Protein Discrimination Using a Fluorescence-Based Sensor Array of Thiacarbocyanine-GUMBOS
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ABSTRACT: Sensitive and selective detection of proteins from complex samples has gained substantial interest within the scientific community. Early and precise detection of key proteins plays an important role in potential clinical diagnosis, treatment of different diseases, and proteomic research. In the study reported here, six different compounds belonging to a group of uniform materials based on organic salts (GUMBOS) have been synthesized using three thiacarbocyanine (TC) dyes and employed as fluorescent sensors. Fluorescence properties of micro- and nanoaggregates of these TC-based GUMBOS formed in phosphate buffer solutions are studied in the absence and presence of seven proteins. Fluorescence response patterns of these TC-based GUMBOS were analyzed by linear discriminant analysis (LDA). The constructed LDA model allowed discrimination of these seven proteins at various concentrations with 100% accuracy. The sensing and discrimination abilities of these TC-based GUMBOS were further evaluated in mixtures of two major proteins, i.e., human serum albumin and hemoglobin. Fluorescence response patterns of these mixtures were analyzed by LDA. This model allowed discrimination of various mixtures with 100% accuracy. Moreover, spiked urine samples were prepared and the responses of these sensors were collected and analyzed by LDA. Remarkably, discrimination of these seven proteins was also achieved with 100% accuracy.
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S

ensitive and precise sensing of multiple proteins simultaneously is of great interest for clinical diagnosis, treatment of disease, and proteomic research.1 Currently, the most commonly used technique for protein detection is enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)2 due to its excellent specificity and sensitivity as a result of specific binding interactions between each antibody and its target protein.3 However, high cost and poor stability of antibodies limit application of this approach for protein sensing. Other types of methodologies include polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis coupled to mass spectroscopy (see ref 4 for example and ref 5 for electrochemical methods). The problem is that these techniques are time-consuming, requiring complicated synthetic procedures, expensive, and sometimes not capable of resolving complex mixtures of proteins. As alternatives, cross-reactive sensor arrays, known as electronic noses (e-noses), have been employed for high-throughput detection and discrimination of proteins.6 In this regard, diverse sensor systems have been applied for array sensing of proteins, including functionalized gold nanoparticles,7 fluorescent polymers,8 carbon nanotubes,9 and porphyrins.10 Among these sensing materials, the use of fluorescence as a detection technique is the method of choice due to its high sensitivity.
However, broad application of this approach is hindered by complicated design and syntheses.

In this study, a fluorescence-based sensor array using a group of uniform materials based on organic salts (GUMBOS) for sensitive and accurate protein discrimination is developed. The concept of GUMBOS has been introduced by our research group in 2008 as solid-phase organic salts with tunable properties similar to ionic liquids (ILs) but with a wider range of melting points (25–250 °C) than ILs (melting point, <100 °C).\textsuperscript{11} GUMBOS employed in the study reported here consist of a series of three fluorescent thiacarbocyanine (TC) cations bearing different methine chain lengths. TC dyes belong to a dye family called polymethine dyes (PD), where their alternating π-electrons along the methine chain produce high polarizability, which provides strong attractive forces between molecules.\textsuperscript{12} Thus, the structures of TC dyes allow extended and stable aggregation.\textsuperscript{13}

It has been found that aggregation of PD highly depends on the surrounding environment composition.\textsuperscript{14} In particular, noncovalent interactions between cyanine dyes and biomolecules are of considerable interest\textsuperscript{14a,i,15} within the scientific community. These interactions modify the aggregation of dyes and hence remarkably alter their photophysical and photochemical properties. Due to the favorable properties of TC dyes noted above, these compounds may have considerable potential for use in fluorescence protein sensing. In this study, bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{−}) and bis-[(pentafluoroethyl)sulfonyl]imide ([BETI]\textsuperscript{−}) anions were used to increase the hydrophobicity of GUMBOS and facilitate aggregation at low concentrations.

The six TC-based GUMBOS-based sensors employed in this sensor array exhibit varying degrees of aggregation when mixed with seven proteins, thus providing distinct fluorescence responses. These resulting responses exhibit cross-reactive patterns, which can be statistically analyzed to discriminate proteins at diverse concentrations. The fluorescence sensor array developed in this study shows great potential for highly sensitive diagnostic applications.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Characterization of TC-GUMBOS Sensors

The chemical structures of TC-GUMBOS used in this study are shown in Figure 1. Formation of TC-GUMBOS was confirmed by ESI spectroscopy (Figures S1–S6) as well as FT-IR spectra (Figures S7–S9). Analysis in positive ion mode (Figures S1A–S6A) shows the presence of intense peaks with \( m/z \) values of \( 339.0990, 365.1100, \) and \( 391.1300 \) and thus corroborated the presence of [TC\textsubscript{0}]\textsuperscript{+}, [TC\textsubscript{1}]\textsuperscript{+}, and [TC\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} cations, respectively (Table S1). In the same manner, the presence of peaks with 279.92 and 379.91 \( m/z \) in negative ion mode (Figures S1B–S6B) corroborates the presence of [NTf\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{−} and [BETI]\textsuperscript{−} anions, respectively. Product formation was also confirmed. Peaks in FT-IR spectra corresponding to both parent compounds were observed in the product spectra (Figures S7–S9).

Relative hydrophobicities of all TC-GUMBOS employed in this study were estimated using an octanol/water partition coefficient (KO/W) to evaluate their capacity to form nanostructures or aggregates in aqueous solutions. Logarithms of KO/W are listed in Table S1. As inferred by these log KO/W values, hydrophobicities of these TC-GUMBOS increase in the following order: [TC\textsubscript{2}][NTf\textsubscript{2}] < [TC\textsubscript{1}][NTf\textsubscript{2}] < [TC\textsubscript{1}][BETI] < [TC\textsubscript{2}][BETI] < [TC\textsubscript{0}][NTf\textsubscript{2}] < [TC\textsubscript{0}][BETI].

Aggregates of our TC-GUMBOS were prepared as described in Synthesis and Characterization of TC-GUMBOS and Aggregates. Analysis of TEM images presented in Figure 2 showed that [TC\textsubscript{0}][NTf\textsubscript{2}] aggregates were composed of circular nanoparticles with sizes around (25 ± 6) nm and [TC\textsubscript{0}][BETI] aggregates were composed of rodlike morphologies (1.4 ± 0.3) \( \times \) (0.17 ± 0.09) \( \mu \)m. Evaluation of TEM images corresponding to [TC\textsubscript{1}][NTf\textsubscript{2}] GUMBOS revealed aggregates with spherical morphology. However, their sizes were not accurately measured due to blurred edges. [TC\textsubscript{1}][BETI] GUMBOS presented rodlike shapes with average sizes of (1.2 ± 0.5) \( \times \) (0.21 ± 0.08) \( \mu \)m. In the case of [TC\textsubscript{2}][NTf\textsubscript{2}], predominantly triangular morphologies were displayed with an average size of (200 ± 10) \( \times \) (177 ± 80) nm. Last, for [TC\textsubscript{2}][BETI], spherical morphologies were obtained with average sizes of (63 ± 8) nm.
Spectral Properties of TC-Based GUMBOS. Anions \([\text{NTf}_2^–]\) and \([\text{BETI}^–]\) do not absorb light at wavelengths longer than 210 nm. As a result, these anions are non-fluorescent. For this reason, spectral properties of these TC-GUMBOS are completely attributed to TC cations used in the formation of these compounds. As a result of being highly hydrophobic, absorption and emission spectra for all six TC-GUMBOS were recorded at a concentration of 5 \(\mu\)M in ethanol. From results depicted in Figure 3A, we observed maximum absorbance wavelengths for \([\text{TC0}^+]\), \([\text{TC1}^+]\), and \([\text{TC2}^+]\) as 424, 555, and 655 nm, respectively. Upon anion variation, no changes in absorption maxima were observed. Instead, a change in molar absorptivity was observed. Figure 3B displays the fluorescence emission spectra of GUMBOS when excited at their corresponding absorption maximum. Emission maxima observed for \([\text{TC0}^+]\), \([\text{TC1}^+]\), and \([\text{TC2}^+]\) were at 483, 572, and 672 nm, respectively (Figure 3B).

The absorbance and fluorescence spectra of TC-GUMBOS aggregates were also evaluated in phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4). The resulting spectra are displayed in Figure 3C,D, respectively. In comparison with their ethanolic solutions, \([\text{TC0}^+]\)-GUMBOS dispersions exhibit the same absorption maxima at 424 nm with relatively higher shoulders at 402 nm attributed to H-aggregation (Figure 3C). The absorption spectra for \([\text{TC1}^+]\)[\text{NTf}_2^–] and \([\text{TC1}^+]\)[\text{BETI}^–] buffer dispersions show additional peaks at 615 and 650 nm, respectively, representative of J-aggregation (Figure 3C). Conversely, in the cases of \([\text{TC2}^+]\)[\text{NTf}_2^–] and \([\text{TC2}^+]\)[\text{BETI}^–], the absorption spectra of these aggregates exhibit blue-shifted peaks centered at higher energy and are also attributed to H-aggregation (Figure 3C). Buffer dispersions of \([\text{TC0}^+]\)-GUMBOS, \([\text{TC1}^+]\)-GUMBOS, and \([\text{TC2}^+]\)-GUMBOS were excited at 423, 541, and 645 nm, respectively, showing emission maxima at 476, 488, 565, and 665 nm for \([\text{TC0}^+]\)[\text{NTf}_2^–], \([\text{TC0}^+]\)[\text{BETI}^–], \([\text{TC1}^+]\)-GUMBOS, and \([\text{TC2}^+]\)-GUMBOS, respectively.

Detection and Discrimination of Proteins through a TC-GUMBOS Sensor Array. Seven proteins with diverse molecular weights (MW), with and without a cofactor, and different isoelectric points (pI) were selected as sensing targets (Table S2). Among the seven selected proteins, HSA, IgG, Trans, and Fib are top four in abundant proteins of human serum.\(^6\) The remaining three proteins, i.e., Hb, Cyt-c, and Lys, are non-serum proteins.

In order to investigate if TC-GUMBOS dispersions were capable of discriminating between these proteins, fluorescence responses of these sensors were investigated in the presence of each protein. All fluorescence spectra were analyzed using individual protein concentrations of 0.5 g/mL, and noticeable changes in emission intensity of each TC-GUMBOS were observed. For this reason, eq 1 was employed to calculate sensor response. Figure 4A is the representation of the TC-GUMBOS sensor responses in the presence of different proteins. As shown in Figure 4A, the presence of each protein produced a varied fluorescence response with the same sensor. Additionally, in the presence of the same protein, different fluorescence responses were obtained for each TC-GUMBOS sensor. These distinct response patterns suggest feasibility of protein discrimination using these responses to build a sensor array. 

Figure 3. (A) UV–Vis and (B) fluorescence spectra of TC-GUMBOS in ethanol. (C) UV–Vis and (D) fluorescence spectra of TC-GUMBOS suspended in phosphate buffer via the reprecipitation method at a concentration of 5 \(\mu\)M.
values of each protein and scores of canonical factors 1 and 2, respectively. Sensor responses obtained for these proteins at 0.1 μg/mL were analyzed by LDA. As shown in Figure S10A, relative deviations of sensor responses become larger at this concentration as expected. Figure S11B depicts successful clustering of most of the studied proteins into groups, with a clear overlap between the confidence ellipses of Hb and Cyt-C. This may be due to the presence of the heme-group in both proteins. Remarkably, the accuracy of this model at 0.1 μg/mL concentration is 100%, proving that this sensor array allows detection and discrimination of the studied proteins at low concentrations.

Moreover, the sensor response with respect to protein concentration was evaluated. Different protein concentrations (0.1–20 μg/mL) were tested using these TC-GUMBOS sensors (Figure S12). Evaluation of these results demonstrated that [TC1][NTf2] and [TC2][BETI] exhibited an increase in fluorescence intensity, resulting in positive sensor responses (eq 1) toward all seven proteins. In contrast, [TC0][NTf2] exhibited fluorescence quenching that is reflected in negative sensor responses toward IgG; [TC0][BETI] showed quenching of its fluorescence toward all seven proteins. Moreover, [TC1][BETI] presented negative sensor responses toward HSA and Lys; [TC2][NTf2] showed negative responses toward HSA and Trans. These features remained consistent over a protein concentration range of 0.1–20 μg/mL and, as anticipated, were enhanced at higher concentrations. As illustrated in Figure S13, nine concentrations were tested and grouped into nine isolated clusters in LDA plots for each protein. Discriminant accuracies were calculated to be 100% for all proteins. Since the first discriminant canonical factor (factor 1) produced greater than 99% variance, it was plotted against each protein concentration. An excellent linear relationship between canonical 1 and protein concentrations was observed, as illustrated in Figure S13. These results demonstrate that interactions between the TC-GUMBOS sensors and each protein are homogeneous and stable.

**Discrimination of Mixtures of Proteins.** The capacity of these TC-GUMBOS to discriminate proteins present in a mixture was also studied. For this purpose, sensor responses for mixtures of HSA and Hb with different weight ratios (100% HSA, 80% HSA + 20% Hb, 60% HSA + 40% Hb, 40% HSA + 60% Hb, 20% HSA + 80% Hb, and 100% Hb) were collected. The total protein concentration was maintained at 5 μg/mL. The raw data was employed to build a new LDA model (Table S8). As illustrated in the LDA plot (Figure S5), HSA and Hb mixtures with various ratios were well discriminated with a discriminant accuracy of 100%. Score values of canonical factors 1 and 2 are displayed in Table S9. Based on evaluation of the LDA discrimination plot displayed in Figure S5, a notable trend from 100% HSA samples with higher scores to 100% Hb samples with lower values of canonical scores was observed. However, an exemption for the mixture of 80% HSA–20% Hb samples that was presented with the lowest canonical score values was observed. In order to understand why these samples are outside this trend, a hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) was performed (Figure S14). Evaluation of the HCA plot demonstrated that all samples are separated and are distributed into three different clusters. One of those clusters included 80% HSA–20% Hb samples, indicating that these samples are less related to the other samples.

**Protein Discrimination in Real Samples.** Additionally, to explore application of this sensor array to more complex,
real samples, artificial urine that contains various organic and inorganic salts was used as media for discrimination of the seven studied proteins at 5 μg/mL. Sensor response values and scores of canonical factors 1 and 2 are presented in Tables S10 and S11, respectively. Figure 6 is a representation of a two-dimensional canonical score plot. Evaluation of this plot indicates that this sensor array is suitable for discrimination of the seven proteins in a urine sample, providing seven different clusters without overlap. Discriminant accuracy was calculated to be 100%, demonstrating the high feasibility of this fluorescence sensor array to discriminate proteins in real samples at low concentrations.

**Discussion of the Possible Sensing Mechanism.**

Spectral properties of PD are highly dependent on the surrounding media. In recent decades, studies of noncovalent interactions between PD and biomolecules are of considerable interest. We hypothesize that noncovalent interactions occur in the sensing process between TC-GUMBOS and proteins studied, which results in variation of aggregation, rotational/stretching motion, etc. Among these effects, we believe that the formation of different types of aggregates is the major driving force for emission changes. The absorption and emission spectra of these six sensor elements with different proteins are depicted in Figure S15. It is well established that thiacarbocyanine dyes could form H-aggregates that cause nonradiative decay, thus leading to decreased emission, and as J-aggregates that cause increased emission. As an example, the [TC2][BETI] sensor element exhibited positive responses toward all seven proteins. As observed in Figure S15F, in the presence of proteins, the intensity of H-aggregation absorption at 476 nm decreased and the monomer absorption peak at 655 nm was amplified. This behavior indicates that [TC2][BETI] nanoGUMBOS are dissociating into monomers in the presence of proteins. As a result, the fluorescence spectra (Figure S15F) exhibited increased fluorescence emission of [TC2][BETI] as proteins were introduced into the system. Conversely, fluorescence emission of [TC0][BETI] decreased as proteins were incorporated (Figure S15B). These changes are attributed to the formation of H-aggregates in the presence of proteins, which is verified using absorption spectra as shown in Figure S15B, where blue-shifted peaks were observed as proteins were introduced. In addition, H-aggregates exhibit a red shift of the emission spectrum and remarkably lower fluorescence intensity (Figure S15B).

[TC1][NTf2] and [TC2][BETI] nanoGUMBOS showed positive responses toward all seven proteins; however, this phenomenon cannot be explained using formation of J-aggregates in the presence of proteins. Analysis of absorption spectra (Figure S15C) indicates that [TC1][NTf2] does not form H-aggregates. Instead, a red-shifted peak was observed at 610 nm, which is attributed to absorption of J-aggregates. In the presence of proteins, the absorption peaks of the monomer at 555 nm and the shoulder peak of J-aggregates increased. This effect is more difficult to distinguish in [TC2][BETI] absorption spectra (Figure S15 F). However, emission spectra confirmed formation of J-aggregates with a slightly red-shifted emission peak at 638 nm. Interestingly, emission intensity of the monomer also increased with addition of proteins. Moreover, it has been reported in the literature that TC1 dyes form J-aggregates in the presence of HSA, corroborating the results obtained in this work. This is probably due to formation of a dye monomer–protein complex, which would restrict intramolecular rotational motion. The intramolecular rotational motions of the flexible polymethine chain in TC dyes would lead to rapid nonradiative decay. As a result, noncovalent interactions (e.g., hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interaction, and electrostatic attraction) with proteins are very likely to stabilize TC dyes, thus restricting the nonradiative decay caused by rotation and twist and hence increasing the quantum yield of these TC dyes. Therefore, we hypothesize that the slightly red-shifted monomer absorption peak (Figure S14C) is also due to noncovalent interactions between the dye monomer and protein.

In the case of [TC0][NTf2], [TC1][BETI], and [TC2]-[NTf2], responses in the presence of proteins were mixed (Figure S15A,D,E, respectively). This behavior could be explained via specific H- or J-aggregation of the nanoGUMBOS with specific proteins. In our group, it has been proven that some cyanine-based nanoGUMBOS form H- and J-aggregates within the nanoparticles. In this work, a similar mechanism may be apparent. Moreover, it has been shown that certain cyanine dyes form either J- or H-aggregates in the presence of different types of biomolecules. We believe that an increase in fluorescence emission intensity here is due to J-aggregate components in nanoGUMBOS, which are more prevalent than H-aggregates. Conversely, a decrease in fluorescence emission intensity occurs when H-aggregates were predominant in the nanoparticles.
CONCLUSIONS
In this study, we have developed a rapid and effective fluorescence sensor array for protein discrimination. This sensor array was constructed using a series of TC-GUMBOS, which were easily synthesized through a simple ion metathesis reaction. The variation of TC-GUMBOS aggregation due to noncovalent interaction with proteins was believed to be the major driving force for fluorescence protein sensing. Other noncovalent interactions also play indispensable roles, e.g., molecular rotation restriction. It has been successfully demonstrated that this sensor array is capable of discriminating proteins at concentrations as low as 0.1 μg/mL with high accuracy. In addition, a linear relationship was observed between the first canonical score and protein concentration, providing the potential for protein quantification using this sensor array. Furthermore, seven proteins spiked in artificial urine were successfully identified at 0.5 μg/mL with 100% discriminant accuracy. In comparison with previously reported protein sensor arrays, this TC-GUMBOS-based fluorescence sensor array provides favorable discriminant accuracy at a much lower protein concentration. Thus, we believe that this TC-GUMBOS-based sensor array has great potential for highly sensitive and accurate medical diagnosis as well as for discrimination of other biomolecules.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Reagents. Thiacarbocyanine (TC) dyes, 3,3'-diethylthiacarbocyanine iodide ([TC][I]), 3,3'-diethylthiacarbocyanine iodide ([TC1][I]), and 3,3'-diethylthiadiacarbocyanine iodide ([TC2][I]) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Lysozyme (Lys) from chicken egg white, human transferrin (Trans) (>98%), albumin from human serum (HSA) (approx. 99%), cytochrome c (Cyt-c), immunoglobulin G from human serum (IgG), fibrinogen from human plasma (Fib), human hemoglobin (Hb), ammonium persulfate, sodium phosphate dibasic, and sodium phosphate monobasic were also purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Lithium bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonamide (Li[N(Tf)2]) salt and lithium bis(pentafluoroethanesulfonyl)imide (Li[BETI]) salt were obtained from TCI Portland, Oregon. Artificial urine, HPLC-grade ethanol, and dichloromethane were acquired from VWR (Bavatia, IL). Triple deionized ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm) was obtained using an Aries high-purity water system (West Berlin, NJ). All reagents were used as received without further purification.

Instrumentation. Ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) absorption spectra were measured using a Shimadzu UV-3101PC UV–Vis scanning spectrometer (Shimadzu, Columbia, MD). Fluorescence emission spectra were recorded employing a Spex Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorimeter (model FL3-22TAU3; Jobin Yvon, Edison, NJ) with a slit width of 5 nm. All spectroscopic studies were performed using quartz cuvettes (Starna Cells).

Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) were collected through 128 scans in the 4000–650 cm⁻¹ region with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ in a Bruker Tensor 27 instrument (Billerica, MA) equipped with a Pike MIRacle single-bounce attenuated total reflectance (ATR) cell. Electrospary ionization mass spectrometry (EI-MS) was accomplished using an Agilent 6210 system in positive and negative mode. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained for characterization of size and morphology using a JEOL JEM-1400 transmission electron microscope (München, Germany).

Synthesis and Characterization of TC-GUMBOS and Aggregates. TC-GUMBOS were synthesized using a metathesis reaction between iodide salt of each dye and Li[BETI] or Li[NTf2] at a molar ratio of 1:1.1. Reactions were performed in a biphasic system of DCM and water while stirring for 24 h in a dark place at room temperature. The DCM layer was washed several times with DI water to remove the byproduct (LiI). DCM was then removed by rotary evaporation. Finally, GUMBOS were freeze-dried to remove residual water. Resultant TC-GUMBOS were characterized by ESI-MS and FT-IR spectroscopy.

TC-GUMBOS aggregates were prepared using a simple reprecipitation method. Briefly, 50 μL of 0.5 mM ethanolic solution of each TC-GUMBOS was dropped into 5 mL of 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4) under a sonication bath for 3 min. The resulting aqueous solution was left to rest for 15 min. Finally, 5 μL of each solution was dropped into a TEM grid to characterize the aggregates.

Data Collection. Protein stock solutions of 200 μg/mL were prepared in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4). Diluted solutions with concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 20 μg/mL were prepared from these stock solutions.

For sensing studies, 50 μL of 0.5 mM ethanolic TC-GUMBOS solution was dropped into 5 mL of protein solution and sonicated for 3 min. Then, the sensor–protein solution was allowed to stabilize for 15 min and absorption and fluorescence spectra were collected.

In this study, relative emission intensity change was employed as a sensor response (eq 1)

\[ \text{relative emission intensity change} = \frac{I_f}{I_0} - 1 \]

Here, \( I_f \) and \( I_0 \) represent emission intensity of TC-GUMBOS in buffer with and without proteins, respectively. For each protein, six replicate samples were analyzed.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information
The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acssensors.0c00484.

Characterization and physicochemical properties of synthetized TC-GUMBOS, LDA plot obtained employing the reduced data, sensor responses and LDA plot at low concentrations of proteins, sensor responses at different protein concentrations, canonical plot obtained for each protein and the relationship between the first canonical and the protein concentration, and absorption and fluorescence spectra of each sensor in the presence of each protein (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author
Isiah M. Warner — Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-5336-7653; Phone: +1 225-578-2829; Email: iwarner@lsu.edu; Fax: +1 225-578-3971

Authors
Rocio L. Pérez — Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States
Mingyan Cong — Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States
Stephanie R. Vaughan — Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States
Caitlan E. Ayala — Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States
Waduge Indika S. Galpothdeniya — Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States
John K. Mathaga — Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acssensors.0c00484

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support through NASA cooperative agreement NNX 16AQ93A under contract number NASA/LEQSF (2016-19)-Phase 3-10 and the National Science Foundation under grant no. CHE-1905105. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. The use of LSU Shared Instrumentation Facility is also acknowledged.

ABBREVIATIONS
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