

12-1-2009

MRM-applicable orthogonal polynomials for certain hypergeometric functions

Izumi Kubo

Hui-Hsiung Kuo

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/cosa>

 Part of the [Analysis Commons](#), and the [Other Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Kubo, Izumi and Kuo, Hui-Hsiung (2009) "MRM-applicable orthogonal polynomials for certain hypergeometric functions," *Communications on Stochastic Analysis*: Vol. 3 : No. 3 , Article 5.

DOI: 10.31390/cosa.3.3.05

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/cosa/vol3/iss3/5>

MRM-APPLICABLE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS FOR CERTAIN HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

IZUMI KUBO* AND HUI-HSIUNG KUO

ABSTRACT. The multiplicative renormalization method (MRM) is introduced to obtain generating functions of orthogonal polynomials of given probability measures. Complete lists of MRM-applicable measures for MRM-factors $h(x) = e^x$ and $(1-x)^{-\kappa}$ were obtained recently. On the other hand, it is known that gamma distributions have at least two types of MRM-factors $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$ and $h(x) = {}_1F_1(c; \kappa; x)$. The usual MRM-factor e^x is a special case of ${}_1F_1(c; \kappa; x)$ when $c = \kappa$. We first determine all MRM-applicable measures for $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$. Then we determine all possible MRM-factors of gamma distributions.

1. MRM-applicability of Orthogonal Polynomials

A probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} with density $f_\mu(x)$ is said to be applicable to the multiplicative renormalization method for $h(x)$ (or simply *MRM-applicable*), if there exists a suitable analytic function $\rho(t)$ around $t = 0$ with $\rho(0) = 0$, $r_1 = \rho'(0) \neq 0$ such that

$$(t, x) = \frac{h(\rho(t)x)}{\varphi(t)} \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi(t) = \theta(\rho(t)), \quad \theta(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(tx) d\mu(x) \quad (1.1)$$

is a generating function of the orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}$ in $L^2(\mu)$ with leading coefficient of one. Then there exist Jacobi-Szegő parameters $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ satisfying the recursive relation

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \alpha_n)P_n(x) - \omega_n P_{n-1}(x) \quad (1.2)$$

with $\omega_0 = 1, P_{-1}(x) = 0$. Eq. (1.2) is a necessary and sufficient condition for that $\{P_n(x)\}$ are orthogonal polynomials for some signed measure (see Shohat [18]). It is known that

$$\|P_n\|^2 = \lambda_n = \omega_0 \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

By Favard's Theorem [8], a set $\{P_n\}$ of polynomials with leading coefficient 1 is orthogonal for a probability measure if and only if they satisfy the recursion relation in Eq. (1.2) with $\omega_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ (see [1] and [5]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C45; Secondary 60E05.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal polynomials, MRM-applicable, hypergeometric functions, Laguerre polynomials, Wilson polynomials.

* This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) no.20540145 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Let us suppose that

$$h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n x^n, \quad h_0 = 1, \quad h_n \neq 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then we have the expansion

$$(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_1^n h_n P_n(x) t^n. \quad (1.4)$$

In the previous papers [6] [12] [13] [14] [15], all MRM-applicable measures for $h(x) = e^x$ and $h(x) = (1-x)^{-\kappa}$ are determined.

A set of polynomials is said to be *MRM-applicable for $h(x)$* , if they are orthogonal for a probability measure and are given by the generating function in Eq. (1.1). In this article, we discuss MRM-applicability for $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$. By Favard's Theorem, it is sufficient for the purpose to find ρ - and B -functions such that polynomials given by the following generating function in Eq. (1.5) satisfy Eq. (1.2) with $\omega_0 = 1$ and $\omega_n > 0$ for any $n \geq 0$. For convenience, we write a generating function in the form

$$(t, x) = B(t)h(\rho(t)x), \quad (1.5)$$

where $B(t) = \frac{1}{\theta(\rho(t))}$. This function (t, x) is called the *Boas-Buck generating function* (see §6 of [17]).

2. Hypergeometric Functions as MRM-factors

Hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; x)$ is defined by

$${}_pF_q(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \cdots (b_q)_n n!} x^n,$$

where

$$(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots (a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

In the special case of $p = 0$ (or $p = 1, q = 0$), we denote as

$${}_0F_q(-; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q; x) \quad (\text{respectively, } {}_1F_0(a_1; -; x)).$$

Let μ_κ be the gamma distribution with the density

$$f_\kappa(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} x^{\kappa-1} e^{-x}, \quad \text{for } x \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Laguerre polynomials

$$L_n^{\kappa-1}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \frac{\Gamma(\kappa+n)}{\Gamma(m+\kappa)(n-m)!m!} x^m \quad (2.2)$$

are orthogonal for gamma distribution μ_κ and their Jacobi-Szegő parameters are

$$\alpha_n = \kappa + 2n \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_n = n(n + \kappa - 1), \quad \omega_0 = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

A generating function is given as

$$(1+t)^{-\kappa} e^{\frac{t}{1+t}x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n L_n^{\kappa-1}(x) t^n \quad (2.4)$$

(see (7) and (17) in §10.12 of [7].) There are other generating functions given by hypergeometric functions as

$$e^{-t} {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; tx) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{L_n^{\kappa-1}(x)}{(\kappa)_n} t^n \tag{2.5}$$

(see Eq. (1) in §113 of [17] and Eq. (8) in §19.9 of [7]) and

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)^c} {}_1F_1\left(c; \kappa; \frac{t}{1+t}x\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_n (-1)^n L_n^{(\kappa-1)}(x)}{(\kappa)_n} t^n \quad \text{for any } c > 0 \tag{2.6}$$

(see Eq. (3) in §113 of [17]). Special choices of c as $c = 1$ and $c = \kappa$ give examples

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1F_1(1; \kappa; x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\kappa+n)} x^n, \\ {}_1F_1(\kappa; \kappa; x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x^n = e^x. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we say that $h(x)$ is an *MRM-factor* of μ if μ is MRM-applicable for $h(x)$. We have the following chart.

Gamma distribution μ_κ and MRM-factor $h(x)$'s				
μ	$h(x)$	$\rho(t)$	$\varphi(t)$	(t, x)
μ_κ	e^x	$\frac{t}{1+t}$	$(1+t)^\kappa$	$(1+t)^{-\kappa} e^{\frac{tx}{1+t}}$
μ_κ	${}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$	t	e^t	$e^{-t} {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; tx)$
μ_κ	${}_1F_1(c; \kappa; x)$	$\frac{t}{1+t}$	$(1+t)^c$	$(1+t)^{-c} {}_1F_1(c; \kappa; \frac{tx}{1+t})$

Our purpose of this article is to find all possible probability measures (equivalently Jacobi-Szegö parameters), which are MRM-applicable for hypergeometric functions $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$. Of course, gamma distribution is one of them as seen above.

Put

$$h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n x^n, \quad h_n = \frac{1}{n! (\kappa)_n}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n t^n, \quad r_1 = 1; \quad B(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n, \quad b_0 = 1. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\{P_n(x)\}$ be the polynomials given by

$$B(t)h(\rho(t)x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n P_n(x)t^n. \tag{2.9}$$

If P_n 's satisfy Eq. (1.2), then parameters $\{h_n, b_n, r_n, \alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ are related. We will see relationships between them in §3. Moreover it is shown in §3 that Jacobi-Szegő parameters $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$, $B(t)$ and $\rho(t)$ satisfying Eq. (1.2) are parametrized by constants b_1, b_2, r_2 and r_3 , which are not independent. We give below some examples by using the results to be proved in §4.

We introduce a function $E(a, b; t)$ defined by

$$E(a, b; t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{u(1+bu+au^2)}} du, \tag{2.10}$$

which can be obtained by the elliptic integral of the first kind. Here for u with $u(1+bu+au^2) < 0$, we use the convention $\sqrt{u(1+bu+au^2)} = i\sqrt{-u(1+bu+au^2)}$. Then it is very important to see that the singularity of $(E(a, b; t))^2$ at $t = 0$ is removable and it can be regarded analytic in t around $t = 0$. In this sense, we say that the function is analytic in t around $t = 0$ simply.

Example 2.1. If $b_2 = b_1^2, r_2 = 0, r_3 = 0$, then

$$\alpha_n = -b_1(2n + \kappa), \quad \omega_n = b_1^2 n(n + \kappa - 1), \quad B(t) = e^{b_1 t}, \quad \rho(t) = t.$$

In particular, if $b_1 = -1$, then this corresponds to Eq. (2.5).

Example 2.2. For the case of $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, we see

$$h(x) = {}_0F_1\left(-; \frac{1}{2}; x\right) = \begin{cases} \cosh 2\sqrt{x}, & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ \cos 2\sqrt{-x}, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

By the convention $\sqrt{x} = i\sqrt{-x}$, $h(x)$ is written as $\cosh \sqrt{x}$ for both cases and it is analytic around $x = 0$. If we take as $b_1 = -\frac{1}{4}, b_2 = \frac{1}{8}, r_2 = -\frac{1}{3}, r_3 = \frac{23}{120}$, then

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{8}(2n + 1)^2, \quad \omega_n = \frac{1}{64}n^2(2n - 1)(2n + 1),$$

$$B(t) = \frac{4}{4+t}, \quad \rho(t) = 4 \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} \right)^2.$$

The corresponding generating function $(t, x) = \frac{4}{4+t} \cosh \left(4\sqrt{x} \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t} \right)$ gives Wilson polynomials $\{P_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{(2n)!} W_n(x; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1)\}$, which are orthogonal with respect to the probability measure with the density $\frac{16}{\pi} \sqrt{x} |\Gamma(2ix)|^2$ (cf. §3.8 of [1]). In this case such a measure is not necessarily unique (see [19]).

Example 2.3. For the case of $\kappa = \frac{3}{2}$, we see

$$h(x) = {}_0F_1\left(-; \frac{3}{2}; x\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \sinh 2\sqrt{x}, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-x}} \sin 2\sqrt{-x}, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

If we take as $b_1 = -\frac{1}{12}$, $b_2 = \frac{1}{40}$, $r_2 = -\frac{1}{3}$, $r_3 = \frac{23}{120}$, then

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{8}(2n + 1)^2, \quad \omega_n = \frac{1}{64}n^2(2n - 1)(2n + 1),$$

$$B(t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}, \quad \rho(t) = 4 \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} \right)^2.$$

The corresponding generating function $(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{tx}} \sinh(4\sqrt{x} \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{t})$ gives the same Wilson polynomials $P_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{(2n)!} W_n(x; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ as in Example 2.2.

3. Lemmas

By Favard’s Theorem [8], a set $\{P_n\}$ of polynomials with leading coefficient 1 satisfies the recursion relation in Eq. (1.2)

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x - \alpha_n)P_n(x) - \omega_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with Jacobi-Szegő parameters $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ satisfying $P_{-1}(x) = 0, \alpha_{-1} = 0, \omega_0 = 1, \omega_n > 0$ for any $n \geq 0$, if and only if they are orthogonal polynomials with respect to a probability measure μ .

Through out this paper, we will assume that

$$h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n x^n, \quad \rho(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n t^n, \quad B(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n. \tag{3.1}$$

We may normalize these functions so that $h(0) = h_0 = 1, B(0) = b_0 = 1, \rho(0) = r_0 = 0$, and $\rho'(0) = r_1 = 1$. For convenience, we put $h_{-1} = b_{-1} = 0$. Suppose that $(t, x) = B(t)h(\rho(t)x)$ is a generating function of $\{P_n\}$, i.e.,

$$(t, x) = B(t)h(\rho(t)x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n P_n(x) t^n. \tag{3.2}$$

Put

$$\theta(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(tx) d\mu(x), \quad \varphi(t) = \theta(\rho(t)).$$

Obviously, we have

$$B(t) = \frac{1}{\varphi(t)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Define

$$W_n(x) = P_n(x) - (x - \alpha_{n-1})P_{n-1}(x) + \omega_{n-1}P_{n-2}(x) \tag{3.4}$$

and let $W_{n,m}$ be the m -th coefficient of $W_n(x)$ for $n \geq m \geq 0$. Then

$$W_{n+1,m} = c_{n+1,m} - c_{n,m-1} + \alpha_n c_{n,m} + \omega_n c_{n-1,m} \tag{3.5}$$

for $n \geq m \geq 0$. Since $W_n(x) = 0$ must hold, all $W_{n,m}$ must vanish. Let $B_{m,k}$ be the coefficient of $B(t)\rho^m(t)$, $m \geq 0$. Then $B_{m,k} = 0$ for $m > k$. Hence

$$B(t)\rho^m(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{m,k} t^k = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} B_{m,k} t^k. \tag{3.6}$$

The following Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 are shown in [10].

Lemma 3.1. *The following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{n+1,0} &= \frac{b_{n+1}}{h_{n+1}} + \alpha_n \frac{b_n}{h_n} + \omega_n \frac{b_{n-1}}{h_{n-1}}, \\
W_{n+1,1} &= \frac{h_1}{h_{n+1}} r_{n+1} + \frac{h_1}{h_{n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^n b_{n+1-k} r_k - \frac{b_n}{h_n} \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \frac{h_1}{h_n} \sum_{k=1}^n b_{n-k} r_k + \omega_n \frac{h_1}{h_{n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{n-1-k} r_k, \\
W_{n+1,m} &= \frac{h_m}{h_{n+1}} B_{m,n+1} - \frac{h_{m-1}}{h_n} B_{m-1,n} + \alpha_n \frac{h_m}{h_n} B_{m,n} + \omega_n \frac{h_m}{h_{n-1}} B_{m,n-1}, \\
W_{n+1,n-1} &= \frac{h_{n-1}}{2h_{n+1}} (2b_2 + 2(n-1)(b_1 r_2 + r_3) + (n-1)(n-2)r_2^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{h_{n-2}}{2h_n} (2b_2 + 2(n-2)(b_1 r_2 + r_3) + (n-2)(n-3)r_2^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{h_{n-1}}{h_n} (b_1 + (n-1)r_2) \alpha_n + \omega_n, \\
W_{n+1,n} &= \frac{h_n}{h_{n+1}} (b_1 + nr_2) - \frac{h_{n-1}}{h_n} (b_1 + (n-1)r_2) + \alpha_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, μ is MRM-applicable for $h(x)$ if and only if $W_{n,m} = 0$ for all $n-1 \geq m \geq 0$ and $\omega_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *For given $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ and $\{b_1, r_2, r_3\}$, the recursion formulas*

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{n+1} &= \frac{h_n^2 (b_1 + nr_2)}{h_{n-1} (b_1 + (n-1)r_2) - h_n \alpha_n}, \\
b_{n+1} &= -\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} \alpha_n b_n - \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} \omega_n b_{n-1}, \\
r_{n+1} &= \frac{b_n h_{n+1}}{h_1 h_n} - \sum_{m=1}^n b_{n+1-m} r_m - \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} \alpha_n \sum_{m=1}^n b_{n-m} r_m \\
&\quad - \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} \omega_n \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} b_{n-1-m} r_m,
\end{aligned}$$

hold for $n \geq 1$ if $h_{n-1} (b_1 + (n-1)r_2) - h_n \alpha_n \neq 0$ and $h_1 = -\frac{b_1}{\alpha_0}$ if $\alpha_0 \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.3. *For given $h(x)$ and fixed $\{b_1, b_2, r_2, r_3\}$, the Jacobi-Szegő parameters are uniquely determined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n &= -\frac{h_n}{h_{n+1}} (b_1 + nr_2) + \frac{h_{n-1}}{h_n} (b_1 + (n-1)r_2), \quad n \geq 1, \\
\omega_n &= \frac{h_{n-1}}{2h_{n+1}} (2(b_1^2 - b_2 + b_1 r_2) + 2(n-1)(b_1 r_2 - r_3) + (n-1)(n+2)r_2^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{h_{n-2}}{2h_n} (2b_2 + 2(n-2)(b_1 r_2 + r_3) + (n-2)(n-3)r_2^2) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{h_{n-1}}{h_n} (b_1 + (n-1)r_2) \right)^2, \quad n \geq 2,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_0 = -\frac{b_1}{h_1}$, $\omega_0 = 1$, $\omega_1 = \frac{b_1^2 - b_2 + b_1 r_2}{h_2} - \frac{b_1^2}{h_1^2}$. Furthermore, the recursion formulas for $\{b_n, r_n\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} &= -\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} \alpha_n b_n - \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} \omega_n b_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2, \\ r_{n+1} &= \frac{b_n h_{n+1}}{h_1 h_n} - \sum_{m=1}^n b_{n+1-m} r_m - \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} \alpha_n \sum_{m=1}^n b_{n-m} r_m \\ &\quad - \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} \omega_n \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} b_{n-1-m} r_m, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $A_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then for given $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ and $\{b_1, r_2, r_3\}$, we have

$$\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} = -\frac{b_1 + nr_2}{\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_n &= (-1)^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_1 + kr_2}{A_k}, \quad n \geq 1, \\ b_{n+1} &= \frac{b_1 + nr_2}{A_n} \alpha_n b_n - \frac{(b_1 + nr_2)(b_1 + (n-1)r_2)}{A_{n-1} A_n} \omega_n b_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \\ r_{n+1} &= \frac{b_1 + nr_2}{h_1 A_n} b_n - \sum_{m=1}^n b_{n+1-m} r_m + \frac{b_1 + nr_2}{A_n} \alpha_n \sum_{m=1}^n b_{n-m} r_m \\ &\quad - \frac{(b_1 + nr_2)(b_1 + (n-1)r_2)}{A_{n-1} A_n} \omega_n \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} b_{n-1-m} r_m, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(b_1 + nr_2) \frac{h_n}{h_{n+1}} = (b_1 + (n-1)r_2) \frac{h_{n-1}}{h_n} - \alpha_n.$$

Since $\frac{h_0}{h_1} b_1 = -\alpha_0$, we see that $(b_1 + nr_2) \frac{h_n}{h_{n+1}} = -\sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j$, which gives Eq. (3.7). But $h_0 = 1$. Hence we have the formula for h_n . By applying Eq. (3.7) to Lemma 3.2, we obtain the formulas for b_{n+1} and r_{n+1} as stated in the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.5. If $\alpha_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, then $r_{2n} = 0$, $b_{2n+1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. For given $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ and $\{h_1, b_2, r_3\}$, the following recursion formulas hold,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1} &= \frac{(b_2 + (n-1)r_3)h_{n-1}h_n}{(b_2 + (n-2)r_3)h_{n-2} - h_n \omega_n}, \quad n \geq 2 \\ b_{n+1} &= -\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} \omega_n b_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2 \\ r_{n+1} &= \frac{b_n h_{n+1}}{h_1 h_n} - \sum_{m=1}^n b_{n+1-m} r_m - \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} \omega_n \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} b_{n-1-m} r_m, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $\Omega_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j$. Then for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} = -\frac{b_2 + (n-1)r_3}{\Omega_n}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{2n} &= (-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(b_2 + 2(k-1)r_3)}{\Omega_{2k-1}}, \\
 h_{2n+1} &= (-1)^n h_1 \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(b_2 + (2k-1)r_3)}{\Omega_{2k}},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.9}$$

$$b_{2n} = (-1)^n h_{2n} \prod_{k=1}^n \omega_{2k-1} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\omega_{2k-1} (b_2 + 2(k-1)r_3)}{\Omega_{2k-1}},
 \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{2n+1} &= \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\omega_{2k-1} (b_2 + (2k-1)r_3)}{\Omega_{2k}} - \sum_{m=1}^n b_{2(n+1-m)} r_{2m-1} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\omega_{2n} (b_2 + (2n-1)r_3)}{\Omega_{2n}} \sum_{m=1}^n b_{2(n-m)} r_{2m-1}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Suppose $\alpha_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $W_{1,0} = \frac{b_1}{h_1} = 0$, we have $b_1 = 0$. But $W_{2,0} = \frac{b_2+h_2\omega_1}{h_2} = 0$ and $W_{2,1} = \frac{h_1r_2}{h_2} = 0$. Hence $r_2 = 0$ and $h_2 = -\frac{b_2}{\omega_1}$. Solving $W_{n+1,n-1} = 0$ for h_{n+1} , we obtain the first recursion formula in the lemma for h_{n+1} . Then we can rewrite it as

$$\frac{h_{n-1}}{h_{n+1}} (b_2 + (n-1)r_3) = \frac{h_{n-2}}{h_n} (b_2 + (n-2)r_3) - \omega_n,$$

which yields the equality

$$\frac{h_{n-1}}{h_{n+1}} (b_2 + (n-1)r_3) = - \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j.$$

Therefore we have Eq. (3.8). The other equalities are obtained from Lemma 3.2. Since $b_1 = 0$ and $b_{n+1} = -\frac{h_{n+1}}{h_{n-1}} \omega_n b_{n-1}$, we see that $b_{2n+1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Assume that $r_{2j} = 0$ for $n \geq j \geq 0$, then

$$r_{2n+2} = \frac{b_{2n+1}h_{2n+2}}{h_1h_{2n+1}} - \sum_{m=1}^{2n+1} b_{2n+2-m}r_m - \frac{h_{2n+2}}{h_{2n}} \omega_{2n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{2n} b_{2n-m}r_m = 0.$$

Thus by induction we have $r_{2n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. □

Remark 3.6. (i) We have normalized the constants so that

$$h_0 = 1, \quad b_0 = 1, \quad r_1 = 1.
 \tag{3.9}$$

This does not impose essential restrictions. Since $\theta(0) = h(0)$, $B(0)h(0) = b_0h_0 = 1$ holds. Suppose that $(t, x) = B(t)h(\rho(t)x)$ is a generating function for orthogonal polynomials. If $h_0 \neq 1$, put $\widehat{h}(x) = \frac{h(x)}{h(0)}$ and $\widehat{B}(t) = h(0)B(t)$. Then $\widehat{(t, x)} = \widehat{B}(t)\widehat{h}(\rho(t)x) = (t, x)$ is a generating function satisfying Eq. (3.9). On the other hand, if $r_1 \neq 1$, then put $\alpha = \frac{1}{r_1}$, $\widehat{\rho}(t) = \rho(\alpha t)$ and $\widehat{b}(t) = B(\alpha t)$. Then $\widehat{(t, x)} = \widehat{B}(t)h(\widehat{\rho}(t)x)$ is a generating function satisfying Eq. (3.9).

(ii) Suppose $(t, x) = B(t)h(\rho(t)x)$ is a generating function of $\{P_n\}$. For given $\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{r}_1 \neq 0$, the scaling transforms

$$\tilde{h}(x) = h\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_1}{h_1}x\right), \quad \tilde{\rho}(t) = \frac{h_1}{\tilde{h}_1}\rho\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_1\tilde{r}_1}{h_1r_1}t\right), \quad \tilde{B}(t) = B\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_1\tilde{r}_1}{h_1r_1}t\right), \tag{3.10}$$

give a modified generating function

$$\tilde{\sim}(t, x) = \tilde{B}(t)\tilde{h}(\tilde{\rho}(t)x) = \left(\frac{\tilde{h}_1\tilde{r}_1}{h_1r_1}t, x\right)$$

satisfying $\tilde{h}'(0) = \tilde{h}_1$ and $\tilde{r}'(0) = \tilde{r}_1$.

Now let us apply the above lemmas to the case $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$, namely, $h_n = \frac{1}{n!(\kappa)_n}$ to obtain the Jacobi-Szegö parameters $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$.

Lemma 3.7. For $h_n = \frac{1}{n!(\kappa)_n}$ and given b_1, b_2, r_2, r_3 , the Jacobi-Szegö parameters $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= -(3r_2n^2 + (2b_1 + (2\kappa - 1)r_2)n + \kappa b_1), \quad n \geq 0, \\ \omega_n &= n(\kappa + n - 1)\left((8r_2^2 - 5r_3)n^2 + (2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 6b_1r_2 + 2(2\kappa - 7)r_2^2 - 3(\kappa - 3)r_3)\right. \\ &\quad \left. n + \kappa b_1^2 - 2(\kappa - 1)b_2 + 2(\kappa - 2)b_1r_2 - 2(2\kappa - 3)r_2^2 + (3\kappa - 4)r_3\right), \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\omega_0 = 1, \omega_1 = \kappa(-2(\kappa + 1)b_2 + (\kappa + 2)b_1^2 + 2(\kappa + 1)b_1r_2)$. Furthermore, $\tilde{W}_{n,m} \equiv \frac{h_n}{h_m}n(n + \kappa - 1)W_{n,m}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_{n,m} &= (n(n - 1) + \kappa n)B_{m,n} - m(m + \kappa - 1)B_{m-1,n-1} \\ &\quad - (3r_2(n - 1)(n - 2) + 2(b_1 + (\kappa + 1)r_2)(n - 1) + \kappa b_1)B_{m,n-1} \\ &\quad + \left((8r_2^2 - 5r_3)(n - 2)(n - 3) + (2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 6b_1r_2 + 2(2\kappa + 5)r_2^2 - 3(\kappa + 2)r_3)(n - 2)\right. \\ &\quad \left. (\kappa + 2)b_1^2 - 2(\kappa + 1)(b_2 - b_1r_2)\right)B_{m,n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Classification by Using Differential Equations

Put $B_m(t) = B(t)\rho(t)^m$, then by Eq. (3.6),

$$B_m(t) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} B_{m,n}t^n. \tag{4.1}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} n^p B_{m,n-q}t^n = t^q \left(t \frac{d}{dt} + q\right)^p B_m(t)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} (n - q)(n - q - 1) \cdots (n + 1 - q - p) B_{m,n-q}t^n = t^q \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^p B_m(t) \tag{4.2}$$

for non-negative integers p and q .

On the other hand, multiply $n(n + \kappa - 1)t^n$ to $\widetilde{W}_{n,m}$ in Lemma 3.7 and take the summation. Since $B_{m,n} = 0$ for $m > n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n(n-1) + \kappa n) B_{m,n} t^n = t^2 B_m''(t) + \kappa t B_m'(t), \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(m + \kappa - 1) B_{m-1,n-1} t^n = m(m + \kappa - 1) t B_{m-1}(t), \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (3r_2(n-1)(n-2) + 2(b_1 + (\kappa + 1)r_2)(n-1) + \kappa b_1) B_{m,n-1} t^n \\
 & = 3r_2 t^3 B_m''(t) + 2(b_1 + (\kappa + 1)r_2) t^2 B_m'(t) + \kappa b_1 t B_m(t), \\
 \text{(iv)} \quad & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left((8r_2^2 - 5r_3)(n-2)(n-3) + (2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 6b_1 r_2 + 2(2\kappa + 5)r_2^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - 3(\kappa + 2)r_3)(n-2) + (\kappa + 2)b_1^2 - 2(\kappa + 1)(b_2 - b_1 r_2) \right) B_{m,n-2} t^n \\
 & = (8r_2^2 - 5r_3) t^4 B_m''(t) + (2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 6b_1 r_2 + 2(2\kappa + 5)r_2^2 \\
 & \quad - 3(\kappa + 2)r_3) t^3 B_m'(t) + ((\kappa + 2)b_1^2 - 2(\kappa + 1)(b_2 - b_1 r_2)) t^2 B_m(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widetilde{W}_{n,m}$ must vanish, we have a differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -m(m + \kappa - 1) B_{m-1}(t) + (-\kappa b_1 + ((\kappa + 2)b_1^2 - 2(\kappa + 1)(b_2 - b_1 r_2))t) B_m(t) \\
 & + (\kappa - 2(b_1 + (\kappa + 1)r_2)t + (2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 6b_1 r_2 + 2(2\kappa + 5)r_2^2 \\
 & - 3(\kappa + 2)r_3)t^2) B_m'(t) + t(1 - 3r_2 t + (8r_2^2 - 5r_3)t^2) B_m''(t) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.3 and Eq. (4.2). Thus we have proved the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $c_m = -m(m + \kappa - 1)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_0(t) &= -\kappa b_1 + ((\kappa + 2)b_1^2 - 2(\kappa + 1)(b_2 - b_1 r_2))t, \\
 p_1(t) &= (\kappa - 2(b_1 + (\kappa + 1)r_2)t + (2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 6b_1 r_2 + 2(2\kappa + 5)r_2^2 \\
 & \quad - 3(\kappa + 2)r_3)t^2), \\
 p_2(t) &= t(1 - 3r_2 t + (8r_2^2 - 5r_3)t^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the differential equation

$$p_0(t)B_m(t) + p_1(t)B_m'(t) + p_2(t)B_m''(t) + c_m B_{m-1}(t) = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

holds for all $m \geq 0$ if and only if $\widetilde{W}_{n,m} = 0$ holds for all $n \geq m \geq 0$.

Now, we will first obtain conditions on parameters b_1, b_2, r_2 and r_3 under which all $\widetilde{W}_{n,m}$ vanish. From Eq. (4.3), we have for $m = 0$,

$$D_0 = p_0(t)B(t) + p_1(t)B'(t) + p_2(t)B''(t) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

and for $m = 1$,

$$p_0(t)B(t)\rho(t) + p_1(t)(B(t)\rho(t))' + p_2(t)(B(t)\rho(t))'' + c_1 B(t) = 0.$$

Then we use Eq. (4.4) to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p_0(t)B(t)\rho(t) + p_1(t)(B(t)\rho(t))' + p_2(t)(B(t)\rho(t))'' \\
 & = (p_0(t)B(t) + p_1(t)B'(t) + p_2(t)B''(t))\rho(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + (p_1(t)B(t) + 2p_2(t)B'(t))\rho'(t) + p_2(t)B(t)\rho''(t) \\
 & = (p_1(t)B(t) + 2p_2(t)B'(t))\rho'(t) + p_2(t)B(t)\rho''(t) + c_1B(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$D_1 = (p_2(t)\rho''(t) + p_1(t)\rho'(t) - \kappa)B(t) + 2p_2(t)\rho'(t)B'(t) = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

For $m = 2$ in Eq. (4.3), we use Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) and the fact that $c_2 + 2\kappa = -2$ to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p_0(t)B(t)\rho^2(t) + p_1(t)(B(t)\rho^2(t))' + p_2(t)(B(t)\rho^2(t))'' + c_2B(t)\rho(t) \\
 & = (p_0(t)B(t) + p_1(t)B'(t) + p_2(t)B''(t))\rho^2(t) \\
 & \quad + 2((p_2(t)\rho''(t) + p_1(t)\rho'(t) - \kappa)B(t) + 2p_2(t)\rho'(t)B'(t))\rho(t) \\
 & \quad + 2p_2(t)\rho'(t)^2B(t) + (c_2 + 2\kappa)\rho(t)B(t) \\
 & = 2(p_2(t)\rho'(t)^2 - \rho(t))B(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$D_2 = p_2(t)\rho'(t)^2 - \rho(t) = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $\rho(0) = 0$, the solution $\rho(t)$ of Eq. (4.6) is given by

$$\rho(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2}E(p_2; t)\right)^2, \quad E(p_2; t) = \int_0^t \frac{du}{\sqrt{p_2(u)}}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $E(p_2; t)$ can be represented by using an elliptic integral. Note that $\rho(t)$ is analytic around $t = 0$ in view of Eq. (2.10). From (4.6), we see that $\frac{1}{p_2(t)\rho'(t)} = \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}$. Hence by Eq. (4.5),

$$\frac{B'(t)}{B(t)} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{p_1(t)}{p_2(t)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\rho''(t)}{\rho'(t)} + \frac{\kappa}{2}\frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}.$$

Integrate both sides of this equation to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(t) & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho'(t)}}\rho(t)^{\kappa/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{p_1(t)}{p_2(t)}dt\right] \\
 & = 2^{-\kappa+1/2}p_2(t)^{1/4}E(p_2; t)^{\kappa-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{p_1(t)}{p_2(t)}dt\right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Here we have used Eq. (4.7) with a suitable integral constant satisfying $B(+0) = 1$. Thus $\rho(t)$ and $B(t)$ are determined explicitly. However, it is not guaranteed that $B(t)$ satisfies (4.4). We will discuss the conditions on parameters b_1, b_2, r_2 and r_3 later. Now we prove that the differential equations in Eq. (4.3) hold for all $k \geq 0$ if the three equations $D_0 = 0, D_1 = 0, D_2 = 0$ are satisfied.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that the following differential equations hold,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_0 & = p_0(t)B(t) + p_1(t)B'(t) + p_2(t)B''(t) = 0, \\
 D_1 & = (p_2(t)\rho''(t) + p_1(t)\rho'(t) - \kappa)B(t) + 2p_2(t)\rho'(t)B'(t) = 0, \\
 D_2 & = p_2(t)\rho'(t)^2 - \rho(t) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\tilde{D}_k = p_0(t)B_k(t) + p_1(t)B'_k(t) + p_2(t)B''_k(t) + c_k B_{k-1}(t) = 0$$

holds for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. Observe the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{D}_k - \rho^k(t)D_0 - k\rho^{k-1}(t)D_1 \\
&= p_0(t)\rho^k(t)B(t) + p_1(t)(\rho^k(t)B(t))' + p_2(t)(\rho^k(t)B(t))'' + c_k\rho^{k-1}(t)B(t) \\
&\quad - (p_0(t)\rho^k(t)B(t) + p_1(t)\rho^k(t)B'(t) + p_2(t)\rho^k(t)B''(t)) - k\rho^{k-1}(t)D_1 \\
&= \left(kp_1(t)\rho^{k-1}(t)\rho'(t)B(t) + 2kp_2(t)\rho^{k-1}(t)\rho'(t)B'(t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + k(k-1)p_2(t)\rho^{k-2}(t)\rho'(t)^2B(t) + kp_2(t)\rho^{k-1}(t)\rho''(t)B(t) \right) \\
&\quad - \left(kp_2(t)\rho^{k-1}(t)\rho''(t)B(t) + kp_1(t)\rho^{k-1}(t)\rho'(t)B(t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - k\rho^k(t)B(t) + 2kp_2(t)\rho^{k-1}(t)\rho'(t)B'(t) \right) + c_k\rho^{k-1}(t)B(t) \\
&= k(k-1)\rho^{k-1}(t)B(t)(p_2(t)\rho'(t)^2 - \rho(t)) \\
&= k(k-1)\rho^{k-1}(t)B(t)D_2 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we see the assertion. \square

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
B'(t) &= \left(\frac{p_2'(t)}{4p_2(t)} - \frac{p_1(t)}{2p_2(t)} + \frac{2\kappa - 1}{2\sqrt{p_2(t)}E(p_2; t)} \right) B(t), \\
B''(t) &= \left(\frac{p_2'(t)}{4p_2(t)} - \frac{p_1(t)}{2p_2(t)} + \frac{2\kappa - 1}{2\sqrt{p_2(t)}E(p_2; t)} \right)^2 B(t) \\
&\quad + \frac{p_2''(t)p_2(t) - p_2'(t)^2}{4p_2(t)^2} - \frac{p_1'(t)p_2(t) - p_1(t)p_2'(t)}{2p_2(t)^2} \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{(2\kappa - 1)p_2'(t)}{4(\sqrt{p_2(t)})^3 E(p_2; t)} - \frac{(2\kappa - 1)}{2p_2(t)E(p_2; t)^2} \right) B(t),
\end{aligned}$$

Hence from Eqs. (4.4) and (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_0 &= \frac{1}{16p_2(t)E(p_2; t)^2} \left(4(2\kappa - 1)(2\kappa - 3)p_2(t) + \left(-4p_1^2(t) + 16p_0(t)p_2(t) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - 8p_2(t)p_1'(t) + 8p_1(t)p_2'(t) - 3p_2'(t)^2 + 4p_2(t)p_2''(t) \right) E(p_2; t)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_0(t)$, $p_1(t)$, $p_2(t)$ are polynomials in t , the condition $D_0 = 0$ is equivalent to that both of $(2\kappa - 1)(2\kappa - 3) = 0$ and

$$-4p_1^2(t) + 16p_0(t)p_2(t) - 8p_2(t)p_1'(t) + p_1(t)p_2'(t) - 3p_2'(t)^2 + 4p_2(t)p_2''(t) = 0$$

hold if $E(p_2; t)^2$ is not a polynomial in t . On the other hand, $E(p_2; t)^2$ is a polynomial if and only if $p_2(t) = t$. But the condition $p_2(t) = t(1 + 3r_2t + (8r_2^2 - 5r_3)t^2) = t$ is equivalent to $r_2 = r_3 = 0$. Therefore, we have the following classification:

(I) $r_2 = r_3 = 0$.

Then $D_0 = 0$ implies that $(b_1^2 - 2b_2)((b_1^2 - 2b_2)t - 2b_1) = 0$, which is equivalent to $b_2 = \frac{1}{2}b_1^2$.

(II) $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$.Then $D_0 = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 4b_1^3 - 8b_1b_2 + 3b_1^2r_2 + 6b_2r_2 - 16b_1r_2^2 + 10b_1r_3 &= 0, \\ 2b_1^4 - 8b_1^2b_2 + 8b_2^2 + 12b_1^3r_2 - 24b_1b_2r_2 - 14r_1^2r_2^2 + 32b_2r_2^2 - 24b_1r_3^2 \\ &+ (20b_1^2 - 20b_2 + 15b_1r_2)r_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $b_1 \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} r_3 &= \frac{1}{10b_1}(-4b_1^3 + 8b_1b_2 - 3b_1^2r_2 - 6b_2r_2 + 16b_1r_2^2), \\ (3b_1^2 - 2b_2)(2b_1 - 3r_2)(2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 3b_1r_2) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the case $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ is classified as:(1) $b_1 = 0$.Then $D_0 = 0$ implies that $b_2(-3r_2 + 2(2b_2 + 8r_2^2 - 5r_3)t) = 0$. Hence either $b_2 = 0$ or $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \frac{2}{5}(b_2 + 4r_2^2)$ must hold. Therefore,(i) $b_1 = b_2 = 0$,(ii) $b_1 = 0$, $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \frac{2}{5}(b_2 + 4r_2^2)$.(2) $r_3 = \frac{1}{10b_1}(-4b_1^3 + 8b_1b_2 - 3b_1^2r_2 - 6b_2r_2 + 16b_1r_2^2)$.Then $D_0 = 0$ implies that $(3b_1^2 - 2b_2)(2b_1 - 3r_2)(2b_1^2 - 4b_2 + 3b_1r_2) = 0$.

Hence this case is classified as:

(i) $b_2 = \frac{3b_1^2}{2}$,(ii) $r_2 = \frac{2b_1}{3}$,(iii) $r_2 = -\frac{2(b_1^2 - 2b_2)}{3b_1}$.(III) $\kappa = \frac{3}{2}$.For this case, $D_0 = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} -4b_1^3 + 8b_1b_2 - b_1^2r_2 - 10b_2r_2 + 24b_1r_2^2 - 12r_2^3 - 14b_1r_3 + 10r_2r_3 &= 0, \\ 2b_1^4 - 8b_1^2b_2 + 8b_2^2 + 12b_1^3r_2 - 24b_1b_2r_2 - 22b_1^2r_2^2 + 48b_2r_2^2 - 32b_1r_3^2 \\ &+ 24r_2^4 + 24b_1^2r_3 - 28b_2r_3 + 17b_1r_2r_3 - 34r_2^2r_3 + 12r_3^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $7b_1 - 5r_2 \neq 0$, then from the first equation we have

$$r_3 = \frac{1}{2(7b_1 - 5r_2)}(-4b_1^3 + 8b_1b_2 - b_1^2r_2 - 10b_2r_2 + 24b_1r_2^2 - 12r_2^3).$$

Thus this case is classified as:

(1) $r_2 = \frac{7}{5}b_1$.Then $D_0 = 0$ implies that either

$$b_2 = \frac{363}{250}b_1^2, (11271b_1^2 - 500r_3)(338b_1^2 - 125r_3) = 0$$

or

$$b_1 = 0, (b_2 - 3r_3)(2b_2 - r_3) = 0.$$

Therefore, this case is classified as:

(i) $b_2 = \frac{363}{250}b_1^2$, $r_3 = \frac{1127}{500}b_1^2$,(ii) $b_2 = \frac{363}{250}b_1^2$, $r_3 = \frac{338}{125}b_1^2$,(iii) $b_1 = 0$, $r_3 = 2b_2$.

- (iv) $b_1 = 0, r_3 = \frac{1}{3}b_2.$
- (2) $r_3 = \frac{3}{7b_1 - 5r_2}(-4b_1^3 + 8ab_1b_2 - b_1^2r_2 - 10b_2r_2 + 24b_1r_2^2 - 12r_2^3).$
Then $D_0 = 0$ implies that

$$(b_1 - 2r_2)(2b_1 - r_2)(10b_1^2 - 20b_2 + 15b_1r_2 - r_2^2) \times (19b_1^2 - 10b_2 - 20b_1r_2 + 12r_2^2) = 0.$$

Hence this case is classified as:

- (i) $r_2 = \frac{b_1}{2},$
- (ii) $r_2 = 2b_1,$
- (iii) $b_2 = \frac{1}{20}(10b_1^2 + 15b_1r_2 - r_2^2),$
- (iv) $b_2 = \frac{1}{10}(19b_1^2 - 20b_1r_2 + 12r_2^2).$

For each case, we can obtain Jacobi-Szegő parameters $\{\alpha_n, \omega_n\}$ and $\rho(t), B(t)$ by Lemma 3.3 and Eqs. (4.7) and (4.8). Applying Favard’s Theorem, we can conclude the following theorems.

Theorem 4.3. *For the case (I) $r_2 = r_3 = 0,$ only the followings are MRM-applicable, namely, we must have $b_2 = b_1^2 \neq 0$ and*

$$\alpha_n = -b_1(\kappa + 2n), \quad \omega_n = b_1^2n(\kappa - 1 + n),$$

$$\rho(t) = t, \quad B(t) = e^{b_1t}, \quad (t, x) = e^{b_1t} {}_0F_1(-; \kappa, tx).$$

Moreover, the corresponding measure is a deformation of the gamma distribution (2.1) by the dilation τ_{1/b_1} and the corresponding orthogonal polynomials are given by $\{L_n^{\kappa-1}(x/b_1)\}.$

Proof. Since $p_2(t) = t$ by $r_2 = r_3 = 0,$ we have

$$E(p_2; t) = \int_0^t \frac{du}{\sqrt{p_2(u)}} = \int_0^t \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}} = 2\sqrt{t}.$$

Hence $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{4}E(p_2; t)^2 = t.$ Since $p_1(t) = \kappa - 2b_1t$ and $\int \frac{p_1(t)}{p_2(t)} dt = -2b_1 + \kappa \log t,$ we have $B(t) = 2^{-\kappa+1/2}t^{1/4}(2\sqrt{t})^{\kappa-1/2}t^{-\kappa/2}e^{b_1t} = e^{b_1t}.$ □

We have introduced the notation $E(a, b; t)$ in (2.10) as

$$E(a, b; t) = \int_0^t \frac{du}{\sqrt{u(1 + bu + au^2)}} = E(q; t), \quad q(t) = t(1 + bt + at^2),$$

where $E(q; t)$ is defined by Eq. (4.7). Let us remark that

$${}_0F_1(-; \frac{1}{2}; x) = \cosh(2\sqrt{x}), \quad {}_0F_1(-; \frac{3}{2}; x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \cosh(2\sqrt{x}).$$

For the case $\kappa = \frac{1}{2},$ Eq. (4.8) can be rewritten as

$$B(t) = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\frac{p_2'(u)}{2p_2(u)} - \frac{p_1(u)}{p_2(u)} \right) du \right]. \tag{4.9}$$

Theorem 4.4. For the case (II) $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, only the followings are MRM-applicable:

(1) $b_1 = 0$. In this case, we must have $b_2 < 0$, $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \frac{2}{5}b_2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= 0, \\ \omega_n &= -\frac{b_2}{2}n^2(2n-1)(2n+1), \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{1}{4}E(-2b_2, 0; t)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2b_2t^2}}, \\ (t, x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2b_2t^2}} \cosh(E(-2b_2, 0; t)\sqrt{x}).\end{aligned}$$

(2) $b_1 \neq 0$, $r_3 = \frac{1}{10b_1}(-4b_1^3 + 8b_1b_2 - 3b_1^2r_2 - 6b_2r_2 + 16b_1r_2^2)$. In this case, we have the following subcases:

(i) $b_2 = \frac{3b_1^2}{2}$, $r_3 = \frac{2}{5}(2b_1^2 - 3b_1r_2 + 4r_2^2)$ with $b_1(2b_1 - 3r_3) < 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= -\frac{1}{2}(6r_2n^2 + 4b_1n + b_1), \\ \omega_n &= -\frac{1}{2}b_1(2b_1 - 3r_2)n^2(2n-1)^2, \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{1}{2}E(-4b_1^2 + 6b_1r_2, -3r_2; t)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2b_1t}}, \\ (t, x) &= \frac{\cosh(E(-4b_1^2 + 6b_1r_2, -3r_2; t)\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{1-2b_1t}}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $r_2 = \frac{2}{3}b_1$, $r_3 = \frac{1}{45}(5b_1^2 + 18b_2)$ with $3b_1^2 - 2b_2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= -\frac{b_1}{2}(2n+1)^2, \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{4}(3b_1^2 - 2b_2)n^2(2n-1)(2n+1), \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{1}{4}E(3b_1^2 - 2b_2, -2b_1; t)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2b_1t + (3b_1^2 - 2b_2)t^2}}, \\ (t, x) &= \frac{\cosh(E(3b_1 - b_2, -2b_1; t)\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{1-2b_1t + (3b_1^2 - 2b_2)t^2}}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) $r_2 = -\frac{2(b_1^2 - 2b_2)}{3b_1}$, $r_3 = \frac{23(b_1^2 - 2b_2)^2}{45b_1^2}$ with $\frac{b_1^2}{6} > b_2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= \frac{1}{2b_1}(4(b_1^2 - 2b_2)n^2 - 4b_1^2n - b_1^2), \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{4b_1^2}n(2n-1)(2(b_1^2 - 2b_2)n - 3b_1^2 + 2b_2) \\ &\quad \times ((b_1^2 - 2b_2)n - 2b_1^2 + 2b_2), \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{b_1}{b_1^2 - 2b_2} \left(\tanh^{-1} \left(\sqrt{b_1 - 2b_2/b_1} \sqrt{t} \right) \right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B(t) &= \left(1 + \frac{b_1^2 - 2b_2}{b_1} t\right)^{\frac{b_1^2}{b_1^2 - 2b_2}}. \\
(t, x) &= \left(1 + \frac{b_1^2 - 2b_2}{b_1} t\right)^{\frac{b_1^2}{b_1^2 - 2b_2}} \\
&\quad \times \cosh \left(2\sqrt{\frac{b_1}{b_1^2 - 2b_2}} x \tanh^{-1} \left(\sqrt{b_1 - 2b_2/b_1} \sqrt{t}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.3. \square

For the case $\kappa = \frac{3}{2}$, Eq. (4.8) becomes

$$B(t) = \frac{E(p_2; t)}{2\sqrt{t}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\frac{p_2'(u)}{2p_2(u)} - \frac{p_1(u)}{p_2(u)} + \frac{1}{u} \right) du \right]. \quad (4.10)$$

Theorem 4.5. For the case (III) $\kappa = \frac{3}{2}$, only the followings are MRM-applicable:

(1) The case $r_2 = \frac{7}{5}b_1$. In this case, we have the following subcases:

(i) $b_2 = \frac{363}{250}b_1^2$, $r_2 = \frac{7}{5}b_1$, $r_3 = \frac{1127}{500}b_1^2$ with $b_1 \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n &= -\frac{3}{10}b_1(14n^2 + 16n + 5), \\
\omega_n &= \frac{9}{400}b_1^2n(2n+1)(7n+1)(14n-5), \\
\rho(t) &= \frac{10}{21b_1} \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{21b_1}{10}} \sqrt{t} \right)^2, \\
B(t) &= \frac{10^{9/14}}{\sqrt{21b_1}\sqrt{t}(10-21b_1t)^{1/7}} \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{21b_1}{10}} \sqrt{t} \right), \\
(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{10}\sqrt{t}\sqrt{x}(10-21b_1t)^{1/7}} \\
&\quad \times \sinh \left(\sqrt{\frac{10}{21b_1}} \sqrt{x} \tan^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{21b_1}{10}} \sqrt{t} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $b_2 = \frac{363}{250}b_1^2$, $r_2 = \frac{7}{5}b_1$, $r_3 = \frac{338}{125}b_1^2$ with $b_1 \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n &= -\frac{3}{10}b_1(14n^2 + 16n + 5), \\
\omega_n &= \frac{27}{50}b_1^2n^2(2n+1)^2, \\
\rho(t) &= \frac{1}{4}E \left(\frac{54}{25}b_1^2, -\frac{21}{5}b_1; t \right)^2, \\
B(t) &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{t(5-3b_1t)}} E \left(\frac{54}{25}b_1^2, -\frac{21}{5}b_1; t \right), \\
(t, x) &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{t(5-3b_1t)}\sqrt{x}} \\
&\quad \times \sinh \left(\sqrt{x} E \left(\frac{54}{25}b_1^2, -\frac{21}{5}b_1; t \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) $b_1 = 0$, $r_2 = \frac{7}{5}b_1$, $r_3 = 2b_2$ with $b_2 < 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= 0, \\ \omega_n &= -\frac{5b_2}{2}n^2(2n+1)(2n-1), \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{1}{4}E(-10b_2, 0; t)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}E(-10b_2, 0; t), \\ (t, x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{tx}}\sinh(E(-10b_2, 0; t)\sqrt{x}).\end{aligned}$$

(iv) $b_1 = 0$, $r_2 = \frac{7}{5}b_1$, $r_3 = \frac{1}{3}b_2$ with $b_2 < 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= 0, \\ \omega_n &= -\frac{5b_2}{12}n(n+1)(2n+1)^2, \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{1}{4}E\left(-\frac{5}{12}, 0; t\right)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}E\left(-\frac{5}{12}, 0; t\right), \\ (t, x) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3-5b_2t^2}\sqrt{tx}}\sinh(E(-10b_2, 0; t)\sqrt{x}).\end{aligned}$$

(2) The case $r_3 = \frac{-1}{2(7b_1-5r_2)}(4b_1^3 - 8b_1b_2 + b_1^2r_2 + 10b_2r_2 - 24b_1r_2^2 + 12r_2^3)$. In this case, we have the following subcases:

(i) $r_2 = \frac{b_1}{2}$, $r_3 = \frac{b_2}{3}$ with $6b_1^2 - 5b_2 > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= -\frac{3}{2}b_1(n+1)^2, \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{12}(6b_1^2 - 5b_2)n(n+1)(2n+1)^2, \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{1}{4}E\left(\frac{12b_1^2 - 5b_2}{6}, -\frac{3b_1}{2}; t\right)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t(6-9b_1t+(12b_1^2-5b_2)t^2)}} \\ &\quad \times E\left(\frac{12b_1^2-5b_2}{6}, -\frac{3b_1}{2}; t\right), \\ (t, x) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{x}\sqrt{t(6-9b_1t+(12b_1^2-10b_2)t^2)}} \\ &\quad \times \sinh E\left(\frac{12b_1^2-5b_2}{6}, -\frac{3b_1}{2}; t\right).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $r_2 = 2b_1$, $r_3 = b_1^2 + 2b_2$ with $27b_1^2 - 10b_2 > 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= -\frac{3}{2}b_1(2n+1)^2, \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{4}(27b_1^2 - 10b_2)n^2(2n-1)(2n+1), \\ \rho(t) &= \frac{1}{4}E(27b_1^2 - 10b_2, -6b_1; t)^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$B(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} E(27b_1^2 - 10b_2, -6b_1; t),$$

$$(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}\sqrt{x}} \sinh(\sqrt{x} E(27b_1^2 - 10b_2, -6b_1; t)).$$

$$(iii) \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{20}(10b_1^2 + 15b_1r_2 - r_2^2), \quad r_3 = \frac{23}{20}r_2^2 \quad \text{with } r_2(b_1 + r_2) > 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_n = -\frac{1}{2}(6r_2n^2 + 4(b_1 + r_2)n + 3b_1),$$

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{16}n(2n+1)(3r_2n + 2b_1 - r_2)(6r_2n + 4b_1 - 5r_2),$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{4}E\left(\frac{9}{4}b_1^2, -3r_2; t\right)^2,$$

$$B(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3r_2}\sqrt{t}(2-3r_2t)^{\frac{2b_1-r_2}{3r_2}}} E\left(\frac{9}{4}b_1^2, -3r_2; t\right),$$

$$(t, x) = \frac{(2-3r_2t)^{\frac{-2b_1+r_2}{3r_2}}}{4\sqrt{t}\sqrt{x}} \sinh \sqrt{x} E\left(\frac{9}{4}b_1^2, -3r_2; t\right).$$

$$(iv) \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{10}(19b_1^2 - 20b_1r_2 + 12r_2^2), \quad r_3 = \frac{2}{5}(2b_1^2 - 5b_1r_2 + 6r_2^2) \quad \text{with } (b_1 - 2r_2)(2b_1 - r_2) < 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha_n = -\frac{1}{2}(6r_2n^2 + 4(b_1 + r_2)n + 3b_1),$$

$$\omega_n = -\frac{1}{2}(b_1 - 2r_2)(2b_1 - r_2)n^2(2n+1)^2,$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{4}E(-(4b_1^2 - 10b_1r_2 + 4r_2^2), -3r_2; t)^2,$$

$$B(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}\sqrt{1-(2b_1+r_2)t}} \times E(-(4b_1^2 - 10b_1r_2 + 4r_2^2), -3r_2; t),$$

$$(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}\sqrt{1-2(2b_1-r_2)t\sqrt{x}}} \times \sinh(\sqrt{x} E(-(4b_1^2 - 10b_1r_2 + 4r_2^2), -3r_2; t)).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.3. \square

5. Wilson Polynomials and MRM-applicability

As seen from §4, the Jacobi-Szegö parameters of MRM-applicable orthogonal polynomials satisfy the condition that α_n 's are at most second degree and ω_n 's are at most fourth degree in n . It is known that Jacobi-Szegö parameters of Wilson polynomials are rational expressions in n . We are interested in MRM-applicable Wilson polynomials for $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$.

Wilson polynomials $\{W_n(x; a, b, c, d)\}$ (see [20], §3.8 of [1]) are defined by

$$W_n(x^2; a, b, c, d) = (a+b)_n(a+c)_n(a+d)_n$$

$$\times {}_4F_3(-n, n+a+b+c+d-1, a+ix+a-ix; a+b, a+c, a+d; 1). \quad (5.1)$$

Their normalizing orthogonal polynomials are given by

$$P_n(x) = P_n(x; a, b, c, d) = (-1)^n \frac{W_n(x; a, b, c, d; x)}{(n + a + b + c + d - 1)_n}. \tag{5.2}$$

The density of the corresponding probability measure is

$$f(x; a, b, c, d) = \frac{\Gamma(a + b + c + d)}{4\pi\sqrt{x}\Gamma(a + b)\Gamma(a + c)\Gamma(a + d)\Gamma(b + c)\Gamma(b + d)\Gamma(c + d)} \times \left| \frac{\Gamma(a + i\sqrt{x})\Gamma(b + i\sqrt{x})\Gamma(c + i\sqrt{x})\Gamma(d + i\sqrt{x})}{\Gamma(2i\sqrt{x})} \right|^2. \tag{5.3}$$

The Jacobi-Szegö parameters are given by

$$\alpha_n = B_n + C_n - a^2, \quad \omega_n = B_{n-1}C_n, \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$B_n = \frac{(n + a + b + c + d - 1)(n + a + b)(n + a + c)(n + a + d)}{(2n + a + b + c + d - 1)(2n + a + b + c + d)},$$

$$C_n = \frac{n(n + b + c - 1)(n + b + d - 1)(n + c + d - 1)}{(2n + a + b + c + d - 2)(2n + a + b + c + d - 1)}.$$

The following is the list of parameters such that α_n 's and ω_n 's are polynomials of degrees 2 and 4, respectively, in n .

Proposition 5.1. *The Jacobi-Szegö parameters of Wilson polynomials are polynomials in n only for the following cases:*

(1) *The set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is of the form $\{p, p - \frac{1}{2}, q, q - \frac{1}{2}\}$. Then*

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{4}(2n^2 + 4(p + q - 1)n + 4pq - p - q),$$

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{64}n(2n + 4p - 3)(2n + 4q - 3)(n + 2p + 2q - 3).$$

(2) *The set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is of the form $\{p, p + \frac{1}{2}, q, q - \frac{1}{2}\}$. Then*

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{8}(4n^2 + 4(2p + 2q - 1)n + 8pq - 2p + 2q - 1),$$

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{64}n(2n + 4p - 1)(2n + 4q - 3)(n + 2p + 2q - 2).$$

(3) *The set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is of the form $\{p, p + \frac{1}{2}, q, q + \frac{1}{2}\}$. Then*

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{4}(2n^2 + 4(p + q)n + 4pq + p + q),$$

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{64}n(n + 2p + 2q)(2n + 4q - 1)(n + 2p + 2q - 1).$$

(4) *The set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is of the form $\{p, \frac{1}{2} - p, q, \frac{1}{2} - q\}$. Then*

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{4}(2n^2 - 2p^2 - 2q^2 + p + q),$$

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{64}(2n + 2p - 2q - 1)(2n - 2p + 2q - 1)(n - p - q)(n + p + q - 1).$$

(5) The set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is of the form $\{p, \frac{1}{2} - p, q, \frac{3}{2} - q\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= \frac{1}{8}(4n^2 + 4n - 4p^2 - 4q^2 + 2p + 6q - 1), \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{64}(2n + 2p - 2q + 1)(2n - 2p + 2q - 1)(n + p + q - 1) \\ &\quad \times (n - p - q + 1).\end{aligned}$$

(6) The set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is of the form $\{p, \frac{3}{2} - p, q, \frac{3}{2} - q\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= \frac{1}{4}(2n^2 + 4n - 2p^2 - 2q^2 + 3p + 3q), \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{64}(2n + 2p - 2q + 1)(2n - 2p + 2q + 1)(n - p - q + 2) \\ &\quad \times (n + p + q - 1).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Eq. (5.4), the remainder in deviding the numerator of α_n by its denominator is given by

$$(a + b - c - d)(a - b + c - d)(a - b - c + d)(-2 + a + b + c + d).$$

Hence $d = a + b - c$, $d = a - b + c$, $d = -a + b + c$ or $d = 2 - a - b - c$ must hold. If $d = a + b - c$, then

$$\omega_n = \frac{n(n + 2a + 2b - 2)(n + 2a + b - c - 1)(n + a + 2b - c - 1) \times (n + a + c - 1)(n + b + c - 1)}{4(2n + 2a + 2b - 3)(2n + 2a + 2b - 1)}$$

with the remainder given by

$$-(4(a - c)^2 - 1)(4(b - c)^2 - 1)(2(a + b) - 3)(2(a + b) - 1).$$

Thus $a - c = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, $b - c = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, $a + b = \frac{1}{2}$, or $a + b = \frac{3}{2}$. Hence possible sets of parameters are

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(a, b, a - \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \left(a, b, a + \frac{1}{2}, b - \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \left(a, b, b - \frac{1}{2}, a + \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ &\left(a, b, b + \frac{1}{2}, a - \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \left(a, \frac{1}{2} - a, c, \frac{1}{2} - c\right), \quad \left(a, \frac{3}{2} - a, c, \frac{3}{2} - c\right).\end{aligned}$$

Other cases can be treated similarly. \square

We have seen in §2 all possible cases on the MRM-applicability for the normalized factor $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$. By comparing the function forms in n of Jacobi-Szegő parameters in Theorems 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5 with Proposition 5.1, we see special Wilson polynomials, which can be obtained by the form in Eq. (2.9). The next theorem provides a complete list of MRM-applicable Wilson polynomials for $h(x) = {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x)$.

For convenience, we denote by $(a, b, c, d) \sim (a', b', c', d')$ that (a, b, c, d) is a permutation of (a', b', c', d') .

Theorem 5.2. *The normalized Wilson polynomials $\{P_n(x; a, b, c, d)\}$ defined by Eq. (5.1) are MRM-applicable if and only if either $(a, b, c, d) \sim (p, p + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ with $p > 0$ or $(a, b, c, d) \sim (p, p + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ with $p \geq 0$.*

(1) The case $(a, b, c, d) \sim (p, p + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= \frac{1}{4}(2n^2 + 4pn + p), \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{64}n(2n-1)(2n+4p-1)(n+2p-1), \\ P_n(x; a, b, c, d) &= (-16)^{-n}(4p)_{2n} \\ &\quad \times {}_4F_3(-n, n+2p, p-i\sqrt{x}, p+i\sqrt{x}; p, p+\frac{1}{2}, 2p+\frac{1}{2}; 1), \\ f(a, b, c, d; x) &= \frac{2^{4p}|\Gamma(2(p+i\sqrt{x}))|^2}{\pi\Gamma(4p)\sqrt{x}}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have the corresponding MRM-factor $h(x)$, ρ -, B - and φ -functions,

$$\begin{aligned}h(x) &= {}_0F_1(-; \frac{1}{2}; x) = \cosh 2\sqrt{x}, \\ \rho(t) &= 4\left(\tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\right)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{4^{2p}}{(4+t)^{2p}}, \\ \varphi(t, x) &= \frac{4^{2p}}{(4+t)^{2p}} \cosh\left(4\sqrt{x} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

(2) The case $(a, b, c, d) \sim (p, p + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= \frac{1}{8}(4n^2 + 4(2p+1)n + 6p+1), \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{64}n(2n+1)(2n+4p-1)(n+2p), \\ P_n(x; a, b, c, d) &= (-16)^{-n}(4p+1)_{2n} \\ &\quad \times {}_4F_3(-n, n+2p+1, p-i\sqrt{x}, p+i\sqrt{x}; p+\frac{1}{2}, p+1, 2p+\frac{1}{2}; 1), \\ f(a, b, c, d; x) &= \frac{2^{4(p+1)}\sqrt{x}|\Gamma(2(p+i\sqrt{x}))|^2}{\pi\Gamma(4p+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have the corresponding MRM-factor $h(x)$, ρ -, B - and φ -functions,

$$\begin{aligned}h(x) &= {}_0F_1(-; \frac{3}{2}; x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \sinh 2\sqrt{x}, \\ \rho(t) &= 4\left(\tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\right)^2, \\ B(t) &= \frac{2 \cdot 4^{2p}}{(4+t)^{2p}\sqrt{t}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}, \\ \varphi(t, x) &= \frac{4^{2p}}{2(4+t)^{2p}\sqrt{tx}} \sinh\left(4\sqrt{x} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us point out a special case of Theorem 5.2. For $p = \frac{1}{2}$ in Case (1), we have $(a, b, c, d) \sim (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$. On the other hand, for $p = 0$ in Case (2), we also have $(a, b, c, d) \sim (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_n &= \frac{1}{8}(2n+1)^2, \\ \omega_n &= \frac{1}{64}n^2(2n-1)(2n+1), \\ P_n(x; a, b, c, d) &= (-1)^n \frac{(2n)!}{2^{4n}} {}_4F_3(-n, n+1, -i\sqrt{x}, i\sqrt{x}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1), \\ f(a, b, c, d; x) &= \frac{2^4 \sqrt{x}}{\pi} |\Gamma(2i\sqrt{x})|^2.\end{aligned}$$

This case is MRM-applicable for both functions ${}_0F(-; \frac{1}{2}; x)$ and ${}_0F(-; \frac{3}{2}; x)$. The corresponding ρ -, B - and ω -functions are stated in Examples 2.2 and 2.3.

6. MRM-factors for Given Measures

In §2, we saw that the gamma distribution μ_κ is MRM-applicable for several MRM-factors $h(x)$. It is natural to ask the question whether there are other MRM-factors. The answer is given by the next theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *All MRM-factors of the gamma distribution μ_κ are given by*

$${}_0F_1(-; \kappa; x) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_1F_1(c; \kappa; x) \quad (c \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots) \quad (6.1)$$

up to a scaling.

Proof. The orthogonal polynomials are Laguerre polynomials with Jacobi-Szegő parameters

$$\alpha_n = -(\kappa + 2n), \quad n \geq 0; \quad \omega_n = n(\kappa + n - 1), \quad n \geq 1, \quad \omega_0 = 1,$$

(see [3].) Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\kappa + 2j) = -n(\kappa + n - 1) = -\omega_n.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4, we get

$$h_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_1 + kr_2}{(k+1)(\kappa+k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{b_1^n}{(\kappa)_n n!}, & \text{if } r_2 = 0, \\ r_2^n \frac{\left(\frac{b_1}{r_2}\right)_n}{(\kappa)_n n!}, & \text{if } r_2 \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

which yields that

$$h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n x^n = \begin{cases} {}_0F_1(-; \kappa; b_1 x), & \text{if } r_2 = 0, \\ {}_1F_1\left(\frac{b_1}{r_2}; \kappa; r_2 x\right), & \text{if } r_2 \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

By the condition $h_n \neq 0$, we obtain the condition on c . □

We have obtained all MRM-applicable measures for $h(x) = e^x$. This leads to a new problem of finding all possible MRM-factors of these measures. The following answer will be proved in a forthcoming paper using Lemma 3.5. First, we mention that $\rho(t)$ is an odd function and $B(t)$ is an even function, if μ is symmetric or equivalently if $\alpha_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Put

$$h_e(x) = \frac{1}{2}(h(x) + h(-x)), \quad h_o(x) = \frac{1}{2}(h(x) - h(-x))$$

and let $h^c(x) = h_e(x) + ch_o(x)$ for $c \neq 0$. Then the triple $((h^c(x), \rho(t), B(t)))$ also gives a generating function. As mentioned already, we have a freedom of scaling. In the followings, we will state the assertion “up to a trivial deformation.”

Theorem 6.2. *All MRM-factors of the standard Gaussian measure $N(0, 1)$ are given by*

$$h(x) = e^x, \\ \tilde{h}(x) = {}_1F_1\left(\frac{c}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; -x^2\right) + {}_1F_1\left(\frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -x^2\right)x, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$$

up to a trivial deformation and the corresponding ρ and B -functions are given respectively by

$$\rho(t) = t, \quad B(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\rho}(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+2t^2}}, \quad \tilde{B}(t) = (1+2t^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}.$$

Theorem 6.3. *Let M_κ be the Meixner distribution, whose characteristic function is $(\cosh t)^{-\kappa}$ and let $M_{\kappa,\beta}$ be the β -shift of M_κ . Then we have the following assertions:*

(i) *All MRM-factors of M_κ are unique up to a trivial deformation for $\kappa \neq 2$ and*

$$h(x) = e^x, \quad \rho(t) = \tan^{-1} t, \quad B(t) = (1+t^2)^{-\frac{\kappa}{2}}.$$

(ii) *There are only two MRM-factors of M_2 up to a trivial deformation and*

$$h(x) = e^x, \quad \rho(t) = \tan^{-1} t, \quad B(t) = (1+t^2)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{h}(x) = \frac{1}{x}(e^x - 1), \quad \tilde{\rho}(t) = \tan^{-1} t, \quad \tilde{B}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \tan^{-1} t.$$

Remark 6.4. The MRM-factors $h(x)$ in the above theorems can be represented by hypergeometric functions as follows:

$$\frac{e^x}{x} = {}_1F_1(c; c; x), \\ \frac{e^x - 1}{x} = {}_1F_1(1; 2; x).$$

Our frame work can also be applied to probability measures having atoms with infinite supports. We can show that MRM-factor for the other probability measures with the MRM-factor $h(x) = e^x$ is unique. In the forthcoming paper [11], improved versions of above theorems will be shown together with other cases.

Acknowledgment. The authors deeply thank the referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

References

1. Andrews, G. E., Askey, R. and Roy, R.: *Special functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press (1999)
2. Asai, N., Kubo, I. and Kuo, H.-H.: Generating functions of orthogonal polynomials and Szegő-Jacobi parameters, *Prob. Math. Stat.* **23**, No. 2 (2003), 273–291.
3. Asai, N., Kubo, I. and Kuo, H.-H.: Multiplicative renormalization and generating functions II, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics* **8** (2004), 593–628.
4. Boas, R. R., Jr. and Buck, R. C. ; Polynomials defined by generating relations, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **63** (1956), 626–632.
5. Chihara, J. S. : *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, Gordon & Breach, (1978).
6. Demni, N.: Ultraspherical type generating functions for orthogonal polynomials. to appear in *Probab. Math. Statist.* **29** No.2, (2009).
7. Erdélyi, A.: *Higher transcendental functions*, **I, II, III** (1953), California Institute of Technology, McGraw-Hill Book Company (1953). (See p. 264 in III)
8. Favard, J. : *Sur les polynômes de Tchebicheff*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci., **200** (1935), 2052–2053.
9. Kubo, I.: Generating functions of exponential type for orthogonal polynomials, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics* **7** (2004) 155–159.
10. Kubo, I.: Generating functions of Jacobi polynomials, *Communication of Stochastic Analysis*, **3**, No.2 (2009) 249–267.
11. Kubo, I. and Kuo, H.-H. : MRM-factors for special probability measures, preprint (2009).
12. Kubo, I., Kuo, H.-H. and Namli, S.: Interpolation of Chebyshev polynomials and interacting Fock spaces, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics* **9** (2006) 361–371.
13. Kubo, I., Kuo, H.-H. and Namli, S.: The characterization of a class of probability measures by multiplicative renormalization, *Communications on Stochastic Analysis* **1**, (2007) 455–472.
14. Kubo, I., Kuo, H.-H. and Namli, S.: Applicability of multiplicative renormalization method for a certain function, *Communication of Stochastic Analysis* **2** No. 3, (2008) .
15. Kubo, I., Kuo, H.-H. and Namli, S.: MRM-applicable measures for the power function of order 2, (preprint), 2008.
16. Meixner, J. : Orthogonale polynomsysteme mit einer besonderen Gestalt der erzeugenden Funktion, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **9** (1934), 6 – 13.
17. Rainvill, E. D.: *Special functions*, Macmillan, New York (1967).
18. Shohat, J. : Sur les polynomes orthogonaux généralisés, *Comptes Rendus*, **207** (1938), 556 – 558.
19. Shohat, J. and Tamarkin, J.: *The problem of Moments*, Math. Surveys 1, Amer. Math. Soc.,(1943).
20. Wilson, J. A.; Some hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **11**, No.4 (1980), 690–701.

IZUMI KUBO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA, 739-8526, JAPAN
E-mail address: izumi_kubo@ccv.ne.jp

HUI-HSIUNG KUO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LA 70803, USA
E-mail address: kuo@math.lsu.edu