).

We conducted multivariate analyses in which we tested whether any correlation between population differentiation and speciation rates persisted when other potentially important predictor variables were added to an analysis. The data for alternative predictor variables were gathered from existing databases of distribution and climatic data (distributional and environmental variables) and from museum specimens (ecomorphological variables) as detailed in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods. Finally, we conducted comparative analyses on both the full dataset and on datasets containing only species from either the Temperate Zone or the Tropical Zone. Species were assigned to the latitudinal zone based on the latitudinal midpoint of their breeding distribution relative to the tropics of Cancer and Capricorn (23.437° N and S). Curated datasets and scripts are available at https://github.com/mgharvey/differentiation_speciation.
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