

# Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices

---

Volume 1 | Issue 1

Article 10

---

7-12-1976

## SCS 10: Points with Small Semilattices

Jimmie D. Lawson

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803 USA, lawson@math.lsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/scs>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Lawson, Jimmie D. (1976) "SCS 10: Points with Small Semilattices," *Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices*: Vol. 1: Iss. 1, Article 10.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/scs/vol1/iss1/10>

NAME(S)	Lawson	DATE	M	D	Y
			7	12	76
TOPIC	Points with Small Semilattices				
REFERENCE	SCS Memo of Hofmann and Mislove, 6-28-76.				

(1) First of all I would like to call attention to a pre-print I have just submitted for publication entitled "Spaces which force a basis of subsemilattices." In this paper it is shown that a topological semilattice has small semilattices at a point  $p$  if  $p$  has a compact, finite-dimensional, "well-fitted" neighborhood, where "well-fitted" is a technical term describing the behavior of components in a neighborhood of a point. It is defined below. Points in  $X$  locally connected, totally disconnected, and locally connected  $X$  totally disconnected spaces have well-fitted neighborhoods. In fact a rather far-reaching class of finite-dimensional spaces are included.

It is convenient for our purposes to introduce a component operator. Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A \subset X$ , and  $p \in A$ . Then  $C_p(A)$  denotes the component (i.e., maximal connected set) of  $p$  in the subspace  $A$ .

Definition. Let  $S$  be a topological space. If  $A \subset B \subset S$ , then  $A$  is said to be fitted within  $B$  if for each  $p \in A$ ,  
 $C_p(A) = C_p(B) \cap A$ .

A neighborhood  $W$  of a point  $p \in A$  is a fitted neighborhood

- 
- |               |                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| West Germany: | TH Darmstadt (Gierz, Keimel)<br>U. Tübingen (Mislove, Visit.)                                                                                             |
| England:      | U. Oxford (Scott)                                                                                                                                         |
| USA:          | U. California, Riverside (Stralka)<br>LSU Baton Rouge (Lawson)<br>Tulane U., New Orleans (Hofmann, Mislove)<br>U. Tennessee, Knoxville (Carruth, Crawley) |

of  $p$  if  $W$  is compact and  $p$  has a basis of compact neighborhoods, each of which is fitted within  $W$ .

A neighborhood  $W$  of a point  $p \in S$  is a well-fitted neighborhood of  $p$  if (i) for each  $q$  in the interior of  $W$ ,  $W$  is a fitted neighborhood of  $q$ , and (ii) for any  $A \subset W$ , if  $C_p(W) \cap (\bigcup_{a \in A} C_a(W))^* \neq \emptyset$ , then  $p \in (\bigcup_{a \in A} C_a(W))^*$ .

(2) Let me at this point throw in a couple of conjectures. First a definition. The space  $\bar{X}$  is said to have local component convergence (l.c.c.) at  $p$  if for any neighborhood  $W$  of  $p$ , there exist neighborhoods  $V$  and  $U$  of  $p$  such that

$$(1) \quad V \subset U \subset W,$$

$$(2) \quad \text{If } Q \subset V \text{ and } C_p(U) \cap (\bigcup_{q \in Q} C_q(W)) \neq \emptyset, \text{ then}$$

$$p \in (\bigcup_{q \in Q} C_q(W))^*. \text{ Roughly speaking, we are requiring}$$

that if components approach the component of  $p$  locally, then they actually approach  $p$ .

Conjecture 1. Let  $S \in \underline{CS}$ . If  $p \in S$ ,  $S$  is l.c.c. at  $p$ , and  $p$  has a finite-dimensional neighborhood in which components are locally connected, then  $S$  has small semilattices at  $p$ .

Conjecture 2. Let  $S \in \underline{CS}$ ,  $S$  finite-dimensional, and suppose the peripheral points in  $S$  are closed. Then  $S \in \underline{CL}$ .

Proofs or counter-examples are not easily forthcoming on such problems if past experience is any guide.

(3) Let  $S \in \underline{CS}$ . Let  $\Lambda(S) \subset S$  be all elements of  $S$  at which  $S$  has small semilattices.

Proposition 1.  $\Lambda(S)$  is a sup- subsemilattice of  $S$  containing  $0$  closed under arbitrary sup $\delta$ . Hence in its own order,  $\Lambda(S)$  is a complete lattice.

Proof. Let  $x, y \in \Lambda(S)$ . Then  $x = \sup\{a: x \in (\uparrow a)^\circ\}$  and  $y = \sup\{b: y \in (\uparrow b)^\circ\}$ , and both of these are up-directed sets. Hence  $xvy = \sup\{avb: x \in (\uparrow a)^\circ \text{ and } y \in (\uparrow b)^\circ\}$  and  $xvy \in (\uparrow a)^\circ \cap (\uparrow b)^\circ = (\uparrow avb)^\circ$ . Thus  $xvy \in \Lambda(S)$ .

Now suppose  $x_\alpha$  is an up-directed net in  $\Lambda(S)$  and  $x = \sup x_\alpha$ . If  $U$  is open,  $x \in U$ ,  $\exists x_\beta \in U$ . Since  $x_\beta \in \Lambda(S)$ ,  $\exists y \in U$  such that  $x_\beta \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$ . Hence  $x \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$ .  $\square$

Note that this proposition applies nicely to some of the considerations of  $H$  and  $M$ , Memo 6-28-76, e.g. Proposition 11.

Question: Is  $\Lambda(S) \in \underline{CL}$ ?

(4) Definition. Let  $A$  be a topological semilattice,  $x \in S$ .  $\{U_n: n=1,2,\dots\}$  is a fundamental system for  $x$  if

- (1) Each  $U_n$  is open;
- (2)  $U_n \cdot U_n \subset U_{n-1}$ ,  $\bar{U}_n \subset U_{n-1}$

(3)  $x \in U_n$  for each  $n$ .

Proposition 2. (1) If  $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a fundamental system for  $x$ ,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$  is a closed semilattice containing  $x$ .

(2) Each neighborhood of  $x$  contains a fundamental system for  $x$ .

Proposition 3. If  $S \in \underline{CS}$ , then for  $x \in S$  and each fundamental system  $\lambda = \{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , let  $x_\lambda = \inf(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty U_n)$ . Then if the fundamental systems are ordered by inclusion,  $x_\lambda$  is a net converging upwards to  $x$ .

Definition.  $y \ll\ll x$  if whenever  $\forall A \geq x$ , there exists  $F^{\text{finite}} \subset A \ni y \ll \forall F$ .

Proposition 4. Let  $S \in \underline{CS}$ . Then  $y \ll\ll x \Leftrightarrow x \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$ .

Proof.  $\Rightarrow$  Straightforward ~~and obvious~~.

$\Leftarrow$  By Prop. 3  $x = \sup x_\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  is a fundamental system. Hence  $\exists \lambda = \{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \ni y \ll x_\lambda$ .

For each  $U_i$  in  $\lambda$ , pick  $x_i \in U_i \setminus \uparrow y$  (we can do this if  $x \notin (\uparrow y)^\circ$ ). Now

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+j} &\in U_i U_{i+1} \cdots U_{i+j} \\ &\subseteq U_i U_{i+1} \cdots (U_{i+j-1})^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\subseteq U_i^2 \subseteq U_{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $w_i = \bigwedge_{j \geq i} x_j \in \overline{U_{i-1}} \subset U_{i-2}$ .

Now  $w_i$  is an increasing sequence which must converge up to some  $w$ . Since  $w_i \in U_{i-2}$ ,  $w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$ . Thus  $w \geq x_\lambda$ .

Since  $y \ll x_\lambda$ ,  $\exists w_j \ni y \leq w_j$ .

But  $w_j \leq x_j$  and  $y \not\leq x_j$ , a contradiction.

So  $x \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$ .  $\square$

Corollary 5.8. If  $x \ll y \ll z$ , then  $x \ll\ll z$ . Hence  $w \in \Lambda(S)$  if  $w = \sup\{x: x \ll w\}$ .